BRIDGEWATER TOWNSHIP PLANNING BOARD

Regular Meeting December 3, 2024 -Minutes-

CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Chairman Vescio called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT ANNOUNCEMENT

Chairman Vescio read the OPMA Statement.

SALUTE TO THE FLAG

ROLL CALL

Mayor Moench-	Absent	Mr. Banga-	Absent
Councilman Kirsh-	Present	Ms. Sikora-	Absent
Mr. Pappas-	Absent	Mr. Choudhury-	Present
Mr. Magura-	Present	Mr. Atkins-	Present
Chairman Vescio-	Present	Ms. Chartowich-	Absent
Mr. Wang-	Absent		

Others present: Board Attorney Mr. Mark Peck, Ms. Katherine Sarmad, Board Planner, Mr. Bill Burr, Township Engineer & Land Use Administrator Nancy Probst.

MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Chairman Vescio opened the meeting to the public. With there being no comments from the public, the Boad closed this portion.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

There were no minutes for approval.

MEMORIALIZATION OF RESOLUTIONS

There were resolutions for adoption.

LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

Application No. 23-024-PB; Has

Block 150, Lots 12&13; 147 Chestnut St.

Minor Subdivision with Variances

This application was carried to the Tuesday December 17, 2024 Regular Meeting without further notice.

Application #24-014-PB; Chick-Fil-A Block 164, Lot 6.05; 754 Route 202 Preliminary & Final Major Site Plan

See attached transcript provided by AB Court Reporting LLC. This application was carried to Tuesday December 17, 2024 Regular Meeting without further notice.

Application #24-022-PB; R.I. Heritage Inn of Bridgewater LLC c/o Theraldson Hospitality Development LLC Block 222, Lot 3.01; 1260 Route 202
Amended Preliminary & Final Major Site Plan

See attached transcript by AB Court Reporting LLC. This application was carried to Tuesday December 17, 2024 Regular Meeting without further notice.

ADJOURN

The Board unanimously voted to adjourn the meeting at 11:01 pm.

Respectfully Submitted

Jo-Ann M. Ricks

Board Secretary Certified

CHICK-FIL-A CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

1	TOWNSHIP OF BRIDGEWATER PLANNING BOARD
2	COUNTY OF SOMERSET - STATE OF NEW JERSEY
3	REGULAR MEETING FOR:
4 5	CHICK-FIL-A, INC. BLOCK 164, LOT 6.05 754 ROUTE 202
6 7	PRELIMINARY & FINAL MAJOR SITE PLAN
8	APPLICATION NO. 24-014-PB
9	MUNICIPAL BUILDING COUNCIL CHAMBERS
10	100 COMMONS WAY BRIDGEWATER, NEW JERSEY 08807
11	DRIDGEWAIGH, NEW DERDEI 00007
12	
13	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2024
14	7:00 P.M.
15	7.00 F.M.
16	MDANGCDIDE OF DOOGEDINGS
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	PUBLIC HEARING - CONTINUATION -
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	AB COURT REPORTING, LLC Certified Court Reporters
24	26 Algonquin Terrace Millstone Township, New Jersey 08535
25	Tel: (732)882-3590 angelabuonocsr@gmail.com

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	MAURIZIO VESCIO, CHAIRMAN
3	WILLIAM ATKINS
4	NIREN CHOUDHURY
5	MICHAEL KIRSH, COUNCILMAN
6	JAMES MAGURA
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	PROFESSIONALS AND STAFF PRESENT:
12	MARK R. PECK, ESQUIRE, Board Attorney Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton, LLC
13 14	WILLIAM H. BURR, IV, P.E., Township Engineer Township of Bridgewater
15	KATHERINE SARMAD, P.P., Board Planner Sarmad Planning Group, LLC
16	NANCY PROBST, Land Use Administrator
17	Township of Bridgewater
18	
19	
20	STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
21	
22	ANGELA BUONANTUONO, CCR, RPR License No. 30XI00233100
23	
24	
25	

APPEARANCES: DIFRANCESCO, BATEMAN, KUNZMAN, DAVIS, LEHRER & FLAUM, PC BY: MICHAEL E. SILBERT, ESQUIRE 15 Mountain Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 T: 908.757.7800 F: 908.757.8039 Email: msilbert@newjerseylaw.net 7 --Counsel for the Applicant ALSO PRESENT: JOHN MARTINEZ, Senior Development Manager Chick-fil-A, Inc. MATT FLYNN, P.P. John McDonough Associates, LLC

1	-	INDEX	
2	MITTANECCEC		PAGE
3	<u>WITNESSES</u>		LAGE
4	ZACHARY CHAPLIN, P.E. Stonefield Engineerin	a & Desian	12
5	PATRICK DOWNEY, P.E.,		57
6	Dynamic Traffic		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	DUDITO OUECETONO AND/O	D COMMENITE.	
16 17	PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND/O	K COPMENTS:	
18	NAME ADD	RESS	PAGE
19	Steven Hernandez 13	Edgewood Terrace	113
20	beeven nernandez 15	Bagewood Tellace	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3	NO	DESCRIPTION	חאכה
4	NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	PAGE
5			29
6	A-4	Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024	23
7	7. (Toomed in parial propaged by Stanofield	i 29
8	A-5	Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024	1 29
9	A-6	Colorized Site Plan	29
10	H-0	Colorized Site Flam	23
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	6		8
	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Good evening. And	1	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
1	welcome to the Bridgewater Township Planning Board	2	Ms. Probst.
3	meeting for Tuesday, December 3, 2024.	3	ris, Fraust,
4	Adequate notice of this meeting has	4	(Whereupon, the board continues with
5	been given in accordance with the Open Public	5	the agenda as posted.)
6	Meetings Act, or N.J.S.A. 10:4-6. On February 7th,	6	are agenoa as postery
7	2024, proper notice was sent to The Courier News and	7	(Whereupon, the application is called
8	The Star-Ledger, and filed with the Clerk at the	8	at 7:09 p.m.)
9	Township of Bridgewater, and posted on the bulletin	9	
10	board in the Municipal Building.	10	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We have new
11	Please be aware that the Planning Board	11	applications this evening. And we are going to
12	Policy for public hearings, no new applications will	12	begin with Chick-fil-A. It's a continuance from
13	be heard after 9:30 p.m., and no new testimony will	13	October 15, 2025, Block 164, Lot 6.05, commonly
14	be taken after 10:00 p.m.	14	known as 754 Route 202.
15	Hearing assistance is available upon	15	Mr. Silbert, I spy you in the room.
	request. Accommodation will be made for individuals	16	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Chairman, could
16	with a disability, pursuant to the Americans with	17	we please start with R.I. Heritage Inn, if that's
17	Disabilities Act, or ADA, provided the individual	18	okay?
18	with the disability provides 48 hours' advance	19	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: What's the reason?
19	notice to the Planning Department secretary before	20	I'm just curious.
20	the public meeting.	21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: We felt that it
21		22	would probably be a quicker application since it's
22	However, if the individual should require special equipment or services, such as a	23	an amended site plan approval.
	CART transcriber, seven days' advance notice,	24	The application was previously approved
24 25	excluding weekends and holidays, may be necessary.	25	back in 2018, and we're seeking minor adjustments to
2.5	7		9
1	Would everyone please rise to salute to	1	
1 2	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag.	1 2	the building footprint. Any relief required in
2	the flag.		the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements
2	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.]	2	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted.
2	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I	2	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements
2 3 4	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.]	2 3 4	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered
2 3 4 5	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please?	2 3 4 5	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to
2 3 4 5 6 7	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.	2 3 4 5 6	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour
2 3 4 5 6	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is	2 3 4 5 6 7	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your preference, absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your preference, absolutely. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your preference, absolutely. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: While we're trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your preference, absolutely. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: While we're trying to get our electronics set up here, perhaps I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your preference, absolutely. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: While we're trying to get our electronics set up here, perhaps I can start off and save the board some time with a brief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	[Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your preference, absolutely. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: While we're trying to get our electronics set up here, perhaps I can start off and save the board some time with a brief introduction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your preference, absolutely. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: While we're trying to get our electronics set up here, perhaps I can start off and save the board some time with a brief introduction. Good evening, board members, members of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins? MEMBER ATKINS: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your preference, absolutely. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: While we're trying to get our electronics set up here, perhaps I can start off and save the board some time with a brief introduction. Good evening, board members, members of the public, professionals. My name is Michael
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	[Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins? MEMBER ATKINS: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Peck is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the building footprint. Any relief required in association with this application are improvements to previous variances that were already granted. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I considered that. If that's the case, however, I would like to say that I would be willing to give at least an hour to each applicant this evening if we were to start in that order. I would like to start with Chick-fil-A, given that it's a continuance. I believe that we have gone through most of the testimony there. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm fine with doing that. I just need to I have the other folder here, and just switch materials, if that's your preference, absolutely. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: While we're trying to get our electronics set up here, perhaps I can start off and save the board some time with a brief introduction. Good evening, board members, members of the public, professionals. My name is Michael Silbert, from the law firm DeFrancesco Bateman,

25

not at the hearing on October 15th, so perhaps we

Why don't you start us off by talking

			7 (
	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E. 16
	14		
1	about the revised site plan, some of the changes	1	ATTORNEY PECK: Overall aerial, okay. THE WITNESS: So this is just a Google
2	that were made.	2	-
3	I don't want to steel your thunder if	3	Earth snapshot of the shopping center. Of course, we front 202. Wegman's and
4	you have your own outline here so	4 5	Home Depot are the main anchors. Raymour & Flanigan
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. I think	6	is centered to our north. And then to the east of
6	just to jump in, you know, listening to the testimony from last time, I think Mr. Martinez did a	7	our site is a Verizon store and a Wells Fargo Bank.
7	really good job talking about Chick-fil-A's	8	So our site is just east of the
8	operations, the circulation, how unique it kind of	9	signalized intersection. It's the former TGI
10	is compared to some of the other fast food tenants	10	Fridays.
11	out there, so I will probably stick to more of the	11	And then just kind of zooming in and
12	engineering and site plan layout as we dive into it.	12	if we want we can mark this separately or this could
13	But kind of from a higher level, I	13	be a two of two, however you prefer.
14	think this is a good redevelopment opportunity for	14	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That was a former
15	both the township and Chick-fil-A. I think that	15	Ruby Tuesday.
16	this is a good location and site for Chick-fil-A.	16	THE WITNESS: Sorry, Ruby Tuesday.
17	Probably one of the reasons why it's one of the few	17	BOARD MEMBER: One of those days of the
18	locations that actually permits the fast food use.	18	week.
19	I think we've carefully designed it,	19	ATTORNEY PECK: Let's make this one
20	like you've heard, with a lot of Chick-fil-A's	20	A-4.
21	greatest and latest technologies that we were able	21	THE WITNESS: So this is - this is
22	to implement. The fact we're in a shopping center	22	just an aerial exhibit, no overall. Also prepared
23	allows us to use shared parking which I think is a	23	by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14th, 2024.
24	great advantage.	24	This is just zooming into our
25	We're seeking very limited relief	25	ATTORNEY PECK: Close up of the
	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	15		17
1	tonight that I can go through. And we'll also be	1	aerial.
2	adding in landscaping around the perimeter that will	2	THE WITNESS: Exactly. Really what
3	be an improvement compared to the existing	3	you will see here is the previous restaurant. You
4	condition.		
		4	will note that there were two access points into the
5	Just briefly on the existing condition,	5	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter.
6	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the	5 6	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see
6 7	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's	5 6 7	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and
6 7 8	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated	5 6 7 8	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to
6 7 8 9	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously	5 6 7 8 9	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application.
6 7 8 9	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked?	5 6 7 8 9	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about
6 7 8 9 10	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a	5 6 7 8 9 10	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing. THE WITNESS: Yeah, this was not	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next exhibit is going to be a colorized rendering of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing. THE WITNESS: Yeah, this was not marked, to my knowledge, but we can mark it now.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing. THE WITNESS: Yeah, this was not	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next exhibit is going to be a colorized rendering of the latest site plan that was submitted. We can mark
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing. THE WITNESS: Yeah, this was not marked, to my knowledge, but we can mark it now. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sorry. THE WITNESS: This is an overall	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next exhibit is going to be a colorized rendering of the latest site plan that was submitted. We can mark this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing. THE WITNESS: Yeah, this was not marked, to my knowledge, but we can mark it now. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sorry. THE WITNESS: This is an overall aerial exhibit, prepared by Stonefield Engineering,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next exhibit is going to be a colorized rendering of the latest site plan that was submitted. We can mark this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing. THE WITNESS: Yeah, this was not marked, to my knowledge, but we can mark it now. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sorry. THE WITNESS: This is an overall	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next exhibit is going to be a colorized rendering of the latest site plan that was submitted. We can mark this ATTORNEY PECK: A-5. THE WITNESS: A-5?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing. THE WITNESS: Yeah, this was not marked, to my knowledge, but we can mark it now. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sorry. THE WITNESS: This is an overall aerial exhibit, prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14th, 2024.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next exhibit is going to be a colorized rendering of the latest site plan that was submitted. We can mark this ATTORNEY PECK: A-5. THE WITNESS: A-5? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Peck, was there
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing. THE WITNESS: Yeah, this was not marked, to my knowledge, but we can mark it now. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sorry. THE WITNESS: This is an overall aerial exhibit, prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14th, 2024. So we can mark this as A-2.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next exhibit is going to be a colorized rendering of the latest site plan that was submitted. We can mark this ATTORNEY PECK: A-5. THE WITNESS: A-5? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Peck, was there another exhibit submitted at the last hearing marked
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Just briefly on the existing condition, I'm just going to refer to the exhibit on the screen. This is an aerial exhibit overall, it's dated ATTORNEY PECK: Was this previously marked? ATTORNEY SILBERT: So there was a colorized site plan ATTORNEY PECK: That's A-1? ATTORNEY SILBERT: marked A-1 at the last hearing. THE WITNESS: Yeah, this was not marked, to my knowledge, but we can mark it now. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sorry. THE WITNESS: This is an overall aerial exhibit, prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14th, 2024. So we can mark this as A-2. ATTORNEY PECK: I'm sorry, you called	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will note that there were two access points into the pad site with parking around the perimeter. I do want to note on here you will see that there are a few shade trees to the west and some mature shrubs to the east. All of those are to remain as part of our application. I also want to note that there's about a 5-foot grade change between our site and the Verizon in that green sloped area. So that's something that we're not changing, we're keeping those shrubs in that slope change that is there. As we wait for it to load, the next exhibit is going to be a colorized rendering of the latest site plan that was submitted. We can mark this ATTORNEY PECK: A-5. THE WITNESS: A-5? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Peck, was there another exhibit submitted at the last hearing marked A-2?

Z. Chaplin, P.E. Z. Chaplin, P.E. 20 18 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Okay, so under the ordering canopy. Beyond that there is a 1 bypass lane all the way to the north, so in the 2 then we can call what we just identified as A-2 as 2 event someone has an emergency or needs to peel out, 3 3 the overall aerial exhibit as A-3. you know, we do have that third lane that can be Is that okay? 4 4 5 ATTORNEY PECK: Overall aerial is A-3. used, and that's essentially just like a bypass ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. through the parking lot. 6 6 This isn't super common for Chick-fil-A ATTORNEY PECK: And zoomed-in aerial 7 7 but when we have the ability to add it we do and 8 is A-4. 8 And then we'll call the colorized 9 it's, of course, a nice thing to have for a 9 10 drive-thru. latest iteration of the site plan will be A-5. 10 ATTORNEY PECK: I'm sorry, so A-2 is Once they pick up their order under 11 11 the pickup canopy the cars would then circulate out 12 still the Grand Opening Plan. 12 the same access point they came in. I think it's ATTORNEY SILBERT: That's correct. 13 13 worth noting that the drive aisles on-site have been ATTORNEY PECK: All right. 14 14 THE WITNESS: So this is loading --15 oversized at 30 feet, both the westerly drive aisle 15 16 there we go. So this is just a colorized version of and the southerly drive aisle. And that's just to 16 make sure that there's really good maneuverability the site plan, and it also shows the landscaping 17 17 for these customers as they, you know, drive through 18 that is there. 18 Under the proposed conditions the site 19 the site. 19 would be scraped so the restaurant would be removed. It's also one of the reasons why we do 20 20 21 need relief for the front -- for parking within the A new 4,989 square foot Chick-fil-A building is 21 22 front yard just because we need that oversized drive 22 proposed. As Mr. Martinez mentioned there are two aisle to kind of help with our maneuverability. 23 23 canopies associated with the building, there's the 24 There are 43 parking spaces proposed 24 where 30 are required. We did add that third ADA pickup canopy and the ordering canopy. So the 25 25 Z. Chaplin, P.E. Z. Chaplin, P.E. 21 19 space at the front of the restaurant as well. 1 pickup canopy you could kind of see here in that There's also one EV space proposed that we'll put in 2 light yellow tone. That covers the vehicles when 3 they're receiving their food from the team members. 3 day one. ATTORNEY PECK: One EV space? 4 And then on the east side of the building, that is 4 THE WITNESS: One EV space. 5 where the ordering canopy is. And that's where 5 6 there are either two ordering boards or team members б As mentioned, we do have the ability to use parking within the shopping center, so we have that could take your orders under that easterly 7 7 8 proposed a sidewalk connection at the northwest 8 canopy. 9 corner that will take mostly employees to that In terms of access, there's one main 9 signalized access point kind of in the center of the 10 Raymour & Flanigan parking lot that they can use. 10 Also, I want to point out that we have shopping center. There's also an ingress only and 11 11 been in conversation with the DOT. We have an 12 an egress only curb cut to the shopping center, but 12 13 I think most of our activity -- I'll leave this to active application with them. And while it is shown 13 here, we will be providing a new sidewalk along the our traffic engineer, but I think most of the 14 14 frontage of the Chick-fil-A that will add pedestrian 15 customers will be coming in from that signalized 15 16 16 path to the restaurant as well. intersection. We have one access point into the site 17 There's a trash enclosure proposed at 17 the northwest corner of the site. That location was that allows us to maximize the drive-through queue. 18 18 chosen for two reasons. One, just for easy access So customers will be coming in off Towne Center 19 19 for the trash vehicles. And also it's closest to Road, they'll make a right turn into our site. 20 20 They'll have the ability to park in one of many 21 the exit for the team members who bring out the 21 22 trash. 22 parking spaces, and/or continue on to the 23 I know that Mr. Martinez went into kind 23 drive-through. As mentioned before once entering the 24 of a -- how often that occurs and the deliveries and 24

25

25

drive-through they would have their order taken

so I won't go into that.

From a stormwater management 1 2 standpoint, we do meet the green infrastructure 3 requirement by proposing porous pavement. So the parking spaces along the southern end, western and eastern here would be porous pavement, as well as a 6 small portion of the drive-through. This allows us 7 to provide water quality as well as quantity reductions to meet those requirements.

If you consider the porous pavement as pervious, the site actually results in a 13-percent reduction of impervious surfaces.

9

10

11

16

8

14

16

17

1.8

21

22

23

24

12 From a lighting standpoint we're 13 proposing all new LED fixtures. We meet all the township requirements with 20-foot-high poles all 14 the fixtures would be down lit. 15

For our landscape plan, you can kind of 17 see it on the screen. I think it's important to 18 note here, you know, we are noting that we need a 19 variance for shade trees where 27 are required and 20 we're providing 14. There are some reasons for 21 this. I know it was spoken about at the first 22 hearing.

The front yard, as much as we would 23 24 like to put some trees there, you would lose the 25 visibility of the monument sign. So for that reason

Z. Chaplin, P.E.

23

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

20 21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

9

10

23

24

1 I think we just decided to go with some low shrubs 2 that you will see on our plan now that we have 3 planted instead.

The east side has those mature shrubs 4 5 that kind of separate the Verizon store from our 6 site. So instead of removing those, you know, we 7 look to keep those in place.

And then there are a number of shade 9 trees on the west side that we would look to remain. 10 So we're proposing three new shade trees on the 11 northeast corner of the site, looking to preserve 12 the ones on the west. And then lots of shrubs, over 150 shrubs throughout the pad site. 13

ATTORNEY SILBERT: And the shrubs that 15 were added to the south of the site, that was added as a direct response to the board engineer's request?

THE WITNESS: It might have been a board member's request but, yes, the request at the 19 last hearing. 20

I'm trying to pull up the architectural plans, it might take a second. But those were submitted so you should have them in your packet. From an architecture standpoint I

25 think, you know, everyone has probably seen a

Chick-fil-A. They do a pretty nice job with their architecture. There's that light and dark brown

3 brick veneer, the two tones. And, you know, if there's any questions of the architecture I would be 4

happy to answer that. 5

6 From a signage standpoint, Chick-fil-A 7 is not proposing any new monument or pylon signs. So that's partially the reason, we're really just 8 limited to the building signage. 9

We are looking for relief where we have 153 and a half square feet of building signage where 100 square feet is the max. But keep in mind that we're proposing signage on three sides of the building. So we're looking to essentially show, and you can kind of see it on here, both to 202 and to the shopping center, we would have signage on those three sides.

I think the signage is modest if you 19 look at the elevations that were able to just pop up. I think because we have that third side that's one of the reasons why we're over that limit, but at the same token we don't have that pylon or monument sign, so we're hoping that, you know, the building signage can help direct people to our store.

ATTORNEY PECK: Sorry, what was the

Z. Chaplin, P.E.

25

signage permitted and proposed? 1

2 THE WITNESS: It's 100 square feet aggregate for the building-mounted signage where 3 4 we're at 153.5.

ATTORNEY PECK: 153.5.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ATTORNEY SILBERT: And if you recall from the last hearing there was -- Mr. Martinez 8 mentioned that there was a "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign that may not have been included in these calculations. And I don't know if the board or the 11 board professionals believe that that should count 12 1.3 towards the aggregate.

So depending on how the board 14 15 determines that "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign comes 16 into play, it may be for four signs. And I think --I would have to -- I would have to ask Mr. Martinez 17 to refresh my memory, but I thought it could be an 18 additional 17 feet for the "Welcome to Bridgewater" 19 20 sign.

But we can flow back to that during our 21 22 planning testimony.

THE WITNESS: And then one last thing I just want to bring up that was in the

25 professionals' letter, while our building is set

			
	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	26		28
1	back 100.2 feet our pickup canopy does extend over	1	think we have a safe and efficient site layout.
2	because there's a column that comes into play.	2	If there's anything I missed
3	So you will notice on our site plan	3	ATTORNEY SILBERT: The only thing that
4	that the canopy is 96.3 feet set back. It seems	4	I think that I noticed in the review memo here is
5	unclear if relief is needed just based on the	5	just how will snow removal take place on the site.
6	township code. You know, we're happy to seek that	6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think where we
7	relief as a conservative measure if you feel it is	7	can there's some certain landscaped areas like
8	needed.	8	specifically like the northwest corner, the southern
9	When looking to push the building and	9	area where we can fit it.
10	the canopy back, it's a little bit tight just	10	But once it gets to a point where we
11	because that back area we've got our transformer	11	can't fit it on-site, you know, we would hire
12	pad, we've got our hot box that New Jersey American	12	somebody to haul it off-site.
13	Water requires, and there's a bit of a grade change.	13	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Great. I don't
14	So we did look to avoid the relief, but	14	have any questions.
15	after looking at just kind of the constraints we	15	ATTORNEY PECK: A point of
16	have in the back of the site it would be tough to	16	housekeeping.
17	push the building back. So I just want to point	17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sure.
18	that out for the board's benefit.	18	ATTORNEY PECK: We might have to
19	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Mr. Chaplin,	19	relabel the exhibits. There was an A-3. It was a
20	you're asking about whether the township would	20	planting exhibit.
21	consider that a variance because you are trying to	21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay.
22	determine whether or not the canopy is an accessory	22	ATTORNEY PECK: So, Nancy, you got
23	structure?	23	that?
24	THE WITNESS: Correct.	24	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: No. I'm sorry,
25	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay.	25	it was A-3?
	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 27		29
1	27 And then did you review the zoning	1	29 ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial
1 2	27	1 2	29
	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures?		ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4.
2	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to	2	29 ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared
2	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's	2	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14,
2 3 4	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify	2 3 4	29 ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared
2 3 4 5	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's	2 3 4 5	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked.
2 3 4 5 6	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's	2 3 4 5 6	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial
2 3 4 5 6 7	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: And the latest version
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great testimony as to how the circulation, the parking,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great testimony as to how the circulation, the parking, the drive-through would work. And just with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: And the latest version of the site plan, colorized, is A-6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great testimony as to how the circulation, the parking, the drive-through would work. And just with Chick-Fil-A's experience and all the successful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: And the latest version of the site plan, colorized, is A-6. (Exhibit A-6, Colorized Site Plan, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great testimony as to how the circulation, the parking, the drive-through would work. And just with Chick-Fil-A's experience and all the successful stores that they have, how this would be able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: And the latest version of the site plan, colorized, is A-6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great testimony as to how the circulation, the parking, the drive-through would work. And just with Chick-Fil-A's experience and all the successful stores that they have, how this would be able to operate in this location.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: And the latest version of the site plan, colorized, is A-6. (Exhibit A-6, Colorized Site Plan, is marked.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great testimony as to how the circulation, the parking, the drive-through would work. And just with Chick-Fil-A's experience and all the successful stores that they have, how this would be able to operate in this location. We've optimized this site to provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: And the latest version of the site plan, colorized, is A-6. (Exhibit A-6, Colorized Site Plan, is marked.) CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does the board have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great testimony as to how the circulation, the parking, the drive-through would work. And just with Chick-Fil-A's experience and all the successful stores that they have, how this would be able to operate in this location. We've optimized this site to provide 29 cars in the queue. We have a grand opening plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: And the latest version of the site plan, colorized, is A-6. (Exhibit A-6, Colorized Site Plan, is marked.) CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does the board have any questions on the testimony you just heard?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great testimony as to how the circulation, the parking, the drive-through would work. And just with Chick-Fil-A's experience and all the successful stores that they have, how this would be able to operate in this location. We've optimized this site to provide 29 cars in the queue. We have a grand opening plan that can extend that queue, if needed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: And the latest version of the site plan, colorized, is A-6. (Exhibit A-6, Colorized Site Plan, is marked.) CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does the board have any questions on the testimony you just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then did you review the zoning requirements for the M-1 zone with respect to accessory structures? If not, that's okay, we can save it for planning. But I just point it out because if it's an accessory structure, as our planner will testify to, it wouldn't require variance relief. And that's why I'm calling the board and the board's professionals attention to it. THE WITNESS: So just to kind of sum up, you know, the plan is generally conforming, with the exception of the relief that we just discussed. I think we have a safe and efficient layout. I think Mr. Martinez gave great testimony as to how the circulation, the parking, the drive-through would work. And just with Chick-Fil-A's experience and all the successful stores that they have, how this would be able to operate in this location. We've optimized this site to provide 29 cars in the queue. We have a grand opening plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ATTORNEY PECK: So the overall aerial that we saw is now A-4. (Exhibit A-4, Overall Aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: The zoomed-in aerial is A-5. (Exhibit A-5, Zoomed-in aerial prepared by Stonefield Engineering, dated October 14, 2024, is marked. ATTORNEY PECK: And the latest version of the site plan, colorized, is A-6. (Exhibit A-6, Colorized Site Plan, is marked.) CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does the board have any questions on the testimony you just heard?

	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	30		32
1	we talk about employees being able to park over by	1	bank, you know, there's some other things going on
2	the furniture store. Should we be thinking about	2	there, making that turn left can be a challenge.
3	parking as people will, generally speaking, park in	3	It's not signal controlled. There's already a lot
4	the most convenient place, but as long as there's	4	of traffic at certain times leaving the complex due
5	parking, you know, reasonably close that that's	5	to particularly The Home Depot and Wegmans, although
6	attractive, the people will want to do business	6	other locations as well.
7	there?	7	The other thing I've observed more now
8	THE WITNESS: Well I think the parking	8	than before, there is an access and an exit point
9	at the Raymour & Flanigan is specific for employees.	9	further I'm going to get my I might get my
10	You know, we feel comfortable and	10	directions wrong but, I believe it's further to the
11	confident that the 43 spaces will be used for our	11	east so, you know, down more like where the Olive
12	customers. So it would be the employees, which	12	Garden is.
13	could be anywhere from 20 to 25 employees that would	13	So, you know, perhaps when your traffic
14	use the parking over there.	14	expert yeah, basically and there's an entrance
15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And that's	15	and an exit down there. Right?
16	acceptable to the property management, property	16	Isn't there an entrance?
17	ownership.	17	THE WITNESS: It's an exit.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I know there's an
19	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I guess,	19	exit.
20	given, you know, today's standards, people are	20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, there's an exit to
21	typically parking quickly and running in and getting	21	the east.
22	their food in the drive-thru lane. Fewer people are	22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And so, you know, I
23	dining in the restaurant.	23	don't know if there's anticipation that people, if
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah, and that's what	24	they can't make that left because there's a queue,
25	we've seen, the evolution of just using either the	25	maybe they're going to go to the right and come
	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	31		33
1	drive-thru or the mobile pickup more often.	1	around, but then they are not going to be able to
2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. I have a	2	get going 202 north unless they make a U, and then
3	series of questions about access and exit from the	3	they're going to get stuck at the traffic light.
4	site, and you mentioned it that you're not the	4	You know, I don't I would just like
5	traffic expert.	5	to see, you know, a careful explanation, you know,
6	So I guess my question, Mr. Silbert, is	6	because presumably this site is going to be pretty
7	am I better off waiting to kind of like go through	7	popular. That's a problem you want to have. And I
8	the traffic and traffic flow really, I'm more	8	just want to make sure that the board and any one
9	concerned outside of the boundaries of your	9	here from the audience, our professionals, can
10	property or shall I, you know, at least air the	10	certainly be comfortable with, you know, how we're
11	questions now?	11	going to get, and especially at peak times, a
12	THE WITNESS: You're welcome to air	12	sizable number of cars on and off this property.
13	the question. If I can't answer it, our traffic	13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think our
14	expert will.	14	traffic engineer will be best suited to answer that.
15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. Well, I	15	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman, at
16	don't want to go too far here but I have	16	the last hearing you kind of vocalized those
17	considerable concern not so much with how people	17	concerns, not with cars coming in but cars leaving,
18	potentially go from, you know, the main access road	18	so Mr. Downey, our traffic engineer and expert, is
19	into your site. That's a quick right, a quick	19	well prepared to address your comments particularly
20	right. You know, I'm not terribly concerned about	20	related to that exit point further to the east of the intersection of Towne Center Road and 202.
21	that.	21	
22	What I am definitely concerned about is	22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, thank you.
23	how people are going to leave your site, because	23	THE WITNESS: Sure.
24	even now, with the relatively little commercial	24	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
25	activity that is going on between the Verizon, the	25	Councilman.

	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	34		36
1	Any other questions? Go ahead,	1	there's a back delivery truck will be coming and
2	Mr. Choudhury.	2	deliver to the back, backdoor itself after the store
3	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: I see I think that	3	is closed.
4	two handicap parking here	4	So where exactly; can you point it out,
5	(Stenographer clarification.)	5	where exactly it is?
6	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, I see here	6	THE WITNESS: Yes. So the trucks
7	three handicap parking. The Ruby Tuesday, I think,	7	would pull into the site, and then you will see here
8	had more handicap.	8	there's a door at the northwest corner of the
9	Assuming that you will have more	9	building. We've actually already put a curb ramp
10	customers than any other restaurants there, because	10	in.
11	my house is right there so I see every day there, so	11	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Oh, yeah. Yeah,
12	are you okay with there are only three handicap	12	right. Okay.
13	parking?	13	THE WITNESS: So you can kind of see
14	THE WITNESS: So the requirement for	14	it.
15	us is two. It's one when you have 25, and between	15	So they can just come right through
16	25 and 50 is two. And then after 50, 50 to 75 is	16	here and basically stage in the parking lot to bring
17	three. So with our 43 spaces we have two. We have	17	in those deliveries.
18	added a space.	18	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Oh. I was thinking
19	I think it comes down to, you know, I	19	on the back side, sorry.
20	think those customers may be using the drive-thru as	20	THE WITNESS: That's okay.
21	well. I think, you know, we said, 50 percent of our	21	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: No problem. Well
22	customer base isn't even going into the store	22	for now it is okay, I will find out what I wrote.
23	anymore, so there's a good chance that those	23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
24	accessible customers will be using the drive-thru.	24	Mr. Choudhury.
25	So I don't I think the extra space	25	Any other questions?
23			
23	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
1	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So,	1	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious
1 2	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between	1 2	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to
1 2 3	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having	1 2 3	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less
1 2 3 4	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required.	1 2 3 4	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct?
1 2 3 4 5	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So	1 2 3 4 5	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else,	1 2 3 4 5	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend
1 2 3 4 5 6	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum	1 2 3 4 5 6	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime. THE WITNESS: The box truck delivery	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better left for Mr. Downey?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime. THE WITNESS: The box truck delivery would be overnight.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better left for Mr. Downey? THE WITNESS: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime. THE WITNESS: The box truck delivery would be overnight. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: After the store is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better left for Mr. Downey? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Any other
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime. THE WITNESS: The box truck delivery would be overnight. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: After the store is closed.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better left for Mr. Downey? THE WITNESS: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime. THE WITNESS: The box truck delivery would be overnight. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: After the store is closed. THE WITNESS: Correct.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better left for Mr. Downey? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Any other questions? Mr. Atkins.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime. THE WITNESS: The box truck delivery would be overnight. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: After the store is closed. THE WITNESS: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. And then did	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better left for Mr. Downey? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Any other questions? Mr. Atkins. MEMBER ATKINS: Just a question
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime. THE WITNESS: The box truck delivery would be overnight. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: After the store is closed. THE WITNESS: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. And then did you it will be better if you can show something	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Z. Chaplin, P.E. You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better left for Mr. Downey? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Any other questions? Mr. Atkins. MEMBER ATKINS: Just a question generally about the pavement.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime. THE WITNESS: The box truck delivery would be overnight. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: After the store is closed. THE WITNESS: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. And then did you it will be better if you can show something here from how the delivery will be taken into	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 37 You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better left for Mr. Downey? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Any other questions? Mr. Atkins. MEMBER ATKINS: Just a question generally about the pavement. So the porous pavement for the majority
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 35 was a good idea and that's why we've added it. So, you know, I think that it's a good balance between the customer base using the drive-thru and having that extra space than what is actually required. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. So obviously, you know, Mr. Burr and everybody else, probably they have they know what minimum standard handicap parking should be there, but, okay, I guess somebody will address it. On this A-5, or now it is A-6, last time you said that your delivery truck will be coming in the nighttime, I guess? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Delivery truck, that only comes at nighttime. THE WITNESS: The box truck delivery would be overnight. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: After the store is closed. THE WITNESS: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay. And then did you it will be better if you can show something	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Z. Chaplin, P.E. You testified that the impervious coverage on the site, given that there is going to be porous pavement, is going to be actually less than there was previously, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does that also lend credence to that, we would imagine that the stormwater collection or the stormwater management would be decreased as well? THE WITNESS: I would say that we are reducing peak flow rates and we are enhancing the water quality as a result of our project. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And I too have questions regarding the traffic management, the queue management specifically. Would that be better left for Mr. Downey? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Any other questions? Mr. Atkins. MEMBER ATKINS: Just a question generally about the pavement.

Z. Chaplin, P.E. Z. Chaplin, P.E. 38 40 underdrain that collects it. That underdrain prevent ice or to clear the snow? Because I would 1 connects to the overall shopping center conveyance imagine that there would be a different process for 2 2 system. this kind of pavement. 3 3 In the event there's a backup or THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean the 4 4 maintenance vehicles, you know, essentially need to there's clogging, that stormwater would naturally on 5 5 the surface level make its way to stormwater grates be careful around the porous pavement areas. 6 б in our outlet structure, and that's tied into the So there is a stormwater management 7 7 shopping center conveyance network, which I think maintenance and operations manual that has been 8 8 prepared and essentially that would get -- the ultimately ties into this above-ground basin to the 9 9 10 west. operator would need to abide by what's in that 10 operations and maintenance manual specifically to 11 So there are fail-safe measures just to 11 make sure that the site doesn't flood or pond and 12 the stormwater measures. 12 what have you. And, of course, once that is And a lot of that has to do with how to 13 13 happening that's when our maintenance needs to kick maintain that porous pavement and specifically in 14 14 into gear and flush out any sort of clogs. the winter months to make sure that like those 15 15 snowplows and what have you aren't damaging the PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And there was 16 16 other kind of following points in there, in that 17 pavement. 17 maintenance manual that include that it needs to be CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, 18 18 vacuum cleaned four times a year. 19 Mr. Atkins. 19 20 Who generally is responsible for that? 20 I would like to hear from some of our And how is that enforced, you know, based on the 21 professionals. Ms. Sarmad? 21 PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you, 22 specs of the material being used, who makes sure 22 it's vacuum cleaned at least four times a year? 23 Mr. Chairman. I had a few questions related to 23 THE WITNESS: That would be the 24 24 that. 25 Chick-fil-A operator --In looking through the stormwater 25 Z. Chaplin, P.E. Z. Chaplin, P.E. 41 39 PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. maintenance manual for the porous pavement, we've 1 2 THE WITNESS: -- since it's their encountered it before this board in more residential 2 3 applications and I think now that it's part of the 3 parking and system. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And then it DEP stormwater regs we're seeing it more and more in 4 says once annually it has to be tested to make sure portions of commercial parking lots. 5 5 And if you look through the maintenance 6 it's functioning properly. 6 Who do they report to if it's not? Is 7 manual, it should give you some pause for concern in 7 that just an internal function that they would then that it seems like if something goes wrong, if 8 8 be replacing or, you know, determining it needs to there's even a storm where there's mud, it could 9 9 severely kind of impact the function of that. 10 be replaced based on those tests? 10 THE WITNESS: I think it can be the And so some of my questions are more 11 11 township if the township chooses that and we're 12 12 related to -- I think there's something in the manual that says that some of these actions need to happy to do that, but if not, obviously, it would be 13 13 internal. But if, for whatever reason the township 14 be taken as soon as possible after all the runoff 14 wanted a copy of that test, we can certainly provide has drained. And can you just give, kind of the 15 1.5 board an idea, of what would happen to this system 16 it. 16 if mud or sediment is tracked into the surface 17 PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. Thank you. 17 I know there wasn't any engineering course and it's not removed, what could happen. 18 18 THE WITNESS: Sure. So there are testimony at the last meeting but there were some --19 19 outlet control structures. There's a couple of 20 there was a revised plan that was submitted. And 20 can you just go through some of the changes? 21 fail-safes so to speak. 21 22 Some of those changes differ from the So there is an underdrain in the 22 review memo that I think Mr. Burr and I had section, and so essentially we're not relying on 23 23 infiltration. So basically the stormwater filters 24 originally prepared. I don't think they were 24 25 substantial. When I looked at the revised plans I through the porous paving section. There's an 25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

21

22

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

23

24

42

Z. Chaplin, P.E. didn't see anything that really impacted the 1 2 impervious coverage and things like that, setbacks, 3 but there were changes to the location of crosswalks, you know, the circulation out of the 5 drive-thru area. Can you just give an -- and the 6 landscaping. Can you give an overview of some of those changes, if I missed any? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. So one of the things we did was add the third ADA space. 10 Another thing we did was just we took a 11 12 look at the cars exiting the drive-thru and we kind 13 of re-striped that area by the exit, and even put in sort off like a striping along the centerline of the 14 15 roadway as well, to help direct those customers in 16 making that turn. So these were all additions to the site plan that was recently submitted. 17 We did add a crosswalk at the southern 18 19 end of the parking lot, and this was really in anticipation of our sidewalk that we're putting in 20 along the west side. That sidewalk will connect to 21 the shopping center sidewalk and also connect to the 22 23 new sidewalk that DOT has requested.

In addition to that we responded to the 25 comments, the landscape comments. We have also

Z. Chaplin, P.E.

24

11

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

43

added shrubs along the southerly parking row. That was talked about at the last hearing. 2 So we've added landscaping, addressed 3 the comments in the letter, and I think that should summarize it, unless I missed anything. 5 PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And there was 6 the removal of a crosswalk, a mid-block crosswalk 7 that seems to cross the kind of access road, right, 8 outside of the pad site? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. And I do have the 10

previous rendering if we want to look at both, but, yes, we removed the one that was in the middle. We 12 felt it was better placed where we're showing it now.

PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. Yeah, so 15 there's one closer to the kind of pass-by lane, 16 right, that was removed? 17

THE WITNESS: Um-hmm.

PLANNER SARMAD: One in the mid-block cross outside the site that connects to inside the shopping center. And then you described there was some

landscaping that was changed at the back of the building. And there's a difference between the two,

the two plans in that regard, there's kind of

landscaping that looks like it opens up to the back door there. Can you just describe -- and previously

there was landscaping that kind of closed that area 4

Can you just describe what that back 5 area is kind of -- how the landscaping changed kind of the function, or if it was always intended to be 7 open? 8

THE WITNESS: I think the intention was for it to be open, but I will say that these shrubs are evergreens because ideally we do want to screen kind of like the back of the building, you know, from the drive-thru. So I think it's a mix of deciduous and evergreen, kind of like the evergreen further back, and then the more aesthetically pleasing plantings in front.

Previously I think we just -- it was a 18 slightly different layout, I think we just were a little bit more strategic with our latest plan with 19 20 like where we put the deciduous shrubs versus the evergreen shrubs.

PLANNER SARMAD: All right. And then 23 it was more -- I think I was asking more -- less about the species and the type of the shrubs and more about the configuration at the back that

Z. Chaplin, P.E.

45

44

previously was kind of in a row where it seemed like

it blocked off the back of the building -- it

separated the back of the building from the

drive-thru lane, and now it kind of has a -- it 4

looks like a pathway between -- that's created from 5

the landscaping. 6

So I just wanted to know if that is intended for employees to maybe walk out the back 8 door and, you know, and typically in some of the Chick-fil-A operations, as we've heard from the operations testimony and that we have seen in other sites there's employees that go out and go meet the cars either with the electronic menu and go to the canopies and take orders and go in the lane. I didn't know if that was somewhere people would be moving out of and if that was intended for people moving.

And my question is does the shrubs 19 impact the ability from cars to see a person, if that's the case?

THE WITNESS: Are we talking about 21 this gray box here? 22

ENGINEER BURR: Yes.

PLANNER SARMAD: There's a grey box

surround --

	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	46		48
1	THE WITNESS: So the intention is	1	captured within the proposed or existing stormwater
2	that's the hot box that New Jersey American Water is	2	facilities on-site.
3	going to require, so we've added that in.	3	THE WITNESS: It's all being captured
4	The employees are going to be mostly	4	mainly because 202 is a bit higher than us so
5	coming out of the pickup area where those doors are	5	everything is sloping into the site, so we're
6	located.	6	essentially collecting everything within our
7	PLANNER SARMAD: Yes.	7	footprint.
8	THE WITNESS: If they come out of this	8	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. So in terms of
9	door they would come, you know, via this curb cut	9	the maintenance, you heard a few questions/comments
10	here. There is no intention for them to walk	10	from board members, as well as Ms. Sarmad, it's a
11	through this landscaping.	11	big deal, obviously. And I, on behalf of the
12	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, understood.	12	township, every year need to certify to the state
13	Thank you. I just wanted to make sure that that	13	that all stormwater facilities are being properly
14	kind of back-of-the-building change was put on the	14	maintained, both public facilities as well as
15	record.	15	private.
16	THE WITNESS: Yep.	16	So if this application is approved, I
17	PLANNER SARMAD: I think that's all I	17	would assume that your client would agree to a
18	have for this witness.	18	condition where you provide me with a certification
19	I'll give it for Mr. Burr.	19	by the end of every year so that, come May, I can
20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,	20	provide my certification to the state?
21	Ms. Sarmad.	21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	ENGINEER BURR: Thank you,	22	ENGINEER BURR: Would you be willing
23	Mr. Chairman. A couple of questions.	23	to certify?
24	So you gave an overview of some of the	24	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes, absolutely.
25	plan revisions that were made. I think we heard	25	ENGINEER BURR: Okay, good.
	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		7 Chaplin P E
	• •		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	47		49
1	earlier that you submitted a response letter.	1	49 As far as the sidewalk, some of the
2	47 earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo	2	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was
2	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any	2	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a
2 3 4	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more	2 3 4	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the
2 3 4 5	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review?	2 3 4 5	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the
2 3 4 5 6	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	2 3 4 5 6	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that
2 3 4 5 6 7	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as	2 3 4 5 6 7	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour &
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to actually get tied into the stone section of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan entails?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to actually get tied into the stone section of the porous pavement and then that will make its way into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan entails? THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to actually get tied into the stone section of the porous pavement and then that will make its way into an outlet structure and ultimately into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan entails? THE WITNESS: Um-hum. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And you had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to actually get tied into the stone section of the porous pavement and then that will make its way into an outlet structure and ultimately into the conveyance system that we use at the pad site.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan entails? THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to actually get tied into the stone section of the porous pavement and then that will make its way into an outlet structure and ultimately into the conveyance system that we use at the pad site. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. So that will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan entails? THE WITNESS: Um-hum. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And you had mentioned along those lines that, maybe I misheard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to actually get tied into the stone section of the porous pavement and then that will make its way into an outlet structure and ultimately into the conveyance system that we use at the pad site. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. So that will definitely be managed on-site?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan entails? THE WITNESS: Um-hum. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And you had mentioned along those lines that, maybe I misheard you, but there's a new sidewalk did you mention there's a new sidewalk that has to be installed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to actually get tied into the stone section of the porous pavement and then that will make its way into an outlet structure and ultimately into the conveyance system that we use at the pad site. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. So that will definitely be managed on-site? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan entails? THE WITNESS: Um-hum. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And you had mentioned along those lines that, maybe I misheard you, but there's a new sidewalk did you mention
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to actually get tied into the stone section of the porous pavement and then that will make its way into an outlet structure and ultimately into the conveyance system that we use at the pad site. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. So that will definitely be managed on-site? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And is there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan entails? THE WITNESS: Um-hum. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And you had mentioned along those lines that, maybe I misheard you, but there's a new sidewalk did you mention there's a new sidewalk that has to be installed because DOT requested it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	earlier that you submitted a response letter. Was there anything in our joint memo that you were not able to address, are there any outstanding issues that you're aware, a more technical review? THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. As far as drainage is concerned we heard a little bit about the porous pavement, porous concrete. I think there's a mix of both that is proposed. As far as roof runoff is concerned for the actual building just describe for us briefly how that is going to be managed. THE WITNESS: So the roof runoff actually is going to be collected and it's going to actually get tied into the stone section of the porous pavement and then that will make its way into an outlet structure and ultimately into the conveyance system that we use at the pad site. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. So that will definitely be managed on-site? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	As far as the sidewalk, some of the pedestrian access around the site, back to what was just discussed previously with Ms. Sarmad, there's a new crosswalk that leaves the site, I guess in the northwesterly portion of the property, the intersection with Towne Center Road. And that crosswalk then leads to the parking lot by Raymour & Flanigan, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ENGINEER BURR: There will be a sidewalk extended, is that correct, to the parking lot and that space will be striped for pedestrian access? THE WITNESS: Yes. ENGINEER BURR: That's what the plan entails? THE WITNESS: Um-hum. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And you had mentioned along those lines that, maybe I misheard you, but there's a new sidewalk + did you mention there's a new sidewalk that has to be installed because DOT requested it? THE WITNESS: Yes, just along the

		r	
	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	50		52
1	frontage of?	1	event, you know, if someone is in the drive-thru
2	THE WITNESS: 202.	2	queue and all of a sudden there's an emergency and
3	BOARD ENGINEER: Oh. So that's not	3	they have to get out, there's an extra lane to have
4	been reflected on your plans yet?	4	that ability to be able to escape. And then there
5	THE WITNESS: No.	5	is, you know, you can kind of see it if you look
6	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. So you already	6	closely, but like an exit lane that peels off of
7	submitted to DOT? That was going to be on of my	7	that lane.
8	other questions.	8	So, again, it's just in that event you
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.	9	get, you know, accidentally stuck in line, like
10	ENGINEER BURR: So you submitted to	10	let's just say you enter the drive-thru and you
11	DOT. They offered comments back?	11	didn't mean to, you kind of have a way to get out
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.	12	before circulating the entire thing.
13	ENGINEER BURR: Was there anything	13	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. So there is
14	substantial negatively impacting this proposal or	14	I see that. So there is an access point from that
15	was it more minor details?	15	bypass lane.
16	THE WITNESS: I would say minor	16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	details, but I would also say Mr. Downey can provide	17	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. In the prior
18	more background to that.	18	version as the two drive-thru lanes traverse around
19	ENGINEER BURR: Okay, perfect. I have	19	the building to the westerly side of the building,
20	a couple more and then I'll be done here.	20	in the prior version both lanes came down to the
21	So you touched on the dumpster location	21	parking lot fronting 202.
22	near the main entrance driveway to the site and your	22	THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
23	opinion was that's the best location in terms of	23	ENGINEER BURR: In the latest revision
24	circulation and such.	24	it looks like those two lanes converge down to one
25	From an aesthetic point of view,	25	lane directly adjacent to the building.
	Z. Chaplin, P.E.		Z. Chaplin, P.E.
	51		53
1	51 however, it's front and center to that main driveway	1	53 Why was that change made?
2	51 however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done	2	53 Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at
2	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up	2	53 Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that
2 3 4	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be	2 3 4	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out
2 3 4 5	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added?	2 3 4 5	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further
2 3 4 5 6	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the	2 3 4 5 6	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of
2 3 4 5 6	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of	2 3 4 5 6 7	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction, Mr. Burr, as a condition of approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those lines the Fire Marshal, and I think you provided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction, Mr. Burr, as a condition of approval. ENGINEER BURR: In the rear of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those lines the Fire Marshal, and I think you provided some truck-turning movements, but the fire official
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction, Mr. Burr, as a condition of approval. ENGINEER BURR: In the rear of the proposed Chick-fil-A there's a bypass lane, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those lines the Fire Marshal, and I think you provided some truck-turning movements, but the fire official requested to see how the largest fire truck would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction, Mr. Burr, as a condition of approval. ENGINEER BURR: In the rear of the proposed Chick-fil-A there's a bypass lane, and I think that bypass lane existed previously but, quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those lines the Fire Marshal, and I think you provided some truck-turning movements, but the fire official
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction, Mr. Burr, as a condition of approval. ENGINEER BURR: In the rear of the proposed Chick-fil-A there's a bypass lane, and I think that bypass lane existed previously but, quite honestly, I don't recall what the purpose of it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those lines the Fire Marshal, and I think you provided some truck-turning movements, but the fire official requested to see how the largest fire truck would be able to navigate the site. And I see on your plan you show a fire truck entering the site, coming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction, Mr. Burr, as a condition of approval. ENGINEER BURR: In the rear of the proposed Chick-fil-A there's a bypass lane, and I think that bypass lane existed previously but, quite honestly, I don't recall what the purpose of it is because it just opens up to the extreme rear for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those lines the Fire Marshal, and I think you provided some truck-turning movements, but the fire official requested to see how the largest fire truck would be able to navigate the site. And I see on your plan you show a fire truck entering the site, coming around to the front of the building, but then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction, Mr. Burr, as a condition of approval. ENGINEER BURR: In the rear of the proposed Chick-fil-A there's a bypass lane, and I think that bypass lane existed previously but, quite honestly, I don't recall what the purpose of it is because it just opens up to the extreme rear for a short distance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those lines the Fire Marshal, and I think you provided some truck-turning movements, but the fire official requested to see how the largest fire truck would be able to navigate the site. And I see on your plan you show a fire truck entering the site, coming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction, Mr. Burr, as a condition of approval. ENGINEER BURR: In the rear of the proposed Chick-fil-A there's a bypass lane, and I think that bypass lane existed previously but, quite honestly, I don't recall what the purpose of it is because it just opens up to the extreme rear for a short distance. Can you remind us what that's for or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those lines the Fire Marshal, and I think you provided some truck-turning movements, but the fire official requested to see how the largest fire truck would be able to navigate the site. And I see on your plan you show a fire truck entering the site, coming around to the front of the building, but then needing to back out from there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	however, it's front and center to that main driveway off of 202/206. Is there anything that can be done or that is proposed to try to screen that, break up the view of that dumpster enclosure? Could there be some plantings added? I don't know if I didn't look at the detail close enough, but is the enclosure made of some type of aesthetically pleasing material? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a block enclosure that will match the building. And we are screening it, but we can look to even enhance that further from what's already on the plans. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we would agree to enhanced screening to your satisfaction, Mr. Burr, as a condition of approval. ENGINEER BURR: In the rear of the proposed Chick-fil-A there's a bypass lane, and I think that bypass lane existed previously but, quite honestly, I don't recall what the purpose of it is because it just opens up to the extreme rear for a short distance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Why was that change made? THE WITNESS: I think just looking at it more closely, we wanted to make sure that vehicles leaving the drive-thru when they turned out of the drive-thru that they were kind of further away so as to not impact the opposing direction of travel. So by kind of like guiding vehicles more east, they have just an easier turning movement leaving the drive-thru lane. ENGINEER BURR: And the narrowed portion of the exit is only going to be striped; it's not going to be a physical it's not going to be curbed? THE WITNESS: Correct. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And along those lines the Fire Marshal, and I think you provided some truck-turning movements, but the fire official requested to see how the largest fire truck would be able to navigate the site. And I see on your plan you show a fire truck entering the site, coming around to the front of the building, but then needing to back out from there. So is that to say that the way this is

		r	
	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 54		Z. Chaplin, P.E. 56
١.		1	add to the plans. If I just can't find it, then
1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it wouldn't have	2	just point us in the right direction.
2	the height clearance that you know, with the canopies there.	3	There is something unusual about the
3	ENGINEER BURR: Is that the limiting	4	back side of the trash enclosure along
4	_	5	Towne Center Drive. Can you just describe that
5	factor, the height of the canopies, or do the radii	6	additional box behind the refuse enclosure is? It
6	around the building not work? Do you know? THE WITNESS: I think the canopies is	7	has a door it appears.
7	the main factor. I would have to check to see if	8	THE WITNESS: Sure. So that's
8	the radius is also a factor.	9	actually a storage shed. Chick-fil-A typically
9	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And the last	10	likes to have that there just to store extra
10	question is the landscaping. Actually, you already	11	equipment, you know, separate from the trash
11	touched on the landscaping. Actually, you already	12	enclosure.
12	· -	13	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And is it
13	The lighting. I think at the prior meeting we had discussed lighting. And at closure	14	going to blend in with the trash enclosure?
14	time are the lights going to be dimmed? Can you	15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	just confirm that, I forget, was it 10:00 p.m.?	16	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. Same height?
16	Is it 10:00 p.m. closure?	17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE WITNESS: 10:00 p.m. closure.	18	PLANNER SARMAD: Same material?
18	ENGINEER BURR: So would there be any	19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	issue with say by 11:00 p.m. an hour after closure,	20	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you.
20	all the on-site lighting will be dimmed to an	21	(A brief pause in proceedings.
21	appropriate just security level?	22	(A brief pause in proceedings.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's fine.	23	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you,
24	ENGINEER BURR: Okay, very good.	24	Mr. Chairman. So we're ready to proceed forward
25	Thank you. That's it.	25	with our traffic engineer, if that's okay.
4.5			
l l		1	P. DOWNEY, P.E., PIUE
	Z. Chaplin, P.E. 55		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 57
1	55	1	·
1 2	55 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr.	1 2	57
	55	1	57 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to
2	55 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board?	2	57 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward.
2	55 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the	2	57 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously
2 3 4	55 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's	2 3 4	57 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn?
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY, P.E., PTOE, previously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY, P.E., PTOE, previously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY, P.E., PTOE, previously sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY, P.E., PTOE, previously sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign very quickly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY, P.E., PTOE, previously sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign very quickly. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY, P.E., PTOE, previously sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Downey, if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign very quickly. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good. PLANNER SARMAD: Mr. Chairman, can I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY, P.E., PTOE, previously sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Downey, if you could please place your educational background and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign very quickly. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good. PLANNER SARMAD: Mr. Chairman, can I ask can I just ask one more question? Can I ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY: PROBE, PTOE, previously sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Downey, if you could please place your educational background and professional credentials as a professional engineer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign very quickly. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good. PLANNER SARMAD: Mr. Chairman, can I ask can I just ask one more question? Can I ask one more question of the witness?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY, P.E., PTOE, previously sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Downey, if you could please place your educational background and professional credentials as a professional engineer and traffic expert, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign very quickly. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good. PLANNER SARMAD: Mr. Chairman, can I ask can I just ask one more question? Can I ask one more question of the witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure, Ms. Sarmad.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY: PTOE, previously sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Downey, if you could please place your educational background and professional credentials as a professional engineer and traffic expert, please. THE WITNESS: Sure. So I am a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign very quickly. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good. PLANNER SARMAD: Mr. Chairman, can I ask can I just ask one more question? Can I ask one more question of the witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure, Ms. Sarmad. PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry about that. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY: PTOE, previously sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Downey, if you could please place your educational background and professional credentials as a professional engineer and traffic expert, please. THE WITNESS: Sure. So I am a licensed professional engineer in the State of New
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign very quickly. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good. PLANNER SARMAD: Mr. Chairman, can I ask can I just ask one more question? Can I ask one more question of the witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure, Ms. Sarmad. PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry about that. I apologize.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY: PRECEDED FROM SWORD PREVIOUSLY SWORD, testified as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Downey, if you could please place your educational background and professional credentials as a professional engineer and traffic expert, please. THE WITNESS: Sure. So I am a licensed professional engineer in the State of New Jersey as well as Pennsylvania. I have a bachelor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr. Any questions from the board? At this time if any members of the public wish to ask questions regarding Mr. Chaplin's testimony please feel free to come forward at this time. Seeing none, I close the public portion for this witness. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chairman, can I just have 30 seconds before I call my next witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Silbert. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just wanted to talk to Mr. Chaplin about the "Welcome to Bridgewater" sign very quickly. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good. PLANNER SARMAD: Mr. Chairman, can I ask can I just ask one more question? Can I ask one more question of the witness? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sure, Ms. Sarmad. PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry about that. I apologize. In looking for the refuse enclosure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please feel free to bring him forward. ATTORNEY PECK: Was he previously sworn? PATRICK DOWNEY: I believe I was, yes. ATTORNEY PECK: If you could just state and spell your name, for the record. PATRICK DOWNEY: Sure. Patrick Downey, D-O-W-N-E-Y. PATRICK DOWNEY: PROE, previously sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Downey, if you could please place your educational background and professional credentials as a professional engineer and traffic expert, please. THE WITNESS: Sure. So I am a licensed professional engineer in the State of New Jersey as well as Pennsylvania. I have a bachelor of science degree in civil engineering from Rowan

	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	58		60
1	I've testified before dozens of other	1	being improved fairly significantly. Based on
2	Land Use Boards around New Jersey in the field of	2	feedback from DOT sidewalks being added for the
3	traffic engineering.	3	Chick-fil-A parcel along both 202 and Towne Center
4	ATTORNEY PECK: Your license is still in	4	Road.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.	5	That also provides connectivity both to
6	ATTORNEY PECK: good standing?	6	the traffic signal, which has some new crosswalks
7	THE WITNESS: Good standing, yes.	7	recently installed by DOT, as well as to the Raymour
8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Does the board have	8	& Flanigan parking lot to the north, which provides
9	any objections to Mr. Downey's qualifications?	9	the ability to have employees park there and leave
10	Please proceed.	10	our most desirable parking spaces close to the
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	11	building open for customers.
12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So, Mr. Downey, you	12	There is a new New Jersey DOT Access
13	heard some of the comments from the last hearing.	13	Permit required for this application. It's just
14	You were in attendance at the last hearing and you	14	simply triggered by the change in use on a project
15	obviously heard some of the comments tonight.	15	that fronts the state highway. We had a
16	You prepared a traffic study for a	16	pre-application meeting with DOT. We've submitted our application and received one round of comments.
17	major access application; is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct.	17 18	There was really nothing significant in it from
1.8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And would you mind	19	their perspective.
19	just kind of diving into what you looked at and	20	Again, it's about as simple an
20	observed on the site. You have been to the property	21	application as you could get being that there's no
22	before?	22	driveway modifications on this existing, fully
23	THE WITNESS: I have, yes.	23	developed shopping center.
24	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Why don't you tell	24	Moving onto the traffic study that was
25	us what you have observed, existing conditions and	25	submitted as part of the application, really to
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
			P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 61
1	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE	1	••
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 59	1 2	61
1	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 59 getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on		review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be
1 2	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 59 getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to	2 3 4	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is
1 2 3	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 59 getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the	2	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those
1 2 3 4	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 59 getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through	2 3 4 5 6	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation
1 2 3 4 5	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 59 getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward	2 3 4 5 6 7	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We also have a supplemental unsignalized right-turn	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've heard already shared parking is an ability for this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We also have a supplemental unsignalized right-turn ingress driveway to the shopping center to the west	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've heard already shared parking is an ability for this site. There's also a factor called internal
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	p. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We also have a supplemental unsignalized right-turn ingress driveway to the shopping center to the west of the screen, and a right-out unsignalized driveway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've heard already shared parking is an ability for this site. There's also a factor called internal capturing, essentially meaning that some of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	p. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We also have a supplemental unsignalized right-turn ingress driveway to the shopping center to the west of the screen, and a right-out unsignalized driveway to the east closer to the Chick-fil-A building	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've heard already shared parking is an ability for this site. There's also a factor called internal capturing, essentially meaning that some of the Chick-fil-A customers are coming from the other uses
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We also have a supplemental unsignalized right-turn ingress driveway to the shopping center to the west of the screen, and a right-out unsignalized driveway to the east closer to the Chick-fil-A building itself.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've heard already shared parking is an ability for this site. There's also a factor called internal capturing, essentially meaning that some of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	p. Downey, P.E., PTOE getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We also have a supplemental unsignalized right-turn ingress driveway to the shopping center to the west of the screen, and a right-out unsignalized driveway to the east closer to the Chick-fil-A building	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've heard already shared parking is an ability for this site. There's also a factor called internal capturing, essentially meaning that some of the Chick-fil-A customers are coming from the other uses within the site, both other patrons of other stores,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We also have a supplemental unsignalized right-turn ingress driveway to the shopping center to the west of the screen, and a right-out unsignalized driveway to the east closer to the Chick-fil-A building itself. There's no proposed driveway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've heard already shared parking is an ability for this site. There's also a factor called internal capturing, essentially meaning that some of the Chick-fil-A customers are coming from the other uses within the site, both other patrons of other stores, employees and vice-versa.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We also have a supplemental unsignalized right-turn ingress driveway to the shopping center to the west of the screen, and a right-out unsignalized driveway to the east closer to the Chick-fil-A building itself. There's no proposed driveway modifications as part of this project. So in terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've heard already shared parking is an ability for this site. There's also a factor called internal capturing, essentially meaning that some of the Chick-fil-A customers are coming from the other uses within the site, both other patrons of other stores, employees and vice-versa. Additionally, the nature of a fast food
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	getting to the proposal here. THE WITNESS: Sure. So we're really charged with two things as the traffic engineer on the application, one is to review traffic impact to the adjacent roadway network, and one to ensure the internal site circulation parking drive-through configuration is acceptable. So I'll start outward and work my way in. As you know and as you've heard before in the prior testimony, we're in a fully developed existing shopping center. We're really just repurposing one pad site fronting 202. The existing access configuration is fairly robust. We have a serious signalized intersection providing full-movement access. We also have a supplemental unsignalized right-turn ingress driveway to the shopping center to the west of the screen, and a right-out unsignalized driveway to the east closer to the Chick-fil-A building itself. There's no proposed driveway modifications as part of this project. So in terms of vehicular access there's really no change. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	review traffic impacts to the adjacent roadway network. It's kind of a two-step process. First, we look at the traffic to be generated by this new proposed development. That is projected utilizing the NJDOT accepted rates. Those are adopted from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, which is the industry standards for trip-generation projections. A couple of things that are important to note. Number one, it's not an increase over vacant land. There is an existing restaurant developed on the site currently, although it is currently vacant. It's also located within a shopping center, which provides some advantages. You've heard already shared parking is an ability for this site. There's also a factor called internal capturing, essentially meaning that some of the Chick-fil-A customers are coming from the other uses within the site, both other patrons of other stores, employees and vice-versa. Additionally, the nature of a fast food restaurant, quick service restaurant like this, is a

P. Downey, P.E., PTOE P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 64 62 that's going to have to shift somewhere else. as pass-by trips. Those are trips that are already 1 Does that run the risk of, for lack of on the adjacent roads that choose to drop in, make a 2 a better phrase, aggravating every other turn and, purchase, and continue on their way, between home 3 you know -- you know, traffic going just straight and work, things of that nature. It's not all new 4 through 202, or in either direction and all the traffic to the roadway network itself. 5 other turning movements? 6 Next up we conduct existing traffic 6 7 THE WITNESS: It's a great question. counts to establish existing baseline conditions 7 You hit the nail on the head, there's only so much along the highway, and then analyze the operation of 8 time in a given hour to distribute between the the driveway intersections in the before and after 9 9 10 conditions to assess the differences. various approaches. 10 Because this is subject to DOT review 11 In this particular case it wasn't so 11 much that we were taking, you know, seconds of green 12 and approval we're actually held to their -- a 12 time away from 202 to improve the driveway, in this 13 13 little bit more strict level of service degradation particular case we were actually improving -- we're criteria, essentially meaning you could only degrade 14 14 going to get real technical here -- it's called the things so far before you need to make some 15 1.5 vehicle extension. Essentially what it means is how 16 improvements. 16 far spaced can cars be before the signal determines As is documented in the traffic study 17 17 there's no one else coming and start to initiate the 18 we analyzed all three driveways and their criteria 18 red light to give priority back to 202. 19 is satisfied in all three. 19 In essence, it allows, as long as A little bit more specifically, at the 20 20 there is continued demand on the Town Center road 21 21 signalized intersection itself we actually 22 identified a signal timing improvement, essentially 22 approach, it will just allow it to stay green a little bit more efficiently than it does today. changing the timing of the light to provide a little 23 23 The net result is, in any given peak 24 bit more priority to Towne Center Road to make it 24 hour there will be a little bit more green time 25 easier to exit the site not just for Chick-fil-A 25 P. Downey, P.E., PTOE P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 65 63 allocated to Towne Center Road and that's why, you customers but for the center as a whole. 1 know, that's why the results improved for that 2 With those improvements it's actually 2 approach. So the result is some fewer seconds of going to show reduced delays on that exiting 3 green time to 202, but it's all within DOT's level 4 approach compared to the No-Build condition, so even 4 with the additional traffic from Chick-fil-A, it's of service criteria. 5 5 б Their priority is obviously to make more efficient to exit the site in the proposed 6 sure that the highway continues to flow efficiently. condition based on those improvements. 7 7 They would not allow us to, you know, make it So all together it's our professional 8 8 9 significantly worse on 202. opinion that that traffic study more than 9 demonstrates there's not a significant traffic 10 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So is it accurate 10 11 to say it would be different than today clearly, but impact to the adjacent roadway network from this 11 still within a standard to make all the other 12 particular project. 12 motions within acceptable range. 13 13 This report, in addition to being THE WITNESS: Correct. To the average submitted here, has been submitted to DOT and was 14 14 15 driver it would be an imperceptible difference, yes. 15 part of their review. Essentially no comments were COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And the 16 generated from DOT's Division of Traffic Engineering 16 reason I ask this question -- and the reason I ask on that report. Their only substantive comment was 17 17 all of these questions, you know, is out of a requesting a signal timing directive showing how 18 18 general concern about building something that is this new timing that we identified would be 19 19 going to be successful, is going to be safe and, you 20 implemented at the intersection. 20

21

22

So it sounds like a very good solution 23 for people leaving the shopping complex, but, you 24 know, there's only so much air in the balloon so 25

I just ask a question on this point.

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, could

that's a quick light, if I don't make it I'm goingto wait longer, you know, that can sometimes create

a little impatient, you know if people realize, oh,

know, my concern around changing the timing on that

light, you know we're all from New Jersey, we're all

	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 68
1	aggressive behaviors that can sometimes, you know,	1	The other hours of the week, other hours of the day
2	then create danger and then create, you know, either	2	are significantly better.
3	just create problems.	3	So while each approach to the signal
4	THE WITNESS: Agreed. You know, their	4	might be allotted up to I'll make up a number, up
5	chief charge as the DOT is to make sure their	5	to 30 seconds of green time if it needs it, during
	highway system flows efficiently and safely. You	6	off-peak hours it simply won't need it and that
6 7	know, it's an important part of what we look at too	7	green time just reverts to 202. It's not going to,
		8	
8	just as an engineer, we have a responsibility to	_	you know, arbitrarily give green to approaches for
9	consider those factors.	9	longer than they need it during the lower volume
10	I will say these signal timing	10	times.
11	adjustments we're talking about are fairly minor,	11	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: I have a follow-up
12	it's not, you know, a night-and-day shift here. You	12	question actually for what our councilman previously
13	know, a couple of seconds over the course of an hour	13	asked probably you about it, the exit one. Well I
14	trying to process hundreds of vehicles, it does add	14	always use the exit that way, you know, even though
15	up to a more efficient flow without really changing	15	I know that there's another exit there by hardly
16	the character of the intersection.	16	I hardly use that one and nobody use, actually
17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. But even a	17	hardly. I see one or two cars use it.
18	couple seconds gets a few more cars through the part	18	So how are you just give from your
19	of the intersection that we're concerned about here.	19	expert point of view how do you basically control
20	THE WITNESS: True, right, but on a	20	that exit, because really that's a dangerous exit
21	green in proper time to be coming through, correct.	21	on, you know, to the Towne Center Road while coming
22	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Chairman, I have a	22	out that actually many people miss it because
23	question.	23	there's one lane coming this way and two lanes going
24	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: On that point, sure.	24	this way, so basically actually three lanes.
25			So now ac an expert nacically control
23	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: So you made some	25	So how, as an expert, basically control
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67	23	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69
1	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67	1	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
1	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67	1	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A,
1 2	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is	1 2	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road?
1 2 3	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.)	1 2 3	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a
1 2 3 4	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done	1 2 3 4	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there.
1 2 3 4 5	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time.	1 2 3 4 5	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria
1 2 3 4 5	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like.	1 2 3 4 5	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection	1 2 3 4 5 6	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because traffic patterns are different in the morning than	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because traffic patterns are different in the morning than they are in the evening and different on Saturdays and Sundays.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because traffic patterns are different in the morning than they are in the evening and different on Saturdays and Sundays. So we did tweak, you know, the ones	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because traffic patterns are different in the morning than they are in the evening and different on Saturdays and Sundays. So we did tweak, you know, the ones that were in the critical peak hours which DOT	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 67 adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because traffic patterns are different in the morning than they are in the evening and different on Saturdays and Sundays. So we did tweak, you know, the ones that were in the critical peak hours which DOT considers to be the morning commuting peak, evening	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection. During the peak-peak times, if it ever does back up through the intersection, the benefit
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because traffic patterns are different in the morning than they are in the evening and different on Saturdays and Sundays. So we did tweak, you know, the ones that were in the critical peak hours which DOT considers to be the morning commuting peak, evening commuting peak and Saturday midday, you know,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 69 that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection. During the peak-peak times, if it ever does back up through the intersection, the benefit here is that that queue is being generated at a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because traffic patterns are different in the morning than they are in the evening and different on Saturdays and Sundays. So we did tweak, you know, the ones that were in the critical peak hours which DOT considers to be the morning commuting peak, evening commuting peak and Saturday midday, you know, shopping peak.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection. During the peak-peak times, if it ever does back up through the intersection, the benefit here is that that queue is being generated at a traffic signal which then gives the green light to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because traffic patterns are different in the morning than they are in the evening and different on Saturdays and Sundays. So we did tweak, you know, the ones that were in the critical peak hours which DOT considers to be the morning commuting peak, evening commuting peak and Saturday midday, you know, shopping peak. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That is not only	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection. During the peak-peak times, if it ever does back up through the intersection, the benefit here is that that queue is being generated at a traffic signal which then gives the green light to that approach. So it's not a static queue, it's a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE adjustment is basically done (Stenographer clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, adjustment is basically done for the peak hours, not all the time. Because those are I don't think it is done specific, like. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so there's different time of day plans for the intersection depending on the day of the week, the hours of each day. There are several that DOT implements because traffic patterns are different in the morning than they are in the evening and different on Saturdays and Sundays. So we did tweak, you know, the ones that were in the critical peak hours which DOT considers to be the morning commuting peak, evening commuting peak and Saturday midday, you know, shopping peak.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection. During the peak-peak times, if it ever does back up through the intersection, the benefit here is that that queue is being generated at a traffic signal which then gives the green light to that approach. So it's not a static queue, it's a queue that then gets to clear every minute, two
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE adjustment is basically done	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection. During the peak-peak times, if it ever does back up through the intersection, the benefit here is that that queue is being generated at a traffic signal which then gives the green light to that approach. So it's not a static queue, it's a queue that then gets to clear every minute, two minutes, whatever the signal timing is at that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE adjustment is basically done	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection. During the peak-peak times, if it ever does back up through the intersection, the benefit here is that that queue is being generated at a traffic signal which then gives the green light to that approach. So it's not a static queue, it's a queue that then gets to clear every minute, two minutes, whatever the signal timing is at that particular time of day, and that leaves a gap that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE adjustment is basically done	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection. During the peak-peak times, if it ever does back up through the intersection, the benefit here is that that queue is being generated at a traffic signal which then gives the green light to that approach. So it's not a static queue, it's a queue that then gets to clear every minute, two minutes, whatever the signal timing is at that particular time of day, and that leaves a gap that then the vehicles exiting all the sites, including
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE adjustment is basically done	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE that exit from the center, from the Chick-fil-A, basically that road? THE WITNESS: Sure. So there's a couple of things to consider there. Number one, DOT actually has criteria for how far back the first internal drive aisle intersection should be from the state highway. Their requirement is fairly low, it's only about 50 feet, enough for two vehicles to queue based on the significant nature of this intersection. This one is close to 200 feet. I think it's about 190. So there's stacking for seven to eight vehicles per lane from the signal before you even reach this intersection. During the peak-peak times, if it ever does back up through the intersection, the benefit here is that that queue is being generated at a traffic signal which then gives the green light to that approach. So it's not a static queue, it's a queue that then gets to clear every minute, two minutes, whatever the signal timing is at that particular time of day, and that leaves a gap that

	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	70		72
1	intersection because there's the two left turn lanes	1	gives the numbers a little bit higher.
2	and the one right turn, that right turn lane	2	So it's a bit apples to oranges
3	actually has a little bit higher, you know, demand	3	resulting in an even greater difference than is
4	on it since it doesn't have two to distribute it.	4	probably realistic.
5	Right turns out are the movement that our particular	5	MEMBER ATKINS: So if you had to give
6	location is a little bit better equipped to handle	6	an estimate, I mean how many cars per hour does this
7	because we have the right-out driveway more closely	7	look like?
8	located to this particular pad.	8	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, say that one
9	So if it ever is at the point where any	9	more time?
10	customer, perhaps you, finds it too inconvenient to	10	MEMBER ATKINS: If you had to give
11	wait to turn out to Towne Center Road to make a	11	some kind of estimate? THE WITNESS: For what this
12	right out to 202 south, you have the easy fallback	12	MEMBER ATKINS: The difference.
13	option of using the unsignalized driveway.	13	THE WITNESS: Use generates?
14	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: I will see it once	14	So I'll give you three. So morning,
15	you build it. Thank you.	15	evening, Saturday peak hours. In terms of total
16	MEMBER ATKINS: Mr. Chair, I just have	16 17	trips, 255, 257, 276. So between 250 and 300 trips.
17	a couple of questions on these points.	18	I'll note a trip in traffic
18	So how are these projections determined? Do you monitor the actual traffic flow	19	engineering is one-way traffic. So if you come in
19	of the site, or are these based on some kind of	20	and later come out, that's two trips.
20		21	So for roughly 260 trips that's about
21	generic algorithm? THE WITNESS: So, I guess, a	22	130, 150 customers.
22	combination of factors. In terms of the existing	23	MEMBER ATKINS: And that's the
23	traffic on the roads, that we actually go out and	24	difference or are these the projections?
24	count what is there in the existing condition.	25	THE WITNESS: That's the Chick-fil-A
23		-	
	P. Downey, P.E., PIOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 71		73
1	•	1	• •
1 2	71	1 2	73
	71 For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which		of its own right using DOT rates.
2	71 For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and	2	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay.
2	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon	2	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than
2 3 4	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with	2 3 4	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head.
2 3 4 5	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on,	2 3 4 5	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple
2 3 4 5 6	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what	2 3 4 5 6	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume	2 3 4 5 6 7	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section — a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based use.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section — a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202 frontage?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based use. As far as DOT projections go, we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202 frontage? MEMBER ATKINS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based use. As far as DOT projections go, we're actually fairly conservative in this report in terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202 frontage? MEMBER ATKINS: Yes. THE WITNESS: At the moment not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based use. As far as DOT projections go, we're actually fairly conservative in this report in terms of what that difference will be. DOT allows	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202 frontage? MEMBER ATKINS: Yes. THE WITNESS: At the moment not a whole lot. The most important part it's being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based use. As far as DOT projections go, we're actually fairly conservative in this report in terms of what that difference will be. DOT allows sit-down restaurants to be lumped together in square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202 frontage? MEMBER ATKINS: Yes. THE WITNESS: At the moment not a whole lot. The most important part it's being constructed as part of this project is the sidewalk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based use. As far as DOT projections go, we're actually fairly conservative in this report in terms of what that difference will be. DOT allows sit-down restaurants to be lumped together in square footage with an overall shopping center, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202 frontage? MEMBER ATKINS: Yes. THE WITNESS: At the moment not a whole lot. The most important part it's being constructed as part of this project is the sidewalk connection from the intersection, which DOT recently
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based use. As far as DOT projections go, we're actually fairly conservative in this report in terms of what that difference will be. DOT allows sit-down restaurants to be lumped together in square footage with an overall shopping center, which generates at a little bit lower rate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202 frontage? MEMBER ATKINS: Yes. THE WITNESS: At the moment not a whole lot. The most important part it's being constructed as part of this project is the sidewalk connection from the intersection, which DOT recently improved to our building, so there's a safe path for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based use. As far as DOT projections go, we're actually fairly conservative in this report in terms of what that difference will be. DOT allows sit-down restaurants to be lumped together in square footage with an overall shopping center, which generates at a little bit lower rate. They do not allow us to do that for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202 frontage? MEMBER ATKINS: Yes. THE WITNESS: At the moment not a whole lot. The most important part it's being constructed as part of this project is the sidewalk connection from the intersection, which DOT recently improved to our building, so there's a safe path for those pedestrians.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	For the proposed Chick-fil-A, which obviously doesn't exist yet, but the DOT rates and the ITE rates that they are based on is based upon counts at other fast food restaurants with drive-throughs aggregated to create rates based on, you know, the size, the location, et cetera of the site. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And so what would be the difference in peak traffic volume between the former occupant and your projections? THE WITNESS: I don't have that number specifically. I would say that Chick-fil-A is obviously higher, right. So it's smaller of a building but it's a higher generating use, you know, which it ought to be being a more convenience based use. As far as DOT projections go, we're actually fairly conservative in this report in terms of what that difference will be. DOT allows sit-down restaurants to be lumped together in square footage with an overall shopping center, which generates at a little bit lower rate. They do not allow us to do that for a use with a drive-thru. We actually have to break	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of its own right using DOT rates. MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. THE WITNESS: So it would be less than that in terms of difference. I just don't have that specific number to give to you off the top of my head. MEMBER ATKINS: And then just a couple other, I guess, miscellaneous questions. What purpose does the sidewalk on 202 serve? I understand if it comes on the western side and goes down to a section a crosswalk to the other side of the parking lot, but I guess just specifically that extension on 202. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a great question. You're saying the sidewalk along the 202 frontage? MEMBER ATKINS: Yes. THE WITNESS: At the moment not a whole lot. The most important part it's being constructed as part of this project is the sidewalk connection from the intersection, which DOT recently improved to our building, so there's a safe path for

P. Downey, P.E., PTOE P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 76 74 So, you know, I guess, you know, I'm as different redevelopments happen, eventually 1 concerned about that, that movement, you know, which there's a contiquous sidewalk network but for the 2 will then lead to having to make the left from that moment doesn't really go anywhere. 3 3 MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. And just one unnamed road to Towne Center Road, which is not 4 4 terrific. You know, even if you adjust the timing other thing. I guess on the future exit, I guess 5 at the traffic light, it's a rough spot. when you're going 202 south, the exit that you would 6 б And then I guess I'm also interested reach before getting to the Towne Center Road 7 7 intersection, and then the entrance to the Wegman's in, you know, your look at this site and your 8 quidance on how many people who are continuing 202 parking lot; would it be beneficial or feasible q South might over time realize wait a minute, I could 10 10 possibly to reverse one of those, to divert the make this right, make another right, well, shoot, 11 traffic flow? 11 I'm going to hit the traffic light, but I guess I'm 12 Is that anything that you looked at? 12 going to hit the traffic light either way. I guess 13 THE WITNESS: To flip the direction of 13 I'm just interested in your expectation of human those driveways? That's not something we really 14 14 behavior here. 15 considered. 15 And I want to just take a step back and I will say early on we did look at the 16 16 state I'm sure nobody wants these traffic movements 17 17 potential of turning the exit-only driveway closer to be more successful than Chick-fil-A because if to us to an entrance and an exit so you could make 18 18 it's -- if this turns out to be not that successful the right in prior to the signal. But as you would 19 19 and, you know, a pain in the rear for people to come 20 figure the right in isn't really the movement that 20 in and out of this site, the location is not going 21 21 has any trouble, it's getting out that is a little 22 more challenging, so it didn't show a whole lot of to be nearly as successful. 22 So, you know, I ask these questions 23 23 benefit. from the standpoint of safety, from the standpoint 24 I think for our particular location and 24 of, you know, our motoring public, understanding 25 our project, having that as the existing right out 25 P. Downey, P.E., PTOE P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 77 75 that Chick-fil-A likely has the same or even more is actually more beneficial because getting out is concern than I have. what needs a little bit of help. 2 So if I could just -- and I don't know 3 MEMBER ATKINS: Okay. Thank you. 3 that there's a precise answer until you build it, CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Councilman Kirsh, 4 but I would like your guidance, you know, 5 did you have any follow-up? 5 particularly on, you know, the left-left situation, 6 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. You know, 6 as well as your assessment of whether people who are I'm satisfied with the conversation about the 7 7 continuing -- who are continuing onto 202 South are 8 traffic light. You know, I'm still interested in 8 going to over time going to realize, wait a minute, your insights into what you expect the real driver 9 9 10 it's a few more feet but let me loop this way and behavior to be, you know. And yeah, I guess it 10 avoid a little bit of the logjam. depends on which way you want to go; if you're 11 11 THE WITNESS: Right. Yeah, I can leaving your site and you want to -- I hope I get 12 12 definitely address that. And while the traffic my directions right -- you want to come toward the 13 13 study, you know, by its very nature is very circle, so you want to go on 202 north, you really 14 14 technical, it's very numbers based, the root of it have no choice but to, you know, go back to Towne 15 15 is driver behavior. Chief among those factors being Center Road, otherwise there would be U-turns, there 16 16 that by and large customers are going to choose to 17 would be all kinds of crazy stuff. 17 follow the path of least resistance, right. It's You know, I guess I'm -- you know, I 1.8 18 would like some conversation about the queuing that like water, it's going to take the easiest path to 19 19 20 get from A to B. is likely, you know, I guess I'll say behind your 20 building, I guess it's an unnamed road, you know, 21 For, if you want to go back to 202 21 North, the easiest solution is to make the left out and how that left turn, you know, could become 22 22 of our site, left onto Towne Center Road and use the problematic and then people become frustrated and 23 23 signal. There's two left turn lanes provided to then, you know -- you know, cars don't necessarily 24 24 make that left turn movement for a reason, because 25 yield to each other. 25

P. Downey, P.E., PTOE P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 80 78 they're parked over in the Raymour & Flanigan that's the way to get all of the shopping center 1 parking lot to begin with, so for them it's a much traffic, including Chick-fil-A, out of the site. 2 easier choice to, you know, go a little bit further During the peak times, if the queues 3 3 up stream to access Towne Center for sure. from the signal are backing up past that location, 4 4 But for customers in our pad, it may 5 it's not indefinite, the green light does alleviate 5 happen, but I don't think it's going to very 6 that and allow the queue to clear to provide space 6 7 7 for you to turn into. So while there might be a frequent. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And well then I 8 period of waiting at the internal intersection 8 guess, you know, over time people will realize, you before you get to Towne Center Road, it's only a 9 9 know, for the person and the family that's going to 10 matter of time until the light goes green to Towne 10 Home Depot and they're going to Chick-fil-A, they're 11 Center Road and gives you room to turn into. 11 going to figure out which movement is easier, do I It's also a bit easier to turn into the 12 12 eat first and then I go to Home Depot, or do I go to 13 Towne Center Road approach to make the left at the 13 14 signal because those are the closer lanes to you, Home Depot first and then I get lunch, right. 14 15 it's the ones you naturally turn into. It would be THE WITNESS: Yep, exactly. 15 16 a little more difficult to turn into the right turn 16 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I mean is that what 17 likely develops over time. 17 Jane to exit to 202 South because you need to go THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean that across two lanes to the third lane, right here where 18 18 certainly is something I do just as, you know, 19 19 I'm describing. someone driving around, hitting two uses in the same 20 In terms of the right turns out of the 20 21 site to use the unsignalized driveway, I think, to 21 center or even not. 22 your point, the more customers figure that out, the COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. 22 23 THE WITNESS: You know you hit the gas better. It's essentially a pressure release valve 23 station that's easier whether it's going to work or 24 24 for the signal. And when it comes to the point that 25 from home. that is the more convenient option for customers, 25 P. Downey, P.E., PTOE P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 79 81 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And this is not -some are going to choose to use it. 1 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Let me ask you this 2 this is a little bit adjacent but yeah, again, this 2 is through the lens of I'm sure Chick-fil-A wants 3 because I know we've all figured out our shortcuts 3 and long cuts in this complex as it is, what works 4 this traffic situation to be wildly successful. 4 Is there any insight into whether or and what doesn't work. Would you expect any number 5 5 not there are time peaks at the Verizon store? of people -- any significant number of people to, 6 6 7 THE WITNESS: You're saying like an like, you know, you make the right out onto that 7 unnamed road, you then make a left, to go down by overlap in peak times between the Verizon store and 8 8 the furniture store, you kind of loop around so that 9 this store? 9 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, obviously 10 you have an easier time getting to Towne Center Road 10 11 mealtime is when people go to Chick-fil-A, right. even though it's more circuitous, is that logical 11 THE WITNESS: Right. 12 behavior that people might adopt. 12 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And I know they've You know, essentially to avoid that 13 13 extended the mealtimes and, you know, that's left-left, I'm going to make a right and kind of 14 14 loop around, but then I'm going to be in -- I'm 15 certainly a testament to their success. 15 16 You know, is there a such thing as a 16 going to have a better shot of getting out of here 17 peak time or a non-peak time at, like, a Verizon because I'm not going to get stuck at the 17 18 store? grid-locked spot. 18 THE WITNESS: There may be. I would 19 19 THE WITNESS: It's a possibility. I expect, like most you know freestanding retail, it's don't find it all that likely just given driver 20 20 21 probably the evening, when people are off of work or behavior, that's quite a circuitous way out of your 21 way, but it's certainly an option available to them. 22 Saturday when there's a lot more shopping happening. 22 So there may be some overlap with the Chick-fil-A 23 I think that might be a little more 23 time. I would say the overall volume there is going 24 24 used, let's say, for the employees. Say there's you

25

know a shift change or something at a peak time,

25

to be notably less than what Chick-fil-A generates.

i .	B Downey DE DTOF	· · · · · ·	P Downey DE PTOE
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 82		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 84
1	I don't see any real concern between	1	are even less, we're seeing an average peak queue of about 20 vehicles. So, you know, almost a third
2	overlap of those two. There's really an overlap	2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
3	between lots of uses at the site that are retail in	3	less. So while this can stack 29, we believe it's more than sufficient just within the formal
4	nature.	5	drive-thru lanes to stack the demand that's
5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right, those are my questions. Thank you.	6	expected, not even taking into consideration
6		7	additional overflow that is available within our
7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm sorry, Mr. Downey, did you have any further testimony?	8	parking lot drive aisles if absolutely necessary.
8	THE WITNESS: I had a little bit, just	9	Last I'll just touch on loading. I
	kind of internal to the site, specific to the	10	believe it was mentioned at the last meeting but it
10	drive-thru.	11	bears repeating. The deliveries from
12	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I have a few	12	tractor-trailers are overnight. That's by design.
1	questions, but if you would like to go ahead and	13	That's a Chick-fil-A standard. They don't want it
13	finish, please feel free.	14	interfering with on-site circulation. And at that
14 15	THE WITNESS: Whatever you prefer.	15	time the tractor-trailers have free reign of the
	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please go ahead.	16	site. That's why there's no formal striped loading
16 17	THE WITNESS: Okay. I won't belabor	17	space proposed.
18	the point on parking. As you heard before we have	18	And that's really all I have. Thank
19	compliant parking count with additional provision	19	you.
20	for the employees to the north, which leaves the	20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We talked a lot
21	most effective parking spaces open for the	21	about the traffic impact on the road network
22	customers.	22	adjacent to the site. We talked a bit about the
23	In terms of the drive-thru specifically	23	internal site, but I am a little bit concerned about
24	I want to highlight two things: Number 1, is the	24	the impact on the site itself, right.
25	actual design of it; Number 2 is the size.	25	I recently visited the location in
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	83		85
1	First being the design, you know, it's	1	Flemington on 202 and mind you it's a different
2	becoming a little bit more common in the quick-serve	2	site, different operation completely, but I was able
3	industry to have two drive-thru lanes at an ordering	3	to see the two the dual-lane drive-thru I think
4	point that then merge to one for pickup. This is a	1	
I _		4	in action, right. And mind you I believe it's
5	little bit unique to Chick-fil-A, at least for now	4 5	in action, right. And mind you I believe it's probably not completely completed yet as far as the
5 6	little bit unique to Chick-fil-A, at least for now with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a	'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_	•	5	probably not completely completed yet as far as the
6	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a	5 6	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a
6 7	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that	5 6 7	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for
6 7 8	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and	5 6 7 8	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me.
6 7 8 9	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once.	5 6 7 8 9	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an
6 7 8 9 10	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID	5 6 7 8 9	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and
6 7 8 9 10 11	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more	5 6 7 8 9 10	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues at a manageable level.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the consumer.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues at a manageable level. When you look at research counts that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the consumer. Would you say this is a change in the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues at a manageable level. When you look at research counts that have been done at other Chick-fil-A restaurants	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the consumer. Would you say this is a change in the company's operations post-COVID?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues at a manageable level. When you look at research counts that have been done at other Chick-fil-A restaurants throughout New Jersey, some of which have one lane,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the consumer. Would you say this is a change in the company's operations post-COVID? THE WITNESS: I think it was already
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues at a manageable level. When you look at research counts that have been done at other Chick-fil-A restaurants throughout New Jersey, some of which have one lane, some have two, et cetera, the average queue we've	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the consumer. Would you say this is a change in the company's operations post-COVID? THE WITNESS: I think it was already in the pipeline being tested prior to COVID,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues at a manageable level. When you look at research counts that have been done at other Chick-fil-A restaurants throughout New Jersey, some of which have one lane, some have two, et cetera, the average queue we've seen at peak times is 27 vehicles where as this site	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the consumer. Would you say this is a change in the company's operations post-COVID? THE WITNESS: I think it was already in the pipeline being tested prior to COVID, certainly not a direct response.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues at a manageable level. When you look at research counts that have been done at other Chick-fil-A restaurants throughout New Jersey, some of which have one lane, some have two, et cetera, the average queue we've seen at peak times is 27 vehicles where as this site can stack 29 just in the formal concrete drive-thru	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the consumer. Would you say this is a change in the company's operations post-COVID? THE WITNESS: I think it was already in the pipeline being tested prior to COVID, certainly not a direct response. From what I understand, anecdotally,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues at a manageable level. When you look at research counts that have been done at other Chick-fil-A restaurants throughout New Jersey, some of which have one lane, some have two, et cetera, the average queue we've seen at peak times is 27 vehicles where as this site can stack 29 just in the formal concrete drive-thru lanes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the consumer. Would you say this is a change in the company's operations post-COVID? THE WITNESS: I think it was already in the pipeline being tested prior to COVID, certainly not a direct response. From what I understand, anecdotally, the stores that were already set up testing this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with the dual-fulfillment model, where instead of a traditional drive-through window there's a door that allows the team members to actually walk out and deliver food to two cars at once. What they've found since really COVID when this was being tested is that it's a lot more efficient, handles the queue better and actually helps them, you know exit the site and keeps queues at a manageable level. When you look at research counts that have been done at other Chick-fil-A restaurants throughout New Jersey, some of which have one lane, some have two, et cetera, the average queue we've seen at peak times is 27 vehicles where as this site can stack 29 just in the formal concrete drive-thru lanes. We've actually recently collected some	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	probably not completely completed yet as far as the traffic management goes over there, but I found it a bit exhausting. And maybe you can clear this up for me. But at that location there's an entrance and I believe there's an entrance and there's also an egress. There's two ways in and out of that site. You talk about the behavior or Councilman Kirsh talked about the behavioral of the consumer. Would you say this is a change in the company's operations post-COVID? THE WITNESS: I think it was already in the pipeline being tested prior to COVID, certainly not a direct response. From what I understand, anecdotally, the stores that were already set up testing this were able to handle the influx of traffic they had

86

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17

18

20

21

22

23

right.

opportunity to see that site before it was the dual-lane drive-thru and now afterwards and you 2 could definitely feel as though it's very much a 3 drive-thru experience. 4

P. Downey, P.E., PTOE

So I, for example, that day I drove in, 6 I parked the car, I did not use the drive-thru. But there was definitely a lot of traffic on that site as far as the drive-thru. So definitely a drive-thru experience.

8

9

10

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm just curious, looking at this site 11 it's a different site altogether so we're going to focus on here. But was there any reason why an additional exit point wasn't considered?

Especially given the fact that there's 15 -- there's quite a few vehicles on the site at one 16 time at its busiest, at its peak. There are probably quite a few vehicles more on this one smaller site than there is on some of the other sites on this greater Bridgewater center here.

THE WITNESS: Sure. I would say in 21 terms of the ability to add a second point of access to this pad specifically from the internal shopping center roadway network, we're a bit limited simply by the nature of the drive-thru. You, obviously, can't have access punching through that, and that

P. Downey, P.E., PTOE

87

takes up three sides of the building.

So when you locate the building what we feel is the optimal position in terms of drive-thru stacking, really only leaves one option.

I will say compared to a stand-alone

site, let's say, an independently developed 6 Chick-fil-A on a state highway, you may be more inclined to look for additional points of ingress and egress simply just to disperse the activity. In this particular location while the pad has one access point it's to, you know, a fairly low-volume internal access aisle in a shopping center that then provides access to multiple points of ingress and egress.

So I think the intent of that is still sort of provided.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I believe you answered the question before but -- forgive me if you did. The board engineer, Mr. Burr, asked why those two lanes were limited down to one ultimately on the exit. Is that because of the traffic control situation, to relieve the queue so to speak? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it really comes

down to efficiency. For this particular location anyone coming out of the drive-thru is turning

In some other designs that's not always the case, sometimes that bottom drive aisle

continues to additional locations and you might have 5 rights and lefts where having two separate lanes actually provides a little bit more benefit. We 6

don't really need that here because everyone is going in the same direction. 8

Additionally, just based on the nature of the site layout, the person exiting the drive-thru needs to make a right and then another right. So it's, in total, it's 180 degrees of turn.

We wanted that to be the smoothest possible radius possible. So by, you know, guiding the second drive-thru lane with the striping over to the first, make sure that only one person is exiting at a time, and that one person has the biggest radius to sweep, to make that turn as easy as possible.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I appreciate on the norther side that you have that extra access out there for people that need to exit in an emergency. Are there also boots in the ground

during the day to be able to manage traffic in case there's something that does take place, for example?

P. Downey, P.E., PTOE

89

88

Let's say, you're among the last few 1 cars that are in the drive-thru and somebody really 2 needs to leave there, there's no access out of there 3

until you get to the northern part of the site

there. How would a situation, for example, the 5

worst-case situation, somebody needs to leave the 6

vehicle from there and you have about, it looks like 7 8

30 cars stacked ahead of you.

THE WITNESS: Sure. So at the 9 non-peak times, we'll start there, the queue simply 10 won't be at that point. The escape lane really will 11 facilitate those types of movements. 12

During the peak times there's a lot of 13 people on staff managing traffic. Both canopies you 14 see to the east and west side of the building have 15 employees taking orders, running throughout the 16 cars. Absolutely you have the ability to intervene if something -- you know, an emergent situation was 19 arising.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There are boots on the ground to avoid the unsafe situations that could take place, somebody gets out of the car, behaves erratically, what have you?

24 THE WITNESS: Yeah, absolutely. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. 25

		т	
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	90		92
1	THE WITNESS: You know, even something	1	earlier, that entering is always the easy movement,
2	not necessarily emergent, but just something	2	right. It's right turns in. You don't yield to
3	inconvenient, like a broken down vehicle in one of	3	anybody except perhaps a pedestrian crossing a
4	the lanes. Having two drive-thru lanes and then the	4	crosswalk. So there's really no reason you need to
5	escape lane for a portion of it, gives the ability	5	stop. In terms of a concern of the actual
6	to move around that vehicle rather than holding up	6	drive-thru queue wrapping that far along the
7	the whole line so	7	building, based on what we see at the other stores
8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: And I'll keep it	8	we simply don't see that happening during normal
9	short, we belabored the point a bit, but we talked	9	operations. The only time additional drive-thru
10	about the fire truck before being able to pull in	10	demands are really expected to, you know, that type
11	and essentially having to, I think, exit out without	11	of level is during grand opening because there's
12	being able to go around the turn radius, correct?	12	pent-up demand.
13	THE WITNESS: Correct.	13 14	And not only do we prepare a grand
14	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: And is that because	15	opening plan, our team to help manage that specific
1.5	it physically cannot make that turn at the north	1	point of time, that's also coordinated with your
16	side there, or was it just because to the point I	16	police department to make sure, you know, there's
17	think that our board engineer had asked that	17 18	boots on the ground to manage something like that at
18	question because of the canopy?	19	the beginning.
19	THE WITNESS: It's the clearance of	20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: A question. So
20	the canopy is probably the primary factor. But if that were not there could the radii support it? I'm	21	you've worked on a number of Chick-fil-A locations.
21		22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	not entirely sure of the answer. I would point out, since this is, you	23	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I assume you worked
23	know, adjacent to other pads, in essence, there is	24	for a lot of other businesses as well.
24	access to four sides of the building. If you needed	25	THE WITNESS: Yeah, absolutely.
23	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
1			
	91		93
1	· ·	1	••
1 2	91 to fight a fire on the east side of this building,	1 2	93
	91		93 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of
2	91 to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability	2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the
2	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or	2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the
2 3 4	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side.	2 3 4	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say
2 3 4 5	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the	2 3 4 5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the
2 3 4 5 6	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment.	2 3 4 5 6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache."
2 3 4 5 6 7	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two	2 3 4 5 6 7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1.0 11 12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak time, you know, the queue that is shown in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull applications for those reasons. You know, no one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak time, you know, the queue that is shown in this diagram is kind of, you know, around two sides three sides of the building.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull applications for those reasons. You know, no one wants to invest millions of dollars in a site that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak time, you know, the queue that is shown in this diagram is kind of, you know, around two sides three sides of the building. You know, even in a worse-case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull applications for those reasons. You know, no one wants to invest millions of dollars in a site that doesn't work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak time, you know, the queue that is shown in this diagram is kind of, you know, around two sides three sides of the building. You know, even in a worse-case scenario, where people, you know, are flocking to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull applications for those reasons. You know, no one wants to invest millions of dollars in a site that doesn't work. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak time, you know, the queue that is shown in this diagram is kind of, you know, around two sides three sides of the building. You know, even in a worse-case scenario, where people, you know, are flocking to the location pun somewhat intended you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull applications for those reasons. You know, no one wants to invest millions of dollars in a site that doesn't work. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And this site is, in your mind, not in danger of being in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak time, you know, the queue that is shown in this diagram is kind of, you know, around two sides — three sides of the building. You know, even in a worse-case scenario, where people, you know, are flocking to the location — pun somewhat intended — you know, the site could still accommodate and you wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull applications for those reasons. You know, no one wants to invest millions of dollars in a site that doesn't work. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And this site is, in your mind, not in danger of being in a this is going to be a tough site to get in and out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak time, you know, the queue that is shown in this diagram is kind of, you know, around two sides three sides of the building. You know, even in a worse-case scenario, where people, you know, are flocking to the location pun somewhat intended you know, the site could still accommodate and you wouldn't have essentially traffic backing up onto Towne	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull applications for those reasons. You know, no one wants to invest millions of dollars in a site that doesn't work. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And this site is, in your mind, not in danger of being in a this is going to be a tough site to get in and out of category?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak time, you know, the queue that is shown in this diagram is kind of, you know, around two sides three sides of the building. You know, even in a worse-case scenario, where people, you know, are flocking to the location pun somewhat intended you know, the site could still accommodate and you wouldn't have essentially traffic backing up onto Towne Center Road.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull applications for those reasons. You know, no one wants to invest millions of dollars in a site that doesn't work. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And this site is, in your mind, not in danger of being in a this is going to be a tough site to get in and out of category? THE WITNESS: Correct. We would not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to fight a fire on the east side of this building, the Verizon drive aisle also provides that ability as opposed to if we, you know, backed up to woods or something inaccessible on that side. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's all the questions I have at the moment. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, two other quick items. Do you have any concern even at the most peak time, of people going into the site where presumably a lot of the traffic is going to come from Towne Center Road, that there would be any significant backup that would impede traffic on Towne Center Road, or is this even at the most peak time, you know, the queue that is shown in this diagram is kind of, you know, around two sides three sides of the building. You know, even in a worse-case scenario, where people, you know, are flocking to the location pun somewhat intended you know, the site could still accommodate and you wouldn't have essentially traffic backing up onto Towne Center Road. THE WITNESS: Correct. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is it fair to say that if a business identifies a location and it seems really great, you know, there's a lot of drive-by traffic, there's, you know, all the positives, but you just can't get in and out of the site safely and easily, would a company likely say "You know what, that's probably not worth the headache." THE WITNESS: I'm not a developer myself but we've definitely had those hard talks, you know, with developers as we start to do traffic studies for other projects and we say "Hey, these results don't look good; you know even if this got approved, it might not be the best site for you." And I have seen developers pull applications for those reasons. You know, no one wants to invest millions of dollars in a site that doesn't work. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And this site is, in your mind, not in danger of being in a this is going to be a tough site to get in and out of category?

	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	94		96
1	was viable.	1	am not the forecaster here, but looking at all the
2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you.	2	numbers that you have said, 300 trips, numbers that
3	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: I have one	3	you just mentioned to Mr. Atkins' questions, I
4	question, or comment actually.	4	think, you know, we do hopefully you can actually
5	You know when Councilman Kirsh was	5	consider that somewhere there's some traffic can go
б	talking about, suppose	6	around it, otherwise even for going to taking the
7	(Stenographer clarification?	7	left because there are a lot of traffic will be
8	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: I know you have told	8	coming well, at least Verizon and Olive Garden, and I don't know who else is there, but people be
9	me three times now. That's good. Actually, Bill	9	basically there will be traffic coming out of that
10	Burr would be better to answer also.	10	and from Towne Center Road.
11	If a person coming out, if he or she	11	So in order to basically cross that
12	wants to go to 202 South, instead of basically		one, cross that road to take the left turn, you will
13	crossing the two left lanes straight and have	13	have I don't know whether you will have a traffic
14	Mr. Burr also can comment on it can we have a	14 15	in your own location, at least it will take some
15	sign, 202 South this way?	16	time to as more traffic will be coming there,
16	That way at least most of the traffic will be pointing to 202 South, they can actually	17	likelihood to have some unfortunate scene.
17	take a right turn instead of a left turn and go	18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I could say if I
18	around it by miscellaneous there because no one use	19	was there, the way that I typically drive, if it was
19	the right lane. I hardly see, once in a blue moon,	20	a peak time I would probably take the right out and
20 21	people coming out of there.	21	use the unsignalized driveway, because I would know
22	So that probably will alleviate	22	that's my quickest path to get where I'm going and
23	majority of the concern that, you know, Councilman	23	it's the easiest.
24	Kirsh and also the chairman asked for it, at least	24	If you think some if the board feels
25	the 202 South traffic can be all going around it.	25	that additional signage in that location would help
		+	
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 95		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 97
1	• •	1	••
1 2	95	1 2	97
	95 THE WITNESS: It's certainly something		97 alleviate some of those concerns
2	95 THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say	2	97 alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted
2	95 THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered.	2	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask.
2 3 4	95 THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week,	2 3 4	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely
2 3 4 5	95 THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be	2 3 4 5	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case	2 3 4 5 6	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak	2 3 4 5 6 7	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still going to do that. So I would question maybe the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Burr, do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still going to do that. So I would question maybe the effectiveness of that sign.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Burr, do you have any thoughts? I actually am asking you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still going to do that. So I would question maybe the effectiveness of that sign. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Or put a double	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Burr, do you have any thoughts? I actually am asking you, Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still going to do that. So I would question maybe the effectiveness of that sign. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Or put a double arrow sign, Route 202 South this way/that way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Burr, do you have any thoughts? I actually am asking you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still going to do that. So I would question maybe the effectiveness of that sign. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Or put a double arrow sign, Route 202 South this way/that way. People can select which way they want to go.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Burr, do you have any thoughts? I actually am asking you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr. ENGINEER BURR: No, I think it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still going to do that. So I would question maybe the effectiveness of that sign. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Or put a double arrow sign, Route 202 South this way/that way. People can select which way they want to go. THE WITNESS: Yeah, they absolutely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Burr, do you have any thoughts? I actually am asking you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr. ENGINEER BURR: No, I think it's a very good suggestion. I'm happy to discuss it with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still going to do that. So I would question maybe the effectiveness of that sign. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Or put a double arrow sign, Route 202 South this way/that way. People can select which way they want to go. THE WITNESS: Yeah, they absolutely could but unless it's, you know, not able to reach	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Burr, do you have any thoughts? I actually am asking you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr. ENGINEER BURR: No, I think it's a very good suggestion. I'm happy to discuss it with the applicant's professionals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still going to do that. So I would question maybe the effectiveness of that sign. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Or put a double arrow sign, Route 202 South this way/that way. People can select which way they want to go. THE WITNESS: Yeah, they absolutely could but unless it's, you know, not able to reach 202 South by turning left, if that's the faster	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Burr, do you have any thoughts? I actually am asking you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr. ENGINEER BURR: No, I think it's a very good suggestion. I'm happy to discuss it with the applicant's professionals. We're talking about internal wayfinding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: It's certainly something you could do. There's really two things I would say to that because it's something we've considered. We analyzed the peak hours of the week, right. So when we're talking about things might be a little more challenging is the worst-case scenarios that we're looking at. During non-peak times this isn't so much of a concern. So if you want to go 202 South, we don't need to force every customer the other 12-plus hours of the day to go in the more circuitous route. Secondly, unless it's going to be physically prohibited, even if you have a sign pointing that way, if the customers know that turning left is their faster option, they're still going to do that. So I would question maybe the effectiveness of that sign. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Or put a double arrow sign, Route 202 South this way/that way. People can select which way they want to go. THE WITNESS: Yeah, they absolutely could but unless it's, you know, not able to reach	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	alleviate some of those concerns MEMBER CHOUDHURY: That's what I wanted to basically ask. THE WITNESS: we would definitely entertain, you know, a conversation with your professionals. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: the expert comment from Mr. Burr. Because, you know, he probably will be able to tell better. In fact, that may be as a common person, I'm not an expert I think double arrow sign will be because people can take any side, that will be probably better. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's definitely something we can look into. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Burr, do you have any thoughts? I actually am asking you, Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr. ENGINEER BURR: No, I think it's a very good suggestion. I'm happy to discuss it with the applicant's professionals.

	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	98		100
1	of the concerns.	1	Any other questions?
2	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Thank you.	2	If not, I'll hand it back over to
3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And just for	3	you have a question.
4	clarification that would be reiterating that you can	4	MEMBER MAGURA: I have one or two
5	get to 202 South either way.	5	questions. Do you have any information on any
6	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Right.	6	accidents, the rate of accidents at that
7	ENGINEER BURR: Either way or if	7	intersection with 202?
8	there's a preferred way based on some of the traffic	8	THE WITNESS: I don't have any
9	findings in the report, yes.	9	specific data on that, no.
10	It would be it would need to be	10	MEMBER MAGURA: The reason I ask is if
11	discussed to figure out what the most effective	11	you look at that eastern the east exit from the
12	language would be.	12	property, you come through to an acceleration lane,
13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is that something	13	deceleration lane, you cut over two lanes and then
14	that we have to figure out now, or is that a level	14	to a fourth lane, which is a combination of left
15	of flexibility that the applicant would have, you	15	turn/U-turn. I'm just wondering, that's about a
16	know, as they're building.	16	900-foot distance. You're going to have people
17	ENGINEER BURR: It's really the	17	coming out there and flooring their car to make that
18	board's call, but I would be comfortable if it was a	18	U-turn. THE WITNESS: I'm having a little
19	condition, if you're asking my opinion, working with	19	trouble loading the plan but I know where you're
20	the applicant to come up with a plan that would best	20 21	oh, there we go I know where you're describing
21	address those issues.	21	and I think, as we discussed, that right out
22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So we would put in	23	unsignalized driveway, in my opinion, is more
23	a clause that would permit, whatever we call it, internal wayfinding signage up to a certain size.	24	helpful for people who are destined for 202 South.
24	ENGINEER BURR: I think that's	25	That's the movement that is a little bit more
23		1	
	P. DOWNEY, P.E., PIUE	1	P. Downey, P.E., PIOE
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 99		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 101
1	• •	1	•••
1	99	1 2	101
1 2 3	99 reasonable.		contained at the signal itself, so those are the
2	99 reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman	2	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option.
2	99 reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a	2	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers
2 3 4	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the	3 4	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think
2 3 4 5	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs.	2 3 4 5	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes.
2 3 4 5 6	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just	2 3 4 5 6	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get
2 3 4 5 6	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that	2 3 4 5 6 7	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval compliance, if this is approved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval compliance, if this is approved. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, but you'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval compliance, if this is approved. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, but you'll coordinate and review whether or not wayfinding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Magura. Ms. Sarmad, any questions regarding his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval compliance, if this is approved. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, but you'll coordinate and review whether or not wayfinding signs, you know, make sense.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Magura. Ms. Sarmad, any questions regarding his testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval compliance, if this is approved. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, but you'll coordinate and review whether or not wayfinding signs, you know, make sense. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Magura. Ms. Sarmad, any questions regarding his testimony? PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval compliance, if this is approved. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, but you'll coordinate and review whether or not wayfinding signs, you know, make sense. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. ATTORNEY PECK: You'll implement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Magura. Ms. Sarmad, any questions regarding his testimony? PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't have many questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval compliance, if this is approved. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, but you'll coordinate and review whether or not wayfinding signs, you know, make sense. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. ATTORNEY PECK: You'll implement whatever, you know, whatever you usually decide in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Magura. Ms. Sarmad, any questions regarding his testimony? PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't have many questions. One of my questions is related to I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval compliance, if this is approved. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, but you'll coordinate and review whether or not wayfinding signs, you know, make sense. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. ATTORNEY PECK: You'll implement whatever, you know, whatever you usually decide in collaboration.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Magura. Ms. Sarmad, any questions regarding his testimony? PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't have many questions. One of my questions is related to I think some numbers were rattled off earlier based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reasonable. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Councilman Kirsh, we would agree as the applicant, as a condition of approval, to work with Mr. Burr on the addition of any wayfinding signs. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And I just want to make sure that if that's our intention, that we have it in the resolution. Just, you know, you're almost about to open, oh, oh, we got to go back to, you know, the planning board. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, that will, if it gets approved, that will be a condition. Councilman Kirsh: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Hopefully we can hammer it out during the post-approval compliance, if this is approved. ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, but you'll coordinate and review whether or not wayfinding signs, you know, make sense. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	contained at the signal itself, so those are the customers looking for a second option. So rather than, you know, customers prioritizing that to reach 202 North, which I think the signal does fairly well on its own, it would be more for, you know, cars destined to the south that don't need to move over those lanes. MEMBER MAGURA: I could probably get away assuming that it's really not a problem right now? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any problem. I will say DOT didn't raise any concerns like that in their thick review letter when we got it, so, yeah, I'm not aware of any concerns. MEMBER MAGURA: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Magura. Ms. Sarmad, any questions regarding his testimony? PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't have many questions. One of my questions is related to I

	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	102		104
1	Can you just reiterate and I really	1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	the only, I think, number that might be meaningful	2	PLANNER SARMAD: And then the chairman
3	is what's the difference, what is the added number	3	had asked a question related to another point of
4	of trips that the Chick-Fil-A produces over what's	4	access to the pad site, and I think it's a good
5	existing today?	5	question, or it's a good thing to raise because
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a good	б	right now every single one of the three pad sites on
7	question. The only reason it's a little challenging	7	202 has exactly that; they basically have a U-shape
8	to answer that specifically is because the existing	8	around each of the buildings.
9	Ruby Tuesday's restaurant gets lumped in with the	9	When, I don't know if you were part of
10	overall shopping center. I don't have it broken	10	the kind of design phase of this or if you were
11	out, that's simply how DOT runs it, but Chick-fil-A	11	brought in after the site was kind of already
12	is running on its own.	12	designed in particular by the civil engineer, but
13	PLANNER SARMAD: Well the DOT Highway	13	was there any thought process to trying to preserve
14	Access Permit, Table 2 in the report does show a	14	that and trying to modify the configuration of the
15	difference. So that's really what I wanted to hear	15	drive-thru to maintain that kind of access?
16	testimony on.	16	THE WITNESS: I would say my
17	THE WITNESS: Right. Yes, so Table 2,	17	involvement came in once this was pretty much the
18	correct. So in looking at the entire shopping	18	layout, you know, barring some improvements that had
19	center as a whole in the existing condition versus	19	been made along the way.
20	the proposed, the net change in total trips in the	20	I think it's a beneficial layout in the
21	three peak hours is roughly 250 additional peak-hour	21	sense that it puts the drive-thru entrance as far
22	trips.	22	away from the entrance to the pad as possible, you
23	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you.	23	know, just provide as much additional overflow room
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.	24	for additional factor of safety as possible, and
25	PLANNER SARMAD: In looking at I	25	that, essentially, necessitates the location of the
			D. D B. E. DTOE
	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	103	1	105
1	103 know you described some of the mitigation and the	1	105 site and the building and the drive-thru where they
2	103 know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10	2	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are.
2	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any	2	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site,
2 3 4	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the	2 3 4	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle
2 3 4 5	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service?	2 3 4 5	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru.
2 3 4 5 6	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center	2 3 4 5 6	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there
2 3 4 5 6 7	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements	2 3 4 5 6 7	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive alsle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another. But I appreciate your response to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the southbound left-turn movement and U-turn movement,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the southbound left-turn movement and U-turn movement, you know, I'll call it a wash on the northbound	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another. But I appreciate your response to the question, so thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the southbound left-turn movement and U-turn movement, you know, I'll call it a wash on the northbound left-turn movement depending on the peak hour. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive alse parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another. But I appreciate your response to the question, so thank you. ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the southbound left-turn movement and U-turn movement, you know, I'll call it a wash on the northbound	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another. But I appreciate your response to the question, so thank you. ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions, Mr. Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the southbound left-turn movement and U-turn movement, you know, I'll call it a wash on the northbound left-turn movement depending on the peak hour. And then, you know, all of the movements in total satisfied DOT's criteria.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another. But I appreciate your response to the question, so thank you. ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the southbound left-turn movement and U-turn movement, you know, I'll call it a wash on the northbound left-turn movement depending on the peak hour. And then, you know, all of the movements in total satisfied DOT's criteria. We did what we could to prioritize exit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive alsle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another. But I appreciate your response to the question, so thank you. ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr. ENGINEER BURR: So the DOT permit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the southbound left-turn movement and U-turn movement, you know, I'll call it a wash on the northbound left-turn movement depending on the peak hour. And then, you know, all of the movements in total satisfied DOT's criteria. We did what we could to prioritize exit from the site, because we think that's the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another. But I appreciate your response to the question, so thank you. ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr. ENGINEER BURR: So the DOT permit application that was submitted, you mentioned there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the southbound left-turn movement and U-turn movement, you know, I'll call it a wash on the northbound left-turn movement depending on the peak hour. And then, you know, all of the movements in total satisfied DOT's criteria. We did what we could to prioritize exit from the site, because we think that's the most important for the site's viability, up to what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another. But I appreciate your response to the question, so thank you. ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr. ENGINEER BURR: So the DOT permit application that was submitted, you mentioned there was a comment letter received, and included in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know you described some of the mitigation and the timing. In looking at the tables on Page 10 Page 10, yes, Tables 5, 6 and 7, was there any significant change with mitigation as far as the level of service? THE WITNESS: So for the Towne Center Road approach, in general, there were improvements in the existing condition it's constrained out there really as a result of DOT's prioritization of 202, so we're seeing levels of service F on the Towne Center Road approach to begin with. The delays for those movements were reduced in some cases enough to trip it to an E instead of F, but in general they're improved. There were improvements to the southbound left-turn movement and U-turn movement, you know, I'll call it a wash on the northbound left-turn movement depending on the peak hour. And then, you know, all of the movements in total satisfied DOT's criteria. We did what we could to prioritize exit from the site, because we think that's the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	site and the building and the drive-thru where they are. When you look at the width of the site, from left to right you have parking, drive aisle parking, and then the building and drive-thru. There's really not additional room available there to fit a second access, you know, on the other side of the drive-thru lanes. PLANNER SARMAD: Yes, I think you're correct in the way that it's been designed right now. I didn't know if there was any kind of negotiation between the amount of queuing and then the access, and if those were, you know, weighed against one another. But I appreciate your response to the question, so thank you. ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please, Mr. Burr. ENGINEER BURR: So the DOT permit application that was submitted, you mentioned there was a comment letter received, and included in that we heard that DOT requested sidewalks, sidewalks

P. Downey, P.E., PTOE P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 108 106 was a lot of discussion by several board members, We also heard testimony about the 1 Ms. Sarmad just asked. In terms of alternate 2 re-timing of the existing traffic signal. 2 layouts to the site, when I looked at this obviously Can you just elaborate on any of the 3 3 other comments that DOT offered that may impact the one of the big concerns I have, and I think it's 4 shared by a number of the board members, is when we site layout and what would physically be seen, not 5 talk about that left- left, and the ability to necessarily the numbers but... 6 6 actually have significant queuing once you leave the 7 THE WITNESS: Sure. Really comments 7 pad site, it just isn't there. that would affect the site layout, I don't believe 8 9 And one way to achieve that, and I know that there are any aside from that sidewalk comment. 9 this is a difficult site because it's only a little They asked for the existing, you know, 10 10 over an acre in size, but one way to get more ramps in the area to be reconstructed for ADA 11 11 queuing, obviously, is to relocate that driveway compliance, which is naturally going to be done as 12 12 elsewhere, perhaps somewhere easterly. 13 part of the sidewalk improvements. 13 And I think I heard you weren't You know, they really didn't have any 14 14 involved in any of those discussions. Are you privy 15 substantive comments. 15 to any prior discussions in terms of alternate ENGINEER BURR: The bulk of their --16 16 layouts and how that might look? the bulk of their comments were related to 17 17 Do you know if there was any discussion 18 pedestrian? 18 about possibly having a cross access into the THE WITNESS: Correct. And a lot of 19 19 Verizon portion of the driveway or parking lot to the groups just claiming they had no comments on the 20 20 provide for better circulation? 21 21 application. 22 Can you give us a little more ENGINEER BURR: And they agreed, or 22 were they the ones that suggested the re-timing of 23 background there? 23 THE WITNESS: Right, so I wasn't part 24 the signal, or was that something that your firm 24 of vetting the different options that were reviewed. 25 offered as part of the... 25 P. Downey, P.E., PTOE P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 109 107 I know there were several, but I wouldn't feel THE WITNESS: That was something that 1 comfortable, you know, commenting on what exactly 2 2 we offered ---3 they were. ENGINEER BURR: It was? Okay. 3 ENGINEER BURR: Okay. THE WITNESS: -- as part of the traffic 4 4 THE WITNESS: I will note cross access 5 study that they reviewed. 5 to Verizon is very challenging given the grade ENGINEER BURR: And they concurred 6 6 differential that exists, so I think that was ruled 7 7 with that? out fairly early on. 8 THE WITNESS: Correct, yes. 8 And like I said, there's definitely a 9 ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And did your 9 push and a pull between the driveway placement and analysis also take into account -- I know we talked 10 10 the access placement. I think this has been a lot about some of the concerns with traffic. But 11 11 designed to really prioritize the drive-thru 12 did your analysis take into account a certain 12 placement which is important to make sure we manage percentage of traffic leaving the site to the east, 13 13 14 our drive-thru demand efficiently. to the exit driveway; is that how your analysis was 14 In terms of queues on the internal performed? 15 15 aisle, you know, of these pad sites Chick-fil-A is 16 16 THE WITNESS: Correct. probably, you know, the highest traffic-generating ENGINEER BURR: Or was everything 17 17 one in the future condition. So when you think of 18 coming out to the site. 18 traffic on that approach leaving to Towne Center THE WITNESS: We did have some traffic 19 19 Road a lot of that is, you know, our traffic routed to the unsignalized driveway simply because 20 20 exiting. It's not so much a queue in front of the we do think realistically it will be used, 21 21 site, it's our customers leaving. particularly during the peak hours being analyzed. 22 22 And based on the clearing of the Towne 23 And the overall trip distribution is 23 Center Road approach with the signal, I think that calculated using DOT's gravity model methodology. 24 24 will continue to move. It might just be, you know, 25

ENGINEER BURR: Yeah, and I know there

25

	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	110		112
1	in batches rather than a continuous.	1	having a fully developed shopping center here that
2	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And last	2	we did existing traffic counts on so we understand
3	question. Just in terms of the fire truck access	3	the split currently for, you know, retail,
4	and circulation not necessarily a question, but	4	restaurant uses, where are customers currently
5	more a recommendation. We had the initial fire	5	going, which also, you know, gives us some clues as
6	official's memo, Dynamic or, excuse me,	6	to where our customers would similarly go.
7	Stonefield offered revised plans. If it hasn't been	7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. So use
8	done already I would suggest the team reach out to	8	the existing complex without you guys potentially
9	the fire official, get his follow-up feedback in	9	being at this site, what is the you know, what is
10	terms of circulation around the site.	10	the north versus south split; is that a known?
11	Because you don't want to get jammed up	11	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I'm looking at
12	in the event this is approved, that is going to be a	12	our traffic study here at the existing counts.
13	requirement of site plan compliance, getting the	13	During, you know, the morning, p.m. and Saturday
14	fire official's okay. So I would definitely suggest	14	peak hours respectively it's fairly similar between
15	reaching out to Mr. Scalera.	15	the two directions, a little bit more turning right
16	THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely.	16	out, so 202 South than turning left out for
17	ENGINEER BURR: That's all I have.	17	202 North.
18	Thank you.	18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Interesting.
19	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr.	19	All right.
20	Any other questions from our board?	20	I go right to get home but, you know, I
21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I would like to	21	know that other people live in different places.
22	I'm sorry, I do have one more question. And this	22	THE WITNESS: Right.
23	may not be answerable here.	23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
24	You know, I'm satisfied that this has	24 25	Councilman. Anything else? Any other questions?
25	been thoroughly considered. I remain a bit P. Downey, P.E., PTOE	23	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
	7. Downey, P.E., PTOE		113
1	concerned about, you know, the number of people that		- LIM I
1 -		1 1	At this time I would like to open up to
1 2		1 2	•
2	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable	1	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come
3	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that	2	any members of the public that wish to questions the
3	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable	2	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come
3 4 5	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities.	2 3 4	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time.
3	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you	2 3 4 5	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name
3 4 5 6	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities.	2 3 4 5 6	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record.
3 4 5 6 7	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up	2 3 4 5 6 7	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening.
3 4 5 6 7 8	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater.
3 4 5 6 7 8	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection? THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a left if I need to go northbound. Or when I'm coming
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection? THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the site selection part but I can certainly comment on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a left if I need to go northbound. Or when I'm coming back I go down to the other light and make that turn
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection? THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the site selection part but I can certainly comment on the traffic implications of that. In this case there's really two things to consider. One, DOT has what's called a gravity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a left if I need to go northbound. Or when I'm coming back I go down to the other light and make that turn to the right to Edgewood Terrace.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection? THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the site selection part but I can certainly comment on the traffic implications of that. In this case there's really two things to consider. One, DOT has what's called a gravity model methodology. It draws a radius around your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a left if I need to go northbound. Or when I'm coming back I go down to the other light and make that turn to the right to Edgewood Terrace. So I'm concerned about the traffic that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection? THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the site selection part but I can certainly comment on the traffic implications of that. In this case there's really two things to consider. One, DOT has what's called a gravity model methodology. It draws a radius around your site, sees where the different pockets of population	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a left if I need to go northbound. Or when I'm coming back I go down to the other light and make that turn to the right to Edgewood Terrace. So I'm concerned about the traffic that this is going to cause. Clearly every time that you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection? THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the site selection part but I can certainly comment on the traffic implications of that. In this case there's really two things to consider. One, DOT has what's called a gravity model methodology. It draws a radius around your site, sees where the different pockets of population are based on Census data, and then routes how many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a left if I need to go northbound. Or when I'm coming back I go down to the other light and make that turn to the right to Edgewood Terrace. So I'm concerned about the traffic that this is going to cause. Clearly every time that you do see a Chick-fil-A
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection? THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the site selection part but I can certainly comment on the traffic implications of that. In this case there's really two things to consider. One, DOT has what's called a gravity model methodology. It draws a radius around your site, sees where the different pockets of population are based on Census data, and then routes how many customers you think you're pulling geographically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a left if I need to go northbound. Or when I'm coming back I go down to the other light and make that turn to the right to Edgewood Terrace. So I'm concerned about the traffic that this is going to cause. Clearly every time that you do see a Chick-fil-A ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sir, excuse me, but
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection? THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the site selection part but I can certainly comment on the traffic implications of that. In this case there's really two things to consider. One, DOT has what's called a gravity model methodology. It draws a radius around your site, sees where the different pockets of population are based on Census data, and then routes how many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a left if I need to go northbound. Or when I'm coming back I go down to the other light and make that turn to the right to Edgewood Terrace. So I'm concerned about the traffic that this is going to cause. Clearly every time that you do see a Chick-fil-A ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sir, excuse me, but and I appreciate your opening remarks, but do you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are going to leave the Chick-fil-A with a desirable with the desired goal of going north, and that is, like, most of Bridgewater, along with many, many other communities. Does Chick-fil-A have a sense of, you know, what percentage of people are going to end up wanting to leave and go north versus what percentage are going to go south? And that's not really a traffic question, it's really an operational and a market study question. Is that something that is even, you know, is considered as part of site selection? THE WITNESS: I can't comment on the site selection part but I can certainly comment on the traffic implications of that. In this case there's really two things to consider. One, DOT has what's called a gravity model methodology. It draws a radius around your site, sees where the different pockets of population are based on Census data, and then routes how many customers you think you're pulling geographically from the two directions. So we have that to rely on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any members of the public that wish to questions the testimony provided by Mr. Downey. Feel free to come forward at this time. Good evening. Please state your name and address for the record. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Good evening. Steven Hernandez, 13 Edgewood Terrace, Bridgewater. So Chick-fil-A I think would be great obviously to have here in Bridgewater. There's nothing very close to it. So I'm not opposed to it. I actually happen to live on a street right before you make that right, which is Edgewood Terrace. So in order for me to get out of my street I have to make that right, go to the U-turn, make a left if I need to go northbound. Or when I'm coming back I go down to the other light and make that turn to the right to Edgewood Terrace. So I'm concerned about the traffic that this is going to cause. Clearly every time that you do see a Chick-fil-A ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sir, excuse me, but

		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 116
l		114		
	1	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Because there will	1	THE WITNESS: We do. So when we kick
	2	be a comment portion at the end of the application.		off a traffic study like this, we always coordinate
	3	STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, and I think		with the township to get a sense of anything else
		the question will be, I think, kind of add to Mr.		that has been approved in the area and we load that
		Michael Kirsh's, what he was saying; how are we		on as part of the traffic study, so it's included.
	6	going to or you guys plan to route the traffic	6	STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Okay. No further
1	7	out making the left turn?	7	questions, just expressing my concern here before
۱	8	Because currently there's a cop sitting	8	accepting the application. But, thank you.
	9	there playing with the light and there's not a	9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
	10	Chick-fil-A at this point in time. So I can see	10	Mr. Hernandez. Just a reminder, there will be more
	11	that right now being a challenge. And what you're	11	time to comment on this at the conclusion of the
	12	showing at this point in time, it doesn't seem like	12	application.
	13	it still covers it fully, in my opinion.	13	Thank you very much. STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Thanks.
١	14	THE WITNESS: Sure. So I guess	14	
	15	there's really two things to consider with regards	15	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Anybody else? Seeing none, I'm going to close the
	16	to that, number one, is to some degree we are	16	- · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- 1	17	working within the context that the site exists,	17	public portion for this witness. Mr. Silbert?
١	18	right. So it's along 202, which is a significant	18	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Chairman.
	19	state highway. It's in a significantly developed	19	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I would like to be
	20	shopping center, well over 500,000 square feet of	20	cognizant of the time and those that came far away
	21	development, of which Chick-fil-A as just a single	21 22	as well as those that have come into the room
	22	portion.	23	tonight for our other application.
	23	So the overall character of traffic	24	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Exactly,
1	24	conditions on 202 isn't really changing as part of	25	Mr. Chairman. I'm in the uncomfortable situation
ŀ	25	this application. It's a busy road and will	2.3	P. Downey, P.E., PTOE
		P. Downey, P.E., PTOE 115		117
	1	continue to be.	1.	where I'm representing both applicants and I would
	2	What is I would say a rare benefit of	2	like to move both applications forward as
	3	this application that we don't always have or get to	3	efficiently as possible, but I just wanted to make
	4	say, that we've actually improved the signal timing,	4	certain that you're aware that for the other
	5	that even with the added traffic from Chick-fil-A	5	application that is on for tonight, it was
	6	that left turn improves from what it is in the	6	represented to me and to the applicant that they
	7	no-build condition.	7	would be first on the agenda.
	8	So not only is there, you know, an	8	I point that out because they're
	9	insignificant impact, in this case there's actually	9	traveling from all over the country, Ohio, North
1	10	a benefit compared to the pre-development condition.	10	Dakota. I know we're talking about Chick-fil-A, but
	11	STEVEN HERNANDEZ: Thanks for that. I	11	I know we're approaching a time constraint here so
			12	at a minimum, Mr. Chairman, assuming that
	12	will have to challenge that it's not just traffic,	1	
	12 13	will have to challenge that it's not just traffic, right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here,	13	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask
-	l	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming	13 14	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses
-	13	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville,	1	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to
-	13 14	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville, Raritan, Bridgewater, even Morrisville are going	14	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to provide some operational testimony on the next
-	13 14 15	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville, Raritan, Bridgewater, even Morrisville are going to come to that Chick-fil-A because there's no one	14 15	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to provide some operational testimony on the next application.
	13 14 15 16	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville, Raritan, Bridgewater, even Morrisville are going to come to that Chick-fil-A because there's no one around here. So that extra traffic is going to be	14 15 16 17 18	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to provide some operational testimony on the next application. I'm sorry I have to do this in the
-	13 14 15 16 17	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville, Raritan, Bridgewater, even Morrisville are going to come to that Chick-fil-A because there's no one around here. So that extra traffic is going to be coming to that Chick-fil-A.	14 15 16 17 18 19	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to provide some operational testimony on the next application. I'm sorry I have to do this in the middle of Chick-fil-A's application, but
	13 14 15 16 17 18	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville, Raritan, Bridgewater, even Morrisville are going to come to that Chick-fil-A because there's no one around here. So that extra traffic is going to be coming to that Chick-fil-A. And The other question is have you guys	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to provide some operational testimony on the next application. I'm sorry I have to do this in the middle of Chick-fil-A's application, but CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Do you have more
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville, Raritan, Bridgewater, even Morrisville are going to come to that Chick-fil-A because there's no one around here. So that extra traffic is going to be coming to that Chick-fil-A. And The other question is have you guys looked at all the new buildings and complexes that	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to provide some operational testimony on the next application. I'm sorry I have to do this in the middle of Chick-fil-A's application, but CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Do you have more witnesses for Chick-fil-A this evening?
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville, Raritan, Bridgewater, even Morrisville are going to come to that Chick-fil-A because there's no one around here. So that extra traffic is going to be coming to that Chick-fil-A. And The other question is have you guys looked at all the new buildings and complexes that have been getting built around, that has not been	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to provide some operational testimony on the next application. I'm sorry I have to do this in the middle of Chick-fil-A's application, but CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Do you have more witnesses for Chick-fil-A this evening? ATTORNEY SILBERT: We do have a
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville, Raritan, Bridgewater, even Morrisville are going to come to that Chick-fil-A because there's no one around here. So that extra traffic is going to be coming to that Chick-fil-A. And The other question is have you guys looked at all the new buildings and complexes that have been getting built around, that has not been part of maybe it's much newer. So have you guys	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to provide some operational testimony on the next application. I'm sorry I have to do this in the middle of Chick-fil-A's application, but CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Do you have more witnesses for Chick-fil-A this evening? ATTORNEY SILBERT: We do have a planner. And if the board is willing to accommodate
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right, because there's not Chick-fil-A around here, right. So the traffic that is going to be coming from all over towns Manville, Somerville, Raritan, Bridgewater, even Morrisville are going to come to that Chick-fil-A because there's no one around here. So that extra traffic is going to be coming to that Chick-fil-A. And The other question is have you guys looked at all the new buildings and complexes that have been getting built around, that has not been	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chick-fil-A is concluding this evening, I would ask that I would be able to at least call the witnesses that traveled from North Dakota and Ohio just to provide some operational testimony on the next application. I'm sorry I have to do this in the middle of Chick-fil-A's application, but CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Do you have more witnesses for Chick-fil-A this evening? ATTORNEY SILBERT: We do have a

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a 14 relative, nor employee, nor attorney, nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative, nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

18 19 20

15

16 17

21 22

Notary Public Commission No. 50014616 24

Dated: December 16, 2024

Angela C. Buonantuono, CCR, RPR, CLR 23 NJ License No. 30XI00233100

				<u> </u>
_	101:4, 103:9,	10:6	accepted [2] - 13:7,	25:19, 56:6, 63:5,
0	104:7, 112:16,	7:00 [1] - 1:14	61:5	82:19, 84:7, 86:13,
07059 [1] - 3:4	112:17, 114:18,	7:09 [1] - 8:8	accepting [1] - 116:8	87:8, 88:4, 92:10,
08535 [1] - 1:24	114:24	7th [1] - 6:6	Access [2] - 60:12,	96:25, 102:21,
08807 [1] - 1:10	202/206 [1] - 51:2	/ (if (i) = 0.0	102:14	104:23, 104:24,
	202/200 [1] - 31.2 2024 [13] - 1:13, 5:6,	0	access [36] - 17:4,	105:6
1		8	19:9, 19:10, 19:17,	additionally [3] -
	5:8, 6:3, 6:7, 10:24,	8th [1] - 10:25	20:13, 21:19, 31:3,	61:22, 88:9, 111:25
1 [1] - 82:24	10:25, 11:19, 15:21, 16:23, 29:6,		31:18, 32:8, 43:8,	additions [1] - 42:16
10 [2] - 103:2, 103:3	29:13, 119:25	9	49:2, 49:13, 52:14,	ADDRESS [1] - 4:17
100 [3] - 1:10, 24:12,	2025 [1] - 8:13	900-foot [1] - 100:16	53:25, 58:17,	address [8] - 11:5,
25:2	24-014-PB [1] - 1:7	908.757.7800 [1] - 3:5	59:13, 59:15,	11:19, 33:19, 35:9,
100,2 [1] - 26:1	25 [3] - 30:13, 34:15,	908.757.8039 [1] - 3:5	59:23, 59:25, 80:4,	47:3, 77:13, 98:21,
10:00 [4] - 6:14,	34:16	92 [1] - 12:14	86:21, 86:25,	113:6
54:16, 54:17, 54:18	250 [2] - 72:17,	96.3 [1] - 26:4	87:11, 87:12,	addressed [1] - 43:3
10:4-6 [1] - 6:6	102:21	9:03 [1] - 118:25	87:13, 88:21, 89:3,	adequate [1] - 6:4
113 [1] - 4:19	255 [1] - 72:17	9:30 [1] - 6:13	90:25, 104:4,	adjacent [8] - 52:25,
11:00 [1] - 54:20	257 [1] - 72:17	9th [1] - 10:24	104:15, 105:7,	59:5, 61:1, 62:2,
12 [1] - 4:4	26 [1] - 1:23	uniting (Other)	105:13, 108:19,	63:11, 81:2, 84:22,
12-plus [1] - 95:10	260 [1] - 72:21	Α	109:5, 109:11	90:24
13 [2] - 4:19, 113:8	1		110:3	adjourned [1] -
13-percent [1] - 22:10	26th [1] - 11:19	A's [4] - 14:8, 14:20,	accessible [1] - 34:24	118:25
130 [1] - 72:22	27 [2] - 22:19, 83:19	27:18, 117:19	accessory [3] -	adjust [1] - 76:5
14 [5] - 5:6, 5:8,	276 [1] - 72:17	A-1 [2] - 15:13, 15:14	26:22, 27:3, 27:6	adjustment [2] - 67:1,
22:20, 29:5, 29:13	29 [6] - 5:5, 5:7, 5:9,	A-2 [4] - 15:22, 17:23,	accidentally [1] -	67:3
14th [2] - 15:21,	27:22, 83:20, 84:3	18:2, 18:11	52:9	adjustments [2] -
16:23		A-3 [4] - 18:3, 18:5,	accidents [2] - 100:6	8:25, 66:11
15 [2] - 3:4, 8:13	3	28:19, 28:25	accommodate [3] -	Administrator [1] -
150 [2] - 23:13, 72:22	3 [2] - 1:13, 6:3	A-4 [5] - 5:5, 16:20,	11:20, 91:21,	2:16
153 [1] - 24:11	30 [5] - 20:15, 20:25,	18:8, 29:2, 29:4	117:23	ADMINISTRATOR [8]
153.5 [2] - 25:4, 25:5	55:10, 68:5, 89:8	A-5 [7] - 5:7, 17:19,	accommodation [1] -	- 7:8, 7:12, 7:16,
15th [5] - 10:14,	300 [2] - 7 2:17, 96:2	17:20, 18:10, 29:9,	6:16	7:21, 7:23, 28:24,
10:17, 10:21,	30X100233100 [2] -	29:11, 35:10	accordance [1] - 6:5	118:13, 118:17
11:17, 11:25	2:22, 119:23	A-6 [4] - 5:9, 29:16,	account [4] - 35:24,	adopt [1] - 79:12
16 [1] - 119:25	360-degree [1] -	29:18, 35:10	107:10, 107:12,	adopted [1] - 61:6
164 [3] - 1:5, 8:13,	53:24	AB [1] - 1:22	115:24	advance [2] - 6:19,
10:6		abide [1] - 38:10	accurate [3] - 13:17,	6:24
17 [1] - 25:19	4	ability [12] - 19:21,	65:10, 119:10	advantage [1] - 14:24
17th [2] - 118:14,	4,989 [1] - 18:21	20:8, 21:6, 45:19,	achieve [1] - 108:9	advantages [1] -
118:21	43 [3] - 20:24, 30:11,	52:4, 60:9, 61:16,	acre [1] - 108:11	61:15
180 [1] - 88:12	34:17	86:21, 89:17, 90:5,	Act [2] - 6:6, 6:18	Aerial [2] - 5:5, 29:4
190 (1) - 69:11	48 [1] - 6:19	91:2, 108:6	action (3) - 85:4,	aerial [14] - 5:7, 15:7,
	- 10111 0110	able [18] - 13:19,	119:15, 119:18	15:20, 15:24,
2	5	13:20, 14:21,	actions [1] - 39:13	15:25, 16:1, 16:22,
2[3] - 82:25, 102:14,		24:19, 27:19, 30:1,	active [1] - 21:13	17:1, 18:3, 18:5,
102:17	5 [1] - 103:3	33:1, 47:3, 52:4,	activity [3] - 19:13,	18:7, 29:1, 29:8,
20 [2] - 30:13, 84:2	5-foot [1] - 17:11	53:19, 85:2, 85:22,	31:25, 87:9	29:11
20-foot-high [1] -	50 [5] - 34:16, 34:21,	88:24, 90:10,	actual [4] - 47:12,	aesthetic [1] - 50:25
22:14	69:9	90:12, 95:22, 97:9,	70:19, 82:25, 92:6	aesthetically [2] -
200 [1] - 69:11	500,000 [1] - 114:20	117:14	ADA [5] - 6:18, 11:8,	44:15, 51:8
2018 [1] - 8:25	50014616 [1] - 119:24	above-ground [1] -	20:25, 42:10,	affect [1] - 106:8
202 [47] - 1:5, 8:14,	57 [1] - 4:5	40:9	106:11	affirm [1] - 12:4
10:7, 10:12, 16:4,		absent [6] - 7:9, 7:13,	add [9] - 20:8, 20:25,	afterwards [1] - 86:2
24:15, 33:2, 33:21,	6	7:17, 7:18	21:15, 42:10,	agenda [2] - 8:5,
48:4, 50:2, 52:21,	6 [1] - 103:3	absolutely [7] - 9:15,	42:18, 56:1, 66:14,	117:7
59:12, 60:3, 64:5,	6.05 [3] - 1:5, 8:13,	48:24, 84:8, 89:24,	86:21, 114:4	aggravating [1] -
64:13, 64:19, 65:4,	10:6	92:25, 95:21,	added [11] - 23:15,	64:3
65:9, 68:7, 70:12,		110:16	34:18, 35:1, 43:1,	aggregate [2] - 25:3,
73:9, 73:13, 73:15,	7	Absolutely [1] -	43:3, 46:3, 51:5,	25:13
74:6, 75:14, 76:9,	-	89:17	60:2, 102:3, 115:5	aggregated [1] - 71:5
77:8, 77:21, 78:17,	7 [1] - 103:3	academic [1] - 12:21	adding [2] - 11:6,	aggressive [1] - 66:1
85:1, 94:12, 94:15,	732)882-3590 [1] -	acceleration [1] -	15:2	agree [3] - 48:17,
94:17, 94:25, 95:9,	1:24 75 m = 34:16	100:12	addition [3] - 42:24,	51:14, 99:3
95:19, 95:23, 98:5,	75 [1] - 34:16	acceptable [3] -	63:13, 99:5	agreed [2] - 66:4,
100:7, 100:24,	754 [3] - 1:5, 8:14,	30:16, 59:7, 65:13	additional [15] - 11:8,	

106:22 ahead [4] - 34:1, 82:13, 82:16, 89:8 air [3] - 31:10, 31:12, 63:25 aisle [9] - 20:15, 20:16, 20:23, 69:6, 87:12, 88:3, 91:2, 105:4, 109:16 aisles [2] - 20:14, 84:8 Algonquin [1] - 1:23 algorithm [1] - 70:21 Allegiance [1] - 7:3 alleviate [4] - 78:5, 94:22, 97:1, 97:25 allocated [1] - 65:1 allotted [1] - 68:4 allow [4] - 64:22, 65:8, 71:23, 78:6 allows [6] - 14:23, 19:18, 22:6, 64:20, 71:19, 83:8 almost [2] - 84:2, 99:9 alone [1] - 87:5 alternate [2] - 108:2, 108:16 altogether [1] - 86:11 amended [1] - 8:23 American [2] - 26:12, 46:2 Americans [1] - 6:17 amount [1] - 105:12 analysis [3] - 107:10, 107:12, 107:14 analyze [1] - 62:8 analyzed [3] - 62:18, 95:4, 107:22 analyzing [1] - 67:24 anchors [1] - 16:5 AND [1] - 2:11 AND/OR [1] - 4:16 anecdotally [1] -85:20 **ANGELA** [2] - 2:21, 119:3 angela [1] - 119:23 angelabuonocsr@ gmail.com [1] - 1:25 annually [1] - 41:5 answer [7] - 24:5, 31:13, 33:14, 77:4, 90:22, 94:10, 102:8 answerable [1] -110:23 answered [1] - 87:18 anticipation [2] -32:23, 42:20 apologize [1] - 55:22 apples [1] - 72:2 Applicant [1] - 3:7 applicant (9) - 9:7, 9:25, 10:22, 11:20,

98:15, 98:20, 99:3, 117:6, 118:2 applicant's [1] -97:22 applicants [1] - 117:1 application [28] - 8:7, 8:22, 8:24, 9:2, 10:2, 10:8, 17:9, 21:13, 48:16, 58:17, 59:4, 60:13, 60:16, 60:17, 60:21, 60:25, 105:21, 106:21, 114:2, 114:25, 115:3, 116:8, 116:12, 116:23, 117:5, 117:17, 117:19, 118:6 Application [1] -118:25 APPLICATION [1] applications [6] -6:12, 8:11, 13:6, 39:3, 93:16, 117:2 appreciate [5] -88:20, 105:15, 113:23, 118:9, 118:24 approach [10] - 63:4, 64:22, 65:3, 68:3, 69:19, 78:13, 103:7, 103:11, 109:19, 109:24 approaches [2] -64:10, 68:8 approaching [1] -117:11 appropriate [1] -54:22 approval [6] - 8:23, 10:3, 51:16, 62:12, 99:4, 99:15 approved [7] - 8:24, 48:16, 93:14, 99:12, 99:16, 110:12, 116:4 arbitrarily [1] - 68:8 architectural [1] -23:21 architecture [3] -23:24, 24:2, 24:4 area [10] - 17:12, 26:11, 28:9, 42:5, 42:13, 44:3, 44:6, 46:5, 106:11, 116:4 areas [3] - 28:7, 29:24, 38:6 arising [1] - 89:19 arrow [2] - 95:19, 97:11 aside [1] - 106:9

assess [1] - 62:10

assessment [1] -

77:7

assistance [1] - 6:15 associated [1] -18:24 Associates [1] - 3:19 association [1] - 9:2 assume [2] - 48:17, 92:23 Assuming [1] - 34:9 assuming (2) - 101:9, 117:12 ATKINS [14] - 2:3, 7:22, 37:21, 70:16, 71:8, 72:5, 72:10, 72:13, 72:23, 73:2, 73.7, 73:17, 74:4, 75:3 Atkins [3] - 7:21, 37:20, 38:19 Atkins' [1] - 96:3 attendance [2] -13:16, 58:14 attention [1] - 27:9 ATTORNEY [78] -8:16, 8:21, 9:12, 9:17, 12:4, 12:9, 12:16, 12:20, 12:25, 13:13, 13:22, 15:9, 15:11, 15:13, 15:14, 15:18, 15:23, 16:1, 16:19, 16:25, 17:19, 17:21, 17:24, 18:1, 18:5, 18:6, 18:7, 18:11, 18:13, 18:14, 21:4, 23:14, 24:25, 25:5, 25:7, 26:19, 26:25, 28:3, 28:13, 28:15, 28:17, 28:18, 28:21, 28:22, 29:1, 29:8, 29:15, 33:15, 48:24, 51:14, 55:9, 55:13, 56:23, 57:3, 57:6, 57:16, 58:4, 58:6, 58:12, 58:19, 58:24, 99:2, 99:11, 99:14, 99:17, 99:20, 99:21, 99:24, 113:22, 114:1, 116:19, 116:24, 117:22, 118:8, 118:10, 118:15, 118:18, 118:23 attorney [2] - 119:14, 119:16 Attorney [1] - 2:12 attractive [1] - 30:6 audience [1] - 33:9 available [4] - 6:15, 79:22, 84:7, 105:6 Avenue [1] - 12:14 average [3] - 65:14,

83:18, 84:1

avoid [4] - 26:14,

77:11, 79:13, 89:21 aware [5] - 6:11, 47:4, 101:11, 101:14, 117:4

В bachelor (1) - 57:22 bachelor's [1] - 13:2 back-of-thebuilding [1] - 46:14 backdoor [1] - 36:2 backed [1] - 91:3 background [4] -12:22, 50:18, 57:17, 108:23 backing [2] - 78:4, 91:22 backup [2] - 40:4, 91:13 balance [1] - 35:2 balloon [1] - 63:25 Banga [1] - 7:17 bank [1] - 32:1 Bank [1] - 16:7 barring [1] - 104:18 base [2] - 34:22, 35:3 based [19] - 26:5, 40:21, 41:10, 60:1, 61:24, 63:7, 69:9, 70:20, 71:3, 71:5, 71:15, 77:15, 88:9, 92:8, 98:8, 101:23, 109:23, 111:21 baseline [1] - 62:7 basin [1] - 40:9 batches [1] - 110:1 **BATEMAN** [1] - 3:3 Bateman [1] - 9:23 bears [1] - 84:11 become [2] - 75:22, 75:23 becoming [2] - 73:24, begin [3] - 8:12, 80:2, 103:11 beginning [1] - 92:19 behalf [1] - 48:11 behaves [1] - 89:22 behavior [6] - 75:10, 76:15, 77:16, 79:12, 79:21, 85:12 behavioral [1] - 85:13 behaviors [1] - 66:1 behind [2] - 56:6, 75:20 belabor [1] - 82:17 belabored [1] - 90:9 beneficial [3] - 74:9, 75:1, 104:20 benefit [7] - 26:18, 69:16, 74:23, 88:6,

111:25, 115:2,

best [4] - 33:14,

115:10

50:23, 93:14, 98:20 better [14] - 31:7, 35:22, 37:15, 43:13, 64:3, 68:2, 70:6, 78:23, 79:16, 83:12, 94:10, 97:9, 97:13, 108:21 between [16] - 17:11, 31:25, 34:15, 35:2, 43:24, 45:5, 62:3, 64:9, 71:10, 72:17, 81:8, 82:1, 82:3, 105:12, 109:10, 112:14 beyond (1) - 20:1 big [2] - 48:11, 108:4 biggest [1] - 88:17 Bill [1] - 94:9 bit [33] - 26:10, 26:13, 44:19, 47:8, 48:4, 62:13, 62:20, 62:24, 64:23, 64:25, 70:3, 70:6, 71:22, 72:1, 72:2, 75:2, 77:11, 78:12, 80:3, 81:2, 82:9, 83:2, 83:5, 83:24, 84:22, 84:23, 85:7, 86:23, 88:6, 90:9, 100:25, 110:25, 112:15 blend [1] - 56:14 **BLOCK** [1] - 1:5 block [3] - 43:7, 43:19, 51:9 Block [2] - 8:13, 10:6 blocked [1] - 45:2 blue [1] - 94:20 board [34] - 6:10, 8:4, 9:19, 9:21, 10:9, 10:19, 10:23, 11:11, 13:9, 13:15, 13:24, 23:16, 23:19, 25:11, 25:12, 25:14, 27:8, 29:21, 33:8, 39:2, 39:16, 48:10, 55:2, 58:8, 87:19, 90:17, 96:24, 99:10, 101:24, 108:1, 108:5, 110:20, 117:23, 118:5 BOARD [4] ~ 1:1, 2:1, 16:17, 50:3 Board [4] - 2:12, 2:15, 6:2, 6:11 board's [4] - 11:12, 26:18, 27:8, 98:18 boards [2] - 13:8, 19:6 Boards [1] - 58:2 boots [3] - 88:23,

89:20, 92:18

bottom [1] - 88:3

Boulevard [1] - 3:4

boundaries [1] - 31:9 box (6) - 26:12, 35:16, 45:22, 45:24, 46:2, 56:6 break [2] - 51:3, 71:24 brick [1] - 24:3 Bridgewater [13] -2:14, 2:17, 6:2, 6:9, 25:9, 25:15, 25:19, 55:15, 86:19, 111:4, 113:8, 113:10, 115:16 BRIDGEWATER [2] -1:1, 1:10 brief [2] - 9:19, 56:21 briefly [2] - 15:5, 47:12 bring [6] - 12:3, 21:21, 25:24, 36:16, 57:2, 118:6 broken [2] - 90:3, 102:10 brought [1] - 104:11 brown [1] - 24:2 build (4) - 70:15, 73:25, 77:4, 115:7 Build [1] - 63:4 building [42] - 9:1, 18:21, 18:24, 19:4, 24:9, 24:11, 24:14, 24:23, 25:3, 25:25, 26:9, 26:17, 36:9, 43:24, 44:12, 45:2, 45:3, 46:14, 47:12, 51:10, 52:19, 52:25, 53:21, 53:25, 54:6, 59:19, 60:11, 65:19, 71:14, 73:22, 75:21, 87:1, 87:2, 89:15, 90:25, 91:1, 91:17, 92:8, 98:16, 105:1, 105:5 **BUILDING** [1] - 1:9 Building [1] - 6:10 building-mounted [1] - 25:3buildings [2] - 104:8, 115:21 built [1] - 115:22 bulk [3] - 10:3, 106:16, 106:17 bulletin [1] - 6:9 Buonantuono [1] -119:23 **BUONANTUONO** [2] - 2:21, 119:3 Burr [16] - 7:24, 35:6, 41:23, 46:19, 51:16, 55:1, 87:19, 94:10, 94:14, 97:8, 97:16, 97:19, 99:4, 99:25, 105:19,

110:19

BURR [44] - 2:13, 45:23, 46:22, 47:7, 47:20, 47:23, 48:8, 48:22, 48:25, 49:10, 49:15, 49:18, 49:25, 50:6, 50:10, 50:13, 50:19, 51:13, 51:17, 52:13, 52:17, 52:23, 53:10, 53:15, 54:4, 54:10, 54:19, 54:24, 97:20, 98:7, 98:17, 98:25, 105:17, 105:20, 106:16, 106:22, 107:3, 107:6, 107:9, 107:17, 107:25, 109:4, 110:2, 110:17 busiest [1] - 86:16 business [2] - 30:6, 93:2 businesses [1] -92:24 busy [1] - 114:25 but.. [2] - 106:6, 117:19 BY [2] - 2:20, 3:3 bypass [5] - 20:2, 20:5, 51:18, 51:19, 52:15

45:13, 45:19,

64:17, 66:18,

89:17, 101:6

CART [1] - 6:24

64:11, 64:14,

111:17, 115:9

cases [1] - 103:12

CCR [2] - 2:21,

119:23

category [1] - 93:22

Census [1] - 111:21

Center [29] - 10:10,

33:21, 49:6, 56:5,

74:7, 75:16, 76:4,

91:12, 91:14,

91:23, 96:11,

103:6, 103:11,

109:19, 109:24

center [29] - 14:22,

40:2, 40:8, 42:22,

8:10, 8:19, 9:4,

9:16, 13:9, 13:12,

10:11, 19:19,

89:6, 91:18, 95:6,

83:9, 89:2, 89:8,

43:21, 51:1, 59:11, C 59:17, 60:23, 61:15, 63:1, 67:21, calculated [1] -69:1, 71:21, 78:1, 107:24 80:21, 86:19, calculation [1] -86:23, 87:12, 71:25 102:10, 102:19, calculations [1] -112:1, 114:20 25:11 centered [1] - 16:6 cannot [1] - 90:15 centerline [1] - 42:14 canopies [6] - 18:24, certain [5] - 28:7, 45:14, 54:3, 54:5, 32:4, 98:24, 54:7, 89:14 107:12, 117:4 canopy [14] - 11:6, certainly [8] - 33:10, 18:25, 19:1, 19:5, 41:15, 79:22, 19:8, 20:1, 20:12, 80:19, 81:15, 26:1, 26:4, 26:10, 85:19, 95:1, 111:15 26:22, 90:18, 90:20 certification [2] -Cappelli [1] - 2:12 48:18, 48:20 captured [2] - 48:1, Certified [1] - 119:4 48:3 certified [2] - 1:23, capturing [i] - 61:18 57:24 car [3] - 86:6, 89:22, certify [3] - 48:12, 100:17 48:23, 119:6 careful [2] - 33:5, **CERTIFY** [2] - 119:9, 38:6 119:13 carefully [1] - 14:19 cetera [2] - 71:6, carried [2] - 118:11, 83:18 118:20 Chair [1] - 70:16 carry [1] - 118:11 CHAIRMAN [52] - 2:2, cars [18] - 20:12, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:1,

27:22, 33:12,

33:17, 42:12,

16:14, 29:21, 33:24, 36:23, 37:6, 68:17, 72:6, 75:24, 37:13, 37:18, 38:18, 46:20, 55:1, 55:11, 55:16, 55:20, 57:1, 58:8, 66:24, 75:4, 82:7, case [12] - 9:5, 45:20, 82:12, 82:16, 67:25, 88:3, 88:24, 84:20, 85:25, 87:17, 88:20, 89:20, 89:25, 90:8, 90:14, 91:5, 97:19, 99:25, 101:16, 105:19, 110:19, 112:23, 116:9, 116:15, 116:20, 117:20, 118:4, 118:16 Chairman [19] - 7:6, 8:16, 11:23, 13:14, 60:3, 62:24, 64:21, 29:23, 38:23, 65:1, 68:21, 70:11, 46:23, 55:9, 55:17, 56:24, 63:21, 77:23, 78:9, 78:11, 66:22, 91:7, 97:18, 78:13, 79:10, 80:4, 101:21, 105:18, 116:19, 116:25, 117:12 chairman [2] - 94:24, 104:2 challenge [3] - 32:2, 16:3, 19:10, 19:11, 114:11, 115:12 19:12, 21:7, 24:16, challenging [4] -74:22, 95:6, 102:7, 109:6 **CHAMBERS** [1] - 1:9 chance [1] - 34:23 change [11] - 17:11, 17:14, 26:13, 46:14, 53:1, 59:23, 60:14, 79:25, 85:15, 102:20, 103:4 changed [2] - 43:23, 44:6 changes [6] - 11:9, 14:1, 41:21, 41:22, 42:3, 42:8 changing [5] - 17:13, 62:23, 65:21, 66:15, 114:24 Chaplain [1] - 12:3 CHAPLIN [4] - 4:4, 12:8, 12:11, 12:12 Chaplin [5] - 11:24, 12:12, 26:19, 29:25, 55:14 Chaplin's [2] - 13:10, 55:4 character [2] - 66:16, 114:23 charge [1] - 66:5 charged [1] - 59:3 Chartowich [1] - 7:18

check [1] - 54:8

CHICK [1] - 1:4

Chick [67] - 3:17, 8:12, 9:9, 10:1, 10:4, 10:16, 13:6, 14:8, 14:15, 14:16, 14:20, 18:21, 20:7, 21:15, 24:1, 24:6, 27:18, 40:25, 45:10, 49:24, 49:25, 51:18, 56:9, 59:19, 60:3, 61:19, 62:25, 63:5, 67:20, 69:1, 69:24, 71:1, 71:12, 72:25, 76:18, 77:1, 78:2, 80:11, 81:3, 81:11, 81:23, 81:25, 83:5, 83:16, 84:13, 87:7, 92:21, 101:25, 102:4, 102:11, 105:25, 109:16, 111:2, 111:6, 113:9, 113:21, 114:10, 114:21, 115:5, 115:13, 115:17, 115:19, 117:10, 117:13, 117:19, 117:21, 118:5 CHICK-FIL-A [1] - 1:4 Chick-fil-A [63] -3:17, 8:12, 9:9, 10:1, 10:4, 10:16, 13:6, 14:15, 14:16, 18:21, 20:7, 21:15, 24:1, 24:6, 40:25, 45:10, 49:24, 49:25, 51:18, 56:9, 59:19, 60:3, 61:19, 62:25, 63:5, 67:20, 69:1, 69:24, 71:1, 71:12, 72:25, 76:18, 77:1, 78:2, 80:11, 81:3, 81:11, 81:23, 81:25, 83:5, 83:16, 84:13, 87:7, 92:21, 101:25, 102:4, 102:11, 105:25, 109:16, 111:2, 111:6, 113:9, 113:21, 114:10, 114:21, 115:5, 115:13, 115:17, 115:19, 117:10, 117:13, 117:21, 118:5 Chick-fil-A's [4] -14:8, 14:20, 27:18, 117:19 chief [2] - 66:5, 77:16 **choice** [2] - 75:15, 80:3 choose [3] - 62:2, 77:17, 79:1 chooses [1] - 41:12

chosen [2] - 21:19,

95:24 CHOUDHURY [26] -2:4, 7:20, 34:3, 34:6, 35:5, 35:14, 35:18, 35:21, 36:11, 36:18, 36:21, 66:22, 66:25, 67:3, 67:19, 68:11, 70:14, 94:3, 94:8, 95:18, 95:25, 97:2, 97:7, 97:16, 98:2, 98:6 Choudhury [3] - 7:19, 34:2, 36:24 circle [1] - 75:14 circuitous [3] - 79:11, 79:21, 95:11 circulate [1] - 20:12 circulating [1] - 52:12 circulation [9] - 14:9, 27:16, 42:4, 50:24, 59:6, 84:14, 108:21, 110:4, 110:10 civil [4] - 12:23, 13:2, 57:23, 104:12 claiming [1] - 106:20 clarification [4] -34:5, 67:2, 94:7, 98:4 clause [1] - 98:23 clean [1] - 11:5 cleaned [2] - 40:19, 40:23 clear [4] - 38:1, 69:20, 78:6, 85:7 clearance [2] - 54:2, 90:19 clearing [1] - 109:23 clearly [2] - 65:11, 113:20 Clerk [1] - 6:8 client [1] - 48:17 clogging [1] - 40:5 clogs [1] - 40:15 close [8] - 16:25, 30:5, 51:7, 55:7, 60:10, 69:11, 113:11, 116:16 closed [3] - 35:19, 36:3, 44:3 closely [3] - 52:6, 53:3, 70:7 closer [4] - 43:16, 59:19, 74:17, 78:14 closest [1] - 21:20 closure [4] - 54:14, 54:17, 54:18, 54:20 CLR [1] - 119:23 clues [1] - 112:5 code [1] - 26:6 cognizant [1] -116:21

99:23 collected [2] - 47:15, 83:22 collecting [1] - 48:6 collection [1] - 37:8 collects [1] - 40:1 colorized [5] - 15:12, 17:16, 18:9, 18:16, 29:16 Colorized [2] - 5:9, 29:18 column [1] - 26:2 combination [2] -70:23, 100:14 comfortable [4] -30:10, 33:10, 98:18, 109:2 coming [23] - 19:15, 19:19, 33:17, 35:12, 36:1, 46:5, 53:20, 61:19, 64:18, 66:21, 68:21, 68:23, 87:25, 94:11, 94:21, 96:8, 96:10, 96:16, 100:17, 107:18, 113:16, 115:14, 115:19 commencement [1] -119:6 comment [11] -11:15, 63:17, 94:4, 94:14, 97:8, 105:22, 106:9, 111:14, 111:15, 114:2, 116:11 commenting [1] -109:2 COMMENTS [1] ~ 4:16 comments [17] -11:5, 11:21, 13:24, 33:19, 42:25, 43:4, 50:11, 58:13, 58:15, 60:17, 63:15, 106:4, 106:7, 106:15, 106:17, 106:20 commercial [2] -31:24, 39:5 Commission [1] -119:24 common [3] - 20:7, 83:2, 97:10 commonly [2] - 8:13, COMMONS [1] - 1:10 communities [1] -111:5 commuting [2] -

67:16, 67:17

company's [1] -

85:16

collaboration [1] -

company [1] - 93:6

compared [5] - 14:10,

15:3, 63:4, 87:5, 115:10 completed [1] - 85:5 completely [2] - 85:2, 85:5 complex [4] - 32:4, 63:24, 79:4, 112:8 complexes [1] -115:21 compliance [3] -99:16, 106:12, 110:13 compliant [1] - 82:19 comply [1] - 11:21 concern [11] - 31:17, 39:7, 65:19, 65:21, 77:2, 82:1, 91:9, 92:6, 94:23, 95:8, 116:7 concerned [10] -31:9, 31:20, 31:22, 47:8, 47:11, 66:19, 76:2, 84:23, 111:1, 113:19 concerns [7] - 33:17, 97:1, 98:1, 101:12, 101:14, 107:11, 108:4 concluded [1] - 10:14 concluding [1] -117:13 conclusion [1] -116:11 concrete [2] - 47:9, 83:20 concurred [1] - 107:6 condition [15] - 15:4, 15:5, 48:18, 51:16, 63:4, 63:7, 70:25, 98:19, 99:4, 99:12, 102:19, 103:8, 109:18, 115:7, 115:10 conditions [5] -18:19, 58:25, 62:7, 62:10, 114:24 conduct [1] - 62:6 confident [1] - 30:11 configuration [4] -44:25, 59:7, 59:13, 104:14 confirm [1] - 54:16 conflict [1] - 117:25 conforming [1] -27:11 connect [2] - 42:21, 42:22 Connecticut [1] -13:5 connection [3] -12:6, 21:8, 73:21 connectivity [1] -60:5

connects [2] - 40:2,

43:20

36:8

conservative [2] -26:7, 71:18 consider [8] - 22:9, 26:21, 59:25, 66:9, 69:4, 96:5, 111:18, 114:15 considerable [1] -31:17 consideration [1] -84:6 considered [6] - 9:4, 74:15, 86:13, 95:3, 110:25, 111:13 considers [1] - 67:16 constrained [1] -103:8 constraint [1] -117:11 constraints [1] -26:15 construct [1] - 10:4 constructed [1] -73:20 consumer [1] - 85:14 contained [1] - 101:1 context [1] - 114:17 contiguous [1] - 74:2 continuance [2] -8:12, 9:10 CONTINUATION [1] -1:18 continue [4] - 19:22, 62:3, 109:25, 115:1 continued [1] - 64:21 continues [3] - 8:4, 65:7, 88:4 continuing [3] - 76:9, 77:8 continuous [1] -110:1 control [4] - 39:20, 68:19, 68:25, 87:21 controlled [1] - 32:3 convenience [2] -61:24, 71:15 convenience-based [1] - 61:24 convenient [2] - 30:4, 78:25 converge [1] - 52:24 conversation [4] -21:12, 75:7, 75:19, 97:5 conveyance [3] -40:2, 40:8, 47:19 coordinate [2] -99:18, 116:2 coordinated [1] -92:16 cop [1] - 114:8 copy [1] - 41:15 corner [5] - 21:9, 21:18, 23:11, 28:8,

Correct [5] - 65:14, 106:19, 107:8, 107:16, 118:16 correct [18] - 18:13, 26:24, 35:20, 37:4, 49:8, 49:9, 49:11, 53:14, 58:17, 58:18, 66:21, 67:22, 90:12, 90:13, 91:24, 93:23, 102:18, 105:10 COUNCIL [1] - 1:9 COUNCILMAN [36] -2:5, 7:11, 29:23, 30:15, 30:19, 31:2, 31:15, 32:18, 32:22, 33:22, 63:21, 65:10, 65:16, 66:17, 75:6, 79:2, 80:8, 80:16, 80:22, 81:1, 81:10, 81:13, 82:5, 91:7, 92:20, 92:23, 93:1, 93:19, 94:2, 98:3, 98:13, 98:22, 99:6, 110:21, 112:7, 112:18 councilman [1] -68:12 Councilman [10] -7:10, 33:15, 33:25, 75:4, 85:13, 94:5, 94:23, 99:2, 99:13, 112:24 Counsel [1] - 3:7 counsel [2] - 119:14, 119:17 count [3] - 25:12, 70:25, 82:19 country [1] - 117:9 counts [5] - 62:7, 71:4, 83:15, 112:2, 112:12 **COUNTY** [1] - 1:2 couple [10] - 39:20, 46:23, 50:20, 61:9, 66:13, 66:18, 69:4, 70:17, 73:7, 105:17 Courier [1] - 6:7 course [5] - 16:4, 20:9, 39:18, 40:13, 66:13 COURT [1] - 1:22 Court [2] - 1:23, 119:4 cover [2] - 11:13, 11:14 coverage [2] - 37:2, 42:2 covers [2] - 19:2, 114:13 COVID [3] - 83:10, 85:16, 85:18 crazy [1] - 75:17

create [5] - 65:25, 66:2, 66:3, 71:5 created [1] - 45:5 credence [1] - 37:7 credentials [2] -12:21, 57:18 criteria [5] - 62:14, 62:18, 65:5, 69:5, 103:20 critical [1] - 67:15 cross [6] - 43:8, 43:20, 96:12, 96:13, 108:19, 109:5 crossing [2] - 92:3, 94:13 crosswalk [8] -11:10, 42:18, 43:7, 49:4, 49:7, 73:11, 92:4 crosswalks [2] -42:4, 60:6 curb [3] - 19:12, 36:9, 46:9 curbed [1] - 53:13 curious [2] - 8:20, 86:10 customer [4] - 34:22, 35:3, 70:10, 95:10 customers [24] -19:15, 19:19, 20:18, 30:12, 34:10, 34:20, 34:24, 42:15, 60:11, 61:19, 63:1, 72:22, 77:17, 78:22, 78:25, 80:5, 82:22, 95:14, 101:2, 101:3, 109:22, 111:22, 112:4, 112:6 cut [3] - 19:12, 46:9, 100:13 cuts [1] - 79:4

D

D-O-W-N-E-Y [1] -57:9 Dakota [2] - 117:10, 117:15 damaging [1] - 38:16 danger [2] - 66:2, 93:20 dangerous [1] -68:20 dark [1] - 24:2 data [3] - 83:23, 100:9, 111:21 date [2] - 118:11, 119:12 dated [10] - 5:6, 5:8, 10:24, 10:25, 11:18, 15:8, 15:21, 16:23, 29:5, 29:12

Dated [1] - 119:25 **DAVIS** [1] - 3:3 days [1] - 16:17 days' [1] - 6:24 deal [1] - 48:11 deceleration [1] -100:13 December [4] - 6:3, 118:15, 118:21, 119:25 DECEMBER [1] -1:13 decide [1] - 99:22 decided [1] - 23:1 deciduous [2] -44:14, 44:20 decreased [1] - 37:9 definitely [11] -31:22, 47:21, 77:13, 86:3, 86:7, 86:8, 93:10, 97:4, 97:14, 109:9, 110:14 DeFrancesco [1] -9:23 degradation [1] -62:13 degrade (1) - 62:14 degree [3] - 13:2, 57:23, 114:16 degrees [1] - 88:12 Delaware [1] - 13:3 delays [2] - 63:3, 103:11 deliver [2] - 36:2, 83:9 deliveries [3] - 21:24, 36:17, 84:11 delivery [4] - 35:11, 35:16, 35:23, 36:1 Delivery [1] - 35:14 demand [5] - 64:21, 70:3, 84:5, 92:13, 109:14 demands [1] - 92:11 demonstrates [1] -63:10 **DEP** [1] - 39:4 Department [1] - 6:20 department [1] -92:17 Depot [5] - 16:5, 32:5, 80:11, 80:13, 80:14 describe [4] - 44:2, 44:5, 47:12, 56:5 described [2] - 43:22, 103:1 describing [2] -78:19, 100:21 **DESCRIPTION** [1] design [4] - 82:25, 83:1, 84:12, 104:10 Design [1] - 4:4

designed [5] - 14:19, 53:24, 104:12, 105:10, 109:12 designs [1] - 88:2 desirable [2] - 60:10, 111:2 desired [1] - 111:3 destined [2] - 100:24, 101:6 detail [2] - 51:7, 55:24 detailed [1] - 11:12 details [4] - 11:5, 11:6, 50:15, 50:17 detained [1] - 47:25 determine [1] - 26:22 determined [1] -70:19 determines [2] -25:15, 64:17 determining [1] -41:9 developed [6] -59:10, 60:23, 61:12, 87:6, 112:1, 114:19 developer [1] - 93:9 developers [2] -93:11, 93:15 Development [1] -3:17 development [6] -10:10, 10:16, 47:24, 61:4, 114:21, 115:10 develops [1] - 80:17 diagram [1] - 91:16 differ [1] - 41:22 difference [10] -43:24, 65:15, 71:9, 71:19, 72:3, 72:13, 72:24, 73:4, 102:3, 102:15 differences [1] -62:10 different [13] - 38:2, 44:18, 65:11, 67:8, 67:11, 67:12, 74:1, 85:1, 85:2, 86:11, 108:25, 111:20, 112:21 differential [1] -109:7 difficult [2] - 78:16, 108:10 DIFRANCESCO [1] -3:3 dimmed [2] - 54:15, 54:21 dining [1] - 30:23 direct [4] - 23:16, 24:24, 42:15, 85:19 direction [5] - 53:6,

56:2, 64:5, 74:13,

88:8

directions [4] - 32:10, 75:13, 111:23, 112:15 directive [1] - 63:18 directly [3] - 10:10, 10:11, 52:25 Disabilities [1] - 6:18 disability [2] - 6:17, 6:19 discuss [1] - 97:21 discussed [6] - 11:6, 27:12, 49:3, 54:14, 98:11, 100:22 discussing [1] -97:25 discussion [2] -108:1, 108:18 discussions [2] -108:15, 108:16 disperse [1] - 87:9 distance [2] - 51:22, 100:16 distribute [2] - 64:9, 70:4 distribution [1] -107:23 dive [1] - 14:12 divert [1] - 74:10 diving [1] - 58:20 Division [1] - 63:16 **DO** [2] - 119:9, 119:13 documented [1] -62:17 dollars [1] - 93:17 done [8] - 50:20, 51:2, 67:1, 67:4, 67:5, 83:16, 106:12, 110:8 door [7] - 35:24, 36:8, 44:2, 45:9, 46:9, 56:7, 83:7 doors [1] - 46:5 DOT [28] - 21:12, 42:23, 49:22, 50:7, 50:11, 60:2, 60:7, 60:12, 60:16, 62:11, 63:14, 66:5, 67:10, 67:15, 69:5, 71:2, 71:17, 71:19, 73:1, 73:21, 101:12, 102:11, 102:13, 103:24, 105:20, 105:23, 106:4, 111:18 DOT's [6] - 63:16, 65:4, 73:24, 103:9, 103:20, 107:24 double [2] - 95:18, 97:11 down [13] - 22:15, 32:11, 32:15, 34:19, 52:20,

52:24, 71:20,

73:11, 79:8, 87:20,

87:24, 90:3, 113:17 Downey [8] - 33:18, 37:16, 50:17, 57:9, 57:16, 58:12, 82:8, 113:3 DOWNEY [3] - 4:5, 57:5, 57:8 Downey's [1] - 58:9 dozen [1] - 13:5 dozens [1] - 58:1 drainage [1] - 47:8 drained [1] - 39:15 draws [1] - 111:19 drive [65] - 10:5, 19:18, 19:23, 19:25, 20:10, 20:14, 20:15, 20:16, 20:18, 20:22, 22:6, 27:17, 30:22, 31:1, 34:20, 34:24, 35:3, 42:5, 42:12, 44:13, 45:4, 52:1, 52:10, 52:18, 53:4, 53:5, 53:9, 59:6, 69:6, 71:5, 71:24, 82:11, 82:23, 83:3, 83:7, 83:20, 84:5, 84:8, 85:3, 86:2, 86:4, 86:6, 86:8, 86:9, 86:24, 87:3, 87:25, 88:3, 88:11, 88:15, 89:2, 90:4, 91:2, 92:7, 92:10, 93:4, 96:19, 104:15, 104:21, 105:1, 105:4, 105:5, 105:8, 109:12, 109:14 Drive [1] - 56:5 drive-by [1] - 93:4 drive-through [7] -19:18, 19:23, 19:25, 22:6, 27:17, 59:6, 83:7 drive-throughs [1] -71:5 drive-thru [45] - 10:5, 20:10, 30:22, 31:1, 34:20, 34:24, 35:3, 42:5, 42:12, 44:13, 45:4, 52:1, 52:10. 52:18, 53:4, 53:5, 53:9, 71:24, 82:11, 82:23, 83:3, 83:20, 84:5, 85:3, 86:2, 86:4, 86:6, 86:8, 86:9, 86:24, 87:3, 87:25, 88:11, 88:15, 89:2, 90:4, 92:7, 92:10, 104:15, 104:21, 105:1, 105:5, 105:8, 109:12, 109:14

driver [4] - 65:15, 75:9, 77:16, 79:20 driveway [19] - 50:22, 51:1, 59:17, 59:18, 59:21, 60:22, 62:9, 64:13, 70:7, 70:13, 74:17, 78:21, 96:21, 100:23, 107:14, 107:20, 108:12, 108:20, 109:10 driveways [2] -62:18, 74:14 driving [1] - 80:20 drop [1] - 62:2 drove [1] - 86:5 dual [4] - 83:6, 83:24, 85:3, 86:2 dual-fulfillment [1] -83:6 dual-fulfilment [1] -83:24 dual-lane [2] - 85:3, 86:2 due [1] - 32:4 duly [1] - 119:7 dumpster [2] - 50:21, 51:4 during [14] - 25.21, 37:25, 68:5, 68:9, 69:15, 78:3, 88:24, 89:13, 92:9, 92:12, 95:7, 99:15, 107:22, 112:13 Dynamic [2] - 4:6, 110:6

E

early [2] - 74:16, 109:8 Earth [1] - 16:3 easier [7] - 53:8, 62:25, 78:12, 79:10, 80:3, 80:12, 80:24 easiest [3] - 77:19, 77:22, 96:23 easily [1] - 93:6 east [16] - 10:10, 10:11, 16:6, 16:8, 17:8, 19:4, 23:4, 32:11, 32:21, 33:20, 53:8, 59:19, 89:15, 91:1, 100:11, 107:13 easterly [2] - 19:7, 108:13 eastern [2] - 22:5, 100:11 easy [4] - 21:19, 70:12, 88:18, 92:1 eat [1] - 80:13 Edgewood [4] - 4:19, 113:8, 113:13,

113:18 educational [1] -57:17 effective [2] - 82:21, 98:11 effectiveness [1] -95:17 efficiency [1] - 87:24 efficient [6] - 27:13, 28:1, 63:6, 66:15, 83:12, 83:25 efficiently [5] - 64:23, 65:7, 66:6, 109:14, 117:3 egress [4] - 19:12, 85:11, 87:9, 87:14 eight [1] - 69:12 either [9] - 19:6, 30:25, 37:25, 45:13, 64:5, 66:2, 76:13, 98:5, 98:7 elaborate [1] - 106:3 electronic [1] - 45:13 electronics [1] - 9:18 elevations [1] - 24:19 elsewhere [1] -108:13 Email [1] - 3:6 emergency [3] - 20:3, 52:2, 88:22 emergent [2] - 89:18, 90:2 employee [2] -119:14, 119:16 employees [13] -21:9, 30:1, 30:9, 30:12, 30:13, 45:8, 45:12, 46:4, 60:9, 61:21, 79:24, 82:20, 89:16 enclosure [9] - 21:17, 51:4, 51:7, 51:10, 55:23, 56:4, 56:6, 56:12, 56:14 encountered [1] -39:2 end [5] - 22:4, 42:19, 48:19, 111:7, 114:2 enforced [1] - 40:21 engineer [15] - 11:19, 11:24, 13:4, 19:14, 33:14, 33:18, 56:25, 57:18, 57:21, 57:25, 59:3, 66:8, 87:19, 90:17, 104:12 Engineer [1] - 2:13 ENGINEER [44] -45:23, 46:22, 47:7, 47:20, 47:23, 48:8, 48:22, 48:25, 49:10, 49:15,

49:18, 49:25, 50:3,

50:6, 50:10, 50:13,

50:19, 51:13,

51:17, 52:13, 52:17, 52:23, 53:10, 53:15, 54:4, 54:10, 54:19, 54:24, 97:20, 98:7, 98:17, 98:25, 105:17, 105:20, 106:16, 106:22, 107:3, 107:6, 107:9, 107:17, 107:25, 109:4, 110:2, 110:17 engineer's [1] - 23:16 Engineering [10] -4:4, 5:6, 5:8, 11:13, 12:13, 15:20, 16:23, 29:5, 29:12, 63:16 engineering [7] -12:23, 13:2, 14:12, 41:18, 57:23, 58:3, 72:19 Engineers [1] - 61:7 enhance [1] - 51:11 enhanced [1] - 51:15 enhancing [1] - 37:11 ensure [1] - 59:5 entails [1] - 49:16 enter [1] - 52:10 entering [3] - 19:24, 53:20, 92:1 entertain [1] - 97:5 entire [3] - 52:12, 67:20, 102:18 entirely [1] - 90:22 entrance [10] - 10:11, 32:14, 32:16, 50:22, 74:8, 74:18, 85:10, 104:21, 104:22 equipment [2] - 6:23, 56:11 equipped [1] - 70:6 erratically [1] - 89:23 escape [3] - 52:4, 89:11, 90:5 especially [2] - 33:11, 86:14 **ESQUIRE** [2] - 2:12, 3:3 essence [3] - 64:20, 90:24, 97:24 essentially [15] -20:5, 24:14, 38:5, 38:9, 39:23, 48:6, 61:18, 62:14, 62:22, 63:15, 78:23, 79:13, 90:11, 91:22, 104:25 Essentially [1] -64:16 establish [1] - 62:7

estimate [2] - 72:6,

72:11

evening [12] - 6:1, 8:11, 9:7, 9:21, 67:12, 67:16, 72:16, 81:21, 113:5, 113:7, 117:13, 117:21 event [5] - 20:3, 40:4, 52:1, 52:8, 110:12 eventually [1] - 74:1 evergreen [3] - 44:14, 44:21 evergreens [1] -44:11 evolution [1] - 30:25 exactly [7] - 17:2, 36:4, 36:5, 80:15, 104:7, 109:2, 116:24 example [3] - 86:5, 88:25, 89:5 except [1] - 92:3 exception [1] - 27:12 excluding [1] - 6:25 excuse [2] - 110:6, 113:22 exhausting [1] - 85:7 Exhibit [3] - 29:4, 29:11, 29:18 exhibit [10] - 11:1, 15:6, 15:7, 15:20, 15:25, 16:22, 17:16, 17:22, 18:3, 28:20 exhibits [1] - 28:19 exist [1] - 71:2 existed [1] - 51:19 existing [22] - 15:3, 15:5, 48:1, 58:25, 59:11, 59:13, 60:22, 61:11, 62:6, 62:7, 70:23, 70:25, 74:25, 102:5, 102:8, 102:19, 103:8, 106:2, 106:10, 112:2, 112:8, 112:12 exists [2] - 109:7, 114:17 exit [32] - 21:21, 31:3, 32:8, 32:15, 32:17, 32:19, 32:20, 33:20, 42:13, 52:6, 53:11, 62:25, 63:6, 68:13, 68:14, 68:15, 68:20, 69:1, 74:5, 74:6, 74:17, 74:18, 78:17, 83:13, 86:13, 87:21, 88:22, 90:11, 100:11, 103:21, 107:14 exit-only [1] - 74:17

et [2] - 71:6, 83:18

EV [3] - 21:2, 21:4,

21:5

exiting [6] - 42:12, 63:3, 69:23, 88:10, 88:16, 109:21 expect [4] - 75:9, 79:5, 81:20, 83:25 expectation [1] -76:14 expected [2] - 84:6, 92:11 experience [3] -27:18, 86:4, 86:9 expert [10] - 13:7, 31:5, 31:14, 32:14, 33:18, 57:19, 68:19, 68:25, 97:7, 97:11 explanation [1] - 33:5 expressing [1] -116:7 extend [2] - 26:1, 27:23 extended [2] - 49:11, 81:14 extension [2] - 64:16, 73:13 extra [6] - 34:25, 35:4, 52:3, 56:10, 88:21, 115:18 extreme [1] - 51:21

F

facilitate [1] - 89:12 facilities [3] - 48:2, 48:13, 48:14 fact [4] - 14:22, 86:14, 97:10, 118:1 factor [6] - 54:5, 54:8, 54:9, 61:17, 90:20, 104:24 factors [3] - 66:9, 70:23, 77:16 fail [2] - 39:21, 40:11 fail-safe [1] - 40:11 faii-safes [1] - 39:21 fair (1) - 93:1 fairly [9] - 59:14, 60:1, 66:11, 69:8, 71:18, 87:11, 101:5, 109:8, 112:14 fallback [1] - 70:12 familiar [1] - 13:23 family [1] - 80:10 far [16] - 31:16, 47:7, 47:11, 49:1, 62:15, 64:17, 69:6, 71:17, 85:5, 86:8, 92:7, 93:24, 103:4, 104:21, 116:21, 118:3 Fargo [1] - 16:7 fast [5] - 14:10, 14:18, 61:22, 71:4, 85:23

faster [2] - 95:15, 95:23 feasible [1] - 74:9 February [1] - 6:6 feedback [2] - 60:2, 110:9 feet [11] - 20:15, 24:11, 24:12, 25:2, 25:19, 26:1, 26:4, 69:9, 69:11, 77:10, 114:20 felt [2] - 8:21, 43:13 few [11] - 10:18, 14:17, 17:7, 38:23, 48:9, 66:18, 77:10, 82:12, 86:15, 86:17, 89:1 fewer [2] - 30:22, 65:3 field [2] - 12:22, 58:2 fight [1] - 91:1 figure [5] - 74:20, 78:22, 80:12, 98:11, 98:14 figured [1] - 79:3 Fil [3] - 10:16, 27:18, 102:4 FIL [1] - 1:4 fil [64] - 3:17, 8:12, 9:9, 10:1, 10:4, 13:6, 14:8, 14:15, 14:16, 14:20, 18:21, 20:7, 21:15, 24:1, 24:6, 40:25, 45:10, 49:24, 49:25, 51:18, 56:9, 59:19, 60:3, 61:19, 62:25, 63:5, 67:20, 69:1, 69:24, 71:1, 71:12, 72:25, 76:18, 77:1, 78:2, 80:11, 81:3, 81:11, 81:23, 81:25, 83:5, 83:16, 84:13, 87:7, 92:21, 101:25, 102:11, 105:25, 109:16, 111:2, 111:6, 113:9, 113:21, 114:10, 114:21, 115:5. 115:13, 115:17, 115:19, 117:10, 117:13, 117:19, 117:21, 118:5 filed [1] - 6:8 fill [1] - 69:24 filters [1] - 39:24 Final [1] - 10:3 FINAL [1] - 1:6 financially [1] -119:17 findings [1] - 98:9 fine [2] - 9:12, 54:23 finish [1] - 82:14

Fire [1] - 53:16

fire [11] - 11:1, 53:17, 53:18, 53:20, 53:24, 90:10, 91:1, 110:3, 110:5, 110:9, 110:14 firm [3] - 9:23, 12:13, 106:24 first [9] - 11:22, 22:21, 61:3, 69:6, 80:13, 80:14, 83:1, 88:16, 117:7 fit [3] - 28:9, 28:11, 105:7 five [1] - 13:6 fixtures [2] - 22:13, 22:15 flag [1] - 7:2 Flanigan [6] - 16:5, 21:10, 30:9, 49:8, 60:8, 80:1 FLAUM [1] - 3:3 Flemington [1] - 85:1 flexibility [1] - 98:15 flip [1] - 74:13 flocking [1] - 91:19 flood [1] - 40:12 flooring [1] - 100:17 Florio [1] - 2:12 flow [7] - 25:21, 31:8, 37:11, 65:7, 66:15, 70:19, 74:11 flows [1] - 66:6 flush [1] - 40:15 FLYNN [1] - 3:18 focus [2] - 10:8, 86:12 folder [1] - 9:13 follow [4] - 68:11, 75:5, 77:18, 110:9 follow-up [3] - 68:11, 75:5, 110:9 following [2] - 10:21, 40:17 follows [1] - 57:12 food [8] - 14:10, 14:18, 19:3, 30:22, 61:22, 71:4, 83:9, 85:23 foot [1] - 18:21 footage [1] - 71:21 footprint [2] - 9:1,

48:7

FOR [1] - 1:3

force [1] - 95:9

forecaster [1] - 96:1

foregoing [1] - 119:9

forget [1] - 54:16

forgive [1] - 87:18

formal [3] - 83:20,

former [3] - 16:9,

16:14, 71:10

forth [1] - 119:12

forward [6] - 12:3,

84:4, 84:16

55:5, 56:24, 57:2, 113:4, 117:2 four [4] - 25:16, 40:19, 40:23, 90:25 fourth [1] - 100:14 free [5] - 55:5, 57:1, 82:14, 84:15, 113:3 freestanding [1] -81:20 frequent [1] - 80:7 Fridays [1] - 16:10 front [9] - 16:4, 20:21, 20:22, 21:1, 22:23, 44:16, 51:1, 53:21, 109:21 frontage [5] - 21:15, 49:24, 50:1, 73:16, 105:24 fronting [2] - 52:21, 59:12 fronts [1] - 60:15 frustrated [1] - 75:23 fulfillment [1] - 83:6 fulfilment [1] - 83:24 full [1] - 59:15 full-movement [1] -59:15 fully [4] - 59:10, 60:22, 112:1, 114:13 function [3] - 39:10, 41:8, 44:7 functioning [1] - 41:6 furniture [2] - 30:2, 79:9 FURTHER [2] - 119:9, 119:13 future [2] - 74:5, 109:18

G

gap [1] - 69:22 Garden [2] - 32:12, 96:8 gas [1] - 80:23 gear [1] - 40:15 general [3] - 65:19, 103:7, 103:13 generally [4] - 27:11, 30:3, 37:22, 40:20 generated [4] - 61:4, 61:25, 63:16, 69:17 generates [3] - 71:22, 72:14, 81:25 generating [2] -71:14, 109:17 generation [2] - 61:8, 101:25 generic [1] - 70:21 geographically [1] -111:22 given [10] - 6:5, 9:10,

30:20, 37:2, 64:9,

64:24, 79:20,

86:14, 109:6, 118:22 goal [1] - 111:3 Google [1] - 16:2 grade [3] - 17:11, 26:13, 109:6 grand [3] - 27:22, 92:12, 92:14 Grand [2] - 17:24, 18:12 granted [1] - 9:3 grates [1] - 40:6 gravity [2] - 107:24, 111:18 gray [1] - 45:22 Great [2] - 13:22 great [7] - 14:24, 27:15, 28:13, 64:7, 73:14, 93:3, 113:9 greater [2] - 72:3, 86:19 greatest [1] - 14:21 green [13] - 17:12, 22:2, 64:12, 64:22, 64:25, 65:4, 66:21, 68:5, 68:7, 68:8, 69:18, 78:5, 78:10 grey [1] - 45:24 grid [1] - 79:18 grid-locked [1] -79:18 ground [4] - 40:9, 88:23, 89:21, 92:18 Group [1] - 2:15 groups [1] - 106:20 guess [20] - 30:19, 31:6, 35:9, 35:12, 49:4, 70:22, 73:8, 73:12, 74:5, 75:10, 75:18, 75:20, 75:21, 76:1, 76:7, 76:12, 76:13, 80:9, 114:14 guidance [2] - 76:9, 77:5

Н

guiding [2] - 53:7,

guys [5] - 37:25,

112:8, 114:6,

115:20, 115:23

88:14

half [1] - 24:11 hammer [1] - 99:15 hand m - 100:2 handicap [5] - 34:4, 34:7, 34:8, 34:12, 35:8 handle [2] - 70:6, 85:22 handles [1] - 83:12 happy [4] - 24:5, 26:6, 41:13, 97:21 hard [1] - 93:10

hardly [4] - 68:15, 68:16, 68:17, 94:20 haul [1] - 28:12 head [2] - 64:8, 73:6 headache [1] - 93:8 hear [2] - 38:20, 102:15 heard [16] - 6:13, 14:20, 29:22, 45:10, 46:25, 47:8, 48:9, 58:13, 58:15, 59:9, 59:24, 61:16, 82:18, 105:23, 106:1, 108:14 **HEARING** [1] - 1:17 hearing [23] - 6:15, 10:13, 10:17, 10:21, 11:7, 11:9, 11:17, 11:25, 12:6, 13:16, 13:19, 13:21, 15:15, 17:22, 22:22, 23:20, 25:8, 33:16, 43:2, 58:13, 58:14, 118:11, 118:20 hearings [1] - 6:12 height [3] - 54:2, 54:5, 56:16 held [1] - 62:12 help [7] - 20:23, 24:24, 42:15, 75:2, 92:15, 96:25, 97:25 helpful [1] - 100:24 helps [1] - 83:13 hereby [1] - 119:5 hereinbefore [1] -119:12 Heritage [1] - 8:17 Hernandez [3] - 4:19, 113:8, 116:10 HERNANDEZ [6] -113:7, 113:25, 114:3, 115:11, 116:6, 116:14 higher [6] - 14:13, 48:4, 70:3, 71:13, 71:14, 72:1 highest [1] - 109:17 highlight [1] - 82:24 Highway [1] - 102:13 highway [7] - 60:15, 62:8, 65:7, 66:6, 69:7, 87:7, 114:19 hire [1] - 28:11 hit [4] - 64:8, 76:12, 76:13, 80:23 hitting [1] - 80:20 hmm [1] - 43:18 holding [1] - 90:6 holidays [1] - 6:25 home [3] - 62:3, 80:25, 112:20

Home [5] - 16:5, 32:5,

80:11, 80:13, 80:14

honestly [1] - 51:20 hope [1] - 75:12 hopefully [2] - 96:4, 99:14 hoping [1] - 24:23 hot [2] - 26:12, 46:2 hour [8] - 9:6, 54:20, 64:9, 64:25, 66:13, 72:6, 102:21, 103:18 hours [13] - 67:4, 67:9, 67:15, 67:24, 68:1, 68:6, 72:16, 95:4, 95:10, 102:21, 107:22, 112:14 hours' [1] - 6:19 house [1] - 34:11 housekeeping [1] -28:16 hum [2] - 49:17, 52:22 human [1] - 76:14 hundreds [1] - 66:14

ı

ice [1] - 38:1 idea [2] - 35:1, 39:16 ideally [1] - 44:11 identified [4] - 10:5, 18:2, 62:22, 63:19 identifies [1] - 93:2 imagine [2] - 37:7, 38:2 impact [10] - 39:10, 45:19, 53:6, 59:4, 63:11, 84:21, 84:24, 106:4, 115:9, 115:25 impacted [1] - 42:1 impacting [1] - 50:14 impacts [1] - 61:1 impatient [1] - 65:23 impede [1] - 91:13 imperceptible [1] -65:15 impervious [3] -22:11, 37:1, 42:2 implement [2] -14:22, 99:21 implemented [1] -63:20 implements [1] -67:10 implications [1] -111:16 important [6] - 22:17, 61:9, 66:7, 73:19, 103:23, 109:13 improve [1] - 64:13 improved [5] - 60:1, 65:2, 73:22, 103:14, 115:4 improvement [2] -

15:3, 62:22 improvements [8] -9:2, 62:16, 63:2, 63:7, 103:7, 103:15, 104:18, 106:13 improves [1] - 115:6 improving [1] - 64:14 inaccessible [1] -91:4 Inc [2] - 3:17, 10:1 INC [1] - 1:4 inclined [1] - 87:8 include [3] - 10:25, 11:4, 40:18 included [4] - 11:7, 25:10, 105:22, 116:5 including [2] - 69:23, 78:2 inconvenient [2] -70:10, 90:3 increase [1] - 61:10 increased [1] - 27:24 indefinite [1] - 78:5 independently [1] -87:6 individual [2] - 6:18, 6:22 individuals [1] - 6:16 industry [2] - 61:7, 83:3 infiltration [1] - 39:24 influx [1] - 85:22 information [1] -100:5 infrastructure [1] -22:2 ingress [4] - 19:11, 59:17, 87:8, 87:13 initial [1] - 110:5 initiate [1] - 64:18 Inn [1] - 8:17 inside [1] - 43:20 insight [1] - 81:5 insights [1] - 75:9 insignificant [1] -115:9 installed [2] - 49:21, 60:7 instead [6] - 23:3, 23:6, 83:6, 94:12, 94:18, 103:13 Institute [1] - 61:6 intended [4] - 44:7, 45:8, 45:16, 91:20 intent [1] - 87:15 intention [4] - 44:9, 46:1, 46:10, 99:7 interested [4] - 75:8, 76:7, 76:14, 119:17 interesting [1] -

112:18

interfering [1] - 84:14

internal [13] - 41:8, 41:14, 59:6, 61:17, 69:6, 78:8, 82:10, 84:23, 86:22, 87:12, 97:23, 98:24, 109:15 intersection [19] -16:9, 19:16, 33:21, 49:6, 59:15, 62:21, 63:20, 66:16, 66:19, 67:8, 69:7, 69:10, 69:14, 69:16, 70:1, 73:21, 74:8, 78:8, 100:7 intersections [1] -62:9 intervene [1] - 89:17 introduction [1] -9:20 invest [1] - 93:17 involved [1] - 108:15 involvement [1] -104:17 issue [2] - 54:20, 118:5 issues [2] - 47:4, 98:21 ITE [1] - 71:3 items [1] - 91:8 iteration [1] - 18:10 itself [6] - 36:2, 59:20, 62:5, 62:21, 84:24, 101:1 IV [1] - 2:13

J

K

KATHERINE [1] - 2:15 keep [3] - 23:7, 24:12, 90:8 keeping [1] - 17:13 keeps [1] - 83:13 kick [2] - 40:14, 116:1 kind [48] - 14:9, 14:13, 16:11, 19:1, 19:10, 20:23, 21:23, 22:16, 23:5, 24:15, 26:15,

27:10, 31:7, 33:16, 36:13, 38:3, 39:10, 39:15, 40:17, 42:12, 43:8, 43:16, 43:25, 44:3, 44:6, 44:12, 44:14, 45:1, 45:4, 46:14, 52:5, 52:11, 53:5, 53:7, 58:20, 61:2, 70:20, 72:11, 79:9, 79:14, 82:10, 91:16, 104:10, 104:11, 104:15, 105:11, 114:4 kinds [1] - 75:17 Kirsh [7] - 7:10, 75:4, 85:13, 94:5, 94:24, 99:3, 99:13 KIRSH [36] - 2:5, 7:11, 29:23, 30:15, 30:19, 31:2, 31:15, 32:18, 32:22, 33:22, 63:21, 65:10, 65:16, 66:17, 75:6, 79:2, 80:8, 80:16, 80:22, 81:1, 81:10, 81:13, 82:5, 91:7, 92:20, 92:23, 93:1, 93:19, 94:2, 98:3, 98:13, 98:22, 99:6, 110:21, 112:7, 112:18 Kirsh's [1] - 114:5 knowledge [2] -15:17, 47:6 known [4] - 8:14, 10:6, 61:25, 112:10

KUNZMAN [1] - 3:3

lack [1] - 64:2

land [1] - 61:11 Land [2] - 2:16, 58:2 landscape [2] -22:16, 42:25 landscaped [1] - 28:7 landscaping [13] -15:2, 18:17, 27:24, 42:7, 43:3, 43:23, 44:1, 44:3, 44:6, 45:6, 46:11, 54:11, 54:12 lane [29] - 20:2, 20:4, 30:22, 43:16, 45:4, 45:14, 51:18, 51:19, 52:3, 52:6, 52:7, 52:15, 52:25, 53:9, 68:23, 69:13, 70:2, 78:17, 78:18, 83:17, 85:3, 86:2, 88:15, 89:11, 90:5, 94:20, 100:12, 100:13, 100:14 lanes [21] - 52:18,

52:20, 52:24, 68:23, 68:24, 70:1, 77:24, 78:14, 78:18, 83:3, 83:21, 83:24, 84:5, 87:20, 88:5, 90:4, 94:13, 100:13, 101:7, 105:8 language (1) - 98:12 large [2] - 61:24, 77:17 largest [1] - 53:18 Last [1] - 84:9 last [21] - 11:7, 11:9, 12:12, 13:16, 14:7, 15:15, 17:22, 23:20, 25:8, 25:23, 33:16, 35:10, 35:25, 41:19, 43:2, 54:10, 58:13, 58:14, 84:10, 89:1, 110:2 Lastly [1] - 69:25 latest [6] - 14:21, 17:17, 18:10, 29:15, 44:19, 52:23 law [1] - 9:23 layout [9] - 14:12, 27:14, 28:1, 44:18, 88:10, 104:18, 104:20, 106:5, 106:8 layouts [2] - 108:3, 108:17 lead [1] - 76:3 leads [1] - 49:7 least [11] - 9:6, 31:10, 40:23, 77:18, 83:5, 94:16, 94:24, 96:8, 96:15, 117:14, 118:6 leave [9] - 19:13, 31:23, 47:25, 60:9, 89:3, 89:6, 108:7, 111:2, 111:8 leaves [4] - 49:4, 69:22, 82:20, 87:4 leaving [9] - 32:4, 33:17, 53:4, 53:9, 63:24, 75:12, 107:13, 109:19, 109:22 LED [2] - 22:13, 27:25 Ledger [1] - 6:8 left [32] - 32:2, 32:24, 37:16, 70:1, 75:22, 76:3, 77:6, 77:22, 77:23, 77:24, 77:25, 78:13, 79:8, 79:14, 94:13, 94:18, 95:15, 95:23, 96:7, 96:13,

100:14, 103:16,

103:18, 105:4,

108:6, 112:16,

113:16, 114:7, 115:6 left-left [2] - 77:6, 79:14 left-turn [2] - 103:16, 103:18 lefts [1] - 88:5 **LEHRER** [1] - 3:3 lend [1] - 37:6 lens [1] - 81:3 less [6] - 37:3, 44:23, 73:3, 81:25, 84:1, 84:3 letter [7] - 11:13, 11:14, 25:25, 43:4, 47:1, 101:13, 105:22 level [9] - 14:13, 40:6, 54:22, 62:13, 65:4, 83:14, 92:12, 98:14, 103:5 levels [1] - 103:10 License [2] - 2:22, 119:23 license [1] - 58:4 licensed [2] - 13:3, 57:21 light [17] - 19:2, 24:2, 33:3, 62:23, 64:19, 65:22, 65:24, 69:18, 75:8, 76:6, 76:12, 76:13, 78:5, 78:10, 113:17, 114:9, 118:1 lighting [5] - 22:12, 27:25, 54:13, 54:14, 54:21 lights [1] - 54:15 likelihood [1] - 96:17 likely [6] - 75:20, 77:1, 79:20, 80:17, 93:6, 95:24 limit [1] - 24:21 limited [4] - 14:25, 24:9, 86:23, 87:20 limiting [1] - 54:4 line [2] - 52:9, 90:7 lines [2] - 49:19, 53:16 listening [1] - 14:6 lit [1] - 22:15 live [2] - 112:21, 113:12 LLC [4] - 1:22, 2:12, 2:15, 3:19 load [2] - 17:15, 116:4 loading [4] - 18:15, 84:9, 84:16, 100:20 locate [1] - 87:2 located [5] - 9:24, 12:14, 46:6, 61:14, 70:8

location [21] - 14:16,

21:18, 27:20, 42:3, 50:21, 50:23, 70:6, 71:6, 74:24, 76:21, 78:4, 84:25, 85:9, 87:10, 87:24, 91:20, 93:2, 96:15, 96:25, 104:25, 115:25 locations [4] - 14:18, 32:6, 88:4, 92:21 locked [1] - 79:18 logical [1] - 79:11 logjam [1] - 77:11 look [22] - 23:7, 23:9, 24:19, 26:14, 39:6, 42:12, 43:11, 51:6, 51:11, 52:5, 61:3, 66:7, 72:7, 74:16, 76:8, 83:15, 87:8, 93:13, 97:15, 100:11, 105:3, 108:17 looked [5] - 41:25, 58:20, 74:12, 108:3, 115:21 looking [17] - 23:11, 24:10, 24:14, 26:9, 26:15, 38:25, 53:2, 55:23, 67:25, 86:10, 95:7, 96:1, 101:2, 102:18, 102:25, 103:2, 112:11 looks [4] - 44:1, 45:5, 52:24, 89:7 loop [3] - 77:10, 79:9, 79:15 lose [1] - 22:24 **LOT** [1] - 1:5 low [3] - 23:1, 69:8, 87:11

М

low-volume [1] -

lower [2] - 68:9,

lumped [2] - 71:20,

lunch [1] - 80:14

87:11

71:22

102:9

M-1 [1] - 27:2 23:4 MAGURA [7] - 2:6, 7:15, 13:11, 100:4, 100:10, 101:8, 101:15 Magura [2] - 7:14, 101:17 main [6] - 16:5, 19:9, 3:19 mealtime [1] - 81:11 31:18, 50:22, 51:1, mealtimes [1] - 81:14 54:8 mean [5] - 38:4, maintain [2] - 38:14, 104:15 52:11, 72:6, 80:16, 80:18 maintained [1] -48:14 meaning [2] - 61:18,

11:3, 38:5, 38:8, 38:11, 39:1, 39:6, 40:14, 40:18, 48:9 Major [1] - 10:3 major [1] - 58:17 MAJOR [1] - 1:6 majority [2] - 37:23, 94:23 manage [4] - 88:24, 92:15, 92:18, 109:13 manageable [1] -83:14 managed [2] - 47:13, 47:21 management [8] -11:2, 22:1, 30:16, 37:8, 37:14, 37:15, 38:7, 85:6 Manager [1] - 3:17 managing [1] - 89:14 maneuverability [2] -20:17, 20:23 manual [7] - 11:3, 38:8, 38:11, 39:1, 39:7, 39:13, 40:18 Manville [1] - 115:15 mark [4] - 15:17, 15:22, 16:12, 17:17 MARK [1] - 2:12 marked [7] - 15:10, 15:14, 15:17, 17:22, 29:6, 29:13, 29:19 market [1] - 111:11 Marshal [1] - 53:16 MARTINEZ [1] - 3:17 Martinez [7] - 10:15, 14:7, 18:23, 21:23, 25:8, 25:17, 27:15 Martinez's [1] - 13:23 match [1] - 51:10 material [3] - 40:22, 51:8, 56:18 materials [2] - 9:14, 10:22 MATT [1] - 3:18 matter [2] - 10:14, 78:10 mature [2] - 17:8, MAURIZIO [1] - 2:2 max [1] - 24:12 maximize [1] - 19:18 Mayor [1] - 7:8 McDonough [1] -

maintenance [9] -

62:14 meaningful [1] -102:2 means [1] - 64:16 measure [1] - 26:7 measures [3] - 37:24, 38:12, 40:11 meet [4] - 22:2, 22:8, 22:13, 45:12 MEETING [1] - 1:3 meeting [7] - 6:3, 6:4, 6:21, 41:19, 54:14, 60:16, 84:10 Meetings [1] - 6:6 MEMBER [45] - 7:15, 7:20, 7:22, 13:11, 16:17, 34:3, 34:6, 35:5, 35:14, 35:18, 35:21, 36:11, 36:18, 36:21, 37:21, 66:22, 66:25, 67:3, 67:19, 68:11, 70:14, 70:16, 71:8, 72:5, 72:10, 72:13, 72:23, 73:2, 73:7, 73:17, 74:4, 75:3, 94:3, 94:8, 95:18, 95:25, 97:2, 97:7, 97:16, 98:2, 98:6, 100:4, 100:10, 101:8, 101:15 member's [2] - 23:19, 101:24 members [13] - 9:21, 19:3, 19:6, 21:21, 48:10, 55:3, 83:8, 108:1, 108:5, 113:2, 118:2, 118:19 MEMBERS [1] - 2:1 memo [8] - 10:24, 11:15, 11:18, 11:21, 28:4, 41:23, 47:2, 110:6 memory [1] - 25:18 mention [1] - 49:20 mentioned [10] -18:23, 19:24, 21:6, 25:9, 31:4, 35:25, 49:19, 84:10, 96:3, 105:21 menu [1] - 45:13 merge [1] - 83:4 methodology [2] -107:24, 111:19 Michael [2] - 9:22, 114:5 MICHAEL [2] - 2:5, 3:3 mid [2] - 43:7, 43:19 mid-block [2] - 43:7, 43:19

midday [1] - 67:17

middle [2] - 43:12,

117:19 might [16] - 23:18, 23:22, 28:18, 32:9, 68:4, 76:10, 78:7, 79:12, 79:23, 88:4, 91:25, 93:14, 95:5, 102:2, 108:17. 109:25 millions [1] - 93:17 Millstone [1] - 1:24 mind [6] - 12:21, 24:12, 58:19, 85:1, 85:4, 93:20 minimum [2] - 35:7, 117:12 minor [5] - 8:25, 11:4, 50:15, 50:16, 66:11 minute [3] - 69:20, 76:10, 77:9 minutes [1] - 69:21 miscellaneous (2) -73:8, 94:19 misheard [1] - 49:19 miss [1] - 68:22 missed [2] - 42:8, 43:5 missed.. [1] - 28:2 mitigation [2] -103:1, 103:4 mix [2] - 44:13, 47:10 mobile [1] - 31:1 model [4] - 83:6, 83:24, 107:24, 111:19 modest [1] - 24:18 modifications (2) -59:22, 60:22 modify [1] - 104:14 Moench [1] - 7:8 moment [3] - 73:18, 74:3, 91:6 monitor [1] - 70:19 months [1] - 38:15 monument [3] -22:25, 24:7, 24:22 moon [1] - 94:20 morning [4] - 67:11, 67:16, 72:15, 112:13 Morrisville [1] -115:16 most [14] - 9:11, 19:13, 19:14, 30:4, 60:10, 73:19, 81:20, 82:21, 91:10, 91:14, 94:16, 98:11, 103:22, 111:4 mostly [2] - 21:9, 46:4 motions [1] - 65:13 motoring [1] - 76:25 Mountain [1] - 3:4

mounted [1] - 25:3

move [4] - 90:6, 101:7, 109:25, 117:2 movement [12] -53:8, 59:15, 70:5, 74:20, 76:2, 77:25, 80:12, 92:1, 100:25, 103:16, 103:18 movements [6] -53:17, 64:6, 76:17, 89:12, 103:12, 103:19 moving [3] - 45:16, 45:17, 60:24 msilbert@ newjerseylaw.net [1] - 3:6 mud [2] - 39:9, 39:17 multiple [2] - 13:8, 87:13 MUNICIPAL [1] - 1:9 Municipal [1] - 6:10

N

N.J.S.A [1] - 6:6 nail [1] - 64:8 NAME [1] - 4:17 name [6] - 9:22, 12:10, 12:11, 12:12, 57:7, 113:5 Nancy [2] - 28:22, 118:12 **NANCY** [1] - 2:16 narrowed [1] - 53:10 naturally [3] - 40:5, 78:15, 106:12 nature [7] - 61:22. 62:4, 69:10, 77:14, 82:4, 86:24, 88:9 navigate [1] - 53:19 near [2] - 50:22, 93:24 nearly [1] - 76:22 necessarily [4] -75:24, 90:2, 106:6, 110:4 necessary [2] - 6:25, necessitates [1] -104:25 need [20] - 9:13, 20:21, 20:22, 22:18, 38:5, 38:10, 39:13, 48:12, 62:15, 68:6, 68:9, 78:17, 88:7, 88:22, 92:4, 95:9, 98:10, 101:7, 113:16. 118:10 needed [4] - 26:5, 26:8, 27:23, 90:25 needing [1] - 53:22 needs (9) - 20:3,

40:14, 40:18, 41:9, 68:5, 75:2, 88:11, 89:3, 89:6 negatively [1] - 50:14 negotiation [1] -105:12 net [2] - 64:24, 102:20 network [8] - 40:8, 59:5, 61:2, 62:5, 63:11, 74:2, 84:21, 86:23 NEW [2] - 1:2, 1:10 new [21] - 6:12, 6:13, 8:10, 18:21, 21:14, 22:13, 23:10, 24:7, 27:25, 42:23, 47:24, 49:4, 49:20, 49:21, 60:6, 60:12, 61:4, 62:4, 63:19, 83:23, 115:21 New [14] - 1:24, 3:4. 9:24, 12:14, 13:4, 26:12, 46:2, 57:21, 58:2, 60:12, 65:22, 83:17, 119:4 newer [1] - 115:23

83:17, 119:4 newer [1] - 115:23 News [1] - 6:7 next [5] - 17:15, 55:10, 62:6, 117:16, 118:6 nice [2] - 20:9, 24:1 night [1] - 66:12 night-and-day [1] -66:12 nighttime [2] - 35:12,

35:15 NIREN [1] - 2:4 NJ [1] - 119:23 NJDOT [1] - 61:5 NO [2] - 1:7, 5:3 No-Build [1] - 63:4 no-build [1] - 115:7 nobody [2] - 68:16, 76:17

non [3] - 81:17, 89:10, 95:7 non-peak [3] - 81:17, 89:10, 95:7 none [2] - 55:7.

116:16 normal (1) - 92:9 north [10] - 16:6, 20:2, 33:2, 60:8,

75:14, 82:20, 90:15, 111:3, 111:8, 112:10

North [5] - 77:22, 101:4, 112:17, 117:9, 117:15 northbound [2] -

103:17, 113:16 northeast [1] - 23:11 norther [1] - 88:21

northern [1] - 89:4

northwest [4] - 21:8, 21:18, 28:8, 36:8 northwesterly [1] -49:5 notably [1] - 81:25 Notary [2] - 119:3, 119:24 note [8] - 17:4, 17:6, 17:10, 22:18, 61:10, 69:25, 72:18, 109:5 nothing [4] - 12:7, 60:18, 113:11, 119:8 notice [6] - 6:4, 6:7, 6:20, 6:24, 26:3, 118:21 noticed [1] - 28:4 noting [2] - 20:14, 22:18 November [1] - 10:25 Number [2] - 69:5, 82:25 number [15] - 23:8, 33:12, 61:10, 68:4, 71:11, 73:5, 79:5, 79:6, 82:24, 92:21, 102:2, 102:3,

_

108:5, 111:1,

77:15, 96:2,

101:23, 106:6

numbers [6] - 72:1,

114:16

O objection [1] - 13:11 objections [2] -13:10, 58:9 observed [3] - 32:7, 58:21, 58:25 obviously [13] -27:25, 35:6, 41:13, 48:11, 58:15, 65:6, 71:2, 71:13, 81:10, 86:24, 108:3, 108:12, 113:10 occupant [1] - 71:10 occurs [1] - 21:24 October [13] - 5:6, 5:8, 8:13, 10:14, 10:17, 10:21, 10:24, 11:17, 11:25, 15:21, 16:23, 29:5, 29:13 OF [4] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:16 off-peak [1] - 68:6 off-site [1] - 28:12 offered [5] - 50:11, 106:4, 106:25, 107:2, 110:7 offices [1] - 12:13 official [2] - 53:17, 110:9 official's [2] - 110:6,

110:14 often [2] - 21:24, 31:1 Ohio [2] - 117:9, 117:15 Olive [2] - 32:11, 96:8 on-site [6] - 20:14, 28:11, 47:21, 48:2, 54:21, 84:14 once [10] - 19:24, 20:11, 28:10, 40:13, 41:5, 70:14, 83:9, 94:20, 104:17, 108:7 one [70] - 14:17, 16:19, 19:9, 19:17, 19:21, 20:20, 21:2, 21:3, 21:4, 21:5, 21:19, 24:21, 25:23, 33:8, 34:15, 42:9, 43:12, 43:16, 43:19, 52:24, 55:18, 55:19, 55:24, 59:4, 59:5, 59:12, 60:17, 61:10, 64:18, 67:23, 68:13, 68:16, 68:17, 68:23, 69:5, 69:11, 70:2, 72:8, 72:19, 74:4, 74:10, 83:4, 83:17, 86:15, 86:17, 87:4, 87:10, 87:20, 88:16, 88:17, 90:3, 93:16, 94:3, 94:19, 96:13, 100:4, 101:22, 101:24, 104:6, 105:14, 108:4, 108:9, 108:11, 109:18, 110:22, 111:18, 114:16, 115:17, 118:7

One [1] - 16:17 one-way [1] - 72:19 ones [4] - 23:12, 67:14, 78:15, 106:23 open [6] - 44:8, 44:10, 60:11, 82:21, 99:9, 113:1 Open [1] - 6:5 opening [4] - 27:22, 92:12, 92:15, 113:23 Opening [2] - 17:25, 18:12 opens [2] - 44:1, 51:21 operate [1] - 27:20 operation [2] - 62:8, 85:2 operational [2] -111:11, 117:16 operations [10] -10:15, 11:2, 14:9,

38:8, 38:11, 45:10, 45:11, 57:25, 85:16, 92:10 operator [2] - 38:10, 40:25 opinion [5] - 50:23, 63:9, 98:19, 100:23, 114:13 opportunity [2] -14:14, 86:1 opposed [2] - 91:3, 113:11 opposing [1] - 53:6 optimal [1] - 87:3 optimized [1] - 27:21 option [8] - 70:13, 78:25, 79:22, 85:24, 87:4, 95:15, 95:24, 101:2 options [2] - 85:23, 108:25 oranges [1] - 72:2 order [5] - 9:8, 19:25, 20:11, 96:12, 113:14 ordering [5] - 18:25, 19:5, 19:6, 20:1, 83:3 orders [3] - 19:7, 45:14, 89:16 originally [1] - 41:24 otherwise [2] - 75:16, 96:6 ought [1] - 71:15 outlet [3] - 39:20, 40:7, 47:18 outline [1] - 14:4 outside [3] - 31:9, 43:9, 43:20 outstanding [1] -47:4 outward [1] - 59:7 Overall [2] - 5:5, 29:4 overall [15] - 15:7, 15:19, 15:25, 16:1, 16:22, 18:3, 18:5, 27:25, 29:1, 40:2, 71:21, 81:24, 102:10, 107:23, 114:23 overflow [2] - 84:7, 104:23 overhead [1] - 15:24 overlap (4) - 81:8, 81:23, 82:2 overnight [2] - 35:17, 84:12 oversized [2] - 20:15, 20:22 overview [2] - 42:7, 46:24 own [5] - 14:4, 73:1, 96:15, 101:5,

102:12

ownership [1] - 30:17

P P.E [4] - 2:13, 4:4, 4:5, 57:11 p.m [9] - 6:13, 6:14, 8:8, 54:16, 54:17, 54:18, 54:20, 112:13, 118:25 P.M m - 1:14 P.P [2] - 2:15, 3:18 packet [1] - 23:23 pad [16] - 10:9, 17:5, 23:13, 26:12, 43:9, 47:19, 59:12, 70:8, 80:5, 86:22, 87:10, 104:4, 104:6, 104:22, 108:8, 109:16 pads [1] - 90:24 page [1] - 93:25 PAGE [3] - 4:2, 4:17, Page [2] - 103:2, 103:3 pain [1] - 76:20 Pappas (1) - 7:12 Pappas's [1] - 11:8 parcel [1] - 60:3 Park [1] - 12:14 park [4] - 19:21, 30:1, 30:3, 60:9 parked [2] - 80:1, 86:6 parking [44] - 11:8, 14:23, 17:5, 19:22, 20:6, 20:21, 20:24, 21:7, 21:10, 22:4, 27:16, 29:25, 30:3, 30:5, 30:8, 30:14, 30:21, 34:4, 34:7, 34:13, 35:8, 36:16, 37:24, 39:5, 41:3, 42:19, 43:1, 49:7, 49:11, 52:21, 59:6, 60:8, 60:10, 61:16, 73:12, 74:9, 80:2, 82:18, 82:19, 82:21, 84:8, 105:4, 105:5, 108:20 part [20] - 17:9, 39:3, 59:22, 60:25, 63:15, 66:7, 66:18, 73:19, 73:20, 89:4, 104:9, 106:13, 106:25, 107:4, 108:24, 111:13, 111:15, 114:24, 115:23, 116:5 partially [1] - 24:8 particular [11] -63:12, 64:11, 64:14, 69:22, 69:25, 70:5, 70:8, 74:24, 87:10, 87:24, 104:12

particularly [5] -32:5, 33:19, 77:6, 107:22, 118:1 parties [1] - 119:15 pass [2] - 43:16, 62:1 pass-by [2] - 43:16, 62:1 past [2] - 13:6, 78:4 path (5) - 21:16, 73:22, 77:18, 77:19, 96:22 pathway [1] - 45:5 Patrick [1] - 57:8 PATRICK [3] - 4:5, 57:5, 57:8 patrons [1] - 61:20 patterns [1] - 67:11 pause [2] - 39:7, 56:21 pavement [13] - 22:3, 22:5, 22:9, 37:3, 37:22, 37:23, 38:3, 38:6, 38:14, 38:17, 39:1, 47:9, 47:17 paving [1] - 39:25 PC [1] - 3:3 peak [33] - 33:11, 37:11, 64:24, 67:4, 67:15, 67:16, 67:17, 67:18, 68:6, 69:15, 71:9, 72:16, 78:3, 79:25, 81:8, 81:17, 83:19, 84:1, 86:16, 89:10, 89:13, 91:10, 91:14, 95:4, 95:7, 96:20, 102:21, 103:18, 107:22, 112:14 peak-hour [1] -102:21 peak-peak (1) - 69:15 peaks [1] - 81:6 Peck [2] - 7:23, 17:21 PECK [34] - 2:12, 12:4, 12:9, 15:9, 15:13, 15:23, 16:1, 16:19, 16:25, 17:19, 17:24, 18:5, 18:7, 18:11, 18:14, 21:4, 24:25, 25:5, 28:15, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1, 29:8, 29:15, 57:3, 57:6, 58:4, 58:6, 99:11, 99:17, 99:21, 118:10, 118:15, 118:18 pedestrian [6] -21:15, 49:2, 49:12, 59:24, 92:3, 106:18 pedestrians [1] -73:23 89:22, 119:12

peel [1] - 20:3

peels [1] - 52:6

109:10, 109:11, Pennsylvania [1] -109:13 57:22 pent [1] - 92:13 places [1] - 112:21 pent-up [1] - 92:13 PLAN [1] - 1:6 people [36] - 24:24, plan [26] - 8:23, 11:1, 30:3, 30:6, 30:20, 14:1, 14:12, 15:12, 17:17, 18:10, 30:22, 31:17, 18:17, 22:16, 23:2, 31:23, 32:23, 26:3, 27:11, 27:22, 45:15, 45:16, 29:16, 41:20, 63:24, 65:23, 42:17, 44:19, 68:22, 75:23, 76:9, 76:20, 77:7, 79:6, 46:25, 49:15, 79:12, 80:9, 81:11, 53:19, 55:24, 92:15, 98:20, 81:21, 88:22, 100:20, 110:13, 89:14, 91:10, 114:6 91:19, 94:21, Plan [5] - 5:9, 10:3, 95:20, 96:9, 97:12, 17:25, 18:12, 29:18 100:16, 100:24, Planner [1] - 2:15 111:1, 111:7, 112:21 planner [2] - 27:6, people's [1] - 85:24 117:23 per [3] - 11:8, 69:12, PLANNER [26] -38:22, 40:16, 41:1, 72:6 41:4, 41:17, 43:6, percent [1] - 34:21 percentage [3] -43:15, 43:19, 44:22, 45:24, 46:7, 107:13, 111:7, 46:12, 46:17, 111:8 55:17, 55:21, perfect [1] - 50:19 performed [1] -56:13, 56:16, 56:18, 56:20, 107:15 101:20, 102:13, perhaps [6] - 9:18, 102:23, 102:25, 11:25, 32:13, 103:25, 104:2, 70:10, 92:3, 108:13 105:9 perimeter [2] - 15:2, planning [3] - 25:22, 17:5 27:5, 99:10 period [1] - 78:8 PLANNING [1] - 1:1 Permit [2] - 60:13, Planning [4] - 2:15, 102:14 6:2, 6:11, 6:20 permit [3] - 98:23, plans [8] - 23:22, 103:24, 105:20 41:25, 43:25, 50:4, permits [1] - 14:18 51:12, 56:1, 67:8, permitted [1] - 25:1 110:7 Perrucci [1] - 2:12 planted [1] - 23:3 person [7] - 45:19, planting [1] - 28:20 80:10, 88:10, plantings [2] - 44:16, 88:16, 88:17, 51:5 94:11, 97:11 play [2] - 25:16, 26:2 perspective [1] playing [1] - 114:9 60:19 pleasing [2] - 44:16, pervious [1] - 22:10 51:8 phase [1] - 104:10 Pledge [1] - 7:3 phrase [1] - 64:3 pockets [1] - 111:20 physical [1] - 53:12 point [34] - 19:10, physically [3] -19:17, 20:13, 90:15, 95:13, 106:5 21:11, 26:17, 27:5, pick [1] - 20:11 28:10, 28:15, 32:8, pickup [7] - 18:25, 33:20, 36:4, 50:25, 19:1, 20:12, 26:1, 52:14, 56:2, 63:22, 31:1, 46:5, 83:4 66:24, 68:19, 70:9, pipeline [1] - 85:18 78:22, 78:24, place [7] - 23:7, 28:5, 82:18, 83:4, 86:13, 30:4, 57:17, 88:25,

86:21, 87:11,

90:23, 92:16,

placed [1] - 43:13

placement [3] -

89:11, 90:9, 90:16,

104:3, 114:10, 114:12, 117:8 pointing [2] - 94:17, 95:14 points [5] - 17:4, 40:17, 70:17, 87:8, 87:13 poles [1] - 22:14 police [1] - 92:17 policy [1] - 73:24 Policy (1) - 6:12 pond [1] - 40:12 pop [1] - 24:19 popular [1] - 33:7 population [1] -111:20 porous [12] - 22:3, 22:5, 22:9, 37:3, 37:23, 38:6, 38:14, 39:1, 39:25, 47:9, 47:17 portion [9] - 22:6, 49:5, 53:11, 55:8, 90:5, 108:20, 114:2, 114:22, 116:17 portions [1] - 39:5 position [1] - 87:3 positives (1) - 93:5 possibility [1] - 79:19 possible [7] - 39:14, 88:14, 88:19, 104:22, 104:24, 117:3 possibly [2] - 74:10, 108:19 post [2] - 85:16, 99:15 post-approval [1] -99:15 post-COVID [1] -85:16 posted [2] - 6:9, 8:5 postponing [1] -118:5 potential [1] - 74:17 potentially [2] -31:18, 112:8 pre [2] - 60:16, 115:10 pre-application [1] -60:16 pre-development [1] - 115:10 precise [1] - 77:4 prefer [2] - 16:13, 82:15 preference [1] - 9:15 preferred [1] - 98:8 Preliminary [1] - 10:2 PRELIMINARY [1] -1:6 prepare [1] - 92:14 prepared [12] - 5:5,

5;7, 11:13, 11:20, 15:20, 16:22, 29:4, 29:12, 33:19, 38:9, 41:24, 58:16 PRESENT [2] - 2:1, 2:11 present [1] - 7:24 presented [1] - 10:14 preserve [2] - 23:11, 104:13 president [1] - 10:16 pressure [1] - 78:23 presumably [2] -33:6, 91:11 pretty [3] - 24:1, 33:6, 104:17 prevent [1] - 38:1 previous [3] - 9:3, 17:3, 43:11 previously (11) -8:24, 15:9, 37:4, 44:2, 44:17, 45:1, 49:3, 51:19, 57:3, 57:11, 68:12 primary [1] - 90:20 prioritization [1] -103:9 prioritize [2] - 103:21, 109:12 prioritizing [1] -101:4 priority [3] - 62:24, 64:19, 65:6 private [1] - 48:15 privilege [1] - 9:25 privy [1] - 108:15 problem [4] - 33:7, 36:21, 101:9, 101:12 problematic [1] -75:23 problems [1] - 66:3 Probst [2] - 7:4, 8:2 PROBST [9] - 2:16, 7:8, 7:12, 7:16, 7:21, 7:23, 28:24, 118:13, 118:17 proceed [4] - 7:25, 13:12, 56:24, 58:10 proceeding [1] -119:10 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:16 proceedings [1] -56:21 process [4] - 38:2, 61:2, 66:14, 104:13 produces [1] - 102:4 professional [8] -11:15, 12:22, 13:3, 57:18, 57:21, 57:24, 63:8 Professional (1) -119:5

professionals [9] -9:22, 10:23, 11:12, 25:12, 27:9, 33:9, 38:21, 97:6, 97:22 **PROFESSIONALS** m - 2:11 professionals' [1] -25:25 prohibited [1] - 95:13 project [6] - 37:12, 59:22, 60:14, 63:12, 73:20, 74:25 projected [1] - 61:5 projections [5] -61:8, 70:18, 71:10, 71:17, 72:24 projects [1] - 93:12 proper [2] - 6:7, 66:21 properly [2] - 41:6, 48:13 property [8] - 10:5, 30:16, 31:10, 33:12, 49:5, 58:21, 100:12 proposal [2] - 50:14, 59:1 proposed [19] -18:19, 18:22, 20:24, 21:2, 21:8, 21:17, 25:1, 47:10, 48:1, 51:3, 51:18, 51:24, 59:21, 61:4, 63:6, 71:1, 84:17, 102:20, 105:24 proposing [5] - 22:3, 22:13, 23:10, 24:7, 24:13 provide [11] - 22:7, 27:21, 41:15, 48:18, 48:20, 50:17, 62:23, 78:6, 104:23, 108:21, 117:16 provided [7] - 6:18, 11:11, 11:14, 53:16, 77:24, 87:16, 113:3 provides [7] - 6:19, 60:5, 60:8, 61:15, 87:13, 88:6, 91:2 providing [3] - 21:14, 22:20, 59:15 provision [1] - 82:19 PTOE [2] - 4:5, 57:11 PUBLIC [2] - 1:17, 4:16 Public [3] - 6:5, 119:3, 119:24 public [10] - 6:12, 6:21, 9:22, 48:14, 55:4, 55:7, 76:25,

113:2, 116:17,

pull [5] - 23:21, 36:7,

118:19

90:10, 93:15, 109:10 pulling [1] - 111:22 pun [1] - 91:20 punching [1] - 86:25 purchase [1] - 62:3 purpose [2] - 51:20, 73:9 pursuant [1] - 6:17 push [3] - 26:9, 26:17, 109:10 put [8] - 21:2, 22:24, 36:9, 42:13, 44:20, 46:14, 95:18, 98:22 puts [1] - 104:21 putting [2] - 12:21, 42:20 pylon [2] - 24:7, 24:22

Q qualifications [2] -13:10, 58:9 quality [2] - 22:7, 37:12 quantity [1] - 22:7 questions (35) -13:23, 24:4, 28:14, 29:22, 31:3, 31:11, 34:1, 36:25, 37:14, 37:19, 38:23, 39:11, 46:23, 50:8, 55:2, 55:4, 65:18, 70:17, 73:8, 76:23, 82:6, 82:13, 91:6, 96:3, 100:1, 100:5, 101:18, 101:21, 101:22, 101:24, 105:18, 110:20, 112:25, 113:2, 116.7 QUESTIONS [1] -4:16 questions/ comments [1] - 48:9 queue [19] - 19:18, 27:22, 27:23, 32:24, 37:15, 52:2, 69:9, 69:17, 69:19, 69:20, 78:6, 83:12, 83:18, 84:1, 87:22, 89:10, 91:15, 92:7, 109:21 queues [4] - 78:3, 83:13, 83:25, 109:15 queuing [4] - 75:19, 105:12, 108:7, 108:12 quick [7] - 31:19,

61:23, 65:24, 83:2,

quick-serve [1] - 83:2

91:8, 105:17

quicker [1] - 8:22

quickest [1] - 96:22 quickly [2] - 30:21, 55:15 quite [4] - 51:19, 79:21, 86:15, 86:17 quorum [1] - 7:25

R

R.I [1] - 8:17 radii [2] - 54:5, 90:21 radius [5] - 54:9. 88:14, 88:18, 90:12, 111:19 raise [2] - 101:12, 104:5 ramp [1] - 36:9 ramps (1) - 106:11 range [1] - 65:13 rare [1] - 115:2 Raritan [1] - 115:16 rate [2] - 71:22, 100:6 rates [6] - 37:11, 61:5, 71:2, 71:3, 71:5, 73:1 rather [3] - 90:6, 101:3, 110:1 rattled [1] - 101:23 Raymour [6] - 16:5, 21:10, 30:9, 49:7, 60:7, 80:1 re [3] - 42:13, 106:2, 106:23 re-striped [1] - 42:13 re-timing [2] - 106:2, 106:23 reach [5] - 69:13, 74:7, 95:22, 101:4, 110:8 reaching [1] - 110:15 ready [1] - 56:24 real [3] - 64:15, 75:9, 82:1 realistic [1] - 72:4 realistically [1] -107:21 realize [4] - 65:23, 76:10, 77:9, 80:9 really [45] - 14:8, 17:2, 20:17, 24:8, 31:8, 42:1, 42:19, 51:25, 59:2, 59:11, 59:23, 60:18, 60:25, 66:15, 68:20, 74:3, 74:14, 74:20, 75:14, 82:2, 83:10, 84:18, 87:4, 87:23, 88:7, 89:2, 89:11, 92:4, 92:11, 93:3, 95:2, 98:17, 101:9, 102:1, 102:15, 103:9, 105:6, 106:14,

109:12, 111:10,

111:11, 111:17,

114:15, 114:24, 118:24 Really [1] - 106:7 rear [3] - 51:17, 51:21, 76:20 reason [11] - 8:19, 22:25, 24:8, 41:14, 65:17, 77:25, 86:12, 92:4, 100:10, 102:7 reasonable [1] - 99:1 reasonably [1] - 30:5 reasons [6] - 14:17, 20:20, 21:19, 22:20, 24:21, 93:16 received [4] - 11:18, 13:1, 60:17, 105:22 receiving [1] - 19:3 recently [5] - 42:17, 60:7, 73:21, 83:22, 84:25 recommendation [1] - 110:5 reconstructed [1] -106:11 record [6] - 7:9, 12:10, 12:22, 46:15, 57:7, 113:6 red [1] - 64:19 redevelopment [1] -14:14 redevelopments (1) -74:1 reduced [2] - 63:3, 103:12 reducing [1] - 37:11 reduction [1] - 22:11 reductions [1] - 22:8 refer [1] - 15:6 referenced [1] -11:16 reflected [1] - 50:4 refresh [1] - 25:18 refuse [2] - 55:23, 56:6 regard [1] - 43:25 regarding [3] - 37:14, 55:4, 101:18 regards [1] - 114:15 Registered [1] -119:5 regs [1] - 39:4 **REGULAR** [1] - 1:3 reign [1] - 84:15 reiterate [1] - 102:1 reiterating [1] - 98:4 relabel [1] - 28:19 related [6] - 33:20, 38:23, 39:12, 101:22, 104:3, 106:17 relative [2] - 119:14, 119:16

relatively [1] - 31:24

release [1] - 78:23 relief [10] - 9:1, 10:4, 14:25, 20:21, 24:10, 26:5, 26:7, 26:14, 27:7, 27:12 relieve [1] - 87:22 relocate [1] - 108:12 rely [1] - 111:23 relying [1] - 39:23 remain [3] - 17:9, 23:9, 110:25 remarks [1] - 113:23 remind [1] - 51:23 reminder [1] - 116:10 removal [2] - 28:5, 43:7 removed [4] - 18:20, 39:18, 43:12, 43:17 removing [1] - 23:6 rendering [2] - 17:16, 43:11 repeating [1] - 84:11 replaced [1] - 41:10 replacing [1] - 41:9 report [7] - 11:2, 41:7, 63:13, 63:17, 71:18, 98:9, 102:14 **REPORTED** [1] - 2:20 Reporter [2] - 119:4, 119.5 Reporters [1] - 1:23 REPORTING [1] -1:22 represented [2] -13:15, 117:6 representing [2] -9:25, 117:1 repurposing [1] -59:12 request [6] - 6:16, 11:9, 23:17, 23:19, 55:25 requested [4] - 42:23, 49:22, 53:18, 105:23 requesting [1] -63:18 require [3] - 6:23, 27:7, 46:3 required [5] - 9:1, 20:25, 22:19, 35:4, 60:13 requirement [4] -22:3, 34:14, 69:8, 110:13 requirements [3] -22:8, 22:14, 27:2 requires [1] - 26:13 research [1] - 83:15 residential [1] - 39:2 resistance [1] - 77:18 resolution [1] - 99:8 respect [1] - 27:2 respectively [1] -

112:14 responded [1] -42:24 response [5] - 10:23, 23:16, 47:1, 85:19, 105:15 responses [1] - 11:14 responsibility [1] -66:8 responsible [1] -40:20 restaurant [11] - 10:5, 17:3, 18:20, 21:1, 21:16, 30:23, 61:11, 61:23, 102:9, 112:4 restaurants [4] -34:10, 71:4, 71:20, 83:16 result [4] - 37:12, 64:24, 65:3, 103:9 resulting [1] - 72:3 results [3] - 22:10, 65:2, 93:13 retail [3] - 81:20, 82:3, 112:3 reverse [1] - 74:10 reverts [1] - 68:7 review [12] - 10:23, 11:15, 27:1, 28:4, 41:23, 47:5, 59:4, 61:1, 62:11, 63:15, 99:18, 101:13 reviewed [2] - 107:5, 108:25 revised [5] - 10:25, 14:1, 41:20, 41:25, 110:7 revision [1] - 52:23 revisions [2] - 11:5, 46:25 right-out [2] - 59:18, 70:7 right-turn [1] - 59:16 rights [1] - 88:5

rise [1] - 7:1

risk [1] - 64:2

road [10] - 31:18,

84:21, 96:13,

Road [26] - 10:10,

33:21, 49:6, 60:4,

62:24, 65:1, 68:21,

70:11, 74:7, 75:16,

76:4, 77:23, 78:9,

78:11, 78:13,

79:10, 91:12,

91:14, 91:23,

96:11, 103:7,

roads [2] - 62:2,

109:24

103:11, 109:20,

10:11, 19:20,

114:25

43:8, 64:21, 69:2,

75:21, 76:4, 79:8,

70:24 roadway [6] - 42:15, 59:5, 61:1, 62:5, 63:11, 86:23 robust [1] - 59:14 roll [1] - 7:5 roof [2] - 47:11, 47:14 room [5] - 8:15, 78:11, 104:23, 105:6, 116:22 root [1] - 77:15 rough [1] - 76:6 roughly [2] - 72:21, 102:21 round [1] - 60:17 Route [4] - 8:14, 10:7, 10:12, 95:19 route [2] - 95:11, 114:6 **ROUTE** [1] - 1:5 routed [1] - 107:20 routes [1] - 111:21 row [2] - 43:1, 45:1 Rowan [1] - 57:23 RPR [2] - 2:21, 119:23 Ruby [4] - 16:15, 16:16, 34:7, 102:9 ruled [1] - 109:7 run [1] - 64:2 running [3] - 30:21, 89:16, 102:12 runoff [5] - 39:14, 47:11, 47:14, 47:24, 47:25 runs [1] - 102:11 Rutherford [1] -12:14 S

safe (5) - 27:13, 28:1, 40:11, 65:20, 73:22 safely [2] - 66:6, 93:6 safes [1] - 39:21 safety [2] - 76:24, 104:24 salute [1] - 7:1 SARMAD [27] - 2:15, 38:22, 40:16, 41:1, 41:4, 41:17, 43:6, 43:15, 43:19, 44:22, 45:24, 46:7, 46:12, 46:17, 55:17, 55:21, 56:13, 56:16, 56:18, 56:20, 101:20, 102:13, 102:23, 102:25, 103:25, 104:2, 105:9 Sarmad [9] - 2:15, 7:24, 38:21, 46:21, 48:10, 49:3, 55:20,

101:18, 108:2

satisfaction [1] -51:15 satisfied [4] - 62:19, 75:7, 103:20, 110:24 Saturday [4] - 67:17, 72:16, 81:22, 112:13 Saturdays [1] - 67:12 save [2] - 9:19, 27:4 saw [1] - 29:2 Scalera [1] - 110:15 scenario [2] - 67:25, 91:19 scenarios [1] - 95:7 scene [1] - 96:17 science [1] - 57:23 scraped [1] - 18:20 screen [5] - 15:7, 22:17, 44:12, 51:3, 59:18 screening [2] - 51:11, 51:15 second (6) - 10:13, 23:22, 86:21. 88:15, 101:2, 105:7 Secondly [1] - 95:12 seconds [6] - 55:10, 64:12, 65:3, 66:13, 66:18, 68:5 secretary [1] - 6:20 section [4] - 39:23, 39:25, 47:16, 73:11 security [1] - 54:22 sediment [1] - 39:17 see [32] - 17:3, 17:6, 19:1, 22:17, 23:2, 24:15, 33:5, 34:3, 34:6, 34:11, 36:7, 36:13, 42:1, 45:19, 52:5, 52:14, 53:18, 53:19, 54:8, 67:23, 68:17, 70:14, 82:1, 85:3, 86:1, 89:15, 92:8, 92:9, 94:20, 97:25, 113:21, 114:10 seeing [5] - 39:4, 55:7, 84:1, 103:10, 116:16 seek [1] - 26:6 seeking [2] - 8:25, 14:25 seem [1] - 114:12 sees [1] - 111:20

select [1] - 95:20

111:13, 111:15

senior [1] - 10:15

Senior [1] - 3:17

sense (4) - 99:19,

104:21, 111:6,

116:3

sent [1] - 6:7

selection [2] -

separate [4] - 23:5, 56:11, 71:25, 88:5 separated [1] - 45:3 separately [1] - 16:12 September [1] -11:19 series [1] - 31:3 serious [1] - 59:14 serve [2] - 73:10, 83:2 service [5] - 61:23, 62:13, 65:5, 103:5, 103:10 services [1] - 6:23 set [5] - 9:18, 25:25, 26:4, 85:21, 119:12 setbacks [1] - 42:2 seven [2] - 6:24, 69:12 several [3] - 67:10, 108:1, 109:1 severely [1] - 39:10 sewer [1] - 11:18 shade [4] - 17:7, 22:19, 23:8, 23:10 shall [1] - 31:10 shape [1] - 104:7 share [1] - 61:24 shared [3] - 14:23, 61:16, 108:5 shed [1] - 56:9 **shift** [3] - 64:1, 66:12, 79:25 shoot [1] - 76:11 shopping [26] -14:22, 16:3, 19:11, 19:12, 21:7, 24:16, 40:2, 40:8, 42:22, 43:21, 59:11, 59:17, 60:23, 61:14, 63:24, 67:18, 67:21, 71:21, 78:1, 81:22, 86:22, 87:12, 102:10, 102:18, 112:1, 114:20 short [2] - 51:22, 90:9 shortcuts [1] - 79:3 shot [1] - 79:16 show [6] - 24:14, 35:22, 53:20, 63:3, 74:22, 102:14 showing [3] - 43:13, 63:18, 114:12 shown [2] - 21:13, 91:15 shows [1] - 18:17 shrubs [13] - 17:8, 17:14, 23:1, 23:4, 23:12, 23:13, 23:14, 43:1, 44:11, 44:20, 44:21, 44:24, 45:18

side [18] - 19:4, 23:4,

23:9, 24:20, 36:19, 42:21, 52:19, 56:4, 73:10, 73:12, 88:21, 89:15, 90:16, 91:1, 91:4, 97:12, 105:7, 105:24 sides [6] - 24:13, 24:17, 87:1, 90:25, 91:16, 91:17 sidewalk [17] - 21:8, 21:14, 42:20, 42:21, 42:22, 42:23, 49:1, 49:11, 49:20, 49:21, 73:9, 73:15, 73:20, 73:25, 74:2, 106:9, 106:13 sidewalks [3] - 60:2, 105:23 sign [11] - 22:25, 24:23, 25:10, 25:15, 25:20, 55:15, 94:15, 95:13, 95:17, 95:19, 97:12 signage [12] - 24:6, 24:9, 24:11, 24:13, 24:16, 24:18, 24:24, 25:1, 25:3, 96:25, 97:24, 98:24 signal [21] - 32:3, 60:6, 62:22, 63:18, 64:17, 66:10, 68:3, 69:13, 69:18, 69:21, 74:19, 77:24, 78:4, 78:14, 78:24, 101:1, 101:5, 106:2, 106:24, 109:24, 115:4 signalized [5] - 16:9, 19:10, 19:15, 59:14, 62:21 significant (8) -60:18, 63:10, 69:10, 79:6, 91:13, 103:4, 108:7, 114:18 significantly [4] -60:1, 65:9, 68:2, 114:19 signs [4] - 24:7, 25:16, 99:5, 99:19 Sikora [1] - 7:17 SILBERT [46] - 3:3, 8:16, 8:21, 9:12, 9:17, 12:16, 12:20, 12:25, 13:13, 13:22, 15:11, 15:14, 15:18, 17:21, 18:1, 18:6, 18:13, 23:14, 25:7, 26:19, 26:25, 28:3, 28:13, 28:17,

28:21, 33:15, 48:24, 51:14, 55:9, 55:13, 56:23, 57:16, 58:12, 58:19, 58:24, 99:2, 99:14, 99:20, 99:24, 113:22, 114:1, 116:19, 116:24, 117:22, 118:8, 118:23 Silbert [5] - 8:15, 9:23, 31:6, 55:12, 116:18 similar [1] - 112:14 similarly [1] - 112:6 simple [1] - 60:20 simply [8] - 60:14, 68:6, 86:23, 87:9, 89:10, 92:9, 102:11, 107:20 single [3] - 10:9, 104:6, 114:21 sit [1] - 71:20 sit-down [1] - 71:20 site [119] - 8:23, 10:9, 10:12, 10:25, 14:1, 14:12, 14:16, 15:12, 16:7, 16:8, 17:5, 17:11, 17:17, 18:10, 18:17, 18:19, 19:17, 19:20, 20:14, 20:19, 21:18, 22:10, 23:6, 23:11, 23:13, 23:15, 26:3, 26:16, 27:21, 28:1, 28:5, 28:11, 28:12, 29:16, 31:4, 31:19, 31:23, 33:6, 36:7, 37:2, 40:12, 42:17, 43:9, 43:20, 47:19, 47:21, 48:2, 48:5, 49:2, 49:4, 50:22, 53:19, 53:20, 54:21, 55:24, 58:21, 59:6, 59:12, 61:12, 61:17, 61:20, 62:25, 63:6, 70:20, 71:7, 75:12, 76:8, 76:21, 77:23, 78:2, 78:21, 82:3, 82:10, 83:13, 83:19, 84:14, 84:16, 84:22, 84:23, 84:24, 85:2, 85:12, 86:1, 86:7, 86:10, 86:11, 86:15, 86:18, 87:6, 88:10, 89:4, 91:10, 91:21, 93:6, 93:14, 93:17, 93:20, 93:21, 93:25, 103:22, 104:4,

104:11, 105:1,

105:3, 106:5,

95:23, 98:5,

100:24, 112:16 106:8, 107:13, south [6] - 23:15, 107:18, 108:3, 108:8, 108:10, 70:12, 74:6, 101:6, 111:9, 112:10 109:22, 110:10, 110:13, 111:13, southbound [1] -111:15, 111:20, 103:16 112:9, 114:17 southerly [2] - 20:16, SITE [1] - 1:6 43:1 Site [3] - 5:9, 10:3, southern [3] - 22:4, 29:18 28:8, 42:18 site's [1] - 103:23 space [12] - 11:8, 21:1, 21:2, 21:4, sites [5] - 45:12, 69:23, 86:19, 21:5, 34:18, 34:25, 104:6, 109:16 35:4, 42:10, 49:12, 78:6, 84:17 sitting [1] - 114:8 spaced [1] - 64:17 situation [7] - 77:6, spaces [7] - 19:22, 81:4, 87:22, 89:5, 20:24, 22:4, 30:11, 89:6, 89:18, 116:25 34:17, 60:10, 82:21 situations [1] - 89:21 speaking [1] - 30:3 six [1] - 13:6 special [1] - 6:23 sizable [1] - 33:12 species [1] - 44:24 size [4] - 71:6, 82:25, specific [6] - 30:9, 98:24, 108:11 67:6, 73:5, 82:10, slightly [1] - 44:18 92:15, 100:9 slope [1] - 17:14 sloped [1] - 17:12 specifically [11] -28:8, 37:15, 38:11, sloping [1] - 48:5 38:14, 62:20, small [1] - 22:6 71:12, 73:13, smaller [2] - 71:13, 82:23, 83:23, 86:18 86:22, 102:8 smoothest [1] - 88:13 specs [1] - 40:22 snapshot [1] - 16:3 spell [2] - 12:10, 57:7 snow [2] - 28:5, 38:1 split [2] - 112:3, snowplows [1] -112:10 38:16 spoken [1] - 22:21 so.. [2] - 14:4, 90:7 spot [2] - 76:6, 79:18 solution [2] - 63:23, spots [1] - 37:24 77:22 spy [1] - 8:15 someone [4] - 20:3, square [6] - 18:21, 52:1, 80:20, 91:25 24:11, 24:12, 25:2, **SOMERSET** [1] - 1:2 71:20, 114:20 Somerville [1] stack [3] - 83:20, 115:15 84:3, 84:5 sometimes [3] stacked [1] - 89:8 65:25, 66:1, 88:3 stacking [2] - 69:12, somewhat [1] - 91:20 87:4 somewhere [4] staff [1] - 89:14 45:15, 64:1, 96:5, STAFF [1] - 2:11 108:13 stage [1] - 36:16 soon [1] - 39:14 stand [1] - 87:5 sorry [12] - 15:18, stand-alone [1] - 87:5 15:23, 16:16, standard [3] - 35:8, 18:11, 24:25, 65:12, 84:13 28:24, 36:19, standards [2] - 30:20, 55:21, 72:8, 82:7, 61:7 110:22, 117:18 standing [2] - 58:6, sort [4] - 40:15, 58:7 42:14, 85:23, 87:16 sounds [1] - 63:23 standpoint [6] - 22:2, South [13] - 76:10, 22:12, 23:24, 24:6, 76:24 77:8, 78:17, 94:12, Star [1] - 6:8 94:15, 94:17, Star-Ledger [1] - 6:8 94:25, 95:9, 95:19,

9:9, 9:19, 11:22, 13:25, 59:7, 64:18, 89:10, 93:11, 118:6 State [2] - 57:21, 119:4 state [11] - 12:10, 13:8, 48:12, 48:20, 57:7, 60:15, 69:7, 76:17, 87:7, 113:5, 114:19 **STATE** [1] - 1:2 states [1] - 13:4 static [1] - 69:19 station [1] - 80:24 stay [1] - 64:22 steel [1] - 14:3 Steinhardt [1] - 2:12 Stenographer [3] -34:5, 67:2, 94:7 STENOGRAPHICAL LY [1] - 2:20 stenographically [1] - 119:11 step [2] - 61:2, 76:16 STEVEN [6] - 113:7, 113:25, 114:3, 115:11, 116:6, 116:14 Steven [2] - 4:19, 113:8 stick [1] - 14:11 still [9] - 18:12, 58:4, 65:12, 75:8, 87:15, 91:21, 95:15, 95:24, 114:13 stone [1] - 47:16 Stonefield [10] - 4:4, 5:5, 5:7, 11:13, 12:13, 15:20, 16:23, 29:5, 29:12, 110:7 stop [1] - 92:5 storage [1] - 56:9 store [13] - 16:7, 23:5, 24:24, 30:2, 34:22, 35:18, 36:2, 56:10, 79:9, 81:6, 81:8, 81:9, 81:18 stores [5] - 27:19, 61:20, 83:23, 85:21, 92:8 storm [1] - 39:9 stormwater [13] -11:2, 22:1, 37:8, 38:7, 38:12, 38:25, 39:4, 39:24, 40:5, 40:6, 48:1, 48:13 straight [2] - 64:4, 94:13 strategic [1] - 44:19 stream [1] - 80:4 street [2] - 113:12, 113:14

stretch [1] - 73:25

start [11] - 8:17, 9:7,

strict [1] - 62:13 striped [4] - 42:13, 49:12, 53:11, 84:16 striping [2] - 42:14, 88:15 structure [4] - 26:23, 27:6, 40:7, 47:18 structures [2] - 27:3, 39:20 stuck [3] - 33:3, 52:9, 79:17 studies [1] - 93:12 study [11] - 58:16, 60:24, 62:17, 63:9, 67:23, 77:14, 107:5, 111:12, 112:12, 116:2, 116:5 stuff [1] - 75:17 subject [1] - 62:11 submission [1] -11:12 submissions [4] -10:18, 10:20, 10:24, 11:4 submitted [14] -10:22, 17:17, 17:22, 23:23, 41:20, 42:17, 47:1, 50:7, 50:10, 60:16, 60:25, 63:14, 105:21 substantiai (2) -41:25, 50:14 substantive [2] -63:17, 106:15 success [1] - 81:15 successful [6] -27:18, 65:20, 76:18, 76:19, 76:22, 81:4 sudden [1] - 52:2 sufficient [1] - 84:4 suggest [2] - 110:8, 110:14 suggested [1] -106:23 suggestion [1] -97:21 suited [1] - 33:14 sum [1] - 27:10 summarize [1] - 43:5 Sundays [1] - 67:13 super [1] - 20:7 supplemental [3] -10:19, 10:22, 59:16 support [1] - 90:21 suppose [1] - 94:6 surface [2] - 39:17, 40:6 surfaces [1] - 22:11 surround [1] - 45:25 swear [2] - 12:1, 12:4 sweep [1] - 88:18

switch [1] - 9:14 sworn [5] - 11:24, 12:2, 57:4, 57:12, 119:7 system [5] - 39:16, 40:3, 41:3, 47:19, 66:6

T Table [2] - 102:14, 102:17 tables [1] - 103:2 Tables [1] - 103:3 talks [1] - 93:10 team [7] - 19:3, 19:6, 21:21, 83:8, 92:15, 93:24, 110:8 technical [3] - 47:5, 64:15, 77:15 technologies [1] -14:21 Tel [1] - 1:24 tenants [1] - 14:10 terms [19] - 19:9, 48:8, 50:23, 59:22, 59:24, 70:23, 71:18, 72:16, 73:4, 78:20, 82:23, 86:21, 87:3, 92:6, 108:2, 108:16, 109:15, 110:3, 110:10 Terrace [5] - 1:23, 4:19, 113:8, 113:14, 113:18 terribly [1] - 31:20 terrific [1] - 76:5 test [1] - 41:15 testament [1] - 81:15 tested [3] - 41:5, 83:11, 85:18 testified [3] - 37:1, 57:12, 58:1 testify [2] - 27:6, 119:7 testimony [18] - 6:13, 9:11, 10:15, 12:5, 14:7, 25:22, 27:16, 29:22, 41:19, 45:11, 55:5, 59:10, 82:8, 101:19, 102:16, 106:1, 113;3, 117:16 testing [1] - 85:21 tests [1] - 41:10 TGI [1] - 16:9 THE [157] - 12:24, 13:1, 13:18, 14:5, 15:16, 15:19, 15:25, 16:2, 16:16, 16:21, 17:2, 17:20, 18:15, 21:5, 23:18,

25:2, 25:6, 25:23,

26:24, 27:10, 28:6,

110:25

30:8, 30:18, 30:24, 31:12, 32:17, 32:20, 33:13, 33:23, 34:14, 35:13, 35:16, 35:20, 36:6, 36:13, 36:20, 37:5, 37:10, 37:17, 38:4, 39:19, 40:24, 41:2, 41:11, 42:9, 43:10, 43:18, 44:9, 45:21, 46:1, 46:8, 46:16, 47:6, 47:14, 47:22, 48:3, 48:21, 49:9, 49:14, 49:17, 49:23, 50:2, 50:5, 50:9, 50:12, 50:16, 51:9, 51:25, 52:16, 52:22, 53:2, 53:14, 54:1, 54:7, 54:18, 54:23, 56:8, 56:15, 56:17, 56:19, 57:20, 58:5, 58:7, 58:11, 58:18, 58:23, 59:2, 64:7, 65:14, 66:4, 66:20, 67:7, 67:22, 69:3, 70:22, 71:11, 72:8, 72:12, 72:14, 72:25, 73:3, 73:14, 73:18, 74:13, 77:12, 79:19, 80:15, 80:18, 80:23, 81:7, 81:12, 81:19, 82:9, 82:15, 82:17, 85:17, 86:20, 87:23, 89:9, 89:24, 90:1, 90:13, 90:19, 91:24, 92:22, 92:25, 93:9, 93:23, 95:1, 95:21, 96:18, 97:4, 97:14, 100:8, 100:19, 101:11, 102:6, 102:17, 102:24, 103:6, 104:1, 104:16, 106:7, 106:19, 107:1, 107:4, 107:8, 107:16, 107:19, 108:24, 109:5, 110:16, 111:14, 112:11, 112:22, 114:14, 116:1 the.. [1] - 106:25 they've [2] - 81:13, 83:10 thick [1] - 101:13 thinking [2] - 30:2, 36:18 third [6] - 20:4, 20:25, 24:20, 42:10, 78:18, 84:2 this.. [1] - 72:12 thoroughly [1] -

thoughts [1] - 97:17 three [15] - 23:10, 24:13, 24:17, 34:7, 34:12, 34:17, 62:18, 62:19, 68:24, 72:15, 87:1, 91:17, 94:9, 102:21, 104:6 throughout [4] -13:8, 23:13, 83:17, 89:16 throughs [i] - 71:5 thunder [1] - 14:3 tied [2] - 40:7, 47:16 ties [1] - 40:9 tight [1] - 26:10 timing [12] - 62:22, 62:23, 63:18, 63:19, 65:21, 66:10, 69:21, 76:5, 103:2, 106:2, 106:23, 115:4 **Tipton** [1] - 2:12 today [3] - 64:23, 65:11, 102:5 today's [1] - 30:20 together [2] - 63:8, 71:20 token [1] - 24:22 tone [1] - 19:2 tones [1] - 24:3 tonight [4] - 15:1, 58:15, 116:23, 117:5 took [1] - 42:11 top [1] - 73:5 total [4] - 72:16, 88:12, 102:20, 103:19 touch [1] - 84:9 touched [3] - 50:21, 54:12, 91:25 tough [2] - 26:16, 93:21 toward [1] - 75:13 towards [1] - 25:13 Town [2] - 10:11, 64:21 Towne [27] - 10:10, 19:19, 33:21, 49:6, 56:5, 60:3, 62:24, 65:1, 68:21, 70:11, 74:7, 75:15, 76:4, 77:23, 78:9, 78:10, 78:13, 79:10, 80:4, 91:12, 91:14, 91:22, 96:11, 103:6, 103:10, 109:19, 109:23 towns [1] - 115:15 township [9] - 14:15, 22:14, 26:6, 26:20, 41:12, 41:14, 48:12, 116:3 TOWNSHIP [1] - 1:1

Township [7] - 1:24, 2:13, 2:14, 2:17, 6:2, 6:9, 9:24 township's [1] -13:20 tracked [1] - 39:17 tractor [2] - 84:12, 84:15 tractor-trailers [2] -84:12, 84:15 traditional [1] - 83:7 traffic [88] - 19:14, 31:5, 31:8, 31:13, 32:4, 32:13, 33:3, 33:14, 33:18, 37:14, 56:25, 57:19, 57:24, 58:3, 58:16, 59:3, 59:4, 60:6, 60:24, 61:1, 61:3, 61:24, 62:5, 62:6, 62:17, 63:5, 63:9, 63:10, 64:4, 67:11, 67:23, 69:18, 70:19, 70:24, 71:9, 72:18, 72:19, 74:11, 75:8, 76:6, 76:12, 76:13, 76:17, 77:13, 78:2, 81:4, 84:21, 85:6, 85:22, 86:7, 87:21, 88:24, 89:14, 91:11, 91:13, 91:22, 93:4, 93:11, 94:16, 94:25, 96:5, 96:7, 96:10, 96:14, 96:16, 98:8, 106:2, 107:4, 107:11, 107:13, 107:19, 109:17, 109:19, 109:20, 111:10, 111:16, 112:2, 112:12, 113:19, 114:6, 114:23, 115:5, 115:12, 115:14, 115:18, 116:2, 116:5 Traffic [2] - 4:6, 63:16 traffic-generating [1] - 109:17 trailers [2] - 84:12, 84:15 transcriber [1] - 6:24 transcript [1] -119:10 TRANSCRIPT [1] -1:16 transformer [1] -26:11 Transportation [1] -61:6 trash [6] - 21:17, 21:20, 21:22, 56:4, 56:11, 56:14 travel [1] - 53:7

traveled [2] - 117:15,

118:2 traveling [1] - 117:9 traverse [1] - 52:18 trees [5] - 17:7, 22:19, 22:24, 23:9, 23:10 triggered [1] - 60:14 trip [5] - 61:8, 72:18, 101:24, 103:13, 107:23 trip-generation [1] -61:8 trips [10] - 62:1, 72:17, 72:20, 72:21, 96:2, 102:4, 102:20, 102:22 trouble [2] - 74:21, 100:20 truck [11] - 11:1, 35:11, 35:14, 35:16, 36:1, 53:17, 53:18, 53:20, 53:24, 90:10, 110:3 truck-turning [1] -53:17 trucks [1] - 36:6 true [2] - 66:20, 119:10 truth [5] - 12:6, 12:7, 119:7, 119:8 try [1] - 51:3 trying [7] - 9:17, 23:21, 26:21, 66:14, 104:13, 104:14, 117:25 Tuesday [4] - 6:3, 16:15, 16:16, 34:7 TUESDAY (1) - 1:13 Tuesday's [1] - 102:9 turn [35] - 19:20, 32:2, 42:16, 59:16, 64:3, 69:24, 70:1, 70:2, 70:11, 75:22, 77:24, 77:25, 78:7, 78:11, 78:12, 78:15, 78:16, 88:12, 88:18, 90:12, 90:15, 94:18, 96:13, 100:15, 100:18, 103:16, 103:18, 113:15, 113:17, 114:7, 115:6 turn/U [1] - 100:15 turn/U-turn [1] -100:15 turned [1] - 53:4 turning [10] - 11:1, 53:8, 53:17, 64:6, 74:17, 87:25, 95:15, 95:23, 112:15, 112:16 turns [5] - 70:5, 75:16, 76:19,

78:20, 92:2

tweak [1] - 67:14 two [48] - 16:13, 17:4, 18:23, 19:6, 21:19, 24:3, 29:24, 34:4, 34:15, 34:16, 34:17, 43:24, 43:25, 52:18, 52:24, 59:3, 61:2, 68:17, 68:23, 69:9, 69:20, 70:1, 70:4, 72:20, 77:24, 78:18, 80:20, 82:2, 82:24, 83:3, 83:9, 83:18, 85:3, 85:11, 87:20, 88:5, 90:4, 91:7, 91:16, 94:13, 95:2, 100:4, 100:13, 111:17, 111:23, 112:15, 114:15 two-step [1] - 61:2 type [3] - 44:24, 51:8, 92:11 types (1) - 89:12 typically [4] - 30:21, 45:9, 56:9, 96:19

U U-shape [1] - 104:7 U-turn [3] - 100:18, 103:16, 113:15 U-turns [1] - 75:16 U.S [1] - 10:12 ultimately [3] - 40:9, 47:18, 87:20 um-hmm [1] - 43:18 um-hum [2] - 49:17, 52:22 unclear [1] - 26:5 uncomfortable [1] -116:25 under [4] - 18:19, 19:7, 20:1, 20:11 underdrain [3] -39:22, 40:1 understood (1) -46:12 unfortunate [1] -96:17 unique [2] - 14:9, 83:5 University [2] - 13:3, 57:24 unless [4] - 33:2, 43:5, 95:12, 95:22 unmanaged [1] -47:25 unnamed [3] - 75:21, 76:4, 79:8 unsafe [1] - 89:21 unsignalized [7] -59:16, 59:18, 70:13, 78:21,

96:21, 100:23,

107:20 unusual [1] - 56:3 up [36] - 9:18, 11:5, 16:25, 20:11, 23:21, 24:20, 25:24, 27:11, 44:1, 51:3, 51:21, 62:6, 66:15, 68:4, 68:11, 69:16, 69:24, 75:5, 78:4, 80:4, 85:7, 85:21, 87:1, 90:6, 91:3, 91:22, 92:13, 98:20, 98:24, 103:23, 110:9, 110:11, 111:7, 113:1 updated [1] - 11:1 uses [4] - 61:19, 80:20, 82:3, 112:4 utility [1] - 11:18 utilizing [1] - 61:5

V vacant [2] - 61:11,

61:13 vacuum [2] - 40:19, 40:23 valve [1] - 78:23 variance [4] - 10:4, 22:19, 26:21, 27:7 variances [1] - 9:3 various [1] - 64:10 vehicle [4] - 64:16, 89:7, 90:3, 90:6 vehicles [13] - 19:2, 21:20, 38:5, 53:4, 53:7, 66:14, 69:9, 69:12, 69:23, 83:19, 84:2, 86:15, 86:17 vehicular [1] - 59:23 veneer [1] - 24:3 Verizon [11] - 16:7, 17:12, 23:5, 31:25, 81:6, 81:8, 81:17, 91:2, 96:8, 108:20, 109:6 versa [1] - 61:21 version [4] - 18:16, 29:15, 52:18, 52:20 versus [4] - 44:20, 102:19, 111:8, 112:10 Vescio [1] - 7:6 VESCIO [52] - 2:2, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:1, 8:10, 8:19, 9:4, 9:16, 13:9, 13:12, 16:14, 29:21, 33:24, 36:23, 37:6, 37:13, 37:18, 38:18, 46:20, 55:1, 55:11, 55:16,

55:20, 57:1, 58:8,

66:24, 75:4, 82:7, 82:12, 82:16, 84:20, 85:25, 87:17, 88:20, 89:20, 89:25, 90:8, 90:14, 91:5, 97:19, 99:25, 101:16, 105:19, 110:19, 112:23, 116:9, 116:15, 116:20, 117:20, 118:4, 118:16 vetting [1] - 108:25 via [1] - 46:9 viability [1] - 103:23 viable [1] - 94:1 vice [2] - 10:16, 61:21 vice-president [1] -10:16 vice-versa [1] - 61:21 view (3) - 50:25, 51:4, 68:19 visibility [1] - 22:25 visited [1] - 84:25 vocalized [1] - 33:16 volume [4] - 68:9,

71:9, 81:24, 87:11

W wait [5] - 17:15, 65:25, 70:11, 76:10, 77:9 waiting [2] - 31:7, 78:8 walk [3] - 45:8, 46:10, 83:8 Wang [1] - 7:16 wants [4] - 76:17, 81:3, 93:17, 94:12 Warren [2] - 3:4, 9:24 wash [1] - 103:17 watch [2] - 13:19, 13:20 watching [1] - 118:20 Water [2] - 26:13, 46:2 water [3] - 22:7, 37:12, 77:19 WAY [1] - 1:10 way/that [1] - 95:19 wayfinding [4] -97:23, 98:24, 99:5, 99:18 ways [1] - 85:11 website [1] - 13:20 week [5] - 16:18, 67:9, 67:24, 68:1, 95:4 weekends [1] - 6:25 Wegman's [2] - 16:4, 74:8 Wegmans [1] - 32:5 weighed [1] - 105:13 Welcome [4] - 25:9,

25:15, 25:19, 55:14 welcome [2] - 6:2, 31:12 Wells [1] - 16:7 west [7] - 17:7, 23:9, 23:12, 40:10, 42:21, 59:17, 89:15 westerly [2] - 20:15, 52:19 western [2] - 22:4, 73:10 whole [6] - 63:1, 73:19, 74:22, 90:7, 102:19, 119:8 width [1] - 105:3 wildly [1] - 81:4 WILLIAM [2] - 2:3, 2:13 willing [3] - 9:6, 48:22, 117:23 window [1] - 83:7 winter [2] - 37:25, 38:15 wish [2] - 55:4, 113:2 witness [8] - 11:23, 13:7, 46:18, 55:8, 55:10, 55:19, 113:24, 116:17 WITNESS [157] -12:24, 13:1, 13:18, 14:5, 15:16, 15:19, 15:25, 16:2, 16:16, 16:21, 17:2, 17:20, 18:15, 21:5, 23:18, 25:2, 25:6, 25:23, 26:24, 27:10, 28:6, 30:8, 30:18, 30:24, 31:12, 32:17, 32:20, 33:13, 33:23, 34:14, 35:13, 35:16, 35:20, 36:6, 36:13, 36:20, 37:5, 37:10, 37:17, 38:4, 39:19, 40:24, 41:2, 41:11, 42:9, 43:10, 43:18, 44:9, 45:21, 46:1, 46:8, 46:16, 47:6, 47:14, 47:22, 48:3, 48:21, 49:9, 49:14, 49:17, 49:23, 50:2, 50:5, 50:9, 50:12, 50:16, 51:9, 51:25, 52:16, 52:22, 53:2, 53:14, 54:1, 54:7, 54:18, 54:23, 56:8, 56:15, 56:17, 56:19, 57:20, 58:5, 58:7, 58:11, 58:18, 58:23, 59:2, 64:7, 65:14, 66:4, 66:20, 67:7, 67:22, 69:3,

70:22, 71:11, 72:8,

72:25, 73:3, 73:14,

72:12, 72:14,

73:18, 74:13, 77:12, 79:19, 80:15, 80:18, 80:23, 81:7, 81:12, 81:19, 82:9, 82:15, 82:17, 85:17, 86:20, 87:23, 89:9, 89:24, 90:1, 90:13, 90:19, 91:24, 92:22, 92:25, 93:9, 93:23, 95:1, 95:21, 96:18, 97:4, 97:14, 100:8, 100:19, 101:11, 102:6, 102:17, 102:24, 103:6, 104:1, 104:16, 106:7, 106:19, 107:1, 107:4, 107:8, 107:16, 107:19, 108:24, 109:5, 110:16, 111:14, 112:11, 112:22, 114:14, 116:1 WITNESSES [1] - 4:2 witnesses [4] - 12:2, 117:14, 117:21, 119:7 wonderful [1] -117:24 wondering [1] -100:15 woods [1] - 91:3 works [1] - 79:4 worse [2] - 65:9, 91:18 worse-case [1] -91:18 worst [4] - 67:24, 67:25, 89:6, 95:6 worst-case [3] -67:25, 89:6, 95:6 worth [3] - 20:14, 93:7, 97:24 wrapping [1] - 92:7

zone [1] - 27:2 zoning [1] - 27:1 zoomed [2] - 18:7, 29:8 Zoomed [2] - 5:7, 29:11 zoomed-in [2] - 18:7, 29:8 Zoomed-in [2] - 5:7, 29:11 zooming [2] - 16:11, 16:24

Y

wrote [1] - 36:22

yard [2] - 20:22, 22:23 year [4] - 40:19, 40:23, 48:12, 48:19 years [1] - 13:7 yellow [1] - 19:2 yield [2] - 75:25, 92:2 York [1] - 13:4 YouTube [2] - 13:19, 13:20

Z

ZACHARY [3] - 4:4, 12:8, 12:11 Zachary [2] - 11:24, 12:12

1	TOWNSHIP OF BRIDGEWATER PLANNING BOARD
2	COUNTY OF SOMERSET - STATE OF NEW JERSEY
3	REGULAR MEETING FOR:
4	R.I. HERITAGE INN OF BRIDGEWATER, LLC
5	C/O THARALDSON HOSPITALITY DEVELOPMENT, LLC BLOCK 222, LOT 3.01
6	1260 ROUTE 22
7	AMENDED PRELIMINARY & FINAL MAJOR SITE PLAN
8	APPLICATION NO. 24-022-PB
9	
10	MUNICIPAL BUILDING COUNCIL CHAMBERS
11	100 COMMONS WAY BRIDGEWATER, NEW JERSEY 08807
12	DICEOCHWITHIC NEW OFFICER COOK
13	
14	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2024
15	7:00 P.M.
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	PUBLIC HEARING
19	TODITO HIMATINO
20	
21	
22	AB COURT REPORTING, LLC
23	Certified Court Reporters 26 Algonquin Terrace
24	Millstone Township, New Jersey 08535 Tel: (732)882-3590
25	angelabuonocsr@gmail.com

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	MAURIZIO VESCIO, CHAIRMAN
3	WILLIAM ATKINS
4	NIREN CHOUDHURY
5	MICHAEL KIRSH, COUNCILMAN
6	JAMES MAGURA
7	
8	
_. 9	
10	
11	
12	PROFESSIONALS AND STAFF PRESENT:
13 14	MARK R. PECK, ESQUIRE, Board Attorney Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton, LLC
15	WILLIAM H. BURR, IV, P.E., Township Engineer Township of Bridgewater
16 17	KATHERINE SARMAD, P.P., Board Planner Sarmad Planning Group, LLC
18	NANCY PROBST, Land Use Administrator Township of Bridgewater
19	Township of Bridgewater
20	
21	
22	STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
23	ANGELA BUONANTUONO, CCR, RPR License No. 30XI00233100
24	

```
APPEARANCES:
1
2
   DIFRANCESCO, BATEMAN, KUNZMAN, DAVIS, LEHRER & FLAUM, PC
        MICHAEL E. SILBERT, ESQUIRE
           15 Mountain Boulevard
4
           Warren, New Jersey 07059
T: 908.757.7800
5
           F: 908.757.8039
           Email: msilbert@newjerseylaw.net
6
   --Counsel for the Applicant
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
     ALSO PRESENT:
17
18
    GEORGE FOLK, P.E.
     David A. Stires Associates, LLC
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		I N D E X	
2	LITENIA GARA		D3 CE
3	<u>WITNESSES</u>		PAGE
4	JEFF MCKAY, Vice-	President ality Development, LLC	18
5	_		47
6	TERRY O'BRIEN, Vi Park Silver Devel		4 /
7	GREG HEFLIN, Vice Park Silver Devel		47
8	Talk Silver Devel	opment, inc	
9			
10			
11			
12	r		
13			
14			
15			
16	PUBLIC QUESTIONS A	ND/OR COMMENTS:	
17	NAME	ADDRESS	PAGE
18	WENTE .	MDDALIOO	111011
19	Lars Knudson	104 Chelsea Way	33,89 101
20	John Kulak	13 Ramsey Street	34,88
21	Mitchel Zaytoun	130 Chelsea Way	43,96
22	Dan Seeley	26 Chelsea Way	102
23	Robert Zgorzynski	119 Chelsea Way	106
24			
25			

1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3	NIO	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
4	NO.	DESCRIPTION	IAUL
5			
6			
7	N		
8	None.		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23		·	
24			

			^
	6		CHAIDMAN VECCIO: Thank you
1	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Good evening. And	1	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
2	welcome to the Bridgewater Township Planning Board	2	Ms. Probst.
3	meeting for Tuesday, December 3, 2024.	3	(Miharayan the board continues with
4	Adequate notice of this meeting has	4	(Whereupon, the board continues with
5	been given in accordance with the Open Public	5	the agenda as posted.)
6	Meetings Act, or N.J.S.A. 10:4-6. On February 7th,	6	(14th annual the publication is called
7	2024, proper notice was sent to The Courier News and	7	(Whereupon, the application is called
8	The Star-Ledger, and filed with the Clerk at the	8	at 9:03 p.m.)
9	Township of Bridgewater, and posted on the bulletin	9	COUNCIL MAN WINCH. And Mr. Chairman
10	board in the Municipal Building.	10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And, Mr. Chairman,
11	Please be aware that the Planning Board	11	even before we begin this next portion, I know our
12	Policy for public hearings, no new applications will	12	policy is to be wrapped up by 10. You know, I'm
13	be heard after 9:30 p.m., and no new testimony will	13	only one person. You know, I'm willing, if there's
14	be taken after 10:00 p.m.	14	consensus, to call 10 o'clock would be 10:30
15	Hearing assistance is available upon	15	tonight, just to give us that little bit of extra
16	request. Accommodation will be made for individuals	16	breathing room.
17	with a disability, pursuant to the Americans with	17	Again, I'm only one person. I don't
18	Disabilities Act, or ADA, provided the individual	18	have the authority to, you know, keep people here
19	with the disability provides 48 hours' advance	19	later but, you know, because some have traveled from
20	notice to the Planning Department secretary before	20	a distance, you know, I'm willing to for this
21	the public meeting.	21	evening, have a 10:30 goal, if necessary, instead of
22	However, if the individual should	22	a 10 o'clock goal.
23	require special equipment or services, such as a	23	Again, I don't know if that's a look of
24	CART transcriber, seven days' advance notice,	24	approval or disapproval from the other side of the
25	excluding weekends and holidays, may be necessary.	25	table.
	7		9
1	Would everyone please rise to salute to	1	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we
2	the flag.	2	typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but
3	[Pledge of Allegiance.]	3	for those who traveled we can make an exception.
4	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I		
-		4	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we
5	have a roll call, please?	5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30?
6	have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio?	5 6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to
1	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.	5 6 7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So,
6	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is	5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but
6 7 8 9	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record.	5 6 7 8 9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
6 7 8 9 10	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh?	5 6 7 8 9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating
6 7 8 9 10 11	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.	5 6 7 8 9 10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval.
6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Chairman Vesclo? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'll just make an
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Chairman Vesclo? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins? MEMBER ATKINS: Here.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'll just make an announcement for those that are in the room, we are
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins? MEMBER ATKINS: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Peck is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'll just make an announcement for those that are in the room, we are moving to the next application, that's for the R.I.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Chairman Vesclo? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins? MEMBER ATKINS: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Peck is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'll just make an announcement for those that are in the room, we are

1 3.01, commonly known as 1260 Route 22.

2 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Chairman, just

for the record, my name is Michael Silbert and I'm

- 4 an attorney at the law firm DiFrancesco Bateman,
- 5 located in Warren Township. I'm here tonight on
- 6 behalf of the applicant, R.I. Heritage Inn at
- 7 Bridgewater, LLC.

3

8 The applicant is seeking Amended

- 9 Preliminary and Final Site Plan approval for the
- property identified as Block 222, Lot 3.01, as shown
- 11 on the township's tax maps, more commonly known as
- 12 1260 Route 22 East.
- The property is located in the HIC zone
- 14 district and consists of a single parcel just under
- 15 13 acres in size. And pursuant to Section 126 --
- 16 Section 126-314.182 of the township code, hotels are
- 17 a permitted use in the zone.
- 18 The property comprises five land units
- 19 created through a condominium arrangement. This
- 20 application pertains specifically, as you will see
- 21 in the application materials, to Land Unit D, which
- 22 is the southernmost building proposed on the
- 23 property.

1

- 24 So just a little brief background
- 25 information because I had made previous
 - representations earlier this evening, the project
- 2 was previously approved in its entirety and was
- 3 subject of a Preliminary and Final Site Plan
- 4 application. Eventually that was approved on
- 5 December 11, 2018. That approval allowed for the
- 6 construction of a Marriott Residence Inn hotel on
- 7 Land Unit D.
- 8 So as part of the original approval
- 9 there was a number of bulk variances and design
- 10 waivers that were granted. I could just very
- 11 quickly go through it and this -- I'll clarify this
- 12 later on, but essentially there was a building
- 13 height variance granted for the SpringHill Suites
- 14 Hotel for 49.33 feet where 45 feet was permitted;
- 15 front yard setback from Route 22, where 139.59 feet
- 16 was approved, 200 feet was required; buffer for
- 17 principal building, 90.87 feet was approved where
- 18 125 feet was required; minimum parking lot buffer,
- 19 21.87 feet was approved, where 75 feet was required;
- 20 minimum accessory structure buffer, 50 feet was
- 21 approved, 75 feet was required.
- Why are we here tonight? The applicant
- 23 is returning before the board to seek an amended
- 24 site plan approval due to changes in the hotel user
- 25 and to the building on Land Unit D. Specifically

- 1 the applicant's proposing to reduce the building
- 2 footprint and overall size of the building. And
- 3 this impacts several of the bulk variances that were
- 4 -- or at least one of the bulk variances that was
- 5 granted during the original site plan approval.
 - So I'll leave it to our engineer to
- 7 discuss the differences in building footprint,
- 8 F.A.R. reduction. This is going to result in a lot
- 9 coverage reduction. We are going to be able to
- 10 increase the number of parking spaces despite the
- 11 fact that the number of rooms are slightly
- 12 decreasing.

6

13 The witnesses I'm going to call are

14 going to explain some of these changes. Ultimately,

15 these changes are driven from an economic

16 standpoint, just responding to current real estate

17 market trends, particularly in light of being in a

18 post-COVID-19 world.

19 As noted in the joint review memo,

20 dated November 26th, 2024, the proposed amendments

21 to the building size represent actually improvements

22 to the relief that was previously granted. So from

23 a technical standpoint, variance relief is still

24 required for at least one of the bulk variances that

25 was previously received because although they're

13

- 1 improvements, they still remain non-compliant with
- 2 the township's requirements. And we're going to be
- 3 seeking that variance under the c(2) criteria.
- 4 The other bulk variances granted as
- s part of the original approval, they're going to
- 6 remain unchanged; they'll remain as approved.
- 7 So I have three witnesses. Three -- I
- 8 have three or four witnesses because I have two
- 9 representatives from the future operator from Park
- 10 Silver Development. So I'm joined with Terry
- 11 O'Brien and Greg Heflin. They're both regional
- 12 vice-presidents from Park Silver Development, LLC,
- 13 and they're representing WoodSpring Suites, the
- 14 future hotel user of Land Unit D.
- 15 I'm also joined by Jeff McKay. He's
- 16 the vice-president of Tharaldson Hospitality
- 17 Development. It's a related entity of the applicant
- 18 and the land unit owner.

23

- 19 And I'm joined, lastly, by our
- 20 professional engineer, George Folk. He was the
- 21 original civil engineer for this project and he also
- 22 prepared the amended site plan.
 - One thing I just wanted to stress for
- $\,$ 24 $\,$ the board is that the exterior architectural design
- 25 is essentially the same that was approved back in

		l	1.0
l .	14		16
1	2018 in terms of quality of materials, certain	1	we've come this far.
2	design features. Even though the building footprint	2	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Absolutely,
3	is changing and the interior space is changing, the	3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So why don't we do
4	actual exterior appearance of the building, or at	4	that. I'll need a motion to break.
5	least from a material standpoint, is the same.	5	MEMBER ATKINS: Make motion.
6	There were a couple housekeeping and	6	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Was that Mr. Atkins.
7	site plan inconsistencies I just wanted to address	7	I'll need a second.
8	from the professional review memo. So I had stated	8	MEMBER MAGURA: Second.
9	and the applicant's materials, with the exception of	9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: All in favor?
10	the site plan, indicates that this site plan	10	BOARD MEMBERS IN UNISON: Aye.
11	application was approved or the original one was	11	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.
12	approved in 2018. The cover sheet of the site plan	12	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.
13	drawings indicates an approval date of September	13	(A vivore is taken at 0.12 n m.)
14	2020; but that is relative to post-approval	14	(A recess is taken at 9:13 p.m.)
15	compliance revisions that resulted from the 2018	15	(77)
16	approval. So I just wanted to clarify that. Our	16	(Time noted, 9:23 p.m.)
17	civil engineer can certainly add to that.	17	CUATRIAN VECCTO: Members ready to
18	There was an incorrect F.A.R. approval	18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Members, ready to
19	date in the site plan; it made mention of the	19	resume?
20	approval date on September 17th, 2024. I think	20	All in favor?
21	that's just a typo.	21	BOARD MEMBERS IN UNISON: Aye.
22	If there was there may have been a	22	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Silbert, thank
23	typo with respect to rear yard setback variance that	23	you very much for entertaining that break.
24	we don't actually need. So I'll let our engineer	24	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Chairman, my pleasure. Thank you very much for having us this
1 ግሮ	address that,		nieasure. Thank vou verv much for naving us uns
25		23	
	15		17
1	15 And then, finally, I just wanted to	1	evening.
1 2	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So	1 2	evening. I represented before the bathroom break
1 2 3	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet,	1	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien
1 2 3 4	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The	1 2 3 4	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to
1 2 3 4 5	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates	1 2 3 4 5	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff
1 2 3 4 5	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height	1 2 3 4 5	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He,
1 2 3 4 5 6	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in	1 2 3 4 5 6	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear anyone.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening. And with that, I would ask if I could	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening. And with that, I would ask if I could I'm going to start with operational testimony so	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear anyone. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Excuse me. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We can swear them in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening. And with that, I would ask if I could I'm going to start with operational testimony so the board can get some background information on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear anyone. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Excuse me.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening. And with that, I would ask if I could I'm going to start with operational testimony so the board can get some background information on WoodSpring Suites. So I would ask if I could call	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear anyone. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Excuse me. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We can swear them in all together. ATTORNEY PECK: If all the witnesses
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening. And with that, I would ask if I could I'm going to start with operational testimony so the board can get some background information on WoodSpring Suites. So I would ask if I could call up my first witness, which is Terry O'Brien, he's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear anyone. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Excuse me. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We can swear them in all together. ATTORNEY PECK: If all the witnesses would, you know, known and possible, stand and raise
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening. And with that, I would ask if I could I'm going to start with operational testimony so the board can get some background information on WoodSpring Suites. So I would ask if I could call up my first witness, which is Terry O'Brien, he's the if you want to swear them all, that's fine as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear anyone. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Excuse me. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We can swear them in all together. ATTORNEY PECK: If all the witnesses would, you know, known and possible, stand and raise your right hand. Do you all swear and affirm that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening. And with that, I would ask if I could I'm going to start with operational testimony so the board can get some background information on WoodSpring Suites. So I would ask if I could call up my first witness, which is Terry O'Brien, he's the if you want to swear them all, that's fine as well.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear anyone. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Excuse me. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We can swear them in all together. ATTORNEY PECK: If all the witnesses would, you know, known and possible, stand and raise your right hand. Do you all swear and affirm that the testimony you are going to give during the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening. And with that, I would ask if I could I'm going to start with operational testimony so the board can get some background information on WoodSpring Suites. So I would ask if I could call up my first witness, which is Terry O'Brien, he's the if you want to swear them all, that's fine as well. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We actually can.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear anyone. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Excuse me. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We can swear them in all together. ATTORNEY PECK: If all the witnesses would, you know, known and possible, stand and raise your right hand. Do you all swear and affirm that the testimony you are going to give during the course of this hearing will be the truth and nothing
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then, finally, I just wanted to address an issue with the height discrepancy. So WoodSpring Suites building is proposed at 46 feet, according to the architectural elevations. The zoning table on the cover sheet, my notice indicates that we need variance relief for the building height at 49.2 feet. That was an error. That was in reference to the other hotel. It's not in reference to WoodSpring Suites. So there's no change in the building height to SpringHill Suites, sorry, it's a little confusing SpringHill, WoodSpring. But the building height for WoodSpring Suites is 46 feet. It will be testified to this evening. And with that, I would ask if I could I'm going to start with operational testimony so the board can get some background information on WoodSpring Suites. So I would ask if I could call up my first witness, which is Terry O'Brien, he's the if you want to swear them all, that's fine as well.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evening. I represented before the bathroom break that I was going to be calling Mr. Terry O'Brien from Park Silver Development, but I'm going to change things up; I'm actually going to call Jeff McKay from Tharaldson Hospitality Development. He, again, is a vice-president of the developer or I'm sorry of the applicant, and of the property owner, which is a related entity. So if I could if you want to swear in all the witnesses did we swear all the witnesses in? ATTORNEY PECK: No, we didn't swear anyone. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Excuse me. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We can swear them in all together. ATTORNEY PECK: If all the witnesses would, you know, known and possible, stand and raise your right hand. Do you all swear and affirm that the testimony you are going to give during the course of this hearing will be the truth and nothing but the truth?

ATTORNEY PECK: And starting with

25 just for those of us to use a restroom, given that

	1. 14.4/		3 M-1/
	J. McKay		J. McKay 20
1	Mr. McKay, just state and spell your names for the	1	38/39 percent. This isn't the only market where
2	record.	2	we're not able to do a Residence Inn. We've also
3	JEFF McKAY: Jeff McKay, M-C-K-A-Y.	3	cancelled some other locations for Residence Inn.
4	GEORGE FOLK: George Folk, F-O-L-K.	4	Residence Inn is a bigger building.
5	GREG HEFLIN: Greg Heflin, H-E-F-L-I-N.	5	And so we looked at how we could
6	TERRY O'BRIEN: Terry O'Brien,	6	continue to develop the site and still make it what
7	O-B-R-I-E-N.	7	we started out to do, and that is our goal.
8	ATTORNEY PECK: Thank you very much.	8	In doing so, we discovered a brand that
9	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.	9	we thought we could make work here. And although we
10	So, Mr. Chairman, we thought it would	10	didn't have the expertise with the WoodSpring brand,
11	be helpful to bring Mr. McKay up to kind of talk a	11	we teamed up with Terry and Greg and their
12	little bit about the change in commercial real	12	organization who had in-depth experience with the
13	estate, some of the market changes, what led to this	13	WoodSpring and, of course, had WoodSpring
14	change. And I'll let Mr. McKay take it away from	14	properties. And so we wanted to team up with people
15	here.	15	that knew what the brand was and how to operate that
16		16	hotel.
17	JEFF MCKAY, sworn, provides the following	17	So having said that, we're here to
18	testimony:	18	propose, obviously, a different hotel. It's similar
19		19	in nature, a four-story. We want to make the
20	EXAMINATION	20	outside look just as the Residence Inn did, use the
21		21	same materials, the same colors, and it's going to
22	THE WITNESS: Good evening. Yeah, I	22	be smaller. As you could imagine, a little bit less
23	just wanted to give us a little bit of a background	23	cost to build that way.
24	on kind of why we're here and what we're trying to	24	So really that's sort of the backdrop
25	get accomplished here.	25	of kind of why we're getting together here and I'm
	J. McKay		J. McKay
	19		21
1	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could,	1	21 here to answer any kind of questions that the board
1 2	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title	1 2	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move
]	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed		here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development.
2 3 4	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record.	2	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may,
2	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name	2	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening
2 3 4 5 6	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I	2 3 4 5 6	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the
2 3 4 5 6 7	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson.	2 3 4 5 6 7	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeatyou're saying the exterior?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeatyou're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeatyou're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just wanted you to confirm that my representation that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans, there's no doubt about it. Today's world has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeatyou're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just wanted you to confirm that my representation that the architectural design that is being proposed this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans, there's no doubt about it. Today's world has changed, as everyone here knows. The pandemic was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeatyou're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just wanted you to confirm that my representation that the architectural design that is being proposed and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans, there's no doubt about it. Today's world has changed, as everyone here knows. The pandemic was brutal in the hotel world. At that time we didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeatyou're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just wanted you to confirm that my representation that the architectural design that is being proposed this evening is very similar to what was proposed and approved back in 2018.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans, there's no doubt about it. Today's world has changed, as everyone here knows. The pandemic was brutal in the hotel world. At that time we didn't have 88, we had closer to 75, and we've actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeatyou're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just wanted you to confirm that my representation that the architectural design that is being proposed this evening is very similar to what was proposed and approved back in 2018. THE WITNESS: It is very similar. In
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans, there's no doubt about it. Today's world has changed, as everyone here knows. The pandemic was brutal in the hotel world. At that time we didn't have 88, we had closer to 75, and we've actually closed the doors on 25 hotels during the pandemic,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeatyou're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just wanted you to confirm that my representation that the architectural design that is being proposed this evening is very similar to what was proposed and approved back in 2018. THE WITNESS: It is very similar. In fact, the WoodSpring, I don't know that it commonly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans, there's no doubt about it. Today's world has changed, as everyone here knows. The pandemic was brutal in the hotel world. At that time we didn't have 88, we had closer to 75, and we've actually closed the doors on 25 hotels during the pandemic, just shut them down.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeat you're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just wanted you to confirm that my representation that the architectural design that is being proposed this evening is very similar to what was proposed and approved back in 2018. THE WITNESS: It is very similar. In fact, the WoodSpring, I don't know that it commonly has a flat roof, but the Residence had a flat roof.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans, there's no doubt about it. Today's world has changed, as everyone here knows. The pandemic was brutal in the hotel world. At that time we didn't have 88, we had closer to 75, and we've actually closed the doors on 25 hotels during the pandemic, just shut them down. And, unfortunately, the Bridgewater	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeat you're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just wanted you to confirm that my representation that the architectural design that is being proposed this evening is very similar to what was proposed and approved back in 2018. THE WITNESS: It is very similar. In fact, the WoodSpring, I don't know that it commonly has a flat roof, but the Residence had a flat roof. We changed this to make sure it had a flat roof to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, before you get going, could you give your title again? There were a lot of entities being tossed around, just so we have a clear record. THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I represent Tharaldson. We currently own and operate 88 hotels across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this site for a long time, several years. And we've developed over 400 hotels around the United States. I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the company. So Bridgewater was on our radar. We wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans, there's no doubt about it. Today's world has changed, as everyone here knows. The pandemic was brutal in the hotel world. At that time we didn't have 88, we had closer to 75, and we've actually closed the doors on 25 hotels during the pandemic, just shut them down.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here to answer any kind of questions that the board may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move forward with our development. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening that there was a very strong effort to keep the architectural design in line with what was approved in 2018. Since you were very much involved in the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker here, do you agree with that representation and can you touch on that at all? THE WITNESS: Could you just repeat you're saying the exterior? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just wanted you to confirm that my representation that the architectural design that is being proposed this evening is very similar to what was proposed and approved back in 2018. THE WITNESS: It is very similar. In fact, the WoodSpring, I don't know that it commonly has a flat roof, but the Residence had a flat roof.

	J. McKay		J. McKay
	22		24
1	colors that were approved in 2018 for the Residence,	1	compared to the new footprint in red.
2	same colors on the building.	2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Because, and
3	So, effectively, we have a little	3	I'll tell you, I'll telegraph where I'm going with
4	smaller box that looks the same, that's going to	4	this; I was not on the board in 2018. I don't think
5	have a different sign on it. I mean that's really	5	any of us I know none of us were. Obviously, you
6	what we're trying to do here.	6	know, we stand by whatever action was taken and now,
7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And going back to	7	you know, six years later, you know, we iterate to
8	the 2018 approval, the board asked questions based	8	the next opportunity.
9	on the resolution. The board asked questions and it	9	I'm definitely particularly interested
10	was the testimony of the applicant then, that this	10	in understanding, you know, how both the letter of
11	architectural design would fit well within the other	11	the resolution from 2018, as well as the intent, is
12	proposed designs for the other buildings on the	12	still being satisfied here. Because I know there
13	property.	13	were concerns about berms and about privacy and
14	Do you still believe that's the case	14	about trees and fences and, you know, there was a
15	today?	15	lot that came out at that hearing.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.	16	You know, I was not there, but, you
17	And we worked very tiresomely on getting the look of	17	know, I did some diligence in trying to speak with
18	the building to appease the neighborhood and the	18	those who were, and I just want to make sure that,
19	Township of Bridgewater. There's no doubt about	19	you know, both the letter and the intention remains
20	that.	20	in place, or through this hearing we can make sure
21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So is it your	21	that they stay in place.
22	opinion that the aesthetics component to this	22	THE WITNESS: That would be our
23	application was already was already reviewed and	23	absolute intention is to honor all of those
24	approved by the board back in 2018, and what you're	24	requirements that were previously instated on the
25	proposing today mimics that approval as much as	25	site plan application.
1			7. 14-1/
	J. McKay		J. McKay
	23	1	25
1	reasonably possible?	1	25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent
2	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.	2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or
2	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great.	2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know,
2 3 4	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you,	2 3 4	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same
2 3 4 5	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any	2 3 4 5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say.
2 3 4 5 6	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as	2 3 4 5 6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted
2 3 4 5 6 7	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's	2 3 4 5 6 7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted to provide a little bit of background on he has a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from this applicant team had come in and basically met
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted to provide a little bit of background on he has a very good depiction here of the ATTORNEY SILBERT: Counsel, can you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from this applicant team had come in and basically met with the professionals in the township to better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted to provide a little bit of background on he has a very good depiction here of the ATTORNEY SILBERT: Counsel, can you see this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from this applicant team had come in and basically met with the professionals in the township to better understand if they did need amended site plan

	J. McKay		J. McKay
	26		28
1	application so, obviously, the answer to that	1	what was in error would have been a reduction in
2	question was, yes, they did.	2	height, but we're not adjusting the approved height
3	So there was a thorough review of the	3	of SpringHill.
4	resolution, the prior architectural plan, the prior	4	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So the new height is
5	building layout, which essentially the decision was	5	46 feet?
6	that they would need amended approval, which is	6	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. And I believe
7	exactly why they're here tonight, is that they are	7	the old height was, I'll confirm, but I believe it
8	seeking basically a new approval that would then	8	was 46 feet.
9	change what was approved in the past.	9	They're the same heights?
10	And I will say that, I think upon	10	THE WITNESS: Yeah. We are not trying
11	comparing, they were true to their word, they are	11	to do anything deviation-wise with the original
12	similar in the nature of them. It's just that the	12	approval other than change the brand name.
13	actual size the scale and size of the building is	13	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Thank you. And then
14	much smaller than what was previously approved.	14	the next
15	And some of the amenities within the	15	ATTORNEY SILBERT: The only variance
16	building that were part that were specifically	16	we're seeking, just in case I didn't say it or I
17	cited in the resolution are no longer proposed. As	17	said it too fast, is there's a required buffer under
18	they've mentioned, I think it's an economics	18	126-314.1F, where the buffer for the principal
19	function of why those have been changed and scaled	19	building is proposed at 94.11 feet, where 125 feet
20	back.	20	is required. And previously approved was 90 feet.
21	But essentially that's why they are	21	So the only variance or deviation we're
22	before this board. If they had just made some minor	22	seeking is an improvement to a previously received
23	changes, they could actually have gone through, even	23	variance.
24	with a different brand name, likely, without an	24	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So basically
25	amended approval; they would have worked under the	25	improved by 4 feet for these, right?
	J. McKay		J. McKay
			, 29
1	J. McKay	1	29 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes.
1 2	J. McKay 27	1 2	, 29
1	J. McKay 27 other.	1	29 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes.
2	J. McKay 27 other. So this whole amended approval really	2	29 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only
2	J. McKay 27 other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they	2	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two?
2 3 4	J. McKay 27 other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the	2 3 4	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct.
2 3 4 5	J. McKay 27 other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution.	2 3 4 5	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you
2 3 4 5 6	J. McKay 27 other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood.	2 3 4 5 6	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else.
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. McKay 27 other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms.	2 3 4 5 6 7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. McKay 27 other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height — the height particularly, you don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this proposed building as it relates to height. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is the hotel that is most southerly or furthest into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this proposed building as it relates to height. That height variance was with respect to the other hotel,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is the hotel that is most southerly or furthest into the site that is positioned parallel to Route 22. So my question for Mr. McKay is, as far as Tharaldson is concerned, is your interest only in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this proposed building as it relates to height. That height variance was with respect to the other hotel, SpringHill Suites.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is the hotel that is most southerly or furthest into the site that is positioned parallel to Route 22. So my question for Mr. McKay is, as far as Tharaldson is concerned, is your interest only in the WoodSpring Suites Hotel, or do you have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this proposed building as it relates to height. That height variance was with respect to the other hotel, SpringHill Suites. So I apologize for the confusion on our part, but it's a good thing because we're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is the hotel that is most southerly or furthest into the site that is positioned parallel to Route 22. So my question for Mr. McKay is, as far as Tharaldson is concerned, is your interest only in

	7 10.37		J. McKay
	J. McKay 30		3. McRay
1	portions of the project?	1	"possibly."
2	THE WITNESS: So, yes, currently we	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. You know, there's
3	own the I guess it's 13 acres. We purchased the	3	a Developer's Agreement that is tied into this, as
4	land back in the time we were seeking the original	4	you're aware. And there's certain activities that
5	approvals.	5	need to occur timing-wise for, for instance, the
6	The ownership structure and what occurs	6	commercial to start.
7	from here, I guess, are unknown at this point. It	7	The SpringHill is permitted and the
8	will depend on what we can get for approvals here.	8	intentions are to get started on that project. We
9	ENGINEER BURR: And the follow-up	9	have fenced the site and we're waiting on some
10	question to that is, it's no secret that this site	10	financing arrangements.
11	has been in the condition that it's in right now in	11	And then again, the WoodSpring, pending
12	a semi-completed from a site improvement	12	approval, we would need to get the hotel drawn, get
13	perspective, semi-completed for probably going on	13	the plans drawn and into the township for approval.
14	three years.	14	And that's probably a that could be an eight,
15	So I'm curious, if this was to be	15	nine-month process, I don't know. And ideally we
16	approved, this amendment, is this going to be a	16	would start immediately after that.
17	springboard no pun intended for building out	17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So looking at the
18	the rest of this site, or is the town looking at a	18	two hotels right now as we speak, the SpringHill is
19	site that is going to be somewhat in transition or	19	more ready to go?
20	kind of status quo for the foreseeable future?	20	THE WITNESS: Correct.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, I can speak to	21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. But from a
22	what occurred over the past four or five years and	22	financial and other standpoint, it's not moving
23	that was the pandemic. That's why you saw what you	23	ahead right now?
24	saw.	24	THE WITNESS: Well, I wouldn't say
25	We did demolish the Days Inn and we	25	that it's not moving ahead. In fact, the site was
	J. McKay		J. McKay 33
	31	١.	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was
1	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a	1	here. So they are, they are getting moving on that
2	lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time	2	
3	it was ready to get going and get started, we were	3	
		1	One.
4	smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people	4	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman
5	weren't even working, let alone building a new	4 5	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh.
5 6	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened.	4 5 6	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions
5 6 7	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you	4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals?
5 6 7 8	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site	4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any
5 6 7 8 9	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval	4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question
5 6 7 8 9	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going	4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel
5 6 7 8 9 10	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104
5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of timing? You know, I mean, I guess the SpringHill is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea Village Condo Association. And I am an attorney
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that samesorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of timing? You know, I mean, I guess the SpringHill is fully approved, it's not built. The retail is fully	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea Village Condo Association. And I am an attorney admitted in New Jersey.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of timing? You know, I mean, I guess the SpringHill is fully approved, it's not built. The retail is fully approved, is not built.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea Village Condo Association. And I am an attorney admitted in New Jersey. In the interest of time, I did have a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of timing? You know, I mean, I guess the SpringHill is fully approved, it's not built. The retail is fully approved, is not built. You know, we're here talking about this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea Village Condo Association. And I am an attorney admitted in New Jersey. In the interest of time, I did have a number of questions related to the impervious

25 possibly a step one? And I think your answer was

25 the lighting, landscaping, and specific to the --

	J. McKay		J. McKay
	34		36
1	the brand, WoodSpring Suites.	1	plan?
2	Are you prepared to answer those	2	THE WITNESS: Correct.
3	questions or	3	JOHN KULAK: And when you and this
4	THE WITNESS: I believe that's a great	4	is a question both for the board and, I guess, the
5	segue for possibly our next witness.	5	township professionals. But because you're asking
6	LARS KNUDSON: Say again?	6	for a new approval, are you subject to newer
7	THE WITNESS: I say that's probably a	7	requirements as they pertain to stormwater
8	good segue to introduce our next witness to explain	8	management, stormwater runoff and things like that?
9	those items to you.	9	Because you're not really transferring the old
10	LARS KNUDSON: Great. If that's the	10	approval to this building, but rather you're getting
11	case then I will reserve my questions until the next	11	a new approval and seeking the variances that you
12	witness.	12	requested.
13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good.	13	So I don't know who should better
14	JOHN KULAK: John Kulak, 13 Ramsey	14	answer that question but I'm wondering, since
15	Street in Bridgewater. And I am here as a resident	15	there's a change, are you now subject to the newer
16	tonight. I have been a resident of the Finderne	16	requirements?
17	section of Bridgewater for pretty much my whole	17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So I can from
18	life.	18	our side, we can have our civil engineer answer that
19	When I was looking at your amended	19	question. I'll note that I'll let our civil
20	plan, you are trying to present this or you are	20	engineer answer the question.
21	presenting this, I should say, as a let me move one	21	JOHN KULAK: Okay. One last thing.
22	building aside and put in another building and	22	There was some errors in the documents submitted
23	everything else should remain the same. But the	23	with the wrong address, have they all been
24	planning board originally approved both the brand	24	corrected?
25	and the building itself.	25	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So what you're
	J. McKay		J. McKay
	35		37
1	You alluded to the fact that the brand	1	referring to is the subject line in the application
2	changed because of the economics of the world had	2	letter referenced the property at 202. All of the
3	changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell	3	actual application materials, with the exception of
4	us more about the brand?	4	the subject line of the letter, referenced the
5	Because my understanding is that it's	5	correct address. That was an error on my part,
6	quite a step down from the Residence Inn or,	6	likely because of the other application.
7	alternatively, the other property which will be	7	But we did send notice out to
8	built. It's no longer a Marriott property but	8	approximately 200 people, properly identifying the
9	another brand.	9	correct address. If anybody as you know, the
10	So can you talk a little bit about	10	township puts the documents that we submitted on the
11	that, please?	11	website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all
12	THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll	12	the plans have the correct address.
13	reserve that for our next witness. They are the	13	So I do apologize for that error.
14	•	14	JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm
15	that's why we teamed up with them so.	15 16	suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to
16			be corrected, that you will have done that and
17	however, was, as you stated, an economic decision?	17	submitted those documents correctly?
18		18 19	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would say that
19		20	they the cover letter is probably is not a
20	-	20	document that would ordinarily be corrected. If it
21	• •	22	and the second s
22		23	would totally agree that they would need to be
23	manufacture and the state of th	24	remedied but just as far as it's just
24		25	
25	חברובו מחוב נס אלבשע נס תומג מא תובל מואכמאא מובוו	T	

	J. McKay		J. McKay
	38		40
1	So I don't know how to rectify that.	1	satisfied that not just that the law was followed
2	It's not an official document, I would say, it's	2	and it seems as though it was but that also our
3	just a cover letter that accompanied the actual	3	efforts to be fully transparent, that that goal has
4	application.	4	also been met. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Understood. I do
5	JOHN KULAK: I appreciate that. It	5	understand that.
6	was just a little confusing.	6	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Councilman
7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Understood, and I	8	Kirsh, if I might, there was a typo on the agenda
8	apologize for that. I certainly wasn't trying to, you know, mislead anyone.	9	which mirrored the typo in the transmittal letter.
9 10	JOHN KULAK: No, I didn't think that.	10	So the agendas had also said "Route 202." It was
11	ATTORNEY SILBERT: It was purely a	11	amended, reposted and then rectified.
12	typo.	12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So
13	JOHN KULAK: And I would like to	13	essentially in Version 1 it was incorrect, but then
14	reserve the rest of my questions as we have the	14	in an update it was correct?
15	additional witnesses speak.	15	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Correct.
16	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Actually on that	16	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So if I were to go
17	subject, for Mr. Peck, are you satisfied that notice	17	on the website now, which I won't, it states it
18	is still in good order and we can be proceeding?	18	correctly?
19	ATTORNEY PECK: Notice was proper and	19	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: To my
20	conformed with all the statutory requirements.	20	knowledge. I forwarded it this morning to be
21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.	21	reposted to the webmaster so.
22	ATTORNEY PECK: And that was a typo in,	22	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And I will just
23	you know, the subject line of their submission which	23	add, just to hopefully conclude this portion of the
24	is unfortunate because it was confusing, I mean, I	24	hearing, but I have been on your side. This doesn't
25	looked at it, too. But from a notice standpoint,	25	happen in Bridgewater because Nancy does such a good
	J. McKay		J. McKay 41
	39		
1	they're fine; notice was proper.	1 2	job, but it's not uncommon that you can have an agenda with a minor typographical error. It's
2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And, in	3	certainly not fatal, from the applicant's
3	addition, our notice to the public beyond the 200-foot statutory requirement, are we satisfied	4	perspective, to moving forward with their
5	that that that has been sufficient? Because,	5	application.
6	again, we operate with only the highest integrity	6	Although I totally understand and
7	and transparency here.	7	respect, Councilman, where you're coming from as it
8	ATTORNEY PECK: I'm satisfied that it	8	pertains to transparency. If perhaps this was a
9	is.	9	different application and where we're going in anew
10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.	10	and didn't already have an approval here, perhaps it
11	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would just say I	11	would be a different situation. But, again, we're
12	don't think I have ever thus far in my career, I	12	looking to adjust the footprint of a previously
13	have never provided 200 notices, so that was a long	13	approved hotel.
14	and arduous process. But I can assure you that the	14	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And actually
15	residents that are certainly most impacted by this	15	since I don't want to belabor the point, I know
16	change they were notified 100 percent so and	16	we have limited time you know, there seem to be
17	100 percent accurately.	17	some residents from the Chelsea Development
18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, and I don't	18	property excuse me, the Chelsea property. There
19	doubt that. But I also have just the concern, you	19	is also, so within your 200 feet are at least some
20	know, that we're notifying the public also, through	20	of the residents on what I'll just affectionately
21	our website and, you know, thorough any other	21	call "the college streets," that would be to the
22		22	east. They are I didn't look at that part of the
23	•	23	packet, I apologize.
24		24	
25	So I just want to make sure that we're	25	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I assume that you

	J. McKay		J. McKay
	42		44
1	hit some number of those residents as well?	1	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Thank you.
2	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would have to go	2	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.
3	back and look at the notice list. I would assume	3	Anyone else?
4	that they weren't all Chelsea residents, but I don't	4	Okay, that will close the public
5	recall. I would have to go double-check.	5	portion for this witness.
6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is that in the	6	Mr. Silbert, would you like to call
7	packet? Because I'm going to look for it.	7	your next witness?
8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: It was provided to	8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would. I just
9	the board with our Affidavit Service and the	9	have an idea. I just want to clarify something, and
10	initial	10	I could speak with Mr. Peck about it, but my
11	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right.	11	understanding is that with an amended site plan
12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Actually the list	12	application it's not really treated as though it's
13	that was provided to me by your tax assessor was	13	an application or a new application; it's an
14	included in the initial application submission.	14	amendment to a previous approval.
15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, all right.	15	And I point that out because routinely
16	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So you do have a	16	applicants come in, they get approval, and then
17	copy actually of that. I apologize.	17	things happen and they realize they need to make an adjustment. And if the applicant wasn't afforded
18	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Councilman	18	the opportunity to reappear before the board, a lot
19	Kirsh, I personally checked Mr. Silbert's proofs and	19 20	of times they would not do that, if they had to come
20	they were accurate. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And it does	21	in with a brand-new application.
21		22	So I just wanted to point out one
22	include, again, what I affectionately call "the college streets," like Stanford, Dartmouth?	23	clarifying component to this, that I don't think
23	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: There were	24	it's appropriate for the board to treat this as a
24	quite a few. I can't attest to	25	brand-new application; they should treat it as an
25	J. McKay	23	
		1	1. McKav
	3. McKay		J. McKay 45
1	43	1	45
1 2	43 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as	1 2	·
1 2 3	43	1	45 amendment to a prior approval.
2	43 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were	2	45 amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's
2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the	2	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations
2 3 4	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some	2 3 4	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan.
2 3 4 5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though.	2 3 4 5	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific.	2 3 4 5 6	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions	2 3 4 5 6 7	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners? THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have a count	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two witnesses, both from Park Silver Development. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners? THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have a count for you. I'm not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two witnesses, both from Park Silver Development. If you, Mr. Chairman, and the board would allow me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners? THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have a count for you. I'm not sure. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Is that something you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two witnesses, both from Park Silver Development. If you, Mr. Chairman, and the board would allow me to do so, I would like to introduce both of them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners? THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have a count for you. I'm not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two witnesses, both from Park Silver Development. If you, Mr. Chairman, and the board would allow me to

	J. McKay		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	46		48
1	don't have to wait for the witnesses doesn't say,	1	group; you heard some of the public questions or
2	"Well, he's going to answer it."	2	comments about their concerns here so why don't you
3	So if it's okay, I would like to call	3	start off and tell the board a little bit about
4	both of the witnesses and just pose some questions	4	WoodSpring Suites.
5	to them. If that poses a problem, I don't have to	5	TERRY O'BRIEN: Sure.
6	do that.	6	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Obviously there was
7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I assume we can try	7	concern about the difference between what was
8	that, sure.	8	previously approved and as far as the user goes.
9	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.	9	TERRY O'BRIEN: As I was saying,
10	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: As long as we don't	10	WoodSpring is an extended stay hotel. It's part of
11	get confusing, I think that should be fine.	11	Choice Hotels. Choice is the second largest hotel
12	ATTORNEY PECK: And we'll just ask	12	company in the world, 7,000 units across the world.
13	them each identify their roles, their roles with the	13	And this falls under the Extended Stay Group of
14	applicant.	14	Choice and they have got Choice has 20-plus
15	TERRY O'BRIEN: Hi, I'm Terry O'Brien,	15	brands overall, and they have got a couple of
16	I'm a Regional Director excuse me, Regional	16	extended stay brands and WoodSpring is one of their
17	Vice-President of Real Estate. And I'm the guy that	17	extended stay brands.
18	goes out and identifies the sites to build these	18	You know, the brand is a little
19	hotels.	19	different than Residence Inn, as has been spelled
20	ATTORNEY PECK: Are you with	20	here by Mr. McKay earlier. It doesn't have a pool.
21	WoodSpring?	21	It doesn't have a meeting space or a restaurant or
22	TERRY O'BRIEN: I'm sorry. I'm with	22	bar. It's really a rooms-only product. And the
23	Park Silver Development.	23	customer that stays there is that's what they're
24	WoodSpring is the franchise company and	24	looking for.
25	that's part of Choice Hotels, which is the second	25	I mean, a good example of who would be T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 47		49
1	largest hotel company in the world with over 7,000	1	staying there, traveling nurses, who come in to work
2	units.	2	in the hospital for three to six months at a time on
3	GREG HEFLIN: And I'm Greg Heflin.	3	contract, need a place to stay. They probably still
4	I'm also a Regional Vice-President with Park Silver	4	have their own apartment, but they come in, they
5	Development. And I pick up where Terry leaves off	5	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they
6	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring	6	go back. Or consultants who come in, that are
7	any consultants and professionals to design it and	7	working on big projects.
8	permit it.	8	Even displaced people that have been
9	r	9	either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of
10	GREG HEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies	10	their home. And we have got the great example,
11	as follows:	11	which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as
12		12	we all know went through a very bad time recently.
13	TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies	13	And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel
14	as follows:	14	that have been displaced out of their homes.
15		15	So it's not your typical hotel that
16	EXAMINATION	16	Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for
17		17	one or two nights and then you move on. It's a
18	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So, Greg, is it	18	little different customers base.
19	fair to say that I should direct questions more to	19	And extended stay hotels, in the last
20	you about the elevations and the floor plans and	20	25 years, is by far the fastest growing segment of
21	would you agree with that?	21	the hotel industry. You would probably recognize
22	GREG HEFLIN: Yes.	22	brands a little more like well, Residence Inn,
23	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Mr. O'Brien,	23	certainly, but TownePlace Suites, Home2Suites,
24	would you agree that you're in a position, you can	24	Candlewood Suites, Mainstay Suites. All these
25	answer some questions about background of the hotel	25	hotels are, in the hotel vernacular, are extended

20

21

22

23

24

25

per-room basis.

don't have a breakfast area.

The major deviation on the square

footage has to do with the amenity space. We don't

different customer. They're not here to be on

have an indoor pool. We don't have a gatehouse. We

As Terry pointed out, these are a

19

20

71

22

23

24

25

staying for an extended period of time, which I

think our average stay is 11 nights where the

Residence Inn was about three to four nights.

our guests seek out, but not the ones that they

don't want to pay for.

And so we provide the amenities that

ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 54		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 56
1	And then Mr. McKay had testified to and	1	Mr. Silbert. I would like to open to our board for
2	I made in my opening remarks, that the architectural	2	any questions on the testimony you just heard.
3	style, materials, that they were heavily influenced,	3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, all right. I
4	if not substantially similar to what was previously	4	have several. And I guess one of them is, first, to
5	approved. Do you concur with that testimony you	5	Mr. Peck.
6	heard and my representation?	6	So this property is zoned hotel,
7	GREG HEFLIN: Yes.	7	correct?
8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And is this a	8	ATTORNEY PECK: Correct.
9	customary design for WoodSpring Suites? I'm	9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is there a
10	referring to the elevations that were submitted.	10	delineation when we zone for a hotel, what type of a
11	Speak to that a bit.	11	hotel it is, whether it's a standard product, an
12	GREG HEFLIN: That's not our	12	extended stay product?
13	prototype. We would normally have a pitched roof,	13	ATTORNEY PECK: No, you can't.
14	more of a residential look. To mimic the Residence	14	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: We permit a hotel
15	Inn, we have a flat roof. That is allowed by	15	in a particular place and as long as what you do
16	Choice, but we have chosen to mimic the Residence	16	meets that definition, you can build a hotel there?
17	Inn with that look.	17	ATTORNEY PECK: You can't say it
18	We have added color variation, Hardie	18	permits fast food restaurants but will only allow
19	plank on the exterior and I believe that we have a	19	McDonald's and not Burger King.
20	stacked-stone look on the base on the first floor.	20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, but with that
21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. So is it	21	analogy, you know, it's not we allow McDonald's but
22	fair to say in recognition of the previous approval	22	not Burger King; we allow fast food but you can't be
23	that you really made a strong effort to incorporate	23	an elegant restaurant or vice-versa. I mean, like
24	or mimic the previously approved Residence Inn?	24	should we think of a hotel is a hotel, as long as it
25	GREG HEFLIN: Yes, we did.	25	meets the definition it's permitted?
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	55		57
1	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. I don't	1	ATTORNEY PECK: A hotel is a hotel
2	have anyoh, actually, one more question.	2	a hotel is a hotel.
3	I don't know which one would be	3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
4	appropriate to answer. I think you, Greg. But just	4	PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, just to
5	provide some details on the number of full-time and	5	interject, the zone permits hotels and motels, so
6	part-time employees, typical shifts for WoodSpring	6	it's more broad than just
7	Suites, if you would.	7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And the reason I'm
8	GREG HEFLIN: Yeah, so we	8	going there there's a reason. The reason the
9	typically have I think we have shown four	9	reason I'm going here is, going back to 2018, this
10	employees on the peak shift and then four employees	10	specific property was identified as an extended stay
11	on the after shift; we usually have a general	11	hotel under the Residence Inn brand, correct?
12	manager who also does sales, an assistant manager, a	12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I don't hold on.
13	maintenance engineer and a housekeeper.	13	Was it an extended stay under Residence Inn?
14	TERRY O'BRIEN: Just to add, I was just	14	JEFF McKAY: I believe just Residence
15	going to say in this operation the employees tend to	15	Inn. Residence Inn is traditionally an extended
16	wear several hats; you know, where they're needed,	16	stay brand.
17	that's the job they tend to do, which is good	17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: By it's definition,
18	because you can cross-train your employees and gives	18	Residence Inn is in the extended stay category?
19	them good experience, too, that they can take into	19	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct.
20	the industry further.	20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So what you are
21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. I have	21	asking to do is it's almost it's almost
22	no further questions, Mr. Chairman.	22	independent of extended stay because Residence Inn
23	If the board or professionals have	23	was approved as a Residence Inn; you are asking,
24	questions, please	24	okay, shift that approval to this brand?
25	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,	25	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. I don't

T. O'Brien / G. Heflin T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 60 58 Most extended stay hotels have that ability for them exactly. I don't know if there was tremendous -- I 1 -- for a person to stay at a hotel for a year or wasn't there so I don't know, and I couldn't take it 2 more or less. With the Residence Inn and the away from the resolution. I don't know if there was 3 WoodSpring Suites it would be the same opportunity. significant focus in 2018 as to whether the 4 But, we, in the industry we have a Residence Inn was an extended stay, but my 5 5 term called ESOC, which is extended stay occupancy understanding is hat it is an extended stay. 6 6 and you see that without any extended stay. People 7 Even though the board may not have 7 stay for long periods of time in a Hampton Inn. focused on it, we're saying, okay, we're replacing 8 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You could go to the 9 it with another extended stay hotel. 9 Marriott by the mall and stay for a year. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You're saying it's 10 10 TERRY O'BRIEN: Expensive but you a like product, you know, albeit based on the 11 11 testimony a Residence Inn, the average person is could. 12 12 GREG HEFLIN: You could absolutely do there three to four nights, yours is more like 11? 13 13 14 that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Right. 14 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: What's that? 15 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. I guess what 15 TERRY O'BRIEN: It would be expensive I would like to understand from the length of the 16 stay is, is there a maximum? I mean, could someone 17 but you could. 17 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I guess, here is stay at this hotel for, like, a year? 18 18 kind of where I'm headed. At what point could 19 TERRY O'BRIEN: That's a good 19 somebody be at your property, potentially have question. Unless there is a local restriction on 20 20 children with them at the property, right, and then that, which we have seen in some municipalities, to 21 21 we absorb a responsibility to educate children? be honest, yeah, somebody could stay there -- some 22 22 TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, I mean, I don't of the municipalities we have worked in they have a 23 23 know how often that would happen. But to Greg's 24 24 30-day stay, and then they check out and then they point, you may have that in some of the existing 25 have to re-check in or whatever. 25 T. O'Brien / G. Heflin T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 61 59 hotels now, I don't know, where somebody is down on But some municipalities do have that 1 1 their luck or whatever and they are a staying in one requirement. But, yeah, I mean, but again, if you 2 of the other type of hotels. have a traveling nurse who has with a six-month 3 3 I don't really know. I mean I don't contract with one of the hospitals, she'll be there 4 have an answer. 5 for six months. She'll stay that long. 5 GREG HEFLIN: We don't have school And so, yeah, I mean, and then there's 6 6 buses that enter the properties. We don't have the residency thing in California we've run into --7 7 children. We don't have that issue. It generally 8 and again I'm shooting from the hip here, I can't doesn't come up. 9 remember if that's the right term -- because after 9 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But it could? I 10 30 days in California, don't you establish residency 10 11 mean -or something, Greg? 11 ATTORNEY PECK: It could but, again, 12 12 GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think 13 you're dealing with a permitted use. California and Florida operate similarly. 13 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. And it's 14 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I believe, and I'm 14 not unique to this hotel either; it could happen not an attorney, but I believe in New Jersey there 15 15 with any hotel. is a 90-day standard that somehow triggers a 16 16 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So a person and different category in terms of eviction and things 17 17 their children could be living at the Marriott by 18 like that. 18 the mall and then, since you have to educate your 19 But I guess what my concern is, do we 19 children in the State of New Jersey, theoretically 20 know what Bridgewater's standard is; do we have a 20 there could be a school child enrolled --21 maximum stay in a hotel? 21 ATTORNEY PECK: In theory, yeah, if 22 PLANNER SARMAD: Not that I'm aware 22 they establish residence there. 23 of. 23 PLANNER SARMAD: I apologize for my 24 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. 24

25

GREG HEFLIN: May I add some clarity?

25

ignorance before saying that we don't have something

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	62		64
1	I just quickly looked into the code, it does	1	some municipalities, I would think.
2	include that extended stay facilities for transients	2	GREG HEFLIN: Our corporate policy is
3	staying five or more days with an average seven to	3	not to take money from government officials to house
4	ten days, but not to exceed 89 days.	4	individuals. It hasn't been since the '90s when we
5	So there is a limitation of basically	5	worked there at Embassy Suites, it hasn't been
6	89.	6	since, and doesn't, you know, now.
7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But just so	7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I've got a question
8	okay, so that kind of jives with the 90-day thing	8	over here.
9	with the eviction law. Let me understand, what does	9	MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, it's a comment
10	that mean?	10	actually for Mr. Kirsh Councilman Kirsh.
11	Does that mean I can stay 89 days, I	11	Actually I, myself, stayed in a hotel with my son
12	check out for one day, and then I come back for	12	for a long period of time because of an assignment.
13	another 89 days?	13	So it is common actually. You know, many of the
14	PLANNER SARMAD: From a technical	14	international assignments also, comes and stay with
15	sense, yes. From an enforcement standpoint, if	15	the children. So, you know, whether it's 89 days
16	there was enforcement on things like you're	16	or my question was actually, what is the
17	talking about, implications with the school system	17	difference for the room number number of rooms on
18	and things like that, that could be something that	18	the WoodSpring as well as the Residence Inn, what
19	would be an enforcement issue.	19	was the do you know, Mr. Silbert what was the
20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Do you think that	20	resolution passed for Residence Inn?
21	that 89 days means, okay, I have to move from Room	21	TERRY O'BRIEN: Seven less.
22	101 to Room 102?	22	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now you are
23	PLANNER SARMAD: I don't think that's	23	basically talking about 33, 19, 19 plus 26, or
24	the intention. I think it's supposed to be	24	something, which is about 125 rooms, right?
25	something that is enforced by the hotel at a higher	25	GREG HEFLIN: We're 122.
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	63		65
1	level, knowing that there's a code violation if they	1	TERRY O'BRIEN: It was 127 to 122. So
2	don't.	2	it came down five rooms.
3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So let me	3	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Oh, okay. So it's
4	ask this question, is the operator willing to, you	4	almost the same size, a similar size.
5	know, actively enforce, you know, this 89-day rule?	5	GREG HEFLIN: Yes.
6	TERRY O'BRIEN: Yes. Yes, we would.	6	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Other than the
7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.	7	Residence Inn, have a pool; Residence Inn had a
8	I may have others, so maybe you can	8	pool, right?
9	move on to another board member.	9	GREG HEFLIN: Yes, a pool, a breakfast
10	MEMBER MAGURA: I have traveled and	10	area.
11	stayed in hotels and I have noticed that some of the	11	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Breakfast area,
12	hotels take in people and families being paid for by	12	yeah.
13	the township. It's because of the housing issues or	13	GREG HEFLIN: Expanded employee areas.
14	what-have-you, they put them up in hotels. Well, at	14	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, okay.
15	least in New York City, right, but I have seen it in	15	TERRY O'BRIEN: Little bit of meeting
16	some of the hotels, living there.	16	space.
17	TERRY O'BRIEN: It happens. We	17	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So those are the
18	haven't experienced it.	18	things that are basically going to be eliminated
19	MEMBER MAGURA: And I assume they're	19	here, and it's simpler?
20	more than 90 days. I assume they're there for	20	GREG HEFLIN: Yes, correct.
21	longer periods of time.	21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, I do
22	TERRY O'BRIEN: I don't think it's a	22	have a couple others. I might bounce back and
23	huge problem in some of the suburban locations we	23	forth.
24	operate in, but I don't I assume it doesn't go on	24	Are any of the rooms interconnected
25	in Bridgewater, I don't know. But it does happen in	25	internally?

			T 010 1 2 2 2 11-9:-
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	66		68
1	GREG HEFLIN: I don't think we have	1	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just didn't want
2	adjoining rooms, no.	2	to create a situation where 40 years from now a new
3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Because I think it	3	user comes in, say it's whatever it is, say they
4	would be important to stipulate that that not be the	4	want to increase the room size, or you know, I
5	case, because I think interconnected rooms, while,	5	just didn't want there to be something that runs
6	you know, may provide convenience under certain	6	with the land that would somehow jeopardize the
7	circumstance, I think it could open the door for,	7	marketability of the property 40 years from now. We
8	you know, making a two, you know, two, three-bedroom	8	don't have a crystal ball.
9	situation here, which I think could lead us down a	9	But there's no adjoining rooms proposed
10	challenging path.	10	with this application.
11	You know, again, I don't know how that	11	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But over time, the
12	impacts your business model.	12	property, even under the hands of this ownership
13	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Can I answer that	13	group or this management group could decide that it
14	for a second? I am going to let WoodSpring answer	14	needs a change, we're going to start doing some
15	that, of course, but I would say that from when	15	internal construction and making some of the our
16	you put together a resolution, it stays with the	16	rooms interconnected.
17	property for eternity. So if there was ever another	17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sure, but there's
18	user that, for whatever reason, needed adjoining	18	nothing that in your ordinance that would prohibit
19	rooms, while it might work for WoodSpring Suites, it	19	that. Again, I just go back to the fact that
20	would be unusual to have special restrictions with	20	there's no reason that a WoodSpring Suites, which is
21	that respect on just WoodSpring Suites when they're	21	an extended stay hotel, should have a special
22	I don't know the answer to this but I'm	22	condition imposed upon it, that wouldn't otherwise
23	assuming there is no other such conditions on other	23	be imposed on a Residence Inn, and that's really
24	hotel approvals. I don't know for a fact, but just	24	what I fall back to.
25	pointing that out there.	25	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, I'm saying
	T, O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
1			
	67		69
1	67 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you	1	69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward
1 2		1 2	
1	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you	1	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward
2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that?	2	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential
2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah,	2	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change
2 3 4	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean,	2 3 4	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah.
2 3 4 5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't	2 3 4 5	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not.
2 3 4 5 6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring	2 3 4 5 6	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have"	2 3 4 5 6 7	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't have any adjoining rooms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't have any adjoining rooms. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't have any adjoining rooms. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none proposed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't have any adjoining rooms. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none proposed. I will let you testify to that, Greg.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And if a toilet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't have any adjoining rooms. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none proposed. I will let you testify to that, Greg. GREG HEFLIN: I don't believe there are any proposed. I believe, however, that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't have any adjoining rooms. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none proposed. I will let you testify to that, Greg. GREG HEFLIN: I don't believe there are any proposed. I believe, however, that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And if a toilet clogs in the middle of the night, hopefully whether it's the engineer or somebody would be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't have any adjoining rooms. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none proposed. I will let you testify to that, Greg. GREG HEFLIN: I don't believe there are any proposed. I believe, however, that the Residence Inn does have adjoining rooms, or would have had adjoining rooms if they got built. And the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And if a toilet clogs in the middle of the night, hopefully whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	agree with that? ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't have any adjoining rooms. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none proposed. I will let you testify to that, Greg. GREG HEFLIN: I don't believe there are any proposed. I believe, however, that the Residence Inn does have adjoining rooms, or would have had adjoining rooms if they got built. And the Courtyard and the other brands and Marriott do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And if a toilet clogs in the middle of the night, hopefully whether it's the engineer or somebody would be able to

T. O'Brien / G. Heflin T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 77 70 he has come to the WoodSpring before. That does COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. All right, 1 1 happen. 2 I'm good for now. 2 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: It is fascinating. 3 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm sure. 3 TERRY O'BRIEN: When you think about 4 We learn so much in these hearings and some nights 4 -- tonight, for example, we're learning about the 5 it, unfortunately, that would happen to any of us. 5 JEFF McKAY: I might add that we have 6 hotel industry and the hospitality industry and I 6 seen Johnny at the Residence Inn too. 7 7 plea completely ignorant on this. But I did hear a TERRY O'BRIEN: So where do you go, I few times, they talked about a different customer, 8 or that it's for a different customer. 9 mean, you know... 9 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, I want 10 And I'm just going to, for a second, go 10 to make sure I heard that right, "could" or "could from the outside looking in, you know, from a 11 11 business perspective, what is the typical consumer 12 not" be working on their car out in your parking 12 lot? that WoodSpring Suites is looking for? 13 13 TERRY O'BRIEN: Could not. Who is their target market? 14 14 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And is that because TERRY O'BRIEN: Obviously, anybody who 15 15 we prohibit it or because your policies prohibit it? wants to stay for an extended period of time, that's 16 16 17 TERRY O'BRIEN: Our policies prohibit the obvious answer, but it's all over the board. 17 Like I was saying earlier, you know, 18 it, yes. 18 it's the traveling nurses. It's maybe if people who 19 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Your policies 19 prohibit, okay. 20 are coming in to work on construction projects and 20 So like in an emergency situation where they're going to be here for six months off and on, 21 21 consultants that have these assignments. you have to fix something in your car, yes, but if 22 22 somebody is, you know, changing the oil and 23 And Greg and I, we have been in this a 23 long time but we still are learning, I think. It's 24 detailing a car out in your parking lot, that would 24 amazing what you find out about who is staying at 25 violate your policies? 25 T. O'Brien / G. Heflin T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 73 71 TERRY O'BRIEN: Putting all four your hotel. And it's just -- it's a huge market. 1 1 wheels on the jacks, working on the brakes, you And it's hard to really pinpoint and say this is 2 2 3 know, that's no. 3 really what we target. 4 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. But our salespeople do go out and call 4 5 TERRY O'BRIEN: But yeah, if you have a on local companies and try to get, you know, their 5 busted radiator hose or something, you have got to employees that are coming into town to stay with us, 6 6 fix the car, obviously. But you know what I mean, just like any other hotel would. But they do drill 7 7 down and say well, what kind of company do we really where a car's sitting there for a week and it's 8 9 on... 9 want to target that is going to bring people in here COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Again, going back that is not just going to stay like the corporate 10 10 to be this whole it rests with the land, so if you 11 guy does, one night or two nights, but somebody who 11 have that policy, that makes me feel better. But do is going to stay here for a month and we can keep 12 12 all hotels have that policy? I would say, maybe 13 13 that room full. So it's kind of a hard answer and I 14 not. 14 didn't give you a real good answer, but it's kind of 15 So what happens 25 years from now where 15 all over the board who our customer really is. 16 it is no longer -- you know, and you guys all seem 16 like aboveboard, committed to making this work and I CHAIRMAN VESCIO: How do you avoid the 17 17 respect that and I appreciate your willingness to situation where Johnny gets thrown out by his wife 18 18 and he stays in the WoodSpring Suites and you find 19 come into our community. But the same way, you 19 know, we were asked about the 40 years from now, you 20 him working on his car outside for 30 days; what is 20 know, with the connecting rooms, i'm looking at what the type of enforcement, at least for something like 21 21 happens 25 years from now when the whole property 22 22 that? changes hands and, you know, it's like do whatever TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, we wouldn't 23 23 permit anybody working on their car. But we have --24 you want, you know, we have loose rules. You know, 24

I have seen Johnny get thrown out of the house and

25

what do we do at that point?

25

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	74		76
1	TERRY O'BRIEN: I think most hotels		averaging 11 largely because you don't have the
2	are going to be reasonable with somebody if, to your	2	onesie, twosie, threesie as part of that mix? You
3	point, it's an emergency. But, you know, if you're	3	know what I'm saying?
4	working on your car out in the lot for three days,	4	Because like the Residence Inn, you
5	that's a different story and you're going to say,	5	know, I have stayed like one night. It sounds like
6	"No, You can't do that here, we're going to tow the	6	you would take the reservation and you would satisfy
7	car; either you get it off the lot or we're going to	7	that customer, but the likelihood of them coming to
8	tow the car."	8	your property like yours for one or three nights is
9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But to me it's a	9	pretty low?
10	bit beyond the whole working-on-your-car thing. I	10	TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, it depends. It
11	don't think we can allow ourselves to be sold on you	11	depends the time of year, obviously, the room
12	are going to care for this property as though it's	12	demand, how full you are. You know, it's like
13	your own, Because it sounds like you will. But	13	anything else, any other commodity, if it's really
14	25 years from now, maybe somebody else owns it,	14	in demand, you know, you might not take that
15	maybe somebody else is operating it, maybe they	15	one-night stay, you might wait for the five-night
16	don't have those same standards.	16	stay.
17	You know, and that is just a concern	17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But wait a minute,
18	that I have. I mean, maybe it's an open-ended	18	I went on your website today. You can put in
19	concern that can't be answered in a forum like this.	19	specific dates, specific locations, like I guess
20	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think you could	20	it's based on the property manager's desires, if
21	probably say that about every commercial property.	21	they want to open it up to low stays during times
22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yes, you can.	22	that you have rooms open, they'll take it.
23	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And it would be the	23	TERRY O'BRIEN: Yes. That's what I
24	operator's prerogative and it would be advantageous	24	was trying to say, exactly.
25	to the property owner to trespass that individual	25	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. Thank
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
ı			
1	75		77
1		1	you.
1 2	from their property.	1 2	
2	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying	1	you.
1	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think	2	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals,
2 3 4	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying	2	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just
2 3 4 5	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that,	2 3 4	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard?
2 3 4 5 6	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that	2 3 4 5	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick
2 3 4 5 6 7	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they	2 3 4 5 6	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for	2 3 4 5 6 7	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some outliers, but they've got an awful lot of people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much? TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, smaller rooms is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some outliers, but they've got an awful lot of people like me, I've never stayed at a Residence Inn for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much? TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, smaller rooms is part of the total factor of the reduction of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some outliers, but they've got an awful lot of people like me, I've never stayed at a Residence Inn for more than maybe two nights. So that's how you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much? TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, smaller rooms is part of the total factor of the reduction of the square footage.

Your typical property, are you

25 type, you know, it's one big room, maybe a little

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	78		80
1	kitchenette and that's it; there's no one-bedroom,	1	me, look at that hotel, you don't have to do
2	two-bedrooms, they're all just single-occupancy, if	2	anything they will give you a ticket and then you go
3	you will?	3	and stay there without any extra charges or anything
4	TERRY O'BRIEN: That's right. Yes.	4	else.
5	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And we talked a	5	Are you having in the process of having
6	little bit about what the typical clientele might	6	co-sharing with SpringHill Suites as well as your
7	be, where we heard consultants and long-stay nurses,	7	hotel or vice-versa?
8	et cetera. But has your company done a market	8	TERRY O'BRIEN: I didn't I didn't
9	research of Bridgewater and the surrounding area to	9	understand your question.
10	feel comfortable that, you know, this product is	10	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Suppose one of your
11	viable at this location?	11	SpringHill Suites obviously is a different
12	TERRY O'BRIEN: Absolutely. It's one	12	operator, right? And you're is a different
13	of the strongest market studies that we have ever	13	operating company, that's correct?
14	gotten back actually.	14	TERRY O'BRIEN: Different owners.
15	ENGINEER BURR: It's one of the can	15	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Generally when you
16	you repeat?	16	have same hotel in the different area fully booked
17	TERRY O'BRIEN: Strongest markets	17	up, the hotel give actually give you okay, Mr.
18	we've looked at.	18	Choudury, you can go and we already talked about it,
19	ENGINEER BURR: Is that right? Very	19	you just go there.
20	good, thank you.	20	TERRY O'BRIEN: We haven't yet but we
21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr.	21	yeah, we definitely that's a common practice in
22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You have other	22	the industry.
23	locations. I think there's one in South Plainfield,	23	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So you do the same
24	right? There's a couple I think closer to 95?	24	thing so people don't have to go back somewhere else
	TERRY O'BRIEN: Linden, if you know	25	because you have two hotels nearby?
25		20	
25	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin	23	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81
1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is.	1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just
1 2	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep.	1 2	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and
1 2 3	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our	1 2 3	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working
1 2 3 4	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system.	1 2 3 4	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship.
1 2 3 4 5	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not	1 2 3 4 5	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you.
1 2 3 4 5	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group?	1 2 3 4 5	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier
1 2 3 4 5 6	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South	1 2 3 4 5 6	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury. TERRY O'BRIEN: There's 235	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that that will then trigger the activity necessary to see
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury. TERRY O'BRIEN: There's 235 nationally, with another 75 under development,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that that will then trigger the activity necessary to see the SpringHill built, we'll see the retail in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury. TERRY O'BRIEN: There's 235 nationally, with another 75 under development, probably.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that that will then trigger the activity necessary to see the SpringHill built, we'll see the retail in the front built?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury. TERRY O'BRIEN: There's 235 nationally, with another 75 under development, probably. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yeah, my question is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that that will then trigger the activity necessary to see the SpringHill built, we'll see the retail in the front built? TERRY O'BRIEN: I can't really speak
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury. TERRY O'BRIEN: There's 235 nationally, with another 75 under development, probably. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yeah, my question is actually now that you will have two hotel probably	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 81 TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that that will then trigger the activity necessary to see the SpringHill built, we'll see the retail in the front built? TERRY O'BRIEN: I can't really speak to the retail but typically retail users do like to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury. TERRY O'BRIEN: There's 235 nationally, with another 75 under development, probably. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yeah, my question is actually now that you will have two hotel probably sometime in the future, generally when I go, I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that that will then trigger the activity necessary to see the SpringHill built, we'll see the retail in the front built? TERRY O'BRIEN: I can't really speak to the retail but typically retail users do like to see hotels because there's people that will come and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury. TERRY O'BRIEN: There's 235 nationally, with another 75 under development, probably. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yeah, my question is actually now that you will have two hotel probably sometime in the future, generally when I go, I travel every week, so when I go to some hotel, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that that will then trigger the activity necessary to see the SpringHill built, we'll see the retail in the front built? TERRY O'BRIEN: I can't really speak to the retail but typically retail users do like to see hotels because there's people that will come and spend money.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 79 where that is. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep. TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our properties but they're part of our system. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not your management group? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick? GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield. TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm sorry. GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little further up 95, I can't think of the name of the town. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury. TERRY O'BRIEN: There's 235 nationally, with another 75 under development, probably. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yeah, my question is actually now that you will have two hotel probably sometime in the future, generally when I go, I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and you just go right next door and you have the working relationship. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a first domino to trigger potentially the other projects here. So I just want to make sure that I understand that presuming that you obtain this approval, presuming that your financing I know it's very complicated, you don't just snap your finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a lengthy and complicated process. But do you anticipate, you know no obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that that will then trigger the activity necessary to see the SpringHill built, we'll see the retail in the front built? TERRY O'BRIEN: I can't really speak to the retail but typically retail users do like to see hotels because there's people that will come and

·			T Others / C Hadin
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 84
	82		
1	financing. You know, we build them out of cash.	1	they can get someone to say I can stay there for
2	But yeah, I think obviously I think	2	30 days, that's where they're staying. So this
3	the first thing that gets going there is going to	3	demand is everywhere and nationally it's so
4	trigger people saying, oh, there's something's going	4	underserved. Again, I can't answer the question,
5	on over there finally, because it's been sitting for	5	where is it all coming from. I mean, we're always
6	a long time.	6	trying to figure that out, but it's not an easy
7	But, yeah, I think if one goes, then	7	answer. But it's everywhere. I mean, this extended
8	maybe the other one goes. I don't know I don't	8	stay demand, it's everywhere. You just have to find
9	know the particulars on the SpringHill so I can't	9	it.
10	speak to that. Maybe Jeff might be able to.	10	Typically if you build a new box, which
11	JEFF McKAY: If I can comment on the	11	obviously is what we want to do, new always sells,
12	commercial	12	and so that pulls a lot of business in your
13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: They may want you	13	
14	to come to the microphone just so everyone can hear.	14	direction. PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you.
15	JEFF McKAY: Just a comment briefly on	15	As far as do you have, like, target
16	the commercial out front, they have actually lost	16	occupancy rates for this type of hotel?
17	some tenants as a result of, you know, not having	17	TERRY O'BRIEN: This hotel will
18	the hotels, right.	18	typically run anywhere from 80 low 80s to almost
19	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Uncertainty, okay.	19	90-percent occupancy, believe it or not.
20	JEFF McKAY: Yeah. So if that helps to	20	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And when you
21	answer your question, we think that once we get a	21	qo to build, is there a price range? Is there like
22	hotel done, the users will come and finish off the	ı	a bottom and a for a price that you kind of keep
23	front with the commercial.	23	as far as the quality of the hotel?
24	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: If I'm looking at	24 25	TERRY O'BRIEN: Price from
25	the interplay between the two potential hotels, they	25	
			I INTERNITE HORIN
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 85
	83	1	85
1	83 are very different models, you know, but there's a	1 2	development?
2	83 are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see	2	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per
2	83 are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties,	3	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night?
2 3 4	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct?	2 3 4	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per
2 3 4 5	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct.	3	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again,
2 3 4 5 6	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks.	2 3 4 5 6	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably
2 3 4 5 6 7	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything	2 3 4 5	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals.	2 3 4 5 6 7	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from. TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, some of it is in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater specifically; what are some of the specific measures?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from. TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, some of it is in existing hotels. As Greg said earlier, what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater specifically; what are some of the specific measures? TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, I think you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from. TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, some of it is in existing hotels. As Greg said earlier, what we call, ESOC, extended stay demand, it's they stay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater specifically; what are some of the specific measures? TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, I think you have a real diverse economy here; you know, you have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from. TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, some of it is in existing hotels. As Greg said earlier, what we call, ESOC, extended stay demand, it's they stay in a Hampton Inn, or they stay in one of your older	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater specifically; what are some of the specific measures? TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, I think you have a real diverse economy here; you know, you have a lot of big companies and you also have a lot of

T. O'Brien / G. Heflin T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 88 86 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? business. The retail is good. The housing is 1 Any other questions from the board? strong. It's just a great community, and the whole 2 2 Okay, at this time I would like to open 3 3 general area. up to any members of the public who would like to 4 This is really the second project I 4 ask questions based on the testimony you just heard. 5 have worked on up here, I have worked on another 5 JOHN KULAK: John Kulak again, 13 project back in the teens that I never got built, it 6 6 Ramsey Street. I heard, as you were describing the 7 was a Cambria Hotel which I think eventually got 7 brand, the extended stay and then, Katherine, I approved as a Home2 Suites, but it hasn't been built 8 8 think you addressed the municipal ordinance in place 9 9 vet. that protects people from establishing residency at But I remember even back then when 10 10 11 your location. your -- maybe the economy was even stronger here 11 In New Jersey law, and I would have to prior to COVID, you know, it's just -- it was kind 12 12 defer to Mr. Peck, but it states that if you are 13 of a no-brainer, and you walk in and it's just --13 90 days in one place consecutively and you list that and again, some of this is intuitive to me because 14 14 as your permanent address, then the hotel has to this is what I have done my whole life -- but just a 15 15 enter into a different agreement with you, which is good community with a lot of good support services 16 16 more of a landlord-tenant agreement than just a 17 and a lot of job growth and a lot of good companies. 17 hotel, you're providing hotel services. 18 And that's kind of the ideal terrain 18 So as long as we're protected from for a hotel product regardless of what price point 19 19 that, then we have a way to protect the township and 20 you're at. 20 the adjacent residents from a -- what could become a CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sometimes we ask 21 21 less-desirable community staying at your hotel. those questions because we figure that 15 or 20 22 22 years ago it was an no-brainer. You know, this was 23 I know you don't want that. I'm 23 certain we don't. But we have two well-established a major piece of the pharmaceutical corridor, and 24 24 thriving communities right next to this hotel and you think today it's a vastly different world. 25 25 T. O'Brien / G. Heflin T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 89 87 the last thing we want to do is expose our residents So it begs the question, what is it 1 to a transient population that is trying to specifically about Bridgewater, is it mostly 2 cooperate or is there some other element that makes establish residence. 3 Not to say I don't feel for the --4 it that attractive to people? 4 what's the proper term now -- unhoused people. We TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah. It's funny, you 5 5 have a number of illegal immigrants in this state know, and I have been in the hotel business a long that the Governor has refused to identify. So we time but it's kind of a broad-brush comment, and not 7 7 don't know who is going to come to your place with specific to extended stay but when I was first 8 intentions other than staying 20 days, or 11 days starting in the industry, you know, you went out to 9 and so on. 10 10 look for a site, and you would look, 5 million So as long as we can protect the square foot of office, okay, I want to build here 11 11 residents and the hoteliers agree to stipulate to because there's a lot of business here. 12 12 have stays less than that period of time, then I And back then the weekends at a hotel 13 13 think we're in a better place overall. So thank you were empty, and midweek you were full. Well, 14 14 that's -- it hasn't turned the midweek but weekend 15 you. 15 TERRY O'BRIEN: Thank you. 16 business at hotels has just skyrocketed in the last 16 LARS KNUDSON: Would you like me to 15, 20 years. And it's soccer teams. It's hockey 17 17 reenter my name in the record? teams. It's traveling sports. It's families. 18 18 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: If you could? You know, it's this huge demand that --19 19 LARS KNUDSON: Would you like me to 20 and there's a lot of that out here, too. And this 20

21

22

23

24

25

it but...

21 demand is now there and it was never there 25 --

22 when I started in the industry, a hotel couldn't run

one that probably isn't running 80 percent.

23

24

25

30 percent on the weekend. And now you can't find

So it's kind of interesting.

reenter my name into the record?

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: If you could. I have

LARS KNUDSON: It's Lars Knudson,

K-N-U-D-S-O-N. President of the Board of Trustees

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	90		92
1	for Chelsea Village.	1	say, based upon Mr. Kulak's questions, if the board
2	I had some questions about the	2	and, Mr. Peck, if you want to put into the
3	impervious coverage and the lighting and the parking	3	resolution of approval assuming we receive one at
4	spaces. The notice indicates that you are dropping	4	the next hearing a specific reference to the
5	three parking spaces. Do you know where those	5	ordinance that Ms. Sarmad referenced
6	parking spaces will be lost from?	6	ATTORNEY PECK: I already have that,
7	ATTORNEY PECK: Would these be more	7	yeah
8	appropriately directed at the engineer?	8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Wonderful.
9	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah.	9	ATTORNEY PECK: in some way or
10	ATTORNEY PECK: Sorry to have to	10	another that the hotel would enforce the 89-day
11	LARS KNUDSON: That's fine.	11	occupancy limit
12	ATTORNEY PECK: And I think these are	12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Absolutely. We're
13	more appropriate for the site engineer.	13	good to do that.
14	LARS KNUDSON: That's fine. That is	14	ATTORNEY PECK: the definition
15	fine. I wanted to make sure that I'm that's who	15	section for extended stay lodging.
16	I understood was going to be able to answer these	16	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.
17	questions, and it was represented that the next two	17	LARS KNUDSON: There was one other
18	witnesses	18	thing that just apologies, I just wanted to raise
19	ATTORNEY PECK: I heard that, too, but	19	to the board in particular, regarding the notice
20	maybe the engineer is coming up, I don't know.	20	requirements that were discussed earlier. The
21	LARS KNUDSON: I'll defer those	21	notice for this was sent by certified mail,
22	questions. I am concerned, it's 10:45 now, are we	22	postmarked November 22nd. That notice period
23	going to have time to get to that?	23	included the Thanksgiving holiday when a lot of us
24	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We're probably going	24	were when mail was not being delivered, and it would have made it a little more difficult for us to
25	to have to carry; we're approaching the hour.	25	
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 91		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 93
1	ATTORNEY PECK: As long as there's no	1	be able to reach out to our association counsel to
2	one else.	2	get advice on this.
3	LARS KNUDSON: And will all the	3	I just wanted that to be entered into
4	witnesses be back for the next phase? Because if	4	the record for consideration in case there are any
5	that's the case, then I will defer all of my	5	questions about notice.
6	questions until the next.	6	And notice was not sent to members of
7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would advise,	7	the Association of the Chelsea Village Condo
8	since our witnesses are traveling, that spoke	8	Association who did not immediately border adjoin
9	tonight, from very far locations throughout the	9	the southern and western boundaries of the subject
10	United States, that if you can ask any questions of	10	property, even though the condo association the
11	the witnesses. And, obviously, we'll try to	11	property is a condo association, most of that
12	accommodate and have them back out.	12	property is held in common by all of the residents.
13	LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions	13	So if you want to look at notice going forward, the
14	are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's	14	condo association should definitely have been on
15	that's fine.	15	notice and I don't believe we were.
16	I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh	16	But that being said, we are willing to
17	particularly, and the board, for raising the issues	17	waive those objections if we have adequate
18	about the the market of the new hotel. Thank you	18	opportunity to question and get satisfaction of our
19	very much for raising that. A lot of my questions	19	concerns from the engineer.
20	were answered with that.	20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.
21	Just looking through my list of my	21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Just to very
22	notes and seeing if there's anything that I want to	22	quickly, respond to that, I'll go through the list
23	raise for the you know, I'm going to defer my	23	and see if the condo association was on it. Under
24	questions to the engineer.	24	the MLUL, the applicant's only requirements are in
25	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And if I could just	25	accordance with the certified list.

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	94		96
1	But your first comment about the	1	only one hearing deep into this application and two
2	Thanksgiving holiday, this was not a tactic to be	2	into the other.
3	underhanded or wait until the last minute to provide	3	I ran into a situation where we were 20
4	notice. The actual background is that the board was	4	meetings deep, new board members. It was a major .
5	potentially entertaining, because of the other	5	issue.
6	applications and the caseload, the possibility	6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: We're not going
7	and correct me if I'm mistaken but either a	7	20 hearings. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I don't think so,
8	Special Meeting to start this hearing at 6:00 p.m.	8	
9	or 6:30 p.m. to hear this first, which is why I made	9	not this application. But, Mr. Chairman, at the very
10	the opening remarks as the beginning.	10 11	beginning of the hearing you had referenced the
11	So it wasn't to be underhanded. I	12	Hulya Has application which was slated as third on
12	couldn't provide notice until it was confirmed that	13	the agenda. I just wanted to make sure it was clear
13	it would be at its regular meeting time which was	14	on the record that there's no new notice required
14	very close to the holiday and we had 200 notices to	15	for that application, that it's being carried
15	prepare, so it took a long time. LARS KNUDSON: I appreciate that. I	16	without new notice to the 17th.
16	have had on that end too so.	17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes.
17	Assuming the board is going to carry	18	ATTORNEY PECK: That's correct.
18	this, I'm going to defer any of my other questions	19	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. Thank
19 20	for the engineer.	20	you very much.
21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.	21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any subsequent
22	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Chairman, is	22	questions of the witnesses, members of the public?
23	December 17th okay?	23	Questions pertinent to the testimony
24	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: December 17th, yes.	24	you just heard, please.
25	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Let me just confirm	25	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Yes. Mitch Zaytoun
	T, O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	95		97
1	with my engineer.	1	once again, 130 Chelsea Way.
2	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on	2	The gentleman that was up here speaking
3	that day?	3	before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything
4	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed.	4	about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at
5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure,	5	all times?
6	though, because December 17th is our last meeting of	6	ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I
7	the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate	7 8	apologize, I didn't know there were additional
8	cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like	9	questions. So we'll call him back to answer that.
9	with the governing body adopting an ordinance.	10	TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that.
10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow	11	So you're asking if there is security
11	one other cases, despite our intention, is not	12	on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If
13	completed that night, we can we might not be able	13	there was a need for it, certainly. If we had
14	to select a date yet because we don't have the	14	but none of the properties we own or operate, we
15		15	don't have any full-time security there.
16	and the second of the second o	16	But if there was a situation were there
17		17	was problems we, obviously, would deal with it.
18		18	MITCH ZAYTOUN: This property is
19		19	unique in the sense that there's residents very
20	listened to the tape or reviewed the transcript.	20	close to your property. Security, to me, would be a
21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Same as tonight for	21	necessary being that there's a lot of children in
22	any who not here?	22	-
	ATTORNEY PECK: Correct. Correct.	23	safety is of the utmost importance to us.
23	ATTORNEY PECK: Correct. Correct.	123	•
23 24	The state of the s	24	

			T Ole 1 / C H C
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 100
4	98 I mean, we typically always make	1	and representations from 2018, you will abide by and
1	friends with law enforcement, locally. We try to	2	carry over.
2 3	get them to drive the lot as much as they can,	3	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Absolutely.
3 4	things like that, the basics that you do. We're	4	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: On the point of a
5	big, big fans of that. But we haven't had any real	5	fence, you know, and this is true for any
6	problems so far so.	6	residential or commercial case, when somebody builds
7	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Living here for	7	a fence as a condition, there's a requirement to
8	25 years, we have had problems in that site.	8	maintain that over time, it's not just, hey, we
9	TERRY O'BRIEN: Really? Even being	9	built a fence and a storm knocked it over, we don't
10	empty?	10	have to have a fence anymore, correct?
11	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Empty and full. When	11	Am I right; is that correct?
12	the hotel was active there were sites there was	12	I mean, if there's going to be a
13	police called in there multiple times when I lived	13	condition that there be a fence, that means a
14	here.	14	working fence, not just on opening day.
15	So it's just something that I hope the	15	ATTORNEY PECK: We can specify a
16	board will consider, I hope you consider, that	16	condition that a fence shall be not only installed,
17	safety/security is the utmost importance for our	17	but shall be maintained
18	children.	18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Maintained.
19	TERRY O'BRIEN: Absolutely.	19	ATTORNEY PECK: as a condition, for
20	100 percent.	20	so long as the hotel exists.
21	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Thank you.	21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I was going to go
22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to that point,	22	through the resolution just to see exactly what it
23	I think when it was the Days Inn property there may	23	said about the fence, but we could agree to a
24	have been some concern about the state of a fence	24	condition that we'll maintain the fence as long as
25	that may have been there. Maybe there was certain	25	it's being used in conjunction with the hotel. If
	T OIL 1 O HIM	1	T Office / C Hoffe
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 101
1	99	1	101
1 2	99 breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and	1 2	
	99		that answers your concern.
2	99 breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing	2	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives
2	99 breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property.	2	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because,
2 3 4	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be	2 3 4	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a
2 3 4 5	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only	2 3 4 5	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore.
2 3 4 5 6	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on	2 3 4 5 6	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that
2 3 4 5 6 7	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there	2 3 4 5 6 7	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly? JEFF McKAY: Whatever is agreed to has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was a tree that was damaged during one of the storms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly? JEFF McKAY: Whatever is agreed to has been agreed to. We will honor, as I mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was a tree that was damaged during one of the storms from that came through about a year ago. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly? JEFF McKAY: Whatever is agreed to has been agreed to. We will honor, as I mentioned earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was a tree that was damaged during one of the storms from that came through about a year ago. And that fence has still not been repaired. We tried to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly? JEFF McKAY: Whatever is agreed to has been agreed to. We will honor, as I mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was a tree that was damaged during one of the storms from that came through about a year ago. And

1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	102		104
1	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, the	1	the future as well. So thank you, very much.
2	previous resident brings up the	2	TERRY O'BRIEN: Absolutely.
3	ATTORNEY SILBERT: We'll agree to have	3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you very much.
4	it repaired as a condition of approval. And that	4	Any other questions? Okay. That will close the
5	goes right along with the condition that we just	5	public portion for these two witnesses.
6	discussed.	6	Mr. Silbert, where are we?
7	DAN SEELEY: Good evening. Dan Seeley,	7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we do have our
8	S-E-E-L-E-Y. 26 Chelsea Way. Thank you, everyone,	8	civil engineer. If the board will hear our civil
9	for burning the midnight oil.	9	engineer, we would love to bring him up.
10	I did have one question to jump onto	10	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Let's see, it's
11	the security. There was no mention and it might	11	11:00 p.m. how many witnesses do we have?
12	have been in the paperwork, I apologize, any	12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: That's our final
13	security or surveillance systems at the site that	13	witness.
14	will probably go a long a long way for just	14	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: That's your final
15	security in the building for the tenants and the	15	witness.
16	surrounding	16	MEMBER ATKINS: Is he local?
17	ATTORNEY PECK: Cameras and things	17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is he local?
18	like that?	18	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Folk is local.
19	TERRY O'BRIEN: I think we have 22	19	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Because here is
20	cameras, I know. Inside and outside the building,	20	what my concern is. You know, I'd love to
21	both.	21	adjudicate this tonight but, you know, there will be
22	DAN SEELEY: Okay. And is that for	22	some period of time for that testimony, there will
23	the whole property or just okay.	23	be questions from the audience, there will be comments from the audience, there will be
24	TERRY O'BRIEN: Yes.	24	deliberations. That and we're all, you know, a
25	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,	25	105
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 103	1	little tired here.
1	Mr. Seeley.	2	You know, as long as he's local and I
2	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Just to clarify		
1	Attronue de Santa de Santa y	1 2	guese as long as we're and particularly the hoard
1 2	your question that you just asked. Mr. Seeley, it's	3	guess as long as we're and particularly the board
3	your question that you just asked, Mr. Seeley, it's	4	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more
4	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on	4 5	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your
4 5	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D.	4 5 6	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a
4 5 6	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the	4 5 6 7	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners.
4 5 6 7	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too.	4 5 6 7 8	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know,
4 5 6	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the	4 5 6 7 8 9	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems
4 5 6 7 8	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to	4 5 6 7 8 9	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this
4 5 6 7 8 9	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour.
4 5 6 7 8 9	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras? ATTORNEY PECK: 22.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to postpone and carry it to the 17th. But I do agree
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras? ATTORNEY PECK: 22. TERRY O'BRIEN: 22.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to postpone and carry it to the 17th. But I do agree with Councilman Kirsh, I think if there are any
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras? ATTORNEY PECK: 22. TERRY O'BRIEN: 22. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I'm glad that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to postpone and carry it to the 17th. But I do agree with Councilman Kirsh, I think if there are any residents that would wish to ask questions now,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras? ATTORNEY PECK: 22. TERRY O'BRIEN: 22. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I'm glad that the resident brings that up. I, personally, was subjected to a crime in a Courtyard years ago, to which my car was	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to postpone and carry it to the 17th. But I do agree with Councilman Kirsh, I think if there are any residents that would wish to ask questions now, based on all the testimony you heard this evening,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras? ATTORNEY PECK: 22. TERRY O'BRIEN: 22. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I'm glad that the resident brings that up. I, personally, was subjected to a crime	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to postpone and carry it to the 17th. But I do agree with Councilman Kirsh, I think if there are any residents that would wish to ask questions now, based on all the testimony you heard this evening, now is the time.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras? ATTORNEY PECK: 22. TERRY O'BRIEN: 22. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I'm glad that the resident brings that up. I, personally, was subjected to a crime in a Courtyard years ago, to which my car was	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to postpone and carry it to the 17th. But I do agree with Councilman Kirsh, I think if there are any residents that would wish to ask questions now, based on all the testimony you heard this evening, now is the time. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Anyone who is not
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras? ATTORNEY PECK: 22. TERRY O'BRIEN: 22. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I'm glad that the resident brings that up. I, personally, was subjected to a crime in a Courtyard years ago, to which my car was completely vandalized and everything stolen from.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to postpone and carry it to the 17th. But I do agree with Councilman Kirsh, I think if there are any residents that would wish to ask questions now, based on all the testimony you heard this evening, now is the time. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Anyone who is not going to be here on the 17th.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras? ATTORNEY PECK: 22. TERRY O'BRIEN: 22. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I'm glad that the resident brings that up. I, personally, was subjected to a crime in a Courtyard years ago, to which my car was completely vandalized and everything stolen from. So years ago they did not have that type of surveillance in place. I'm very fortunate that that resident	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to postpone and carry it to the 17th. But I do agree with Councilman Kirsh, I think if there are any residents that would wish to ask questions now, based on all the testimony you heard this evening, now is the time. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Anyone who is not going to be here on the 17th. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, anyone who is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on Land Unit D. TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the parking lot too. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled establishment? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many cameras? ATTORNEY PECK: 22. TERRY O'BRIEN: 22. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I'm glad that the resident brings that up. I, personally, was subjected to a crime in a Courtyard years ago, to which my car was completely vandalized and everything stolen from. So years ago they did not have that type of surveillance in place.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and I don't know if maybe we could give one more bite at the apple to the audience for any of your out-of-towners, basically now is the time to ask a question to the out-of-towners. That would be my suggestion. I know, Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately your call. That seems like the fair and neighborly thing to do at this late hour. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I do see that the testimony is probably going to take at least another hour, not the testimony itself but the hearing. So I think it would be wise to postpone and carry it to the 17th. But I do agree with Councilman Kirsh, I think if there are any residents that would wish to ask questions now, based on all the testimony you heard this evening, now is the time. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Anyone who is not going to be here on the 17th.

board very much for indulging me and R.I. Heritage

testimony in tonight. So thank you very much for

Inn, allowing the out-of-towners to get their

106 to the public to anyone who wishes to ask any questions based on all the testimony you heard this 2 3 evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: The out-of-towners. 4 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: The out-of-towners. 5 BOB ZGORZYNSKI: I'm Bob Zgorzynski. I 6 live at 119 Chelsea Way. My question is, is that I wasn't here 8 for the original, but I would like to know about the 9 timing of things, like when does the fence go up? 10 When does the landscaping go up? 11 If they're only building one hotel and 12 they don't build the rest of the site, is all the 13 landscaping going up? Is all the improvements going 14 15 up? What is the timing of all this? 16 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. So I think 17 before that they can pull a building permit for any 18 component of this site, they have to comply with the 19 conditions of the original approval and now, if this 20 were to get approved, the conditions of this 21

So whatever the resolution says here about what has to be improved before they can start building is what the applicant would have to

25 building is what the applicant would have to

approval.

provide.

22

23

24

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.7

23

24

25

107

23

24

25

So, for example, as Mr. Folk will 2 testify to at the next hearing, he's going to talk a 3 lot about the on-site and existing infrastructure 4 that's already been improved on the site in 5 connection with the prior approval. So there is a 6 lot of infrastructure that has to be constructed on 7 the property prior to building, you know, the --BOB ZGORZYNSKI: But before they start 9 doing -- so in other words, they only build that 10 component, they don't build the rest of the 11 component, they still have to do all of the 12 landscaping infrastructure? 13 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I'll defer 14 15

that to our civil engineer, but I would think that at least as it's related to a specific land unit, if they're developing Land Unit D, then all of the landscaping would presumably have to be in place on Land Unit D.

I don't know if that would carry forward for one of the other land units.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But to that point, you could have a period of time, it could be months, it could be longer, where this development occurs

and you have a road through other parts of the site

	110
1	staying and accommodating, we appreciate it. Thank
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. And we
4	do apologize for the order. And thank you very much
5	for those that came far away, and for the residents
6	that came out this evening, as well.
7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.
8	
9	(Whereupon, the application was
10	adjourned at the meeting concluded.)
11	-
12	(Time noted, 11:03 p.m.)
13	• • •
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	111
1	111

CERTIFICATE

Ingela C. Suoranteuro

2 3 4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

I, ANGELA C. BUONANTUONO, a Notary Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that prior to the commencement, the witnesses were duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceeding as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative, nor employee, nor attorney, nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative, nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

22 Angela C. Buonantuono, CCR, RPR, CLR 23 NJ License No. 30XI00233100

Notary Public Commission No. 50014616

24 25

				1
\$	19th [1] - 19:13	400 [1] ~ 19:12	35:25, 69:23,	66:18, 67:16,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		43,96 [1] - 4:21	82:10, 90:16, 93:1,	67:22, 67:23, 68:9
\$16 [1] - 50:10 \$17 [1] - 50:10	2	45 [1] - 11:14	95:13	adjourned [1] -
\$17 [1] - 50.10 \$90 [1] - 85:9	20 [5] - 86:22, 87:17,	46 [5] - 15:3, 15:13,	aboveboard [1] -	110:10
490 [1] - GO.S	89:9, 96:3, 96:7	27:12, 28:5, 28:8	73:17 absent [6] - 7:9, 7:13,	adjudicate [2] - 95:7, 104:21
	20-plus [2] - 43:12,	47 [2] - 4:5, 4:7 48 [1] - 6:19	7:17, 7:18	adjust [1] - 41:12
'90s [1] - 64:4	48:14	49 [1] - 27:12	absolute [1] - 24:23	adjusting [1] - 28:2
000[ij 0 ii i	200 [5] - 11:16, 37:8,	49.2 [1] - 15:7	absolutely [9] - 16:2,	adjustment [1] -
0	39:13, 41:19, 94:14 200-foot [1] - 39:4	49.33[1] - 11:14	60:13, 69:25,	44:18
07059 (1) - 3:4	2018 [19] ~ 11:5, 14:1,		78:12, 92:12,	Administrator [1] -
08535 [1] - 1:24	14:12, 14:15, 19:9,	5	97:25, 98:19,	2:18 ADMINISTRATOR
08807 [1] - 1:11	21:8, 21:10, 21:19,	5 [1] - 87:10	100:3, 104:2 absorb [1] - 60:22	[13] - 7:8, 7:12, 7:16,
	22:1, 22:8, 22:24,	50 [1] ~ 11:20	accessory [1] - 11:20	7:21, 7:23, 40:7,
1	24:4, 24:11, 27:15,	50014616 [1] - 111:24	accommodate [1] -	40:15, 40:19,
1 [1] - 40:13	57:9, 58:4, 99:10,		91:12	42:18, 42:24, 43:2,
10 [3] - 8:12, 8:14,	100:1, 108:21 202 [2] - 37:2, 40:10	6	accommodating [1] -	95:4, 109:14
8:22	202 [2] - 37.2, 40.10 2020 [1] - 14:14	6:00 [1] - 94:8	110:1	admitted [1] - 33:21
100 [4] - 1:11, 39:16,	2024 [5] - 1:14, 6:3,	6:30 [1] - 94:9	accommodation [1] -	adopting [1] - 95:10
39:17, 98:20	6:7, 12:20, 14:20		6:16	advance [2] - 6:19,
101 [2] - 4:19, 62:22	21.87 [1] - 11:19	7	accompanied [1] - 38:3	6:24 advantageous [1] -
102 [2] - 4:22, 62:22 104 [2] - 4:19, 33:17	22 [11] - 1:6, 10:1,	7,000 [2] - 47:1, 48:12	accomplished [1] -	74:24
104 [2] - 4:19, 33:17 106 [1] - 4:23	10:12, 11:15,	732)882-3590 [1] -	18:25	advice [1] - 93:2
10:00 [1] - 6:14	29:15, 29:18,	1:24	accordance [3] - 6:5,	advise [1] - 91:7
10:30 [6] - 8:14, 8:21,	29:21, 102:19,	75 [4] - 11:19, 11:21,	93:25, 101:12	advocating [1] - 9:10
9:2, 9:5, 9:7	103:4, 103:14, 103:15	19:20, 79:18 7:00 [1] - 1:15	according [1] - 15:4	aesthetics [1] - 22:22
10:4-6 [1] - 6:6	222 [3] - 1:5, 9:25,	7th [1] - 6:6	accurate [3] - 31:24,	affect [1] - 108:17
10:45 [1] - 90:22	10:10	,,	42:20, 111:10	affectionately [3] -
11 [8] - 9:8, 11:5,	22nd [1] - 92:22	8	accurately [1] - 39:17	23:15, 41:20, 42:22
53:20, 58:13,	235 [1] - 79:17	80 [3] - 43:16, 84:19,	acres (2) - 10:15, 30:3	Affidavit [1] - 42:9 affirm [1] - 17:20
69:15, 76:1, 89:9, 97:22	24 [1] - 69:16	87:24	Act [2] - 6:6, 6:18	afforded [1] - 44:18
119 [2] - 4:23, 106:7	24-022-PB [1] - 1:8	80s [1] - 84:19	action [3] - 24:6,	age [1] - 97:22
11:00 [1] - 104:11	24-hour [1] - 69:13	88 [4] - 19:8, 19:20,	111:15, 111:18	agenda [4] - 8:5,
11:03 [1] - 110:12	25 [7] - 19:21, 49:20,	43:18, 43:19	active [1] - 98:12	40:8, 41:2, 96:13
122 [3] - 64:25, 65:1,	73:15, 73:22, 74:14, 87:21, 98:8	89 [6] - 62:4, 62:6,	actively [1] - 63:5	agendas [1] - 40:10
77:8	26 [6] - 1:23, 4:22,	62:11, 62:13,	activities [1] - 32:4	ago [7] - 25:19, 33:1,
125 [3] - 11:18, 28:19,	52:16, 52:17,	62:21, 64:15 89-day [2] - 63:5,	activity [1] - 81:17	86:23, 101:20,
64:24	64:23, 102:8	92:10	actual [6] - 14:4,	101:22, 103:19, 103:21
126 [1] - 10:15	26th [1] - 12:20	V2.10	26:13, 37:3, 37:22, 38:3, 94:4	agree [11] - 21:11,
126-314.182 [1] - 10:16		9	ADA [1] - 6:18	37:23, 47:21,
126-314.1F[1] -	3	90 [3] - 28:20, 63:20,	add [6] - 14:17,	47:24, 67:2, 67:4,
28:18	3 [2] - 1:14, 6:3	88:14	25:18, 40:23,	89:12, 100:23,
1260 [3] - 1:6, 10:1,	3.01 [3] - 1:5, 10:1,	90-day [2] - 59:16,	55:14, 59:25, 72:6	102:3, 105:16,
10:12	10:10	62:8	added [1] - 54:18	105:24
127 [2] - 65:1, 77:7	30 [4] - 59:10, 71:20,	90-percent [1] - 84:20	addition [1] - 39:3	agreed [3] - 99:11,
129 [1] - 85:7	84:2, 87:23 30-day [1] - 58:24	90.87[1] - 11:17	additional [2] - 38:15,	99:21, 99:22 Agreement [1] - 32:3
13 [5] - 4:20, 10:15,	30X100233100 [2] -	908.757.7800 [1] - 3:5	97:8 address (9) - 14:7,	agreement [2] -
30:3, 34:14, 88:6 130 [3] - 4:21, 43:10,	2:23, 111:23	908.757.8039 [1] - 3:5	14:25, 15:2, 36:23,	88:16, 88:17
97:1	32 [1] - 52:17	94.11 [1] - 28:19 95 [2] - 78:24, 79:13	37:5, 37:9, 37:12,	ahead [4] - 31:15,
139 [1] - 85:7	33 [1] - 64:23	9:03 [1] - 8:8	37:13, 88:15	31:16, 32:23, 32:25
139.59[1] - 11:15	33,89 [1] - 4:19	9:13 [1] - 16:14	ADDRESS [1] - 4:17	albeit [1] - 58:11
15 [3] - 3:4, 86:22,	34,88 [1] - 4:20	9:23 [1] - 16:16	addressed [4] - 88:9,	Algonquin [1] - 1:23
87:17	38/39 [1] - 20:1	9:30 [1] - 6:13	99:20, 101:25,	Allegiance [1] - 7:3
17th [8] - 14:20,	3:00 [1] - 69:10		108:23	allow [6] - 45:22,
94:23, 94:24, 95:6,	4	A	adequate [2] - 6:4, 93:17	56:18, 56:21, 56:22, 74:11,
96:16, 105:16, 105:22, 109:12		AB [1] - 1:22	adjacent [1] - 88:21	108:21
18[1] - 4:4	4 [1] - 28:25	abide [1] - 100:1	adjoin [1] - 93:8	allowed [2] - 11:5,
19 [2] - 64:23	40 [3] ~ 68:2, 68:7, 73:20	ability [1] - 60:1	adjoining [6] - 66:2,	54:15
	10.20	able [8] - 12:9, 20:2,		
1	i .	i	1	1

allowing [1] - 109:24 alluded [1] - 35:1 almost [5] - 57:21, 65:4, 84:19, 108:3 alone [1] - 31:5 alternatively [1] amazing [1] - 70:25 amended [10] -11:23, 13:22, 25:23, 26:6, 26:25, 27:2, 34:19, 37:22, 40:11, 44:11 AMENDED [1] - 1:7 Amended [1] - 10:8 amendment [4] -9:20, 30:16, 44:14, 45:1 amendments [1] -12:20 amenities [5] - 26:15, 52:5, 53:22, 77:9, 77:12 amenity [4] - 51:21, 53:13, 53:14, 53:16 Americans [1] - 6:17 analogy [1] - 56:21 analysis [3] - 45:3, 83:12, 83:14 AND [1] - 2:12 AND/OR [1] - 4:16 anew [1] - 41:9 ANGELA [2] - 2:23, 111:3 angela [1] - 111:23 angelabuonocsr@ gmail.com [1] - 1:25 announcement [1] -9:22 answer [25] - 21:1, 26:1, 31:25, 34:2, 36:14, 36:18, 36:20, 46:2, 47:25, 50:9, 51:4, 55:4, 61:5, 66:13, 66:14, 66:22, 70:17, 71:14, 71:15, 82:21, 84:5, 84:8, 90:16, 97:9, 108:24 answered [3] - 74:19, 83:13, 91:20 answers [1] - 101:1 anticipate [2] - 81:15, 81:16 anyway [1] - 45:19 apartment [1] ~ 49:4 apologies [2] - 92:18, 101:18 apologize [9] - 27:23, 37:14, 38:8, 41:23, 42:17, 61:24, 97:8, 102:12, 110:4 appearance [1] - 14:4 appease [1] - 22:18

apple [1] - 105:5 Applicant [1] - 3:7 applicant [10] - 10:6, 10:8, 11:22, 13:17, 17:8, 22:10, 25:21, 44:18, 46:14, 106:25 applicant's [4] - 12:1, 14:9, 41:3, 93:24 applicants [1] - 44:16 APPLICATION [1] -1:8 application [29] - 8:7, 9:17, 9:23, 10:20, 10:21, 11:4, 14:11, 22:23, 24:25, 26:1, 37:1, 37:3, 37:6, 37:22, 38:4, 41:5, 41:9, 42:14, 44:12, 44:13, 44:21, 44:25, 68:10, 96:1, 96:9, 96:12, 96:15, 110:9 applications [3] -6:12, 94:6, 109:16 appreciate [4] - 38:5, 73:18, 94:16, 110:1 approaching [1] -90:25 appropriate [4] -44:24, 45:15, 55:4, 90:13 appropriately [1] -90:8 approval [47] - 8:24, 9:20, 10:9, 11:5, 11:8, 11:24, 12:5, 13:5, 14:13, 14:14, 14:16, 14:18, 14:20, 21:2, 22:8, 22:25, 25:24, 26:6, 26:8, 26:25, 27:2, 27:16, 28:12, 29:16, 31:2, 31:9, 32:12, 32:13, 36:6, 36:10, 36:11, 41:10, 44:14, 44:16, 45:1, 45:13, 45:16, 54:22, 57:24, 81:11, 92:3, 101:12, 102:4, 106:20, 106:22, 107:6 approvals [3] - 30:5, 30:8, 66:24 approved [32] - 11:2, 11:4, 11:16, 11:17, 11:19, 11:21, 13:6, 13:25, 14:11, 14:12, 19:10, 21:7, 21:19, 21:24, 22:1, 22:24, 26:9, 26:14, 28:2, 28:20, 30:16,

31:21, 31:22,

34:24, 41:13, 45:4,

48:8, 54:5, 54:24, 57:23, 86:8, 106:21 approximate [1] -52:14 architectural [7] -13:24, 15:4, 21:7, 21:17, 22:11, 26:4, 54:2 arduous [1] - 39:14 агеа [9] - 51:16, 51:17, 51:18, 51:23, 65:10, 65:11, 78:9, 80:16, 86:3 areas [1] - 65:13 arrangement [1] -10:19 arrangements [1] -32:10 Asheville [1] - 49:11 aside [1] - 34:22 assessor [1] - 42:13 assignment [1] -64:12 assignments [2] -64:14, 70:22 assistance [1] - 6:15 assistant [2] - 55:12, 69:7 associated [2] -103:4, 108:18 **Associates** [1] - 3:18 Association [3] -33:20, 93:7, 93:8 association [5] -93:1, 93:10, 93:11, 93:14, 93:23 assume [10] - 41:25, 42:3, 46:7, 63:19, 63:20, 63:24, 75:6, 85:5, 101:13, 103:9 assuming [3] - 66:23, 92:3, 94:18 assure [1] - 39:14 ATKINS [4] - 2:3, 7:22, 16:5, 104:16 Atkins [2] - 7:21, 16:6 attest [1] - 42:25 ATTORNEY [127] -9:16, 10:2, 16:2, 16:11, 16:24, 17:13, 17:15, 17:18, 17:25, 18:8, 18:9, 19:1, 21:4, 21:15, 22:7, 22:21, 23:3, 23:23, 27:13, 28:6, 28:15, 29:1, 29:4, 36:17, 36:25, 37:19, 38:7, 38:11, 38:19, 38:22, 39:8, 39:11, 40:5, 40:22, 41:24, 42:2, 42:8,

42:12, 42:16, 43:4,

44:8, 45:2, 45:5,

45:6, 45:17, 46:9,

46:12, 46:20, 47:18, 47:23, 48:6, 50:16, 51:5, 52:11, 52:19, 53:9, 53:25, 54:8, 54:21, 55:1, 55:21, 56:8, 56:13, 56:17, 57:1, 57:12, 57:19, 57:25, 61:12, 61:14, 61:22, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 68:1, 68:17, 69:4, 74:20, 74:23, 90:7, 90:9, 90:10, 90:12, 90:19, 91:1, 91:7, 91:25, 92:6, 92:8, 92:9, 92:12, 92:14, 92:16, 93:21, 94:22, 94:25, 95:9, 95:16, 95:23, 95:25, 96:8, 96:18, 96:19, 97:7, 99:24, 100:3, 100:15, 100:19, 100:21, 101:6, 102:3, 102:17, 103:2, 103:14, 104:7, 104:12, 104:18, 106:17, 107:14, 108:2, 108:20, 109:2, 109:5, 109:8, 109:15, 109:22, 110:7 Attorney [1] - 2:13 attorney [5] - 10:4, 33:20, 59:15, 111:14, 111:16 attractive [1] - 87:4 audience (3) -104:23, 104:24, 105:5 authority [1] - 8:18 available [1] - 6:15 average [5] - 53:20, 58:12, 62:3, 75:20, 75:24 averaging [1] - 76:1 avoid [1] - 71:17 Award [1] - 50:24 aware [3] - 6:11, 32:4, 59:22 awful [1] - 75:21 Aye [2] - 16:10, 16:21

В

backdrop [1] - 20:24 background [8] -10:24, 15:17, 18:23, 23:6, 23:21, 25:18, 47:25, 94:4 backside [1] - 99:12 bad [1] - 49:12 ball [1] - 68:8

Banga [1] - 7:17

bar [2] - 48:22, 52:6 base [2] - 49:18, 54:20 based [10] - 22:8, 25:24, 33:10, 43:15, 58:11. 76:20, 88:5, 92:1, 105:19, 106:2 basics [1] - 98:4 basis [3] - 51:19, 52:10, 69:2 Bateman [1] - 10:4 BATEMAN [1] - 3:3 bath [1] - 51:17 bathroom [1] - 17:2 become [1] - 88:21 becomes [1] - 67:12 becoming [1] - 27:12 bed [1] - 51:16 bedroom [2] - 66:8, 78:1 bedrooms [1] - 78:2 begin [1] - 8:11 beginning [2] -94:10, 96:11 begs [1] - 87:1 behalf [1] - 10:6 belabor [1] - 41:15 belief (1) - 83:2 beneficial [1] - 45:25 berms [1] - 24:13 better [5] - 25:22, 35:25, 36:13, 73:12, 89:14 between [5] - 27:15, 29:15, 48:7, 82:25, 99:12 beyond [3] - 39:3, 53:1, 74:10 big [6] - 49:7, 77:25, 85:24, 98:5, 99:16 bigger [1] - 20:4 bit [15] - 8:15, 18:12, 18:23, 20:22, 23:21, 25:12, 35:10, 48:3, 51:18, 52:12, 52:20, 54:11, 65:15, 74:10, 78:6 bite [1] - 105:5 blast [1] - 39:22 Block [2] - 9:25, 10:10 BLOCK [1] - 1:5 Board [5] - 2:13, 2:16, 6:2, 6:11, 89:25 board [44] - 6:10, 8:4, 11:23, 13:24, 15:17, 21:1, 22:8, 22:9, 22:24, 23:5, 23:11, 24:4, 26:22,

33:19, 34:24, 36:4,

42:9, 43:24, 44:19,

44:24, 45:22, 48:3, 55:23, 56:1, 58:7, 63:9, 70:17, 71:16, 77:2, 88:2, 91:17, 92:1, 92:19, 94:4, 94:18, 95:17, 95:18, 96:4, 98:16, 101:13, 104:8, 105:3, 109:6, 109:23 BOARD [4] - 1:1, 2:1, 16:10, 16:21 board's [1] - 45:2 BOB [6] - 106:6, 107:9, 108:13, 108:25, 109:4, 109:7 Bob [1] - 106:6 body [1] - 95:10 booked [2] - 79:25, 80:16 border [1] - 93:8 bottom [1] - 84:23 Boulevard [1] - 3:4 bounce [1] - 65:22 boundaries [1] - 93:9 box (3) - 22:4, 23:16. 84:11 brainer [3] - 81:2, 86:13, 86:23 brakes [1] - 73:2 brand [21] - 20:8, 20:10, 20:15, 26:24, 28:12, 34:1, 34:24, 35:1, 35:3, 35:4, 35:9, 35:14, 44:21, 44:25, 48:18, 57:11, 57:16, 57:24, 67:9, 79:5. 88:8 brand-new [2] -44:21, 44:25 brands [5] - 48:15, 48:16, 48:17, 49:22, 67:24 break [4] - 15:24, 16:4, 16:23, 17:2 breakfast [3] - 51:23, 65:9, 77:11 Breakfast [1] - 65:11 breaks [1] - 99:1 breathing [1] - 8:16 Bridgewater (21) -2:15, 2:18, 6:2, 6:9, 9:24, 10:7, 19:15, 19:16, 19:23, 22:19, 33:18, 34:15, 34:17, 40:25, 43:11, 63:25, 75:4, 78:9, 85:16, 85:19, 87:2 **BRIDGEWATER** [3] -1:1, 1:4, 1:11 Bridgewater's [1] -59:20

brief [1] - 10:24 briefly [1] - 82:15 bring [4] - 18:11, 71:9, 103:24, 104:9 brings [2] - 102:2, 103:17 broad [2] - 57:6, 87:7 broad-brush [1] -87:7 Brunswick [2] - 79:8 brush [1] - 87:7 brutal [1] - 19:19 budget [1] - 83:25 buffer [5] - 11:16, 11:18, 11:20, 28:17, 28:18 buffers [1] - 108:15 build [11] - 19:25, 20:23, 46:18, 56:16, 82:1, 84:11, 84:22, 87:11, 106:13, 107:10, 107:11 **BUILDING** [1] - 1:10 building [44] - 10:22, 11:12, 11:17, 11:25, 12:1, 12:2, 12:7, 12:21, 14:2, 14:4, 15:3, 15:6, 15:10, 15:12, 20:4, 22:2, 22:18, 25:2, 25:7, 25:11, 26:5, 26:13, 26:16, 27:18, 27:20, 28:19, 30:17, 31:5, 34:22, 34:25, 36:10, 52:13, 53:5, 53:13, 75:10, 77:14, 77:18, 102:15, 102:20, 106:12, 106:18, 106:25, 107:8 Building [1] - 6:10 buildings [2] - 22:12, 108:17 builds [1] - 100:6 built [9] - 31:21, 31:22, 35:8, 67:23, 81:18, 81:19, 86:6, 86:8, 100:9 bulk [5] - 11:9, 12:3, 12:4, 12:24, 13:4 bulletin [1] - 6:9 **BUONANTUONO** [2] - 2:23, 111:3 Buonantuono [1] -111:23 Burger [2] - 56:19, 56:22 burner [1] - 50:5 burning [1] - 102:9 burnt [1] - 49:9 Burr [3] - 7:24, 29:10, 78:21

BURR [8] - 2:15,

29:11, 30:9, 77:5, 77:23, 78:5, 78:15, 78:19

Burr's [1] - 83:11

buses [1] - 61:7

business [8] - 35:22, 66:12, 70:12, 84:13, 86:1, 87:6, 87:12, 87:16

businesses [1] - 75:4

busted [1] - 73:6

but.. [1] - 89:23

BY [2] - 2:22, 3:3

16:6, 16:9, 16:12, 16:18, 16:22, С 17:16, 23:9, 25:15, c(2[1] - 13:3 27:6, 27:7, 29:7, C/O [1] - 1:5 33:4. 34:13. 43:7. calendar [1] - 95:8 44:2, 46:7, 46:10, California [3] - 59:7, 55:25, 64:7, 70:3, 59:10, 59:13 71:17, 72:3, 75:14, Cambria [1] - 86:7 77:2, 78:21, 79:16, cameras [4] - 102:17, 83:7, 85:15, 86:21, 102:20, 103:4, 88:1, 89:19, 89:22, 103:13 90:24, 93:20, cancelled [1] - 20:3 94:21, 94:24, 95:2, Candlewood [1] -96:17, 96:21, 49:24 102:1, 102:25, Cappelli [1] - 2:14 103:8, 103:12, car[11] - 71:20, 103:16, 104:3, 71:24, 72:12, 104:10, 104:14, 72:22, 72:24, 73:7, 104:17, 105:12, 74:4, 74:7, 74:8, 105:23, 106:5, 74:10, 103:19 109:9, 109:21, car's [1] - 73:8 110:3 care [2] - 9:24, 74:12 Chairman [16] - 7:6, career [1] - 39:12 8:10, 10:2, 16:24, Carlton [1] - 50:13 18:10, 23:5, 29:12, Carolina [1] - 49:11 31:13, 45:19, carried [1] - 96:15 45:22, 55:22, carries [1] - 95:18 65:21, 79:15, 94:22, 96:10, 105:9 carry [5] - 90:25, 94:18, 100:2, challenging (1) -105:16, 107:20 66:10 CART [1] - 6:24 CHAMBERS [1] case [8] - 9:11, 22:14, 1:10 28:16, 34:11, 66:5, change [11] - 15:10, 91:5, 93:4, 100:6 17:5, 18:12, 18:14, caseload [1] - 94:6 26:9, 27:15, 28:12, cases [2] - 95:8, 36:15, 39:16, 95:12 68:14, 69:3 cash [1] - 82:1 changed [6] - 19:18, 21:23, 26:19, category [3] - 57:18, 27:17, 35:2, 35:3 59:17, 67:9 changes [13] - 11:24, CCR [2] - 2:23, 12:14, 12:15, 111:23 celebrated [1] - 19:13 18:13, 25:24, 26:23, 27:3, 33:24, center [1] - 53:2 45:8, 73:23, 75:11 certain [5] - 14:1, changing [4] - 14:3, 32:4, 66:6, 88:24, 27:25, 72:23 98:25 channels [1] - 39:22 certainly [7] - 14:17, 38:8, 39:15, 41:3, charges [1] - 80:3 45:15, 49:23, 97:13

cheat [1] - 52:15

Certified [1] - 111:4

check [5] - 42:5, certified [3] - 1:23, 52:23, 58:24, 92:21, 93:25 58:25, 62:12 certify [2] - 95:19, check-in (1) - 52:23 111:6 CERTIFY [2] - 111:9, checked [1] - 42:19 cheese [1] - 50:7 111:13 Chelsea [18] - 4:19, cetera [4] - 51:17, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 52:7, 78:8 33:18, 33:19, Chair [1] - 81:6 41:17, 41:18, 42:4, CHAIRMAN [66] - 2:2, 43:10, 90:1, 93:7, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:1, 97:1, 99:13, 102:8, 9:1, 9:6, 9:10, 9:15, 106:7, 108:14, 9:21, 15:22, 16:3, 108:16 Chick [1] - 109:18 Chick-fil-A [1] -109:18 child m - 61:21 children [8] - 60:21, 60:22, 61:8, 61:18, 61:20, 64:15. 97:21, 98:18 Choice [5] - 46:25, 48:11, 48:14, 54:16 choice [2] - 48:11, 85:17 chosen [1] - 54:16 Choudhury [2] - 7:19, 27:8 CHOUDHURY [4] -2:4, 7:20, 27:9, 80:10 CHOUDURY [17] -28:4, 28:13, 28:24, 29:2, 29:5, 64:9, 64:22, 65:3, 65:6, 65:11, 65:14, 65:17, 79:15, 79:20, 80:15, 80:23, 81:5 Choudury [3] - 29:8, 79:16, 80:18 circulation [1] - 45:9 circumstance (2) -66:7, 69:24 cited [1] - 26:17 City [1] - 63:15 civil [8] - 13:21, 14:17, 36:18, 36:19, 43:14, 104:8, 107:15 clarify [4] - 11:11, 14:16, 44:9, 103:2 clarifying [2] - 23:14, 44.23 clarity [1] - 59:25 class [1] - 50:11 classify [1] - 85:18 clean [1] - 31:8 clear [2] - 19:4, 96:13 Clerk [1] - 6:8 clientele [1] - 78:6 clogs [1] - 69:22 Chartowich [1] - 7:18 close [5] - 9:13, 44:4,

94:14, 97:20, 104:4 closed [1] - 19:21 closer [2] - 19:20, 78:24 CLR [1] - 111:23 co [1] - 80:6 co-sharing [1] - 80:6 code (3) - 10:16. 62:1, 63:1 codes [1] - 53:6 coffee [2] - 52:25, 53:18 college [2] - 41:21, 42:23 color [1] - 54:18 colors [3] - 20:21, 22:1, 22:2 comfort [1] - 101:3 comfortable [1] -78:10 coming [9] - 41:7, 50:15, 70:20, 71:6, 76:7, 83:15, 83:20, 84:6, 90:20 commencement [1] -111:6 comment [5] - 64:9, 82:11, 82:15, 87:7, 94:1 comments [3] -33:14, 48:2, 104:24 COMMENTS [1] -4:16 commercial [8] -18:12, 29:17, 32:6, 74:21, 82:12, 82:16, 82:23, 100:6 Commission [1] -111:24 commitment [2] -99:8, 99:17 committed [1] - 73:17 commodity [1] -76:13 common [3] - 64:13, 80:21, 93:12 commonly [3] - 10:1, 10:11, 21:21 **COMMONS** [1] = 1:11 communities [1] -88:25 community [5] -50:15, 73:19, 86:2, 86:16, 88:22 companies [4] - 71:5, 85:24, 85:25, 86:17 company [7] - 19:14, 46:24, 47:1, 48:12, 71:8, 78:8, 80:13 compare [1] ~ 50:19 compared [2] - 24:1, 35:20 comparing [1] -

26:11

comparisons [1] -50:18 complete [1] - 109:19 completed [3] -30:12, 30:13, 95:13 completely [3] - 69:9, 70:7, 103:20 complex [1] - 97:22 compliance [1] -14:15 compliant [1] - 13:1 complicated [2] -81:12, 81:14 comply [1] - 106:19 component [5] -22:22, 44:23, 106:19, 107:11, 107:12 components [1] -108:5 comprises [1] - 10:18 concern [8] - 39:19, 48:7, 59:19, 74:17, 74:19, 98:24, 101:1, 104:20 concerned [7] -29:23, 39:23, 67:8, 67:9, 67:10, 67:11, 90:22 concerns [3] - 24:13, 48:2, 93:19 conclude [1] - 40:23 concluded [1] -110:10 concur [1] - 54:5 condition [11] -30:11, 68:22, 100:7, 100:13, 100:16, 100:19, 100:24, 101:7, 101:10, 102:4, 102:5 conditions [5] -66:23, 69:10, 99:25, 106:20, 106:21 condo [4] - 93:10, 93:11, 93:14, 93:23 Condo [2] - 33:20, 93:7 condominium [1] -10:19 conducted [1] - 83:12 conference [1] -53:12 confirm (3) - 21:16, 28:7, 94:25 confirmed [2] -94:12, 95:4 conformed [1] -38:20

confusing [4] -

46:11

15:12, 38:6, 38:24,

confusion [1] - 27:23

conjunction [1] -100:25 connecting [1] -73:21 connection [1] -107:6 consecutively [1] -88:14 consensus [1] - 8:14 consider [2] - 98:16 consideration [1] -93:4 consistently [1] -67:25 consists [1] - 10:14 constitute [1] - 77:13 constrained [1] -45:3 constructed [3] -107:7, 108:3, 108:10 construction [6] -11:6, 19:25, 68:15, 70:20, 83:18, 108:7 consultants [4] -47:7, 49:6, 70:22, 78:7 consumer [1] - 70:12 continue [1] - 20:6 continued [1] - 99:8 continues [1] - 8:4 contract [2] - 49:3, 59:4 controlling [1] -29:25 convenience [1] -66:6 cook [1] - 50:7 cooperate [1] - 87:3 copy [1] - 42:17 core [1] - 53:3 corporate [2] - 64:2, 71:10 correct [32] - 22:16, 23:2, 29:4, 31:17, 32:20, 35:18, 36:2, 37:5, 37:9, 37:12, 37:13, 40:14, 40:15, 45:5, 51:1, 53:15, 56:7, 56:8, 57:11, 57:19, 65:20, 69:20, 79:7, 80:13, 83:4, 83:5, 94:7, 96:18, 100:10, 100:11, 103:11, 108:1 Correct [2] - 95:23 corrected [3] - 36:24, 37:17, 37:21 correctly [2] - 37:18, 40:18 correspondence [1] -37:25

Councilman (8) -7:10, 25:16, 33:4, 40:7, 41:7, 42:18, 64:10, 105:17 COUNCILMAN [93] -2:5, 7:11, 8:10, 9:4, 9:9, 9:12, 23:13, 24:2, 25:1, 25:9, 25:14, 31:12, 31:19, 32:17, 32:21, 38:16, 38:21, 39:2, 39:10, 39:18, 40:12, 40:16, 41:14, 41:25, 42:6, 42:11, 42:15, 42:21, 43:1, 43:6, 56:3, 56:9, 56:14, 56:20, 57:3, 57:7, 57:17, 57:20, 58:10, 58:15, 59:14, 59:24, 60:9, 60:15, 60:18, 61:10, 61:17, 62:7, 62:20, 63:3, 63:7, 65:21, 66:3, 67:1, 67:8, 68:11, 68:25, 69:5, 69:17, 69:21, 70:1, 72:10, 72:15, 72:19, 73:4, 73:10, 74:9, 74:22, 75:15, 76:17, 76:25, 78:22, 79:2, 79:5, 81:6, 82:13, 82:19, 82:24, 83:6, 95:5, 95:11, 95:21, 95:24, 96:6, 98:22, 99:17, 100:4, 100:18, 101:2, 104:19, 105:21, 106:4, 107:22 Counsel [1] - 3:7 counsel [4] - 23:23, 93:1, 111:14, 111:17 count [1] - 43:21 country [1] - 53:8 COUNTY [1] - 1:2 couple [7] - 14:6, 19:10, 48:15, 65:22, 77:5, 78:24, 83:9 Courier [1] - 6:7 course [4] - 17:22, 20:13, 25:11, 66:15 COURT [1] - 1:22 Court [2] - 1:23, 111.4 courtesy [1] - 37:11

Courtyard [2] - 67:24,

cover [4] - 14:12,

103:19

corridor [1] - 86:24

cost (2) - 20:23,

costs [1] - 19:25

COUNCIL [1] - 1:10

108:18

15:5, 37:20, 38:3 coverage [3] - 12:9, 33:24, 90:3 COVID [1] - 86:12 COVID-19 [1] - 31:4 create [1] - 68:2 created [1] - 10:19 crime [1] - 103:18 criteria [1] - 13:3 cross [1] - 55:18 cross-train [1] -55:18 crystal [1] - 68:8 curiosity [1] - 83:17 curious [1] - 30:15 current [1] - 12:16 customary [1] - 54:9 customer [6] - 48:23, 51:25, 70:8, 70:9, 71:16, 76:7 customers [2] -49:18, 50:4

D dab [1] - 31:4 damaged [1] - 101:21 Dan [2] - 4:22, 102:7 DAN [2] ~ 102:7, 102:22 Dartmouth [1] - 42:23 Dartmouths [1] - 43:5 data [1] - 27:15 date [6] - 14:13, 14:19, 14:20, 95:14, 109:13, 111:12 dated [1] - 12:20 dates [1] - 76:19 David [1] - 3:18 **DAVIS** [1] - 3:3 Days [4] - 29:14, 30:25, 31:8, 98:23 days [16] - 35:21, 59:10, 62:3, 62:4, 62:11, 62:13, 62:21, 63:20, 64:15, 71:20, 74:4, 84:2, 88:14, 89:9 days' [1] - 6:24 deal [1] - 97:17 dealing [1] - 61:13 dear[1] - 103:25 DECEMBER [1] -1:14 December [6] - 6:3, 11:5, 94:23, 94:24, 95:6, 109:12 decide [1] - 68:13 decision [4] - 21:10, 26:5, 35:16, 35:17 decision-maker [1] -21:10 decrease [2] - 51:10,

77:13

decreasing [1] -12:12 deep [2] - 96:1, 96:4 defer [6] - 88:13, 90:21, 91:5, 91:23, 94:19, 107:14 definitely [3] - 24:9, 80:21, 93:14 definition [4] - 56:16, 56:25, 57:17, 92:14 deliberations [1] -104:25 delineation [1] -56:10 deliver [1] - 75:3 delivered [1] - 92:24 demand [9] - 76:12, 76:14, 83:14, 83:19, 83:23, 84:3, 84:9, 87:19, 87:21 demolish [1] - 30:25 Department [1] - 6:20 depiction [1] - 23:22 depth [1] - 20:12 describing (1) - 88:7 DESCRIPTION [1] -5:3 design [8] - 11:9, 13:24, 14:2, 21:7, 21:17, 22:11, 47:7, 54:9 designs [1] - 22:12 desirable [1] - 88:22 desire [1] - 99:5 desires [1] - 76:20 desk [1] - 69:15 despite [2] - 12:10, 95:12 detailing [1] - 72:24 details [1] - 55:5 deterioration [2] -99:1, 99:18 develop [2] - 20:6, 53:7 developed [1] - 19:12 developer [2] - 17:7, 99:11 Developer's [1] - 32:3 developing [2] -50:15, 107:17 **DEVELOPMENT** [1] development [5] -21:3, 50:11, 79:18, 85:1, 107:24 Development [13] -4:4, 4:6, 4:7, 9:25, 13:10, 13:12, 13:17, 17:4, 17:6, 41:17, 45:21, 46:23, 47:5 deviate [1] - 27:4 deviation [4] ~ 28:11, 28:21, 45:12, 51:20

deviation-wise [1] -28:11 deviations [1] - 45:3 difference [2] - 48:7, 64:17 differences [1] - 12:7 different [20] - 20:18, 22:5, 25:3, 26:24, 41:9, 41:11, 48:19, 49:18, 51:25, 59:17, 70:8, 70:9, 74:5, 80:11, 80:12, 80:14, 80:16, 83:1, 86:25, 88:16 difficult [1] - 92:25 DiFrancesco [1] -10:4 DIFRANCESCO [1] -3:3 diligence [1] - 24:17 direct [1] - 47:19 directed [2] - 90:8, 91:14 direction (1) - 84:14 Director [1] - 46:16 Disabilities [1] - 6:18 disability [2] - 6:17, 6:19 disapproval [1] - 8:24 discovered [1] - 20:8 discrepancy [1] -15:2 discuss [2] - 12:7, 35:25 discussed [2] -92:20, 102:6 displaced [2] - 49:8, 49:14 distance [1] - 8:20 district [1] - 10:14 diverse [1] - 85:23 DO [2] - 111:9, 111:13 doable [1] - 109:19 document [2] - 37:21, 38.2 documents (3) -36:22, 37:10, 37:18 domino [2] - 31:10, 81:8 done [4] - 37:17, 78:8, 82:22, 86:15 door [4] - 52:3, 52:24, 66:7, 81:3 doors [1] - 19:21 double [1] - 42:5 double-check [1] -42:5 doubt [3] - 19:17, 22:19, 39:19 down [9] - 19:22, 21:25, 35:6, 49:11,

52:6, 61:1, 65:2,

66:9, 71:8

enforced [1] - 62:25

enforcement [5] -

everywhere [3] -

84:3, 84:8, 84:9

drawings [1] - 14:13 drawn [2] - 32:12, 32:13 drill [1] - 71:7 drive [1] - 98:3 driven [1] - 12:15 dropping [1] - 90:4 due [1] - 11:24 duly [1] - 111:7 during [5] - 12:5, 17:21, 19:21, 76:21, 101:21

62:15, 62:16,

ENGINEER [7] -

78:19

62:19, 71:21, 98:2

29:11, 30:9, 77:5,

engineer [22] - 12:6,

13:20, 13:21,

14:17, 14:24,

23:20, 36:18,

77:23, 78:5, 78:15,

36:20, 55:13, 69:8, 69:23, 90:8, 90:13, 90:20, 91:14, 91:24, 93:19, E 94:20, 95:1, 104:8, East [2] - 10:12, 79:8 104:9, 107:15 east [1] - 41:22 Engineer [1] - 2:15 easy [1] - 84:7 enrolled [1] - 61:21 eat (11 - 52:25 enter [3] - 52:23, economic [2] - 12:15, 61:7, 88:16 35:17 entered [1] - 93:3 economics (2) entertaining [2] -26:18, 35:2 16:23, 94:5 economy [2] - 85:23, entirety [1] - 11:2 86:11 entities [1] - 19:3 educate [2] - 60:22, entitling [1] - 47:6 61:19 entity [2] - 13:17, effectively [1] - 22:3 17:9 efficiency [1] - 77:24 equipment [1] - 6:23 effort [2] - 21:6, 54:23 error [6] - 15:7, efforts [1] - 40:3 27:19, 28:1, 37:5, eight [2] - 25:19, 37:14, 41:2 32:14 errors [1] - 36:22 either [5] - 49:9, 53:5, ESOC [2] - 60:6, 61:15, 74:7, 94:7 83:23 electrical [1] - 75:9 **ESQUIRE** [2] - 2:13, elegant [1] - 56:23 3:3 element [1] - 87:3 essentially [5] elevations [3] - 15:4, 11:12, 13:25, 26:5, 47:20, 54:10 26:21, 40:13 elevator [2] - 53:3, establish [3] - 59:10, 53:4 61:23, 89:3 elevators [1] - 52:21 established [1] eliminated [1] - 65:18 88:24 eliminating [1] establishing [1] -53:11 88:10 email [1] - 39:22 establishment [1] -Email [1] - 3:6 103:10 Embassy [1] - 64:5 Estate [1] - 46:17 emergency [2] estate [3] - 12:16, 72:21, 74:3 18:13, 50:12 employee [3] - 65:13, et [4] - 51:17, 52:7, 111:14, 111:16 78:8 employees [6] - 55:6, eternity [1] - 66:17 55:10, 55:15, evening [15] - 6:1, 55:18, 71:6 8:21, 9:11, 11:1, empty [3] - 87:14, 15:14, 17:1, 18:22, 98:10, 98:11 21:18, 27:16, 43:9, end [3] - 53:5, 69:14, 102:7, 105:19, 94:17 106:3, 109:16, ended [1] - 74:18 110:6 enforce [2] - 63:5, eventually [2] - 11:4, 92:10 86:7

eviction [2] - 59:17, 62:9 exactly [4] - 26:7, 58:1, 76:24, 100:22 example [5] - 48:25, 49:10, 70:5, 101:9, 107:2 examples [1] - 50:19 exceed [1] - 62:4 exception [3] - 9:3, 14:9, 37:3 excluding [1] - 6:25 excuse [3] - 17:15, 41:18, 46:16 existing [4] - 60:25, 83:22, 101:20, 107:4 exists [1] - 100:20 expanded [1] - 65:13 expect [2] - 99:6, 101:15 Expensive [1] - 60:11 expensive [1] - 60:16 experience [2] -20:12, 55:19 experienced [1] -63:18 expertise [1] - 20:10 experts [1] - 35:14 explain [3] - 12:14, 27:11, 34:8 explanation [1] - 50:9 expose [1] - 89:1 extended [29] -48:10, 48:16, 48:17, 49:19, 49:25, 50:3, 50:17, 51:3, 53:19, 56:12, 57:10, 57:13, 57:15, 57:18, 57:22, 58:5, 58:6, 58:9, 60:1, 60:6, 60:7, 62:2, 68:21, 70:16, 83:23, 84:8, 87:8, 88:8, 92:15 Extended [1] - 48:13 extent [2] - 25:1, 37:16 exterior [4] - 13:24, 14:4, 21:14, 54:19 extra [2] - 8:15, 80:3

F F-O-L-K [1] - 18:4 F.A.R [2] - 12:8, 14:18 facilities [1] - 62:2 facility [1] - 77:11 fact [7] - 12:11, 21:21, 25:7, 32:25, 35:1, 66:24, 68:19 factor [1] - 77:21 fair [4] - 47:19, 54:22, 103:8, 105:10

fall [2] - 68:24, 101:20 falls m - 48:13 families [2] - 63:12, 87:18 fans (1) - 98:5 fantastic [1] - 75:11 far [11] - 16:1, 29:22, 37:24, 39:12, 48:8, 49:20, 84:16, 84:24, 91:9, 98:6, 110:5 fascinating [1] - 70:3 fast [3] - 28:17, 56:18, 56:22 fastest [1] - 49:20 fatal [1] - 41:3 favor [2] - 16:9, 16:20 features [1] - 14:2 February [1] - 6:6 feet [20] - 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 11:17, 11:18, 11:19, 11:20, 11:21, 15:3, 15:7, 15:13, 28:5, 28:8, 28:19, 28:20, 28:25, 41:19 fence [23] - 98:24, 99:1, 99:11, 99:14, 99:16, 100:5, 100:7, 100:9, 100:10, 100:13, 100:14, 100:16, 100:23, 100:24, 101:5, 101:10, 101:14, 101:16, 101:20, 101:23, 106:10 fenced [2] - 32:9, 33:1 fences [1] - 24:14 fencing [1] - 99:2 few [3] - 35:21, 42:25, 70.8 figure [2] - 84:7, 86:22 fil [1] - 109:18 filed [1] - 6:8 final [2] - 104:12, 104:14 FINAL [1] - 1:7 Final [2] - 10:9, 11:3 finally [2] - 15:1, 82:5 financial [1] - 32:22 financially [1] -111:17 financing [3] - 32:10, 81:11, 82:1 Finderne [1] - 34:16 fine [7] - 15:20, 39:1, 46:11, 90:11, 90:14, 90:15, 91:15 finger [1] - 81:13

finish [1] - 82:22

fire [1] - 75:9 Fire [1] - 75:9 firm [1] - 10:4 first [11] - 15:19, 50:11, 52:17, 54:20, 56:4, 81:8, 82:3, 87:8, 94:1, 94:9, 108:3 first-class [1] - 50:11 fit [1] - 22:11 fitness [2] - 53:1, 53:17 five [6] - 10:18, 15:24, 30:22, 62:3, 65:2, 76:15 five-minute [1] -15:24 five-night [1] - 76:15 fix [2] - 72:22, 73:7 flag [1] - 7:2 flat [4] - 21:22, 21:23, 54:15 FLAUM [1] - 3:3 flooded [1] - 49:9 floor [5] - 47:20, 51:11, 52:14, 52:17, 54:20 floors [2] - 52:17, 52:18 Florida [1] - 59:13 Florio [1] - 2:14 focus [1] - 58:4 focused [1] - 58:8 Folk [4] - 13:20, 18:4, 104:18, 107:2 FOLK [2] - 3:18, 18:4 follow [2] - 30:9, 108:6 follow-up [1] - 30:9 followed [1] - 40:1 following [1] - 18:17 follows [3] - 25:4, 47:11, 47:14 food [2] - 56:18, 56:22 foot [1] - 87:11 footage [2] - 51:21, 77:22 footprint [9] - 12:2, 12:7, 14:2, 23:25. 24:1, 25:8, 25:11, 41:12, 51:9 FOR [1] - 1:3 foregoing [1] - 111:9 foreseeable [1] -30:20 forth [2] - 65:23, 111:12

fortunate [1] - 103:23

fortunately [1] -

forum [1] - 74:19

forward [8] - 21:3,

25:13, 33:11, 41:4,

95:25

50:14, 69:1, 93:13, 107:21 forwarded [1] - 40:20 four [12] - 13:8, 20:19, 30:22, 33:1, 50:25, 53:21, 55:9, 55:10, 58:13, 73:1, 75:20, 75:24 four-night [1] - 75:24 four-story [1] - 20:19 franchise [1] - 46:24 free [1] - 33:11 friends [1] - 98:2 front [6] - 11:15, 31:17, 81:19, 82:16, 82:23, 85:6 full [6] - 55:5, 71:13, 76:12, 87:14, 97:15, 98:11 full-time [2] - 55:5, 97:15 fully [6] - 31:1, 31:21, 40:3, 80:16, 103:9 fully-surveilled [1] -103:9 function [1] - 26:19 fund [1] - 81:25 funded [1] - 81:24 funny [1] - 87:5 FURTHER [2] - 111:9, 111:13 furthest (1) - 29:20 future [6] - 13:9, 13:14, 30:20, 79:22, 83:2, 104:1

G

gatehouse [1] - 51:22 gather [1] - 52:25 general [5] - 33:14, 55:11, 69:7, 69:8, generally [2] - 61:8, 79:22 Generally [1] - 80:15 gentleman [1] - 97:2 GEORGE [2] - 3:18, 18:4 George [2] - 13:20, 18:4 given (2) - 6:5, 15:25 glad [1] - 103:16 goal [5] - 8:21, 8:22, 20:7, 31:9, 40:3 going-forward [1] -69.1 governing [1] - 95:10 government [1] --64:3 Governor [1] - 89:7 grab [1] - 53:17 granted [5] - 11:10,

11:13, 12:5, 12:22,

13:4

great [7] - 19:16, 23:3, 34:4, 34:10, 49:10, 86:2, 99:7 GREG [27] - 4:7, 18:5, 47:3, 47:22, 51:1, 51:14, 52:16, 52:22, 54:7, 54:12, 54:25, 55:8, 59:12, 59:25, 60:13, 61:6, 64:2, 64:25, 65:5, 65:9, 65:13, 65:20, 66:1, 67:20, 79:9, 79:12, 85:8 Grea [13] - 13:11, 18:5, 20:11, 47:3, 47:18, 49:16, 50:25, 52:11, 55:4, 59:11, 67:19, 70:23, 83:22 Greg's [1] - 60:24 group [4] - 48:1, 68:13, 79:6 Group [2] - 2:17, 48:13 growing [1] - 49:20 growth [1] - 86:17 guess [14] - 9:12, 30:3, 30:7, 31:20, 36:4, 56:4, 58:15, 59:19, 60:18, 69:2, 76:19, 99:2, 105:3, 108:13 guest [3] - 25:12, 52:23, 53:2 guests [3] - 52:8, 53:16, 53:23 quidance [1] - 25:4 guy [2] - 46:17, 71:11

guys [2] - 73:16.

75:11

Н H-E-F-L-I-N [1] - 18:5 Hampton [2] - 60:8, 83:24 hand [1] - 17:20 handle [1] - 69:24 hands [2] - 68:12, 73:23 hard [2] - 71:2, 71:14 Hardie (1) - 54:18 hat [1] - 58:6 hats [2] - 55:16, 69:9 headed [1] - 60:19 hear [5] - 70:7, 82:14, 94:9, 97:3, 104:8 heard [18] - 6:13, 23:12, 33:10, 48:1, 54:6, 56:2, 72:11, 77:4, 77:8, 77:12, 77:16, 78:7, 88:5, 88:7, 90:19, 96:24, 105:19, 106:2 **HEARING** [1] - 1:18

hearing [13] - 6:15, 17:22, 21:10, 24:15, 24:20, 40:24, 92:4, 94:8, 96:1, 96:11, 105:14, 107:3, 108:24 hearings [3] - 6:12, 70:4, 96:7 heat [1] - 50:7 heavily [1] - 54:3 HEFLIN [27] - 4:7, 18:5, 47:3, 47:22, 51:1, 51:14, 52:16, 52:22, 54:7, 54:12, 54:25, 55:8, 59:12, 59:25, 60:13, 61:6, 64:2, 64:25, 65:5, 65:9, 65:13, 65:20, 66:1, 67:20, 79:9, 79:12, 85:8 Heflin [3] - 13:11, 18:5, 47:3 height [15] - 11:13, 15:2, 15:6, 15:11, 15:13, 27:10, 27:11, 27:20, 27:21, 27:25, 28:2, 28:4, 28:7 heights [1] - 28:9 held [1] - 93:12 helpful [1] - 18:11 helps [1] - 82:20 hereby [1] - 111:6 hereinbefore [1] -111:12 HERITAGE [1] - 1:4 Heritage (3) - 9:24, 10:6, 109:23 hi [1] - 46:15 HIC [1] - 10:13 higher [1] - 62:25 highest [1] - 39:6 hip [1] - 59:8 hiring [1] - 47:6 hit [2] - 42:1, 53:9 hockey [1] - 87:17 hold [1] - 57:12 holiday [3] - 92:23, 94:2, 94:14 holidays [1] - 6:25 home [2] - 49:9, 49:10 Home2 [1] - 86:8 Home 2 Suites [1] -49:23 homeowners [1] -43:20 homes [1] - 49:14 honest [1] - 58:22

honor [2] - 24:23,

hope [2] - 98:15,

99:22

98:16

hopefully [3] - 21:2, 40:23, 69:22 hoping [2] - 9:17, 9:19 hose [1] - 73:6 hospital [1] - 49:2 hospitality [1] - 70:6 Hospitality [5] - 4:4, 9:25, 13:16, 17:6, 19:6 HOSPITALITY [1] -1:5 hospitals [1] - 59:4 hotel [78] - 11:6, 11:24, 13:14, 15:8, 19:19, 20:16, 20:18, 27:21, 29:20, 31:6, 32:12, 41:13, 47:1, 47:25, 48:10, 48:11, 49:5, 49:13, 49:15, 49:21, 49:25, 50:19, 52:6, 56:6, 56:10, 56:11, 56:14, 56:16, 56:24, 57:1, 57:2, 57:11, 58:9, 58:18, 59:21, 60:2, 61:15, 61:16, 62:25, 64:11, 66:24, 67:5, 67:7, 68:21, 70:6, 71:1, 71:7, 79:21, 79:23, 79:24, 80:1, 80:7, 80:16, 80:17, 81:13, 82:22, 84:17, 84:18, 84:24, 86:19, 87:6, 87:13, 87:22, 88:15, 88:18, 88:22, 88:25, 91:18, 92:10, 98:12, 100:20, 100:25, 106:12 Hotel [3] - 11:14, 29:24, 86:7 hoteliers [1] - 89:12 hotels [30] - 10:16, 19:8, 19:10, 19:12, 19:21, 29:17, 32:18, 35:21, 43:16, 46:19, 49:19, 49:25, 50:1, 57:5, 60:1, 61:1, 61:3, 63:11, 63:12, 63:14, 63:16, 73:13, 74:1, 79:24, 80:25, 81:22, 82:18, 82:25, 83:22, 87:16 Hotels [2] - 46:25, 48:11 Houlihan's [1] -29:16 hour [3] - 90:25, 105:11, 105:14

hours [1] - 69:16 hours' [1] - 6:19 house [2] - 64:3, 71:25 housekeeper [2] --55:13, 69:8 housekeeping [1] -14.6 housing [2] - 63:13, 86:1 huge [3] - 63:23, 71:1, 87:19 Hulya [2] - 96:12, 109:17 human [1] - 69:19

incorporate [1] -

incorrect [2] - 14:18,

increase [2] - 12:10,

independent [1] -

indicates [4] - 14:10,

14:13, 15:5, 90:4

individual [3] - 6:18,

indoor [2] - 51:22,

6:22, 74:25

54:23

40:13

68:4

57:22

64:4

53:11 indulgence [1] -75:17 idea [1] - 44:9 indulging [1] ideal [1] - 86:18 109:23 ideally [1] - 32:15 industry [8] - 49:21, identified [2] - 10:10, 55:20, 60:5, 70:6, 57:10 80:22, 87:9, 87:22 identifies [1] - 46:18 influenced [1] - 54:3 identify [2] - 46:13, information [2] -89:7 10:25, 15:17 identifying [2] - 37:8, infrastructure [3] -47:6 107:4, 107:7, ignorance [1] - 61:25 107:13 ignorant [1] - 70:7 initial [2] - 42:10, illegal (1) - 89:6 42:14 imagine [1] - 20:22 Inn [45] - 9:24, 10:6, immediately [2] -11:6, 19:25, 20:2, 32:16, 93:8 20:3, 20:4, 20:20, immigrants [1] - 89:6 29:14, 30:25, 31:8, impacted [1] - 39:15 35:6, 48:19, 49:22, impacts [3] - 12:3, 50:13, 51:15, 45:7, 66:12 53:21, 54:15, impervious [2] -54:17, 54:24, 33:23, 90:3 57:11, 57:13, implications [1] -57:15, 57:18, 62:17 57:22, 57:23, 58:5, implied [1] - 101:14 58:12, 60:3, 60:8, importance [2] -64:18, 64:20, 65:7, 97:23, 98:17 67:22, 68:23, 72:7, important [1] - 66:4 75:19, 75:22, 76:4, imposed [2] - 68:22, 77:17, 83:24, 68:23 98:23, 109:24 improved [4] - 28:25, INN [1] - 1:4 106:24, 107:5, inquiry [1] - 45:10 108:1 inside [1] - 102:20 improvement [2] inspecting [1] - 75:10 28:22, 30:12 inspector [1] ~ 75:9 improvements [4] installed [2] - 100:16, 12:21, 13:1, 108:12 106:14, 108:9 instance [1] - 32:5 IN [3] - 16:10, 16:21, instated [1] - 24:24 17:24 instead [1] - 8:21 in-depth [1] - 20:12 integrity [1] - 39:6 include [3] - 42:22, intended [1] - 30:17 53:16, 62:2 intent [1] - 24:11 included [3] - 29:16, intention [4] - 24:19, 42:14, 92:23 24:23, 62:24, 95:12 inconsistencies [1] intentions [2] - 32:8,

89:9

14:7

interconnected [3] -65:24, 66:5, 68:16 interconnecting [1] -75:6 interest [4] - 15:23, 29:23, 29:25, 33:22 interested [3] - 24:9, 39:23, 111:17 interesting [1] -87:25 interior [1] - 14:3 interject [1] - 57:5 individuals [2] - 6:16, internal [2] - 45:9, 68:15 internally [1] - 65:25 international [1] -64:14 interplay [1] - 82:25 introduce [2] - 34:8, 45:23 intuitive [1] - 86:14 investment [1] - 83:3 involved [2] - 21:9, 99:3 issue [6] - 15:2, 61:8, 62:19, 96:5, 99:10, 101:11 issues [2] - 63:13, 91:17

J

items [1] - 34:9

iterate [1] - 24:7

itself [3] - 25:11,

34:25, 105:14

IV [1] - 2:15

J.D [1] - 50:24

jacks [1] - 73:2

JAMES [1] - 2:6 JEFF [11] - 4:4, 18:3, 57:14, 67:15, 72:6, 82:11, 82:15, 82:20, 83:5, 99:9, 99:21 Jeff [5] - 13:15, 17:5, 18:3, 19:6, 82:10 jeopardize [1] - 68:6 JERSEY [2] - 1:2, 1:11 Jersey [8] - 1:24, 3:4, 33:21, 53:6, 59:15, 61:20, 88:12, 111:5 jives [1] - 62:8 job [3] - 41:1, 55:17, 86:17 jobs [1] - 83:18 JOHN [11] - 34:14, 35:16, 35:19, 35:24, 36:3, 36:21, 37:15, 38:5, 38:10, 38:13, 88:6 John [3] ~ 4:20, 34:14, 88:6 Johnny [3] - 71:18,

71:25, 72:7 joined [3] - 13:10, 13:15, 13:19 joint [1] - 12:19 jump [1] - 102:10 jumping [1] - 101:18 just.. [1] - 57:6

K-N-U-D-S-O-N [1] -89:25 KATHERINE [1] -2:16 Katherine [1] - 88:8 keep [5] - 8:18, 21:6, 52:8, 71:12, 84:23 kept [2] - 101:10, 101:16 kids (1) - 103:25 kind [19] - 18:11, 18:24, 20:25, 21:1, 25:4, 30:20, 45:6, 50:8, 53:3, 60:19, 62:8, 71:8, 71:14, 71:15, 84:23, 86:12, 86:18, 87:7, 87:25 King (2) - 56:19, 56:22 KIRSCH [1] - 27:6 Kirsh [9] - 7:10, 25:18, 33:5, 40:8, 42:19, 64:10, 91:16, 105:17 KIRSH [93] - 2:5, 7:11, 8:10, 9:4, 9:9, 9:12, 23:13, 24:2, 25:1, 25:9, 25:14, 31:12, 31:19, 32:17, 32:21, 38:16, 38:21, 39:2, 39:10, 39:18, 40:12, 40:16, 41:14, 41:25, 42:6, 42:11, 42:15, 42:21, 43:1, 43:6, 56:3, 56:9, 56:14, 56:20, 57:3, 57:7, 57:17, 57:20, 58:10, 58:15, 59:14, 59:24, 60:9, 60:15, 60:18, 61:10, 61:17, 62:7, 62:20, 63:3, 63:7, 65:21, 66:3, 67:1, 67:8, 68:11, 68:25, 69:5, 69:17, 69:21, 70:1, 72:10, 72:15, 72:19, 73:4, 73:10, 74:9, 74:22, 75:15, 76:17, 76:25, 78:22, 79:2, 79:5, 81:6, 82:13, 82:19, 82:24, 83:6, 95:5, 95:11, 95:21,

95:24, 96:6, 98:22, 99:17, 100:4, 100:18, 101:2, 104:19, 105:21, 106:4, 107:22 kitchenette [2] -51:16, 78:1 knocked [1] - 100:9 know.. [1] - 72:9 knowing [1] - 63:1 knowledge [1] -40:20 known [3] - 10:1, 10:11, 17:19 knows [1] - 19:18 Knudson [3] - 4:19, 33:17, 89:24 KNUDSON [15] -33:16, 33:17, 34:6, 34:10, 89:17, 89:20, 89:24, 90:11, 90:14, 90:21, 91:3, 91:13, 92:17, 94:16, 101:18 Kulak [3] - 4:20, 34:14, 88:6 KULAK [11] - 34:14, 35:16, 35:19, 35:24, 36:3, 36:21, 37:15, 38:5, 38:10, 38:13, 88:6 Kulak's [1] - 92:1 KUNZMAN [1] - 3:3

L

land [9] - 10:18, 13:18, 30:4, 68:6, 73:11, 107:16, 107:21, 108:8, 108:12 Land [9] - 2:18, 10:21, 11:7, 11:25, 13:14, 39:24, 103:5, 107:17, 107:19 landlord [1] - 88:17 landlord-tenant [1] -88:17 landscaping [6] -33:25, 106:11, 106:14, 107:13, 107:18, 108:11 large [1] - 77:13 largely [2] - 23:16, 76:1 largest [2] - 47:1, 48:11 Lars [3] - 4:19, 33:17, 89:24 LARS [15] - 33:16, 33:17, 34:6, 34:10, 89:17, 89:20, 89:24, 90:11,

90:14, 90:21, 91:3, 91:13, 92:17, 94:16, 101:18 last [9] - 36:21, 49:19, 50:24, 75:15, 87:16, 89:1, 94:3, 95:6, 101:15 lastly [1] - 13:19 late [1] - 105:11 laundry [2] - 53:2, 53:18 law [5] - 10:4, 40:1, 62:9, 88:12, 98:2 layout [2] - 26:5, 51:12 lead [1] - 66:9 learn [1] - 70:4 learning [2] - 70:5, 70:24 least [8] - 12:4, 12:24, 14:5, 41:19, 63:15, 71:21, 105:13, 107:16 leave [2] - 12:6, 35:22 leaves [1] - 47:5 led [1] - 18:13 Ledger [1] - 6:8 **LEHRER** [1] - 3:3 length [1] - 58:16 lengthy [2] - 31:2, 81:14 less [5] - 20:22, 60:3, 64:21, 88:22, 89:13 less-desirable [1] -88:22 letter [8] - 24:10, 24:19, 37:2, 37:4, 37:12, 37:20, 38:3, 40:9 level [1] - 63:1 leveled [1] - 31:8 License [2] - 2:23, 111:23 licensed (1) - 97:4 life [2] - 34:18, 86:15 light [1] - 12:17 lighting [2] - 33:25, 90:3 likelihood [1] - 76:7 likely [3] - 26:24, 37:6, 108:7 limit [1] - 92:11 limitation [1] - 62:5

limited [1] - 41:16

Linden [2] - 78:25,

line [5] - 21:7, 31:14,

37:1, 37:4, 38:23

list [6] - 42:3, 42:12,

listened [1] - 95:20

88:14, 91:21,

93:22, 93:25

live [1] ~ 106:7

lived [1] - 98:13

79:8

living [4] - 51:18, 61:18, 63:16, 98:7 LLC [12] - 1:4, 1:5, 1:22, 2:14, 2:17, 3:18, 4:4, 4:6, 4:7, 9:24, 10:7, 13:12 lobby [2] - 52:23, 52:24 local [6] - 58:20, 71:5, 104:16, 104:17, 104:18, 105:2 locally [1] - 98:2 located [2] - 10:5, 10:13 location [5] - 23:17, 52:20, 78:11, 88:11, 97:12 locations [5] - 20:3, 63:23, 76:19, 78:23, 91:9 lodging [1] - 92:15 long-stay [1] - 78:7 long-term [1] - 35:20 look [16] - 8:23, 20:20, 22:17, 41:22, 42:3, 42:7, 50:14, 54:14, 54:17, 54:20, 80:1, 83:13, 87:10, 93:13, 99:6 looked [4] - 20:5, 38:25, 62:1, 78:18 looking [15] - 15:23, 30:18, 32:17, 34:19, 41:12, 48:24, 70:11, 70:13, 73:21, 82:24, 91:21, 108:6, 108:13, 108:14, 108:15 looks [4] - 22:4, 43:13, 51:12, 99:7 loose [1] - 73:24 lost [2] - 82:16, 90:6 LOT [1] - 1:5 lounge [2] - 51:17, 52:7 iove [2] - 104:9, 104:20 low [4] - 67:14, 76:9, 76:21, 84:19 lower [1] - 69:14 lower-end [1] - 69:14 luck [1] - 61:2

М

M-C-K-A-Y [1] - 18:3 macaroni [1] - 50:7 magnitude [1] - 27:3 Magura [1] - 7:14 MAGURA [6] - 2:6, 7:15, 16:8, 63:10, 63:19, 75:5 mail [2] - 92:21, 92:24 Mainstay [1] - 49:24 maintain [2] - 100:8, 100:24 maintained [3] -100:17, 100:18, 101:16 maintaining [1] -51:9 maintenance [1] -55:13 major [3] - 51:20, 86:24, 96:4 MAJOR #1 - 1:7 maker [1] - 21:10 mall [2] - 60:10, 61:19 management [3] -36:8, 68:13, 79:6 manager [4] - 55:12, 69:7, 69:8 manager's [1] - 76:20 maps [1] - 10:11 MARK [1] - 2:13 market [13] - 12:17, 18:13, 19:24, 20:1, 70:14, 71:1, 78:8, 78:13, 83:12, 83:13, 85:5, 85:17, 91:18 marketability [1] -68:7 markets [1] - 78:17 Marriott [6] - 11:6, 35:8, 60:10, 61:18, 67:24, 77:7 Marshal (1) - 75:9 match [1] - 21:24 material [1] - 14:5 materials [7] - 10:21, 14:1, 14:9, 20:21, 21:25, 37:3, 54:3 matter [1] - 33:1 matters [1] - 39:24 MAURIZIO [1] - 2:2 maximum [2] - 58:17, 59:21 Mayor [1] - 7:8 McDonald's [2] -56:19, 56:21 McKay [22] - 13:15, 17:6, 18:1, 18:3, 18:11, 18:14, 19:6, 21:5, 29:22, 43:8, 48:20, 54:1, 57:14, 67:15, 72:6, 82:11, 82:15, 82:20, 83:5, 99:9, 99:21 MCKAY [1] - 4:4 McKay's [1] - 23:7 mean [23] - 22:5, 31:20, 38:24,

48:25, 56:23,

58:17, 59:2, 59:6,

60:23, 61:4, 61:11,

62:10, 62:11, 67:4,

67:5, 72:9, 73:7, 74:18, 84:6, 84:8, 98:1, 100:12 means [2] - 62:21, 100:13 measure [1] - 101:3 measures [1] - 85:21 meet [1] - 53:6 Meeting [1] - 94:8 meeting [10] - 6:3, 6:4, 6:21, 48:21, 65:15, 77:11, 94:13, 95:6, 95:15, 110:10 **MEETING** [1] - 1:3 meetings [1] - 96:4 Meetings [1] - 6:6 meets [2] - 56:16, 56:25 member [1] - 63:9 MEMBER [28] - 7:15, 7:20, 7:22, 16:5, 16:8, 27:9, 28:4, 28:13, 28:24, 29:2, 29:5, 63:10, 63:19, 64:9, 64:22, 65:3, 65:6, 65:11, 65:14, 65:17, 75:5, 79:15, 79:20, 80:10, 80:15, 80:23, 81:5, 104:16 MEMBERS [3] - 2:1, 16:10, 16:21 members [8] - 9:18, 16:18, 33:9, 88:4, 93:6, 95:19, 96:4, 96:22 memo [2] - 12:19, 14:8 memories [1] - 75:12 mention [2] - 14:19, 102:11 mentioned [7] -26:18, 50:17, 69:6, 75:6, 85:16, 97:3, 99:22 Mercedes [1] - 29:15 met [2] - 25:21, 40:4 metrics [1] - 85:17 Michael [1] - 10:3 MICHAEL [2] - 2:5, 3:3 microphone [1] -82:14 microwave [1] - 50:6 mid [2] - 50:22 mid-tier [2] - 50:22 middle [2] - 31:4, 69:22 midnight [1] - 102:9 midweek [2] - 87:14, 87:15 might [13] - 40:8, 45:20, 65:22,

66:19, 72:6, 76:14,

76:15, 78:6, 82:10, 95:13, 99:19, 102:11, 108:4 military [2] - 52:2, 83:17 million [2] - 50:11, 87:10 Milistone [1] - 1:24 mimic [3] - 54:14, 54:16, 54:24 mimics [1] - 22:25 mind [1] - 77:24 minimum [2] - 11:18, 11:20 minor [2] - 26:22, 41:2 minute [3] - 15:24, 76:17, 94:3 mirrored [1] - 40:9 mislead [1] - 38:9 mistaken [1] - 94:7 mistakes [1] - 27:14 MITCH [9] - 43:9, 43:19, 43:23, 44:1, 96:25, 97:18, 98:7, 98:11, 98:21 Mitch [2] - 43:9, 96:25 Mitchel [1] - 4:21 mix [2] - 76:2, 85:25 MLUL [1] - 93:24 model [2] - 66:12, 77:10 models [1] - 83:1 modification [1] -75:7 Moench [1] - 7:8 moment [1] - 97:7 money [2] - 64:3, 81:23 month [3] - 32:15, 59:3, 71:12 months [5] - 25:19, 49:2, 59:5, 70:21, 107:23 morning [4] - 40:20, 52:4, 52:25, 69:11 most [8] - 29:20, 39:15, 60:1, 74:1, 75:4, 91:13, 93:11, 108:6 mostly [1] - 87:2 motels [2] - 57:5, 83:25 motion [2] - 16:4, 16:5 Mountain [1] - 3:4 move [6] - 21:2, 25:13, 34:21, 49:17, 62:21, 63:9 moved [1] - 25:2 moving [6] - 9:23, 31:11, 32:22, 32:25, 33:2, 41:4

msilbert@
newjerseylaw.net
[1] - 3:6
multiple [2] - 69:9,
98:13
municipal [1] - 88:9
MUNICIPAL [1] - 1:10
Municipal [1] - 6:10
municipalities [4] 58:21, 58:23, 59:1,
64:1
must [1] - 108:9

Ν

N.J.S.A [1] - 6:6 NAME [1] - 4:17 name [8] - 10:3, 19:5, 26:24, 28:12, 33:17, 79:13, 89:18, 89:21 names [1] - 18:1 NANCY [1] - 2:18 Nancy [3] - 40:25, 95:2, 109:13 nationally [2] - 79:18, 84:3 nature [3] - 20:19, 26:12, 35:19 near [2] - 83:2, 103:24 nearby [1] - 80:25 necessarily [1] - 52:1 necessary [4] - 6:25, 8:21, 81:17, 97:21 need [16] - 14:24, 15:6, 16:4, 16:7, 25:23, 26:6, 31:10, 32:5, 32:12, 37:16, 37:23, 44:17, 49:3, 52:15, 81:7, 97:13 needed [2] - 55:16, 66:18 needs [2] - 9:11, 68:14 neighborhood [1] ~ 22:18 neighboring [1] -99:12 neighborly [1] -105:10 never [4] - 39:13, 75:22, 86:6, 87:21 New [9] - 1:24, 3:4, 33:21, 53:6, 59:15, 61:20, 63:15, 88:12, 111:4 new [24] - 6:12, 6:13, 9:2, 9:7, 24:1, 25:4, 26:8, 27:9, 28:4, 31:5, 36:6, 36:11, 44:13, 44:21, 44:25, 68:2, 77:10, 84:11, 84:12,

91:18, 95:18, 96:4,

96:14, 96:16

NEW [2] - 1:2, 1:11

newer [2] - 36:6,
36:15

News [1] - 6:7

next [18] - 8:11, 9:17,
9:23, 24:8, 28:14,
34:5, 34:8, 34:11,
35:13, 44:7, 81:3,
88:25, 90:17, 91:4,
91:6, 92:4, 107:3,
108:24

nice [2] - 50:14, 99:15

nice [2] - 50:14, 99:15 night [11] - 52:4, 69:22, 71:11, 75:24, 76:5, 76:15, 85:3, 85:5, 85:7, 95:13

nights [9] - 49:17, 53:20, 53:21, 58:13, 70:4, 71:11, 75:20, 75:23, 76:8

nine [1] - 32:15 nine-month [1] -32:15 NIREN [1] - 2:4

NJ [1] - 111:23 NO [2] - 1:8, 5:3 no-brainer [3] - 81:2, 86:13, 86:23

non [1] - 13:1 non-compliant [1] -

none [3] ~ 24:5, 67:17, 97:14 None [1] ~ 5:7

normally [1] - 54:13 North [1] - 49:11 Notary [2] - 111:3, 111:24

note [2] - 36:19, 99:9 noted [3] - 12:19, 16:16, 110:12

notes [1] - 91:22 nothing [3] - 17:22, 68:18, 111:8

Nothing (1) - 29:6 notice (25) - 6:4, 6:7, 6:20, 6:24, 15:5, 37:7, 38:17, 38:19,

38:25, 39:1, 39:3, 42:3, 75:11, 90:4, 92:19, 92:21, 92:22, 93:5, 93:6,

93:13, 93:15, 94:4, 94:12, 96:14, 96:16

noticed [1] - 63:11 **notices** [2] - 39:13, 94:14

notified [1] - 39:16 notifying [1] - 39:20 November [2] -

12:20, 92:22 number [14] - 11:9, 12:10, 12:11, 27:17, 33:23, 42:1, 43:17, 51:2, 51:10, 52:14, 55:5, 64:17, 89:6 numbers [1] - 85:6 nurse [1] - 59:3 nurses [5] - 49:1, 52:3, 70:19, 78:7, 83:17

0

O'B [1] - 47:13 O'BRIEN [68] - 4:5, 18:6, 46:15, 46:22, 48:5, 48:9, 50:21, 51:2, 53:15, 55:14, 58:14, 58:19, 60:11, 60:16, 60:23, 63:6, 63:17, 63:22, 64:21, 65:1, 65:15, 69:13, 69:20, 69:25, 70:15, 71:23, 72:4, 72:8, 72:14, 72:17, 73:1, 73:5, 74:1, 75:13, 76:10, 76:23, 77:20, 78:4, 78:12, 78:17, 78:25, 79:3, 79:7, 79:10, 79:17, 80:8, 80:14, 80:20, 81:1, 81:20, 83:21, 84:18, 84:25, 85:4, 85:10, 85:22, 87:5, 89:16, 97:10, 97:24, 98:9, 98:19, 102:19, 102:24, 103:6, 103:11, 103:15, 104:2 O'Brien [8] - 13:11, 15:19, 17:3, 18:6, 46:15, 47:23, 85:15, 102:1 o'clock |2| - 8:14, 8:22 O-B-R-I-E-N [1] - 18:7 objections [1] - 93:17 obligation [1] - 81:16 obtain [1] - 81:10 obvious [1] - 70:17 obviously [12] -20:18, 26:1, 48:6, 70:15, 73:7, 75:2, 76:11, 80:11, 82:2, 84:12, 91:11, 97:17 Obviously [1] - 24:5 occupancy [5] - 60:6, 78:2, 84:17, 84:20, 92:11 occur [1] - 32:5 occurred [1] - 30:22 occurs [2] - 30:6,

107:24

October [1] - 19:13 OF [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:17 offer [1] - 109:12 office [1] - 87:11 officer [2] - 97:4, 101:9 official [1] - 38:2 officials [1] - 64:3 often [1] - 60:24 oil [2] - 72:23, 102:9 old [6] - 23:25, 25:8, 28:7, 29:14, 29:15, 36:9 older [1] - 83:24 on-site [7] - 53:17. 69:12, 69:16, 97:4, 97:5, 97:12, 107:4 on.. [1] - 73:9 once [3] - 75:10, 82:21, 97:1 one [61] - 8:13, 8:17, 12:4, 12:24, 13:23, 14:11, 27:14, 29:3, 31:10, 31:24, 31:25, 33:3, 34:21, 36:21, 43:14, 44:22, 48:16, 49:17, 51:2, 51:6, 52:22, 53:2, 53:4, 55:2, 55:3, 56:4, 59:4, 61:2, 62:12, 71:11, 75:15, 76:5, 76:8, 76:15, 77:25, 78:1, 78:12, 78:15, 78:23, 79:8, 79:12, 80:10, 82:7, 82:8, 83:24, 87:24, 88:14, 91:2, 92:3, 92:17, 95:12, 96:1, 97:7, 101:21, 102:10, 105:4, 106:12, 107:21, 108:5, 109:17, 109:18 one-bedroom [1] -78:1 one-night [1] - 76:15 ones [1] - 53:23 onesie [1] - 76:2 online [2] - 109:1 oops [1] - 101:4 Open [3] - 6:5, 29:15, 109:6 open [7] - 56:1, 66:7, 74:18, 76:21, 76:22, 88:3, 105:25 open-ended [1] -74:18 opening [5] - 21:5, 54:2, 94:10, 99:7, 100:14 operate [6] - 19:8,

20:15, 39:6, 59:13,

63:24, 97:14

operating [2] - 74:15, 80:13 operation [1] - 55:15 operational [1] -15:16 operator [3] - 13:9, 63:4, 80:12 operator's [1] - 74:24 opinion [4] - 22:22, 45:14, 50:18, 50:20 opportunity [5] -24:8, 33:14, 44:19, 60:4, 93:18 order [2] - 38:18, 110:4 ordinance [6] - 68:18, 69:3, 88:9, 92:5, 95:10, 101:8 ordinarily [1] - 37:21 organization [1] -20:12 original [10] - 11:8, 12:5, 13:5, 13:21, 14:11, 27:5, 28:11, 30:4, 106:9, 106:20 originally [2] - 21:24, 34:24 otherwise [1] - 68:22 ought [1] - 69:1 ourseives [1] - 74:11 out-of-towners [5] -105:6, 105:7, 106:4, 106:5, 109:24 outliers [1] - 75:21 outside [6] - 20:20, 43:3, 52:5, 70:11, 71:20, 102:20 overall [3] - 12:2, 48:15, 89:14 overnight [1] - 69:10 own [5] - 19:8, 30:3, 49:4, 74:13, 97:14 owner [3] - 13:18, 17:9, 74:25 owners [2] - 80:14, 101:24 ownership [2] - 30:6, 68:12 owns [1] - 74:14

P

P.E [2] - 2:15, 3:18 p.m [9] - 6:13, 6:14, 8:8, 16:14, 16:16, 94:8, 94:9, 104:11, 110:12 P.M [1] - 1:15 P.P [1] - 2:16 packet [2] - 41:23, 42:7 pad [2] - 29:17, 29:18 pads [1] - 108:5 PAGE [3] - 4:2, 4:17,

19:21, 30:23 paperwork [1] -102:12 Pappas [1] - 7:12 parallel (1) - 29:21 parcel [1] - 10:14 Park [8] - 4:6, 4:7, 13:9, 13:12, 17:4, 45:21, 46:23, 47:4 parking [8] - 11:18, 12:10, 72:12, 72:24, 90:3, 90:5, 90:6, 103:7 part [13] - 11:8, 13:5, 26:16, 27:24, 37:5, 41:22, 46:25, 48:10, 55:6, 76:2, 77:21, 79:4, 108:4 part-time [1] - 55:6 particular [2] - 56:15, 92:19 particularly [6] -12:17, 24:9, 27:10, 39:23, 91:17, 105:3 particulars [1] - 82:9 parties [1] - 111:15 parts (1) - 107:25 passed [1] - 64:20 past [4] - 9:8, 26:9, 30:22, 45:13 path [1] - 66:10 pay [1] - 53:24 PC [1] - 3:3 peak [1] - 55:10 PECK [38] - 2:13, 17:13, 17:18, 17:25, 18:8, 19:1, 38:19, 38:22, 39:8, 43:4, 45:2, 45:6, 46:12, 46:20, 56:8, 56:13, 56:17, 57:1, 61:12, 61:22, 67:3, 90:7, 90:10, 90:12, 90:19, 91:1, 92:6, 92:9, 92:14, 95:9, 95:16, 95:23, 96:18, 99:24, 100:15, 100:19, 102:17, 103:14 Peck [7] - 7:23, 38:17, 44:10, 56:5, 67:1, 88:13, 92:2 pending [1] - 32:11 people [22] - 8:18, 20:14, 31:4, 35:21, 37:8, 49:8, 49:13, 50:2, 50:3, 60:7, 63:12, 69:11, 70:19, 71:9, 75:21, 80:24, 81:22, 82:4, 83:18, 87:4, 88:10, 89:5

5:3

paid [1] - 63:12

pandemic [3] - 19:18,

per [4] - 51:19, 52:14, 85:2, 85:4 per-room [1] - 51:19 percent [6] - 20:1, 39:16, 39:17, 87:23, 87:24, 98:20 perfected [1] - 45:13 perhaps [4] - 15:24, 41:8, 41:10, 101:14 perimeter [2] -108:14, 108:16 period [7] - 53:19, 64:12, 70:16, 89:13, 92:22, 104:22, 107:23 periods [2] - 60:8, 63:21 permanent [1] -88:15 permit [4] - 47:8, 56:14, 71:24, 106:18 permits [3] - 56:18, 57:5, 75:8 permitted [5] - 10:17, 11:14, 32:7, 56:25, 61:13 Perrucci [1] - 2:14 person [5] - 8:13, 8:17, 58:12, 60:2, 61:17 personally [2] -42:19, 103:18 perspective [3] -30:13, 41:4, 70:12 pertain [1] - 36:7 pertains [2] - 10:20, 41:8 pertinent [1] - 96:23 pharmaceutical [1] -86:24 phase [2] - 91:4, 108:4 phases [1] - 108:3 phasing [2] - 108:7, 108:23 pick [1] - 47:5 piece [2] - 50:11, 86:24 pinpoint [1] - 71:2 pitched [1] - 54:13 place [11] - 24:20, 24:21, 49:3, 56:15, 88:9, 88:14, 89:8, 89:14, 103:22, 107:18, 111:12 places [1] - 53:7 Plainfield [3] - 78:23, 79:9, 79:10 PLAN [1] - 1:7 plan [17] - 11:24, 12:5, 13:22, 14:7, 14:10, 14:12,

14:19, 24:25,

25:23, 26:4, 34:20, 36:1, 37:22, 44:11, 45:4, 108:9 Plan [2] - 10:9, 11:3 plank [1] - 54:19 PLANNER [11] -25:17, 57:4, 59:22, 61:24, 62:14, 62:23, 83:9, 84:15, 84:21, 85:2, 85:12 Planner [1] - 2:16 PLANNING [1] - 1:1 Planning [4] - 2:17, 6:2, 6:11, 6:20 planning [1] - 34:24 plans [5] - 19:16, 32:13, 37:13, 47:20, 51:11 piea (1) - 70:7 please.. [1] - 55:24 pleasure [1] - 16:25 Pledge [1] - 7:3 plus [1] - 64:23 point [16] - 30:7, 41:15, 44:15, 44:22, 53:10, 60:19, 60:25, 67:14, 73:25, 74:3, 75:13, 75:19, 86:19, 98:22, 100:4, 107:22 pointed [2] - 51:14, 51:24 pointing [1] - 66:25 police [1] - 98:13 policies [4] - 72:16, 72:17, 72:19, 72:25 policy [4] - 8:12, 64:2, 73:12, 73:13 Policy [1] - 6:12 pool [7] - 48:20, 51:22, 53:11, 65:7, 65:8, 65:9, 77:10 population [1] - 89:2 portion [4] - 8:11, 40:23, 44:5, 104:5 portions [1] - 30:1 pose [1] - 46:4 poses [1] - 46:5 position [1] - 47:24 positioned [1] -29:21 possibility [1] - 94:6 possible [2] - 17:19, possibly [3] - 31:25, 32:1, 34:5 post [1] - 14:14 post-approval [1] -14:14 post-COVID-19[1] -12:18 posted [2] - 6:9, 8:5 postmarked [1] -

92.22 postpone [1] - 105:16 potential [2] - 69:2, 82:25 potentially [3] -60:20, 81:8, 94:5 Power [1] - 50:24 practice [1] - 80:21 Preliminary [2] -10:9, 11:3 PRELIMINARY [1] -1:7 prepare [1] - 94:15 prepared [3] - 13:22, 31:1, 34:2 prerogative [1] -74:24 PRESENT [2] - 2:1, present [2] - 7:24, 34:20 presenting [1] -34:21 President [3] - 4:4, 46:17, 47:4 president [6] - 4:5, 4:7, 13:16, 17:7, 33:19, 89:25 presidents [1] - 13:12 presumably [1] -107:18 presuming (2) -81:10, 81:11 pretty [3] - 34:17, 67:14, 76:9 previous [6] - 9:20, 10:25, 44:14, 45:16, 54:22, 102:2 previously (14) -11:2, 12:22, 12:25, 24:24, 26:14, 28:20, 28:22, 41:12, 45:4, 47:10, 47:13, 48:8, 54:4, 54:24 price [7] - 67:14, 84:22, 84:23, 84:25, 85:2, 85:4, 86:19 principal [2] - 11:17, 28:18 priority [1] - 31:17 privacy [1] - 24:13 problem (4) - 19:5, 46:5, 63:23, 69:18 problems (3) - 97:17, 98:6, 98:8 PROBST [14] - 2:18. 7:8. 7:12. 7:16. 7:21, 7:23, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19, 42:18, 42:24, 43:2, 95:4, 109:14 Probst [2] - 7:4, 8:2 procedure [1] - 95:5

proceed [1] - 7:25 proceeding [2] -38:18, 111:10 PROCEEDINGS [1] process [6] - 21:10, 31:2, 32:15, 39:14, 80:5, 81:14 product [9] - 48:22, 50:9, 51:3, 56:11, 56:12, 58:11, 75:3, 78:10, 86:19 Professional [1] -111:5 professional [4] -13:20, 14:8, 51:7 professionals [7] -25:22, 33:7, 36:5, 47:7, 55:23, 77:2, **PROFESSIONALS** [1] - 2:12 prohibit [5] - 68:18, 72:16, 72:17, 72:20 project [8] - 11:1, 13:21, 30:1, 31:11, 31:15, 32:8, 86:4, projects [3] - 49:7, 70:20, 81:9 promise [1] - 75:16 proofs [1] - 42:19 proper [5] - 6:7, 38:19, 39:1, 89:5, 101:10 properly [1] - 37:8 properties [6] -20:14, 61:7, 69:14, 79:4, 83:3, 97:14 property [42] - 10:10, 10:13, 10:18, 10:23, 17:8, 22:13, 35:7, 35:8, 37:2, 41:18, 50:14, 50:22, 50:23, 56:6, 57:10, 60:20, 60:21, 66:17, 68:7, 68:12, 73:22, 74:12, 74:21, 74:25, 75:1, 75:25, 76:8, 76:20, 77:8, 93:10, 93:11, 93:12, 97:18, 97:20, 98:23, 99:3, 99:6, 99:13, 101:24, 102:23, 107:8 propose [1] - 20:18 proposed [14] -10:22, 12:20, 15:3, 21:17, 21:18, 22:12, 26:17, 27:18, 27:20, 28:19, 52:13, 67:18, 67:21, 68:9

proposing [7] - 12:1, 22:25, 27:4, 27:16, 45:7, 45:8, 53:13 protect [3] - 88:20, 89:11, 103:25 protected [1] - 88:19 protects [1] - 88:10 prototype [1] - 54:13 provide [8] - 23:21, 43:24, 53:22, 55:5, 66:6, 94:3, 94:12, 107:1 provided [4] - 6:18, 39:13, 42:8, 42:13 provides [2] - 6:19, 18:17 providing [2] - 77:18, 88:18 PUBLIC [2] - 1:18, 4:16 Public [4] - 6:5, 109:6, 111:3, 111:24 public [13] - 6:12, 6:21, 9:18, 33:9, 39:3, 39:20, 44:4, 45:25, 48:1, 88:4, 96:22, 104:5, 106:1 pull [1] - 106:18 pulls [1] - 84:13 pun [1] - 30:17 purchased [1] - 30:3 purely [1] - 38:11 pursuant [2] - 6:17, 10:15 put [9] - 34:22, 63:14, 66:16, 76:18, 92:2, 101:7, 101:14, 101:15, 108:19 puts [1] - 37:10 putting [1] - 73:1

Q

quality [4] - 14:1, 50:18, 75:3, 84:24 questioning [1] -31:14 QUESTIONS [1] -4:16 questions (53) - 21:1, 22:8, 22:9, 23:6, 23:7, 23:11, 29:9, 29:12, 33:6, 33:13, 33:23, 34:3, 34:11, 38:14, 43:7, 43:13, 45:11, 45:24, 46:4, 47:19, 47:25, 48:1, 51:6, 55:22, 55:24, 56:2, 75:14, 77:3, 77:6, 83:10, 86:22, 88:1, 88:2, 88:5, 90:2, 90:17, 90:22, 91:6, 91:10, 91:13, 91:19, 91:24, 92:1,

93:5, 94:19, 96:22, 96:23, 97:9, 104:4, 104:23, 105:18, 106:2

quick [2] - 29:11, 77:5

quickly [5] - 9:19, 11:11, 62:1, 93:22, 99:20

quite [2] - 35:6, 42:25

quorum [1] - 7:25

R.I (4) - 1:4, 9:23,

10:6, 109:23

radar [1] - 19:15

radiator [1] - 73:6

raise [3] - 17:19,

91:23, 92:18

91:19

85:11

raising [2] - 91:17,

Ramsey [3] - 4:20,

ran [2] - 95:16, 96:3

range [2] - 84:22,

34:14, 88:7

rates [1] - 84:17 rather [1] - 36:10 re [1] - 58:25 re-check [1] - 58:25 reach [2] - 93:1, 101:24 read [1] - 37:11 ready [3] - 16:18, 31:3, 32:19 Real [1] - 46:17 real [6] - 12:16, 18:12, 50:12, 71:15, 85:23, 98:5 realize [1] - 44:17 really [21] - 20:24, 22:5, 27:2, 36:9, 44:12, 45:3, 45:14, 48:22, 50:14, 54:23, 61:4, 68:23, 71:2, 71:3, 71:8, 71:16, 76:13, 81:20, 86:4, 98:9, 108:17 reappear [1] - 44:19 rear [1] - 14:23 reason [6] - 57:7, 57:8, 57:9, 66:18, 68:20 reasonable [3] - 74:2, 101:10, 101:17 reasonably [3] - 23:1, 81:16, 83:2 receive [1] - 92:3 received [2] - 12:25, 28:22 recently [1] - 49:12 recess [1] - 16:14

recognition [1] ~ recognize [1] - 49:21 record [8] - 7:9, 10:3, 18:2, 19:4, 89:18, 89:21, 93:4, 96:14 records [1] - 109:6 rectified [1] - 40:11 rectify [1] - 38:1 red [1] - 24:1 reduce [1] - 12:1 reducing (1) - 51:8 reduction [4] - 12:8, 12:9, 28:1, 77:21 reenter [2] - 89:18, 89:21 reference [6] - 15:8, 27:18, 29:13, 81:7, 92:4 referenced [4] - 37:2, 37:4, 92:5, 96:11 referring [2] - 37:1, 54:10 refrigerator [1] - 50:5 refused [1] - 89:7 regard [2] - 31:18, 101:19 regarding [2] - 23:11, 92:19 regardless [1] - 86:19 Regional [3] - 46:16, 47:4 regional [1] - 13:11 Registered [1] -111:5 regular [2] - 50:3, 94:13 **REGULAR** [1] - 1:3 reiterate [1] - 33:12 related [5] - 13:17, 17:9, 27:3, 33:23, 107:16 relates [1] - 27:20 relationship (1) -81:4 relative [3] - 14:14, 111:14, 111:16 relatively [1] - 51:9 relief [4] - 12:22, 12:23, 15:6, 27:19 relitigate (1) - 45:16 remain [4] - 13:1, 13:6, 34:23 remaining [2] -109:18, 109:19 remains [1] - 24:19 remarks [2] - 54:2, 94:10 remedied [1] - 37:24 remember [2] - 59:9, 86:10

repaired [2] - 101:23,

repeat [2] - 21:13,

102:4

78:16 replacing [1] - 58:8 report [1] - 51:8 **REPORTED** [1] - 2:22 Reporter [2] - 111:4, Reporters [1] - 1:23 REPORTING [1] reports [1] - 51:7 reposted [2] - 40:11, 40:21 represent [2] - 12:21. 19:7 representation 151 -21:5, 21:11, 21:16, 54:6, 99:25 representations [2] -11:1, 100:1 representatives [3] -13:9, 25:20 represented [2] -17:2, 90:17 representing [1] -13:13 request [2] - 6:16, 109:6 requested [2] - 27:19, 36:12 requesting [1] -25:25 require [1] - 6:23 required [8] - 11:16, 11:18, 11:19, 11:21, 12:24, 28:17, 28:20, 96:14 requirement (4) -39:4, 59:2, 95:7, 100:7 requirements [7] -13:2, 24:24, 36:7, 36:16, 38:20, 92:20, 93:24 research [1] - 78:9 reservation [1] - 76:6 reserve [4] - 34:11, 35:13, 38:14, 79:25 residence [3] - 35:20, 61:23, 89:3 Residence [38] -11:6, 19:25, 20:2, 20:3, 20:4, 20:20, 21:22, 22:1, 35:6, 48:19, 49:22, 50:13, 51:15, 53:21, 54:14, 54:16, 54:24, 57:11, 57:13, 57:14, 57:15, 57:18, 57:22, 57:23, 58:5, 58:12, 60:3, 64:18, 64:20, 65.7, 67.22, 68.23,

72:7, 75:19, 75:22,

76:4, 77:17

residency [3] - 59:7, 59:10, 88:10 resident [6] - 34:15, 34:16, 43:11, 102:2, 103:17, 103:23 residential [3] -43:20, 54:14, 100:6 residents [12] -39:15, 41:17, 41:20, 42:1, 42:4, 88:21, 89:1, 89:12, 93:12, 97:19, 105:18, 110:5 resolution [14] - 22:9, 24:11, 26:4, 26:17, 27:5, 58:3, 64:20, 66:16, 92:3, 100:22, 101:15, 106:23, 108:22, 108:25 respect [5] - 14:23, 27:21, 41:7, 66:21, 73:18 respond [1] - 93:22 responding (1) -12:16 responsibility [1] -60:22 rest [4] - 30:18, 38:14, 106:13, 107:11 restaurant [3] -48:21, 52:6, 56:23 restaurants [1] -56:18 restriction [1] - 58:20 restrictions [1] -66:20 restroom [1] - 15:25 rests [1] - 73:11 result [2] - 12:8, 82:17 resulted [1] - 14:15 resume [1] - 16:19 retail [8] - 29:18, 31:16, 31:21, 81:18, 81:21, 86:1, 108:5 returning [1] - 11:23 review [4] - 12:19, 14:8, 26:3, 108:21 reviewed [2] - 22:23, 95:20 revisions [1] - 14:15 rise [1] - 7:1 Ritz [1] - 50:13 Ritz-Carlton [1] -50:13 road [2] - 49:16, 107:25 Road [1] - 29:15 Robert [1] - 4:23

roles [2] - 46:13

roll [1] - 7:5 roof [5] - 21:22, 21:23, 54:13, 54:15 room [10] - 8:16, 9:22, 51:12, 51:13, 51:19, 64:17, 68:4, 71:13, 76:11, 77:25 Room (2) - 62:21, 62:22 rooms [29] - 12:11, 25:12, 48:22, 51:10, 52:13, 52:17, 53:12, 64:17, 64:24, 65:2, 65:24, 66:2, 66:5, 66:19, 67:13, 67:16, 67:22, 67:23, 68:9, 68:16, 73:21, 75:6, 76:22, 77:7, 77:8, 77:11, 77:20, 77:23 rooms-only [1] -48:22 roughly [1] - 43:16 **ROUTE** [1] - 1:6 Route [6] - 10:1, 10:12, 11:15, 29:15, 29:21, 40:10 routinely [1] - 44:15 RPR [2] - 2:23, 111:23 rule [1] - 63:5 rules [1] - 73:24 run [3] - 59:7, 84:19, 87:22 running [1] - 87:24

S S-E-E-L-E-Y [1] -102:8 safety [1] - 97:23 safety/security [1] -98:17 sales [1] - 55:12 salespeople [1] -71:4 salute [1] - 7:1 SARMAD [12] - 2:16, 25:17, 57:4, 59:22, 61:24, 62:14, 62:23, 83:9, 84:15, 84:21, 85:2, 85:12 Sarmad [5] - 2:17, 7:24, 27:8, 29:9, 92:5 satisfaction [1] -93:18 satisfied [5] - 24:12, 38:17, 39:4, 39:8, 40:1 satisfy [1] - 76:6

saw [4] - 27:14,

runoff [1] - 36:8

runs [2] - 68:5, 99:11

27:17, 30:23, 30:24 scale [2] - 26:13, 51:16 scaled [1] - 26:19 schedule [1] - 95:15 school [3] - 61:6, 61:21, 62:17 second [7] - 16:7, 16:8, 46:25, 48:11, 66:14, 70:10, 86:4 secret [1] - 30:10 secretary [1] - 6:20 Section [2] - 10:15, 10:16 section [2] - 34:17, 92:15 security [10] - 52:24, 97:4, 97:11, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 102:11, 102:13, 102:15 see [16] - 9:18, 10:20, 23:24, 37:12, 60:7, 81:17, 81:18, 81:22, 83:2, 83:3, 93:23, 100:22, 104:10, 105:12, 108:19, 108:22 seeing [1] - 91:22 seek [4] - 11:23, 21:2, 53:16, 53:23 seeking [7] - 10:8, 13:3, 26:8, 28:16, 28:22, 30:4, 36:11 Seeley [4] - 4:22, 102:7, 103:1, 103:3 SEELEY [2] - 102:7, 102:22 seem [2] - 41:16, 73:16 segment [3] - 49:20, 50:21, 75:16 segue [2] - 34:5, 34:8 select [1] - 95:14 selected [1] - 35:3 self [1] - 81:24 self-funded [1] -81:24 sells [1] - 84:12

semi [2] - 30:12,

30:12, 30:13

send [1] - 37:7

sense [2] - 62:15,

September [2] -

14:13, 14:20

86:16, 88:18

set [1] - 111:12

Service [1] - 42:9

services [3] - 6:23,

sent [3] - 6:7, 92:21,

semi-completed [2] -

30:13

97:19

93:6

setback [2] - 11:15, 14:23 seven [3] - 6:24, 62:3, 64:21 several [4] - 12:3, 19:11, 55:16, 56:4 shafts [2] - 53:4 shall [2] - 100:16, 100:17 sharing [1] - 80:6 sheet [3] - 14:12, 15:5, 52:15 shift [3] - 55:10, 55:11, 57:24 shifted [2] - 25:2, 25:6 shifts [1] - 55:6 shooting [1] - 59:8 shoots [1] - 103:6 shops [1] - 52:7 short [1] - 50:9 shower [1] - 51:17 shown [4] - 10:10, 55:9, 108:9, 108:11 shows [2] - 27:12, 81:13 shrinking [1] - 77:16 shut [1] - 19:22 side [3] - 8:24, 36:18, 40:24 sign [1] - 22:5 significant [1] - 58:4 significantly [1] -77:17 Sikora [1] - 7:17 **SILBERT** [91] - 3:3, 9:16, 10:2, 16:2, 16:11, 16:24, 17:15, 18:9, 21:4, 21:15, 22:7, 22:21, 23:3, 23:23, 27:13, 28:6, 28:15, 29:1, 29:4, 36:17, 36:25, 37:19, 38:7, 38:11, 39:11, 40:5, 40:22, 41:24, 42:2, 42:8, 42:12, 42:16, 44:8, 45:5, 45:17, 46:9, 47:18, 47:23, 48:6, 50:16, 51:5, 52:11, 52:19, 53:9, 53:25, 54:8, 54:21, 55:1, 55:21, 57:12, 57:19, 57:25, 61:14, 66:13, 67:17, 68:1, 68:17, 69:4, 74:20, 74:23, 90:9, 91:7, 91:25, 92:8, 92:12, 92:16, 93:21, 94:22, 94:25, 95:25, 96:8, 96:19, 97:7, 100:3, 100:21, 101:6, 102:3, 103:2, 104:7, 104:12,

104:18, 106:17, 107:14, 108:2, 108:20, 109:2, 109:5, 109:8, 109:15, 109:22, 110:7 Silbert [8] - 10:3, 16:22, 23:10, 44:6, 56:1, 64:19, 104:6, 109:11 Silbert's [1] - 42:19 Silver [8] - 4:6, 4:7, 13:10, 13:12, 17:4, 45:21, 46:23, 47:4 similar [7] - 20:18, 21:18, 21:20, 26:12, 51:15, 54:4, similarly [1] - 59:13 simpler [1] - 65:19 simply [1] - 108:1 single [2] - 10:14, 78:2 single-occupancy [1] - 78:2 SITE [1] - 1:7 Site [2] - 10:9, 11:3 site [43] - 11:24, 12:5, 13:22, 14:7, 14:10, 14:12, 14:19, 19:11, 20:6, 24:25, 25:23, 29:14, 29:21, 30:10, 30:12, 30:18, 30:19, 31:8, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 37:22, 44:11, 45:9, 47:6, 53:17, 69:12, 69:16, 87:10, 90:13, 97:4, 97:5, 97:12, 98:8, 102:13, 106:13, 106:19, 107:4, 107:5, 107:25, 108:9, 108:17 sites [3] - 29:18, 46:18, 98:12 sits [1] - 25.7 sitting [2] - 73:8, 82:5 situation [8] - 41:11, 66:9, 67:12, 68:2, 71:18, 72:21, 96:3, 97:16 six [5] - 24:7, 49:2, 59:3, 59:5, 70:21 six-month [1] - 59:3 size [10] - 10:15, 12:2, 12:21, 26:13, 51:9, 65:4, 68:4, 77:13 skyrocketed [1] -87:16 slated [1] - 96:12 sleeping [1] - 51:17

slight [1] - 51:10

slightly [1] - 12:11 smack [1] - 31:4 smack-dab [1] - 31:4 small [3] - 50:4, 50:5, 52:24 smaller [10] - 20:22, 22:4, 23:16, 25:10, 25:12, 26:14, 51:16, 51:18, 77:20, 85:25 snap [1] - 81:12 soccer [1] - 87:17 sold [1] - 74:11 solution [1] - 45:20 someone [4] - 58:17, 69:16, 84:1, 97:5 **SOMERSET** [1] - 1:2 something's [1] -82:4 sometime [1] - 79:22 sometimes [1] -69:14 Sometimes [1] -86:21 somewhat [1] - 30:19 somewhere [2] -80:24, 85:10 son [1] - 64:11 sorry [13] - 9:4, 15:11, 17:8, 25:17, 31:13, 46:22, 53:4, 57:4, 72:10, 79:11, 85:2, 90:10, 97:10 sort [2] - 20:24, 81:2 sounds [2] - 74:13, 76:5 South [4] - 78:23, 79:7, 79:9, 79:10 southerly [1] - 29:20 southern (1) - 93:9 southernmost [1] -10:22 space [7] - 14:3, 48:21, 51:21, 53:13, 53:14, 53:16, 65:16 spaces [4] - 12:10, 90:4, 90:5, 90:6 speaking (1) - 97:2 special [3] - 6:23, 66:20, 68:21 Special [1] - 94:8 specific [10] - 33:24, 33:25, 57:10, 76:19, 85:20, 87:8, 92:4, 107:16, 108:12 specifically [7] -10:20, 11:25, 26:16, 52:12, 85:20, 87:2, 101:8 specifics [1] - 23:19 specify [1] - 100:15 spell [1] - 18:1

spelled [1] - 48:19 spend [1] - 81:23 spirit [1] - 25:5 sports [1] - 87:18 springboard [1] -30:17 SpringHill [15] -11:13, 15:11. 15:12, 27:22, 28:3. 31:16, 31:20, 32:7, 32:18, 80:6, 80:11, 81:18, 82:9, 108:4, 108:10 square [3] - 51:20, 77:22, 87:11 stacked [1] - 54:20 stacked-stone [1] -54:20 STAFF [1] - 2:12 staff [1] - 97:3 staffing [1] - 69:6 stage [1] - 33:13 stair [1] - 53:4 stairwells [1] - 52:21 stake [1] - 29:25 stand [2] - 17:19, 24:6 standard [3] - 56:11, 59:16, 59:20 standards [1] - 74:16 standpoint [6] -12:16, 12:23, 14:5, 32:22, 38:25, 62:15 Stanford [1] - 42:23 Star [1] - 6:8 Star-Ledger [1] - 6:8 start [11] - 15:16, 31:1, 32:6, 32:16, 48:3, 67:12, 68:14, 94:8, 106:24, 107:9 started [4] - 20:7, 31:3, 32:8, 87:22 starting [2] - 17:25, 87:9 STATE[1] - 1:2 state [3] - 18:1, 89:6, 98:24 State [2] - 61:20, 111:4 states [2] - 40:17, 88:13 States [3] - 19:9, 19:12, 91:10 status [1] - 30:20 statutory [2] - 38:20, 39:4 Stay [1] - 48:13 stay [56] - 24:21, 35:21, 48:10, 48:16, 48:17, 49:3, 49:5, 49:16, 49:19, 50:1, 50:3, 50:4, 50:6, 51:3, 53:20,

56:12, 57:10,

57:13, 57:16, 57:18, 57:22, 58:5, 58:6, 58:9, 58:17, 58:18, 58:22, 58:24, 59:5, 59:21, 60:1, 60:2, 60:6, 60:7, 60:8, 60:10, 62:2, 62:11, 64:14, 68:21, 70:16, 71:6, 71:10, 71:12, 76:15, 76:16, 78:7, 80:3, 83:23, 83:24, 84:1, 84:9, 87:8, 88:8, 92:15 stayed [4] - 63:11, 64:11, 75:22, 76:5 staying [11] - 49:1, 49:13, 50:2, 53:19, 61:2, 62:3, 70:25, 84:2, 88:22, 89:9, 110:1 stays [6] - 48:23, 50:17, 66:16, 71:19, 76:21, 89:13 Steinhardt [1] - 2:14 stenographically [1] - 111:11 STENOGRAPHICAL LY [1] - 2:22 step [3] - 31:24, 31:25, 35:6 still [13] - 12:23, 13:1, 20:6, 22:14, 24:12, 38:18, 49:3, 53:14, 70:24, 101:23, 101:25, 107:12, 109:13 stipulate [2] - 66:4, 89:12 stipulations [1] -99:14 Stires [1] - 3:18 stolen [1] - 103:20 stone [1] - 54:20 storm [2] - 100:9, 101:4 storms [1] - 101:21 stormwater [3] -36:7, 36:8, 45:10 stormwater-type [1] -45:10 story [2] - 20:19, 74:5 Street [3] - 4:20, 34:15, 88:7 street [1] ~ 52:6 streets [2] - 41:21, 42:23 stress [1] - 13:23 stringing [1] - 67:13 strong [5] - 21:6, 54:23, 85:16, 85:18, 86:2 stronger [1] - 86:11

strongest [2] - 78:13,

78:17

structural [1] - 75:7 structure [3] - 11:20, 30:6, 75:8 studies [1] - 78:13 style [1] - 54:3 subject [9] - 11:3, 25:25, 36:6, 36:15, 37:1, 37:4, 38:17, 38:23, 93:9 subjected [1] -103:18 submission [2] -38:23, 42:14 submit (1) - 109:5 submitted [4] - 36:22, 37:10, 37:18, 54:10 subsequent [1] -96:21 substantially [1] -54:4 suburban [1] - 63:23 sufficient [1] - 39:5 suggesting [1] ~ 37:16 suggestion [1] -105:8 Suites [30] - 11:13, 13:13, 15:3, 15:9, 15:11, 15:13, 15:18, 27:22, 29:24, 34:1, 35:14, 48:4, 49:23, 49:24, 54:9, 55:7, 60:4, 64:5, 66:19, 66:21, 68:20, 70:13, 71:19, 75:2, 80:6, 80:11, 86:8, 103:4, 103:9 support [1] - 86:16 Suppose [1] - 80:10 supposed [1] - 62:24 surrounding [2] ~ 78:9, 102:16 surveillance [2] -102:13, 103:22 surveilled [1] - 103:9 swear [6] - 15:20, 17:10, 17:11, 17:13, 17:16, 17:20 sworn [4] - 18:17, 47:10, 47:13, 111:7 system [2] - 62:17, 79:4 systems [1] - 102:13 Т

table [3] - 8:25, 15:5, 27:15 tactic [1] - 94:2 tape [1] - 95:20 target [4] - 70:14, 71:3, 71:9, 84:16 tax [2] - 10:11, 42:13 team [2] - 20:14,

teamed [2] - 20:11, 35:15 teams [2] - 87:17, 87:18 technical [2] - 12:23, 62:14 teens [1] - 86:6 Tel [1] - 1:24 telegraph [1] - 24:3 ten [1] - 62:4 tenant [1] - 88:17 tenants [2] - 82:17, 102:15 tend [3] - 55:15, 55:17, 67:4 term [5] - 35:20, 59:9, 60:6, 85:18, 89:5 terms [5] - 14:1, 31:17, 31:19, 50:18, 59:17 Terrace [1] - 1:23 terrain [1] - 86:18 terrific [1] - 43:6 Terry [9] - 13:10, 15:19, 17:3, 18:6, 20:11, 46:15, 47:5, 51:14, 51:24 TERRY [68] - 4:5, 18:6, 46:15, 46:22, 48:5, 48:9, 50:21, 51:2, 53:15, 55:14, 58:14, 58:19, 60:11, 60:16, 60:23, 63:6, 63:17, 63:22, 64:21, 65:1, 65:15, 69:13, 69:20, 69:25, 70:15, 71:23, 72:4, 72:8, 72:14, 72:17, 73:1, 73:5, 74:1, 75:13, 76:10, 76:23, 77:20, 78:4, 78:12, 78:17, 78:25, 79:3, 79:7, 79:10, 79:17, 80:8, 80:14, 80:20, 81:1, 81:20, 83:21, 84:18, 84:25, 85:4, 85:10, 85:22, 87:5, 89:16, 97:10, 97:24, 98:9, 98:19, 102:19, 102:24, 103:6, 103:11, 103:15, 104:2 testified [2] - 15:14, 54:1 testifies [2] - 47:10, 47:13 testify [3] - 67:19, 107:3, 111:7 testimony [24] - 6:13, 9:2, 9:7, 15:16,

17:21, 18:18,

22:10, 23:8, 23:12,

33:10, 43:15, 54:5, 56:2, 58:12, 77:3, 81:7, 88:5, 96:23, 104:22, 105:13, 105:14, 105:19, 106:2, 109:25 thankfully [1] - 31:7 Thanksgiving [2] -92:23, 94:2 THARALDSON [1] -1:5 Tharaldson [7] - 4:4, 9:25, 13:16, 17:6, 19:6, 19:7, 29:23 THE [27] - 18:22, 19:5, 21:13, 21:20, 22:16, 23:2, 23:18, 23:25, 24:22, 25:6, 25:10, 28:10, 30:2, 30:21, 31:18, 32:2, 32:20, 32:24, 34:4, 34:7, 35:12, 35:18, 35:23, 36:2, 43:18, 43:21, 43:25 themselves [3] -77:12, 77:15, 77:16 theoretically [1] -61:20 theory [1] - 61:22 they've [3] - 26:18, 45:13, 75:21 third [1] - 96:12 thorough [2] - 26:3, 39:21 three [22] - 13:7, 13:8, 15:24, 29:17, 30:14, 33:1, 49:2, 50:24, 52:18, 53:21, 58:13, 66:8, 67:13, 74:4, 75:19, 75:24, 76:8, 79:24, 90:5, 109:16, 109:20 three-bedroom [1] -66:8 threesie [1] - 76:2 thriving [1] - 88:25 throughout [1] - 91:9 thrown [2] - 71:18, 71:25 ticket [1] - 80:2 tied [1] - 32:3 tier [2] - 50:22 tightened [1] - 77:19 timely [1] - 45:20 timing [4] - 31:20. 32:5, 106:10, 106:16 timing-wise [1] - 32:5 tip [1] - 31:10 Tipton [1] - 2:14 tired [1] - 105:1 tiresomely [1] - 22:17 title [1] - 19:2

to.. [1] - 42:25

today [4] - 22:15, 22:25, 76:18, 86:25 today's [1] - 19:17 together [4] - 17:17, 20:25, 66:16, 67:13 toilet [1] - 69:21 tonight [11] - 8:15, 10:5, 11:22, 26:7, 29:19, 34:16, 70:5, 91:9, 95:21, 104:21, 109:25 took [1] - 94:15 top [1] - 50:5 tossed [1] - 19:3 total (1) - 77:21 totally [2] - 37:23, 41:6 touch [1] - 21:12 tow [2] - 74:6, 74:8 town [3] ~ 30:18, 71:6, 79:14 TownePlace [1] -49:23 towners [5] - 105:6, 105:7, 106:4, 106:5, 109:24 township [8] - 10:16, 25:22, 31:7, 32:13, 36:5, 37:10, 63:13, 88:20 Township [8] - 1:24, 2:15, 2:15, 2:18, 6:2, 6:9, 10:5, 22:19 **TOWNSHIP** [1] - 1:1 township's [2] -10:11, 13:2 traditionally [1] -57:15 train [2] - 52:2, 55:18 transcriber [1] - 6:24 transcript [2] - 95:20, 111:10 TRANSCRIPT [1] -1:17 transferring [1] - 36:9 transient [1] - 89:2 transients [1] - 62:2 transition [1] - 30:19 transmittal [1] - 40:9 transparency [2] -39:7, 41:8 transparent [1] - 40:3 travel [1] - 79:23 traveled [3] - 8:19, 9:3, 63:10 traveling [5] - 49:1, 59:3, 70:19, 87:18, 91:8 treat [2] - 44:24, 44:25 treated [1] - 44:12 tree [2] - 101:19, 101:21

trees [1] - 24:14 tremendous [1] -58:1 trends [1] - 12:17 trespass [1] - 74:25 tried [1] - 101:23 trigger (3) - 81:8. 81:17, 82:4 triggers [1] - 59:16 true [3] - 26:11, 100:5, 111:10 Trustees [1] - 89:25 trustees [1] - 33:19 truth [5] - 17:22, 17:23, 111:7, 111:8 try [5] - 46:7, 52:8, 71:5, 91:11, 98:2 trying [13] - 9:13, 18:24, 22:6, 24:17, 25:5, 28:10, 34:20, 38:8, 45:18, 75:2, 76:24, 84:7, 89:2 TUESDAY [1] - 1:14 Tuesday [1] - 6:3 turn [1] - 23:4 turned [1] - 87:15 turning [1] - 51:11 two [24] - 13:8, 27:14, 29:3, 29:11, 29:16, 32:18, 45:20, 49:17, 50:5, 53:3, 53:4, 66:8, 71:11, 75:23, 78:2, 79:21, 79:24, 80:25, 82:25, 88:24, 90:17, 96:1, 104:5 two-bedrooms [1] -78:2 two-top [1] - 50:5 twosie [1] - 76:2 type [7] - 45:10, 56:10, 61:3, 71:21, 77:25, 84:17, 103:21 typical [9] - 49:15, 51:12, 51:13, 55:6, 70:12, 75:25, 77:24, 78:6 typically [9] - 9:2, 9:6, 55:9, 69:7, 69:11, 81:21, 84:19, 97:12, 98:1 Typically [1] - 84:11 typo [6] - 14:21, 14:23, 38:12, 38:22, 40:8, 40:9 typographical [1] -41:2 U

ultimately [2] - 12:14, uncertainty 🗐 -

98:17

105:9

82:19

unchanged [1] - 13:6 uncommon [1] - 41:1 under [12] - 10:14, 13:3, 26:25, 28:17, 48:13, 57:11, 57:13, 66:6, 68:12, 79:18, 93:23, 97:22 underhanded [2] -94:3, 94:11 underserved [1] -84:4 understood [5] -27:6, 33:16, 38:7, 40:5, 90:16 unfortunate [1] -38:24 unfortunately [2] -19:23, 72:5 unfounded [1] -67:11 unhoused [1] - 89:5 unique [2] - 61:15, 97:19 UNISON [3] - 16:10, 16:21, 17:24 unit [4] - 13:18, 107:16, 108:8, 108:12 Unit [7] - 10:21, 11:7, 11:25, 13:14, 103:5, 107:17, 107:19 United [3] - 19:9, 19:12, 91:10 units [6] - 10:18, 47:2, 48:12, 77:15, 77:16, 107:21 unknown [1] - 30:7 unless [1] - 58:20 unusual [1] - 66:20 up [34] - 8:12, 15:19, 17:5, 18:11, 19:25, 20:11, 20:14, 30:9, 35:15, 43:20, 47:5, 50:7, 61:9, 63:14, 76:21, 77:19, 79:13, 79:25, 80:17, 81:13, 86:5, 88:4, 90:20, 97:2, 102:2, 103:17, 103:24, 104:9, 105:25, 106:10, 106:11, 106:14, 106:15, 108:19 update [1] - 40:14 upkeep [1] - 101:9 user [5] - 11:24, 13:14, 48:8, 66:18, 68:3 users [2] - 81:21, 82:22 utilize [1] - 52:5 utmost [2] - 97:23,

vacation [1] - 52:1 vandalized [1] -103:20 variance [13] - 11:13, 12:23, 13:3, 14:23, 15:6, 27:9, 27:11, 27:21, 27:25, 28:15, 28:21, 28:23, 29:3 variances [6] - 11:9, 12:3, 12:4, 12:24, 13:4, 36:11 variation [1] - 54:18 vastly [1] - 86:25 vernacular [1] - 49:25 versa [2] - 56:23, 80:7 Version [1] - 40:13 Vescio [1] - 7:6 VESCIO [65] - 2:2, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:1, 9:1, 9:6, 9:10, 9:15, 9:21, 15:22, 16:3, 16:6, 16:9, 16:12, 16:18, 16:22, 17:16, 23:9, 25:15, 27:7, 29:7, 33:4, 34:13, 43:7, 44:2, 46:7, 46:10, 55:25, 64:7, 70:3, 71:17, 72:3, 75:14, 77:2, 78:21, 79:16, 83:7, 85:15, 86:21, 88:1, 89:19, 89:22, 90:24, 93:20, 94:21, 94:24, 95:2, 96:17, 96:21, 102:1, 102:25, 103:8, 103:12, 103:16, 104:3, 104:10, 104:14, 104:17, 105:12, 105:23, 106:5, 109:9, 109:21, 110:3 viable [1] - 78:11 Vice [5] - 4:4, 4:5, 4:7, 46:17, 47:4 vice [5] - 13:12, 13:16, 17:7, 56:23, 80:7 Vice-president (5) -4:4, 4:5, 4:7, 46:17, 47:4

vice-president [2] -

vice-presidents [1] -

13:16, 17:7

vice-versa [2] -

56:23, 80:7

90:1, 93:7

Village [3] - 33:20,

violate [1] - 72:25

13:12

violation [2] - 63:1, 101:11

W wait [4] - 46:1, 76:15, 76:17, 94:3 waiting [1] - 32:9 waive [1] - 93:17 waivers [1] - 11:10 walk [2] - 51:13, 86:13 Wang [1] - 7:16 wants [1] - 70:16 Warren [2] - 3:4, 10:5 WAY [1] - 1:11 wear (2) - 55:16, 69:8 webmaster [1] -40:21 website [4] - 37:11, 39:21, 40:17, 76:18 week [3] - 49:5, 73:8, 79:23 weekend [2] - 87:15, 87:23 weekends [2] - 6:25, 87:13 weeks [1] - 33:1 welcome [2] - 6:2, 52:15 well-established [1] -88:24 well-maintained [1] -101:16 western [1] - 93:9 what-have-you [1] wheels [1] - 73:2 whole [11] - 27:2, 34:17, 73:11, 73:22, 74:10, 86:2, 86:15, 99:12, 102:23, 108:16, 111:8 wife [1] - 71:18 WILLIAM [2] - 2:3, 2:15 willing [4] - 8:13, 8:20, 63:4, 93:16 willingness [1] -

73:18

105:15

wise [3] - 28:11, 32:5,

wish [1] - 105:18

wishes [1] - 106:1

wishing [1] - 33:9

witness [11] - 15:19,

34:5, 34:8, 34:12,

35:13, 44:5, 44:7,

18:22, 19:5, 21:13, 21:20, 22:16, 23:2, 23:18, 23:25,

104:13, 104:15,

WITNESS [27] -

109:18

24:22, 25:6, 25:10, 28:10, 30:2, 30:21, 31:18, 32:2, 32:20, 32:24, 34:4, 34:7, 35:12, 35:18, 35:23, 36:2, 43:18, 43:21, 43:25 witnesses [20] -12:13, 13:7, 13:8, 17:11, 17:12, 17:18, 38:15, 45:21, 46:1, 46:4, 85:14, 90:18, 91:4, 91:8, 91:11, 96:22, 104:5, 104:11, 109:17, 111:7 WITNESSES [2] - 4:2, 17:24 won [1] - 50:24 wonderful [1] - 92:8 wondering [1] -36:14 WoodSpring [34] -13:13, 15:3, 15:9, 15:12, 15:13, 15:18, 20:10, 20:13, 21:21, 29:24, 32:11, 34:1, 35:14, 46:21, 46:24, 48:4, 48:10, 48:16, 54:9, 55:6, 60:4, 64:18, 66:14, 66:19, 66:21, 67:6, 68:20, 70:13, 71:19, 72:1, 75:2, 103:4, 103:9 word [1] - 26:11 words [1] - 107:10 working-on-yourcar [1] - 74:10 world [8] - 12:18, 19:17, 19:19, 35:2,

Z

ZAYTOUN [10] - 43:9, 43:10, 43:19, 43:23, 44:1, 96:25, 97:18, 98:7, 98:11, 98:21 Zaytoun [3] - 4:21, 43:10, 96:25 ZGORZYNSKI [6] -106:6, 107:9, 108:13, 108:25, 109:4, 109:7 Zgorzynski [3] - 4:23, 106:6, 109:10 zone [4] - 10:13, 10:17, 56:10, 57:5 zoned [1] - 56:6 zoning [4] - 15:5, 27:15, 101:9, 101:11

Y

47:1, 48:12, 86:25

wrapped [1] - 8:12

yard [2] - 11:15, 14:23 year [10] - 19:13, 58:18, 60:2, 60:10, 75:10, 76:11, 95:7, 95:8, 101:20, 101:22 years [18] - 19:11, 24:7, 30:14, 30:22, 43:12, 49:20, 50:25, 68:2, 68:7, 73:15, 73:20, 73:22, 74:14, 86:23, 87:17, 98:8, 103:19, 103:21 York [1] - 63:15

R.I. HERITAGE CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

1	TOWNSHIP OF BRIDGEWATER PLANNING BOARD
2	COUNTY OF SOMERSET - STATE OF NEW JERSEY
3	
4	REGULAR MEETING FOR:
5	R.I. HERITAGE INN OF BRIDGEWATER, LLC C/O THARALDSON HOSPITALITY DEVELOPMENT, LLC BLOCK 222, LOT 3.01
6	1260 ROUTE 22
7	AMENDED PRELIMINARY & FINAL MAJOR SITE PLAN
8	APPLICATION NO. 24-022-PB
9	
10	MUNICIPAL BUILDING COUNCIL CHAMBERS
11	100 COMMONS WAY BRIDGEWATER, NEW JERSEY 08807
12	DICIDODWITHIN, MAIN OPENSEL COO.
13	 − −
14	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2024
15	7:00 P.M.
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	PUBLIC HEARING
19	TODLIC TEAKING
20	
21	
22	AB COURT REPORTING, LLC
23	Certified Court Reporters 26 Algonquin Terrace
24	Millstone Township, New Jersey 08535 Tel: (732)882-3590
25	angelahuonocsr@gmail.com

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	MAURIZIO VESCIO, CHAIRMAN
3	WILLIAM ATKINS
4	NIREN CHOUDHURY
5	MICHAEL KIRSH, COUNCILMAN
6	JAMES MAGURA
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	PROFESSIONALS AND STAFF PRESENT:
13 14	MARK R. PECK, ESQUIRE, Board Attorney Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton, LLC
15	WILLIAM H. BURR, IV, P.E., Township Engineer Township of Bridgewater
16 17	KATHERINE SARMAD, P.P., Board Planner Sarmad Planning Group, LLC
18	NANCY PROBST, Land Use Administrator Township of Bridgewater
19	
20	
21	
22	STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
23	ANGELA BUONANTUONO, CCR, RPR License No. 30XI00233100
24	
25	

<u>APPEARANCES:</u> DIFRANCESCO, BATEMAN, KUNZMAN, DAVIS, LEHRER & FLAUM, PC BY: MICHAEL E. SILBERT, ESQUIRE 15 Mountain Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 T: 908.757.7800 F: 908.757.8039 Email: msilbert@newjerseylaw.net --Counsel for the Applicant ALSO PRESENT: GEORGE FOLK, P.E. David A. Stires Associates, LLC

1		INDEX	
2	LITENIDOCEDO		DACE
3	<u>WITNESSES</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
4	JEFF MCKAY, Vice-	President ality Development, LLC	18
5	_		47
6	TERRY O'BRIEN, Vi Park Silver Devel	opment, LLC	4 /
7	GREG HEFLIN, Vice Park Silver Devel		47
8	Lark Bilder bedea	opment, and	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	PUBLIC QUESTIONS A	AND/OR COMMENTS:	
17	NAME	ADDRESS	PAGE
18			
19	Lars Knudson	104 Chelsea Way	33,89 101
20	John Kulak	13 Ramsey Street	34,88
21	Mitchel Zaytoun	130 Chelsea Way	43,96
22	Dan Seeley	26 Chelsea Way	102
23	Robert Zgorzynsk	i 119 Chelsea Way	106
24			
25			

1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3	NO	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	NO.	DIBORTETION	
5			
6			
7	NT		
8	None.		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

			8
	6		CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
1	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Good evening. And	1	
2	welcome to the Bridgewater Township Planning Board		Ms. Probst.
3	meeting for Tuesday, December 3, 2024.	3	(Whereupon, the board continues with
4	Adequate notice of this meeting has	4	•
5	been given in accordance with the Open Public	5	the agenda as posted.)
6	Meetings Act, or N.J.S.A. 10:4-6. On February 7th,	6	(Whereupen the application is called
7	2024, proper notice was sent to The Courier News and	7	(Whereupon, the application is called
8	The Star-Ledger, and filed with the Clerk at the	8	at 9:03 p.m.)
9	Township of Bridgewater, and posted on the bulletin	9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And, Mr. Chairman,
10	board in the Municipal Building.	10	
11	Please be aware that the Planning Board	11	even before we begin this next portion, I know our
12	Policy for public hearings, no new applications will	12	policy is to be wrapped up by 10. You know, I'm
13	be heard after 9:30 p.m., and no new testimony will	13	only one person. You know, I'm willing, if there's
14	be taken after 10:00 p.m.	14	consensus, to call 10 o'clock would be 10:30
15	Hearing assistance is available upon	15	tonight, just to give us that little bit of extra
16	request. Accommodation will be made for individuals	16	breathing room.
17	with a disability, pursuant to the Americans with	17	Again, I'm only one person. I don't
18	Disabilities Act, or ADA, provided the individual	18	have the authority to, you know, keep people here
19	with the disability provides 48 hours' advance	19	later but, you know, because some have traveled from
20	notice to the Planning Department secretary before	20	a distance, you know, I'm willing to for this
2.1	the public meeting.	21	evening, have a 10:30 goal, if necessary, instead of
22	However, if the individual should	22	a 10 o'clock goal.
23	require special equipment or services, such as a	23	Again, I don't know if that's a look of
24	CART transcriber, seven days' advance notice,	24	approval or disapproval from the other side of the
25	excluding weekends and holidays, may be necessary.	25	table.
		1	0
	7		9
1	7 Would everyone please rise to salute to	1	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we
1 2	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag.	2	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but
-	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.]	2 3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception.
2	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we
2	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please?	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30?
2 3 4	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio?	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to
2 3 4 5	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So,
2 3 4 5 6	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but
2 3 4 5 6 7	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'li probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'll just make an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins? MEMBER ATKINS: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'li probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'll just make an announcement for those that are in the room, we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins? MEMBER ATKINS: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Peck is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'll just make an announcement for those that are in the room, we are moving to the next application, that's for the R.I.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Would everyone please rise to salute to the flag. [Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please? Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent, for the record. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent. Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang will be absent. Ms. Sikora will be absent. Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins? MEMBER ATKINS: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Peck is present. Mr. Burr and Ms. Sarmad.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right, right. So we typically don't take new testimony after 10:30, but for those who traveled we can make an exception. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, we usually go to 10:30? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We typically go to 10:30 without any new testimony after 10:30. So, yes, we have been here past 11 before, but COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not advocating that that needs to be the case this evening. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. I guess what I'm trying to say is if we're close, I think we'll probably work with you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. And I'm hoping the next application and I do see members of the public here, but I'm hoping it will go quickly just because it's an amendment to a previous approval. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'll just make an announcement for those that are in the room, we are moving to the next application, that's for the R.I. Heritage Inn of Bridgewater, LLC, in the care of

3.01, commonly known as 1260 Route 22.

ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Chairman, just 2 for the record, my name is Michael Silbert and I'm 3 an attorney at the law firm DiFrancesco Bateman, 4

located in Warren Township. I'm here tonight on

behalf of the applicant, R.I. Heritage Inn at 6

Bridgewater, LLC.

5

7

8

q

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

8

9

10

22

23

24

25

The applicant is seeking Amended Preliminary and Final Site Plan approval for the property identified as Block 222, Lot 3.01, as shown on the township's tax maps, more commonly known as 1260 Route 22 East.

The property is located in the HIC zone 13 district and consists of a single parcel just under 14 13 acres in size. And pursuant to Section 126 --15 Section 126-314.182 of the township code, hotels are 16 a permitted use in the zone. 17

The property comprises five land units created through a condominium arrangement. This application pertains specifically, as you will see in the application materials, to Land Unit D, which is the southernmost building proposed on the property.

So just a little brief background 24 information because I had made previous 25

11

representations earlier this evening, the project 1

was previously approved in its entirety and was 2

subject of a Preliminary and Final Site Plan 3

application. Eventually that was approved on 4

December 11, 2018. That approval allowed for the 5

construction of a Marriott Residence Inn hotel on 6

7 Land Unit D.

> So as part of the original approval there was a number of bulk variances and design waivers that were granted. I could just very

quickly go through it and this -- I'll clarify this 11

later on, but essentially there was a building 12

height variance granted for the SpringHill Suites 13

Hotel for 49.33 feet where 45 feet was permitted; 14

front yard setback from Route 22, where 139.59 feet 15

was approved, 200 feet was required; buffer for 16

principal building, 90.87 feet was approved where 17

125 feet was required; minimum parking lot buffer, 18

21.87 feet was approved, where 75 feet was required; 19

minimum accessory structure buffer, 50 feet was 20

approved, 75 feet was required. 21

Why are we here tonight? The applicant is returning before the board to seek an amended site plan approval due to changes in the hotel user and to the building on Land Unit D. Specifically

the applicant's proposing to reduce the building

footprint and overall size of the building. And 2

this impacts several of the bulk variances that were 3

-- or at least one of the bulk variances that was 4

granted during the original site plan approval. 5

So I'll leave it to our engineer to 6

discuss the differences in building footprint, 7

F.A.R. reduction. This is going to result in a lot 8

9 coverage reduction. We are going to be able to

increase the number of parking spaces despite the 10

fact that the number of rooms are slightly 11

12 decreasing.

13

14

15

15

17

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The witnesses I'm going to call are going to explain some of these changes. Ultimately, these changes are driven from an economic stumponit, just responding to current real estate market trends, particularly in light of being in a

post-COVID-19 world. 18 As noted in the joint review memo, 19 dated November 26th, 2024, the proposed amendments 20 to the building size represent actually improvements 21 to the relief that was previously granted. So from 22

23 a technical standpoint, variance relief is still

required for at least one of the bulk variances that 24 was previously received because although they're 25

13

improvements, they still remain non-compliant with 1 2 the township's requirements. And we're going to be

seeking that variance under the c(2) criteria. 3

The other bulk variances granted as part of the original approval, they're going to remain unchanged; they'll remain as approved.

So I have three witnesses. Three -- I have three or four witnesses because I have two 8 representatives from the future operator from Park 9 Silver Development. So I'm joined with Terry 10 O'Brien and Greg Heflin. They're both regional 11

vice-presidents from Park Silver Development, LLC, 12

and they're representing WoodSpring Suites, the 13

future hotel user of Land Unit D. 14

> I'm also joined by Jeff McKay. He's the vice-president of Tharaldson Hospitality Development. It's a related entity of the applicant and the land unit owner.

And I'm joined, lastly, by our professional engineer, George Folk. He was the original civil engineer for this project and he also prepared the amended site plan.

23 One thing I just wanted to stress for the board is that the exterior architectural design 24 is essentially the same that was approved back in 25

25

just for those of us to use a restroom, given that

25

ATTORNEY PECK: And starting with

J. McKay J. McKay 20 18 38/39 percent. This isn't the only market where Mr. McKay, just state and spell your names for the 1 we're not able to do a Residence Inn. We've also 2 record. cancelled some other locations for Residence Inn. JEFF McKAY: Jeff McKay, M-C-K-A-Y. 3 3 Residence Inn is a bigger building. 4 GEORGE FOLK: George Folk, F-O-L-K. 4 And so we looked at how we could GREG HEFLIN: Greg Heflin, H-E-F-L-I-N. 5 5 continue to develop the site and still make it what TERRY O'BRIEN: Terry O'Brien, 6 6 we started out to do, and that is our goal. 7 7 O-B-R-I-E-N. In doing so, we discovered a brand that ATTORNEY PECK: Thank you very much. 8 8 we thought we could make work here. And although we 9 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. 9 didn't have the expertise with the WoodSpring brand, So, Mr. Chairman, we thought it would 10 10 we teamed up with Terry and Greg and their be helpful to bring Mr. McKay up to kind of talk a 11 11 organization who had in-depth experience with the little bit about the change in commercial real 12 12 WoodSpring and, of course, had WoodSpring estate, some of the market changes, what led to this 13 13 properties. And so we wanted to team up with people change. And I'll let Mr. McKay take it away from 14 14 that knew what the brand was and how to operate that 15 15 here. hotel. 16 16 So having said that, we're here to JEFF MCKAY, sworn, provides the following 17 17 propose, obviously, a different hotel. It's similar 18 testimony: 18 in nature, a four-story. We want to make the 19 19 outside look just as the Residence Inn did, use the 20 EXAMINATION 20 same materials, the same colors, and it's going to 21 21 be smaller. As you could imagine, a little bit less 22 THE WITNESS: Good evening. Yeah, I 22 cost to build that way. just wanted to give us a little bit of a background 23 23 So really that's sort of the backdrop on kind of why we're here and what we're trying to 24 24 of kind of why we're getting together here and I'm 25 get accomplished here. 25 J. McKav J, McKay 21 19 here to answer any kind of questions that the board ATTORNEY PECK: And if you could, 1 may have and, hopefully, to seek approval to move before you get going, could you give your title 2 forward with our development. again? There were a lot of entities being tossed 3 3 ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I may, 4 around, just so we have a clear record. 4 Mr. McKay, so I made a representation in my opening THE WITNESS: No problem. So my name 5 5 that there was a very strong effort to keep the is Jeff McKay. I'm with Tharaldson Hospitality. I 6 architectural design in line with what was approved 7 7 represent Tharaldson. in 2018. We currently own and operate 88 hotels 8 8 Since you were very much involved in across the United States. I was here in 2018 to get 9 9 the hearing process in 2018, you're a decision-maker a couple of hotels approved. And we worked on this 10 10 here, do you agree with that representation and can site for a long time, several years. And we've 11 11 you touch on that at all? developed over 400 hotels around the United States. 12 12 THE WITNESS: Could you just repeat --I celebrated my 19th year in October, here with the 13 13 you're saying the exterior? 14 14 company. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I just 15 So Bridgewater was on our radar. We 15 wanted you to confirm that my representation that 16 wanted to be in Bridgewater and we had great plans, 16 the architectural design that is being proposed this 17 there's no doubt about it. Today's world has 17 evening is very similar to what was proposed and 18 changed, as everyone here knows. The pandemic was 18 approved back in 2018. 19 brutal in the hotel world. At that time we didn't 19 THE WITNESS: It is very similar. In 20 have 88, we had closer to 75, and we've actually 20 fact, the WoodSpring, I don't know that it commonly 21 closed the doors on 25 hotels during the pandemic, 21 has a flat roof, but the Residence had a flat roof. 22 22 just shut them down. We changed this to make sure it had a flat roof to 23 And, unfortunately, the Bridgewater 23 match what was originally approved. And again,

24

25

going back to the materials and even down to the

market has not come back to what we feel we can

build a Residence Inn. Construction costs are up

25

	Ј. МсКау		J. McKay
	22		24
1	colors that were approved in 2018 for the Residence,	1	compared to the new footprint in red.
2	same colors on the building.	2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Because, and
3	So, effectively, we have a little		I'll tell you, I'll telegraph where I'm going with
4	smaller box that looks the same, that's going to		this; I was not on the board in 2018. I don't think
5	have a different sign on it. I mean that's really		any of us I know none of us were. Obviously, you
6	what we're trying to do here.		know, we stand by whatever action was taken and now,
7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And going back to	7	you know, six years later, you know, we iterate to
8	the 2018 approval, the board asked questions based	8	the next opportunity.
9	on the resolution. The board asked questions and it	9	I'm definitely particularly interested
10	was the testimony of the applicant then, that this	10	in understanding, you know, how both the letter of
11	architectural design would fit well within the other	11	the resolution from 2018, as well as the intent, is
12	proposed designs for the other buildings on the	12	still being satisfied here. Because I know there
13	property.	13	were concerns about berms and about privacy and
14	Do you still believe that's the case	14	about trees and fences and, you know, there was a
15	today?	15	lot that came out at that hearing.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.	16	You know, I was not there, but, you
17	And we worked very tiresomely on getting the look of	17	know, I did some diligence in trying to speak with
18	the building to appease the neighborhood and the	18	those who were, and I just want to make sure that,
19	Township of Bridgewater. There's no doubt about	19	you know, both the letter and the intention remains
20	that.	20	in place, or through this hearing we can make sure
21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So is it your	21	that they stay in place.
22	opinion that the aesthetics component to this	22	THE WITNESS: That would be our
23	application was already was already reviewed and	23	absolute intention is to honor all of those
24	approved by the board back in 2018, and what you're	24	requirements that were previously instated on the
25	proposing today mimics that approval as much as	25	site plan application.
	J. McKay		J. McKay
			J. McKay 25
1	J. McKay 23	1	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent
	J. McKay	1 2	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or
1	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible?		J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know,
1 2	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.	2	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same
1 2 3	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you,	2	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say.
1 2 3 4	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any	2 3 4	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted
1 2 3 4 5	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as	2 3 4 5	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's	2 3 4 5 6	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	7. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	7. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 15	J. McKay 23 reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 155 166	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. McKay 25 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 166 17	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 155 166 17 18 15	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from this applicant team had come in and basically met
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 155 166 17 18 19 20 21	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted to provide a little bit of background on he has a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from this applicant team had come in and basically met with the professionals in the township to better
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 155 166 17 18 19 20 21 22	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted to provide a little bit of background on he has a very good depiction here of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from this applicant team had come in and basically met with the professionals in the township to better understand if they did need amended site plan
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 155 166 17 18 19 20 21 21 21 21	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted to provide a little bit of background on he has a very good depiction here of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from this applicant team had come in and basically met with the professionals in the township to better understand if they did need amended site plan approval based on the changes that they were
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 15 166 17 18 19 20 21 22	reasonably possible? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay, great. So I'll turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman, and the board, if they have any questions about, you know, as to the background as to why we're here, any questions on Mr. McKay's testimony. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Silbert. Any questions from our board regarding the testimony we just heard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah. I just have a clarifying question. So you said you said affectionately the box is a little smaller. Is it largely in the same location? THE WITNESS: It is. And we will get into more of the specifics. I think that's what our engineer is here to do. But I, again, just wanted to provide a little bit of background on he has a very good depiction here of the ATTORNEY SILBERT: Counsel, can you see this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to the extent that the building has, you know, shifted or moved or something is different, you know, that you know, there be kind of new guidance that follows the same spirit, is what I'm trying to say. THE WITNESS: Well, we haven't shifted the building at all. In fact, it sits right within the old footprint. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And it's a smaller footprint. And the building itself, of course, the guest rooms, they're a little bit smaller, but we'll speak to that as we move forward. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman. PLANNER SARMAD: I'm sorry, just to add, Mr. Kirsh, some background. About eight months ago or so, representatives these same representatives from this applicant team had come in and basically met with the professionals in the township to better understand if they did need amended site plan approval based on the changes that they were

	J. McKay		J. McKay
	26		28
1	application so, obviously, the answer to that	1	what was in error would have been a reduction in
2	question was, yes, they did.	2	height, but we're not adjusting the approved height
3	So there was a thorough review of the	3	of SpringHill.
4	resolution, the prior architectural plan, the prior	4	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So the new height is
5	building layout, which essentially the decision was	5	46 feet?
6	that they would need amended approval, which is	6	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. And I believe
7	exactly why they're here tonight, is that they are	7	the old height was, I'll confirm, but I believe it
8	seeking basically a new approval that would then	8	was 46 feet. They're the same heights?
9	change what was approved in the past.	10	THE WITNESS: Yeah. We are not trying
10	And I will say that, I think upon	11	to do anything deviation-wise with the original
11	comparing, they were true to their word, they are	12	approval other than change the brand name.
12	similar in the nature of them. It's just that the actual size the scale and size of the building is	13	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Thank you. And then
13	much smaller than what was previously approved.	14	the next
14	And some of the amenities within the	15	ATTORNEY SILBERT: The only variance
15	building that were part that were specifically	16	we're seeking, just in case I didn't say it or I
16 17	cited in the resolution are no longer proposed. As	17	said it too fast, is there's a required buffer under
18	they've mentioned, I think it's an economics	18	126-314.1F, where the buffer for the principal
19	function of why those have been changed and scaled	19	building is proposed at 94.11 feet, where 125 feet
20	back.	20	is required. And previously approved was 90 feet.
21	But essentially that's why they are	21	So the only variance or deviation we're
22	before this board. If they had just made some minor	22	seeking is an improvement to a previously received
23	changes, they could actually have gone through, even	23	variance.
24	with a different brand name, likely, without an	24	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So basically
25	amended approval; they would have worked under the	25	improved by 4 feet for these, right?
	J. McKay		J. McKay
	•	1	2
	27		29
1		1	
1 2	27	1 2	29 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only
1	other.	1	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two?
2	other. So this whole amended approval really	2 3 4	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct.
2	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution.	2 3 4 5	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you
2 3 4	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood.	2 3 4 5 6	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else.
2 3 4 5	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms.	2 3 4 5 6 7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this proposed building as it relates to height. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is the hotel that is most southerly or furthest into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this proposed building as it relates to height. That height variance was with respect to the other hotel,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is the hotel that is most southerly or furthest into the site that is positioned parallel to Route 22.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this proposed building as it relates to height. That height variance was with respect to the other hotel, SpringHill Suites.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is the hotel that is most southerly or furthest into the site that is positioned parallel to Route 22. So my question for Mr. McKay is, as far
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other. So this whole amended approval really is related to the magnitude of the changes that they are proposing, and that they do deviate from the original resolution. CHAIRMAN KIRSCH: Okay, understood. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Sarmad. Mr. Choudhury? MEMBER CHOUDHURY: The new variance, the height the height particularly, you don't explain. The height is not a variance, it's becoming 46, not a 49 as it shows here, right? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. There were two mistakes; one was made by me where when I saw the change on the zoning data table between the 2018 approval to what we are proposing this evening, when I saw that number changed, I had thought that was in reference to this proposed building. That was an in error, there is no relief requested for this proposed building as it relates to height. That height variance was with respect to the other hotel, SpringHill Suites. So I apologize for the confusion on our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now there's only one variance then, not the two? ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Thank you very much. Nothing else. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Choudury. Any other questions? Ms. Sarmad? Mr. Burr? ENGINEER BURR: I just had two quick questions, Mr. Chairman. So just for reference, I think what we're talking about is the old Days Inn site on Route 22, between the Open Road Mercedes and the old Houlihan's. And the prior approval included two hotels and, I believe, three commercial pad or retail pad sites along 22. So what we're talking about tonight is the hotel that is most southerly or furthest into the site that is positioned parallel to Route 22. So my question for Mr. McKay is, as far as Tharaldson is concerned, is your interest only in

	1 Mal/		1 McVav
	J. McKay 30		J. McKay 32
١.		1	"possibly."
1 2	portions of the project? THE WITNESS: So, yes, currently we	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. You know, there's
3	own the I guess it's 13 acres. We purchased the	3	a Developer's Agreement that is tied into this, as
4	land back in the time we were seeking the original	4	you're aware. And there's certain activities that
5	approvals.	5	need to occur timing-wise for, for instance, the
6	The ownership structure and what occurs	6	commercial to start.
7	from here, I guess, are unknown at this point. It	7	The SpringHill is permitted and the
8	will depend on what we can get for approvals here.	8	intentions are to get started on that project. We
9	ENGINEER BURR: And the follow-up	9	have fenced the site and we're waiting on some
10	question to that is, it's no secret that this site	10	financing arrangements.
11	has been in the condition that it's in right now in	11	And then again, the WoodSpring, pending
12	a semi-completed from a site improvement	12	approval, we would need to get the hotel drawn, get
13	perspective, semi-completed for probably going on	13	the plans drawn and into the township for approval.
14	three years.	14	And that's probably a that could be an eight,
15	So I'm curious, if this was to be	15	nine-month process, I don't know. And ideally we
16	approved, this amendment, is this going to be a	16	would start immediately after that.
17	springboard no pun intended for building out	17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So looking at the
18	the rest of this site, or is the town looking at a	18	two hotels right now as we speak, the SpringHill is
19	site that is going to be somewhat in transition or	19	more ready to go?
20	kind of status quo for the foreseeable future?	20	THE WITNESS: Correct.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, I can speak to	21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. But from a
22	what occurred over the past four or five years and	22	financial and other standpoint, it's not moving
23	that was the pandemic. That's why you saw what you	23	ahead right now?
24	saw.	24	THE WITNESS: Well, I wouldn't say that it's not moving ahead. In fact, the site was
25	We did demolish the Days Inn and we	25	J. McKay
	J. McKay	1	J. PICKAY
	31		, 33
,	31 were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a	1	33
1 2	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a	1 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 2 3	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time		33 fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was
2	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were	2	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one.
2	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time	2	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one.
2 3 4	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people	2 3 4	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman
2 3 4 5	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new	2 3 4 5	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh.
2 3 4 5 6	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened.	2 3 4 5 6	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions
2 3 4 5 6 7	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you	2 3 4 5 6 7	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that same sorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that samesorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that samesorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that samesorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that samesorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of timing? You know, I mean, I guess the SpringHill is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea Village Condo Association. And I am an attorney
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that samesorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of timing? You know, I mean, I guess the SpringHill is fully approved, it's not built. The retail is fully	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea Village Condo Association. And I am an attorney admitted in New Jersey.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that samesorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of timing? You know, I mean, I guess the SpringHill is fully approved, it's not built. The retail is fully approved, is not built.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea Village Condo Association. And I am an attorney admitted in New Jersey. In the interest of time, I did have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that samesorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of timing? You know, I mean, I guess the SpringHill is fully approved, it's not built. The retail is fully approved, is not built.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea Village Condo Association. And I am an attorney admitted in New Jersey. In the interest of time, I did have a number of questions related to the impervious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were prepared to start, fully start. And it was a lengthy approval process, it was. And by the time it was ready to get going and get started, we were smack-dab in the middle of COVID-19. And people weren't even working, let alone building a new hotel. That's what happened. And thankfully for the township, you got the Days Inn leveled, you know, and a clean site for a while. And our goal is to get this approval and we need a domino to tip here and get one going and get moving on the project. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But on that samesorry, Mr. Chairman. On that same line of questioning, you know, this project is further ahead than the SpringHill, further ahead than the retail in the front, correct, in terms of priority? THE WITNESS: In what regard? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, in terms of timing? You know, I mean, I guess the SpringHill is fully approved, it's not built. The retail is fully approved, is not built.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fenced a matter of three, four weeks ago when I was here. So they are, they are getting moving on that one. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Councilman Kirsh. Any other questions? Any questions from our professionals? At this time I would like to ask any members of the public wishing to ask a question based on the testimony we just heard, please feel free to come forward at this time. And if I could just reiterate, we're asking questions at this stage. There will be an opportunity later on for general comments. Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: Understood, thank you. My name is Lars Knudson, L-A-R-S, K-N-U-D-S-O-N, 104 Chelsea Way, here in Bridgewater. I am also the president of the board of trustees of Chelsea Village Condo Association. And I am an attorney admitted in New Jersey. In the interest of time, I did have a

	J. McKay		J. McKay
	34		36
1	the brand, WoodSpring Suites.	1	plan?
2	Are you prepared to answer those	2	THE WITNESS: Correct.
3	questions or	3	JOHN KULAK: And when you and this
4	THE WITNESS: I believe that's a great		is a question both for the board and, I guess, the
5	segue for possibly our next witness.	5	township professionals. But because you're asking
6	LARS KNUDSON: Say again?	6	for a new approval, are you subject to newer
7	THE WITNESS: I say that's probably a	7	requirements as they pertain to stormwater
8	good segue to introduce our next witness to explain	8	management, stormwater runoff and things like that?
9	those items to you.	9	Because you're not really transferring the old
10		10	approval to this building, but rather you're getting
11	case then I will reserve my questions until the next	11	a new approval and seeking the variances that you
12		12	requested.
13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	So I don't know who should better
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	answer that question but I'm wondering, since
15	Street in Bridgewater. And I am here as a resident	15	there's a change, are you now subject to the newer
16	tonight. I have been a resident of the Finderne	16	requirements?
17	section of Bridgewater for pretty much my whole	17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So I can from
18	life.	18	our side, we can have our civil engineer answer that
19	When I was looking at your amended	19	question. I'll note that I'll let our civil
20	plan, you are trying to present this or you are	20	engineer answer the question.
21	presenting this, I should say, as a let me move one	21	JOHN KULAK: Okay. One last thing.
22	building aside and put in another building and	22	There was some errors in the documents submitted
23	everything else should remain the same. But the	23	with the wrong address, have they all been
24	planning board originally approved both the brand	24	corrected?
25	and the building itself.	25	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So what you're
123			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
			J. McKay
	J. McKay 35		J. McKay 37
1	J. McKay 35	1	J. McKay 37 referring to is the subject line in the application
1 2	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand		J. McKay 37 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the
2	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had	1	J. McKay 37 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of
2	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell	1 2	J. McKay 37 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the
2 3 4	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand?	1 2 3	J. McKay 37 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of
2 3 4 5	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's	1 2 3 4	J. McKay 37 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the
2 3 4 5 6	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or,	1 2 3 4 5	J. McKay 37 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to
2 3 4 5 6 7	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be	1 2 3 4 5	J. McKay 37 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	J. McKay 37 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. McKay 77 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	J. McKay 77 referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	J. McKay referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so. JOHN KULAK: And your decision,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so. JOHN KULAK: And your decision, however, was, as you stated, an economic decision?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to be corrected, that you will have done that and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so. JOHN KULAK: And your decision, however, was, as you stated, an economic decision? THE WITNESS: Correct.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to be corrected, that you will have done that and submitted those documents correctly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so. JOHN KULAK: And your decision, however, was, as you stated, an economic decision? THE WITNESS: Correct. JOHN KULAK: Is this, in its nature, a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to be corrected, that you will have done that and submitted those documents correctly? ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would say that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so. JOHN KULAK: And your decision, however, was, as you stated, an economic decision? THE WITNESS: Correct. JOHN KULAK: Is this, in its nature, a long-term residence compared to what we're more used.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to be corrected, that you will have done that and submitted those documents correctly? ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would say that they the cover letter is probably is not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so. JOHN KULAK: And your decision, however, was, as you stated, an economic decision? THE WITNESS: Correct. JOHN KULAK: Is this, in its nature, a long-term residence compared to what we're more used to with hotels where people come, stay a few days	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to be corrected, that you will have done that and submitted those documents correctly? ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would say that they the cover letter is probably is not a document that would ordinarily be corrected. If it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	J. McKay 35 You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so. JOHN KULAK: And your decision, however, was, as you stated, an economic decision? THE WITNESS: Correct. JOHN KULAK: Is this, in its nature, a long-term residence compared to what we're more used to with hotels where people come, stay a few days and leave, go about their business?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to be corrected, that you will have done that and submitted those documents correctly? ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would say that they the cover letter is probably is not a document that would ordinarily be corrected. If it was the amended site plan or actual application, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so. JOHN KULAK: And your decision, however, was, as you stated, an economic decision? THE WITNESS: Correct. JOHN KULAK: Is this, in its nature, a long-term residence compared to what we're more used to with hotels where people come, stay a few days and leave, go about their business? THE WITNESS: I believe it is, yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to be corrected, that you will have done that and submitted those documents correctly? ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would say that they the cover letter is probably is not a document that would ordinarily be corrected. If it was the amended site plan or actual application, I would totally agree that they would need to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You alluded to the fact that the brand changed because of the economics of the world had changed and you selected this brand. Could you tell us more about the brand? Because my understanding is that it's quite a step down from the Residence Inn or, alternatively, the other property which will be built. It's no longer a Marriott property but another brand. So can you talk a little bit about that, please? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I'll reserve that for our next witness. They are the experts with the brand, with WoodSpring Suites, and that's why we teamed up with them so. JOHN KULAK: And your decision, however, was, as you stated, an economic decision? THE WITNESS: Correct. JOHN KULAK: Is this, in its nature, a long-term residence compared to what we're more used to with hotels where people come, stay a few days and leave, go about their business? THE WITNESS: I believe it is, yes. JOHN KULAK: And would they be, then,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	referring to is the subject line in the application letter referenced the property at 202. All of the actual application materials, with the exception of the subject line of the letter, referenced the correct address. That was an error on my part, likely because of the other application. But we did send notice out to approximately 200 people, properly identifying the correct address. If anybody as you know, the township puts the documents that we submitted on the website as a courtesy. If anyone read through the letter, they would see the correct address and all the plans have the correct address. So I do apologize for that error. JOHN KULAK: Thank you. All I'm suggesting is that, to the extent that they need to be corrected, that you will have done that and submitted those documents correctly? ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would say that they the cover letter is probably is not a document that would ordinarily be corrected. If it was the amended site plan or actual application, I would totally agree that they would need to be remedied but just as far as it's just

	J. McKay		Ј. МсКау
	38		40
1	So I don't know how to rectify that.	1	satisfied that not just that the law was followed
2	It's not an official document, I would say, it's	2	and it seems as though it was but that also our
3	just a cover letter that accompanied the actual	3	efforts to be fully transparent, that that goal has
4	application.	4	also been met.
5	JOHN KULAK: I appreciate that. It	5	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Understood. I do
6	was just a little confusing.	6	understand that.
7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Understood, and I	7	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Councilman
8	apologize for that. I certainly wasn't trying to,	8	Kirsh, if I might, there was a typo on the agenda
9	уоц know, mislead anyone.	9	which mirrored the typo in the transmittal letter.
10	JOHN KULAK: No, I didn't think that.	10	So the agendas had also said "Route 202." It was
11	ATTORNEY SILBERT: It was purely a	11	amended, reposted and then rectified.
12	typo.	12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, So
13	JOHN KULAK: And I would like to	13	essentially in Version 1 it was incorrect, but then
14	reserve the rest of my questions as we have the	14	in an update it was correct?
15	additional witnesses speak.	15	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Correct.
16	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Actually on that	16	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So if I were to go
17	subject, for Mr. Peck, are you satisfied that notice	17	on the website now, which I won't, it states it
18	is still in good order and we can be proceeding?	18	correctly?
19	ATTORNEY PECK: Notice was proper and	19	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: To my
20	conformed with all the statutory requirements.	20	knowledge. I forwarded it this morning to be
21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.	21	reposted to the webmaster so.
22	ATTORNEY PECK: And that was a typo in,	22	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And I will just
23	you know, the subject line of their submission which	23	add, just to hopefully conclude this portion of the
24	is unfortunate because it was confusing, I mean, I	24	hearing, but I have been on your side. This doesn't
25	looked at it, too. But from a notice standpoint,	25	happen in Bridgewater because Nancy does such a good
	J. McKay		J. McKay 41
	39		•
1	•	1	job, but it's not uncommon that you can have an agenda with a minor typographical error. It's
2		2	
3	addition, our notice to the public beyond the	3	certainly not fatal, from the applicant's
4	•	4	
5		5	application. Although I totally understand and
6		6	
7		7	To a share this was a
8	ATTORNEY PECK: I'm satisfied that it	8	and the state of t
9		9	to the term of the
10		10	the tree of the standard make a single or the
11		11	the state of the s
12		12	"
13		13	
14		14	
15		15	the second to be
16		16	the contract of the contract o
17	The state of the s	17	the Chalan arenatu Thoro
18		18	2006
19		19	and the state of t
21	•	20	way was the state of the
2		21	The first of the house of the
2.		22	
2	•	2.3	ATTORNEY OF DERT. The Headon
2		24	The state of the s
2	So I just want to make sure that we're	25	J COUNCILITAN MINORA, I describe tract you

			7 14-1/
	J. McKay		J. McKay 44
_	hit some number of those residents as well?	1	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Thank you.
1	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would have to go	2	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.
2	back and look at the notice list. I would assume	3	Anyone else?
3 4	that they weren't all Chelsea residents, but I don't	4	Okay, that will close the public
4 5	recall. I would have to go double-check.	5	portion for this witness.
6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is that in the	6	Mr. Silbert, would you like to call
7	packet? Because I'm going to look for it.	7	your next witness?
8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: It was provided to	8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would. I just
9	the board with our Affidavit Service and the	9	have an idea. I just want to clarify something, and
10	initial	10	I could speak with Mr. Peck about it, but my
11	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right.	11	understanding is that with an amended site plan
12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Actually the list	12	application it's not really treated as though it's
13	that was provided to me by your tax assessor was	13	an application or a new application; it's an
14	included in the initial application submission.	14	amendment to a previous approval.
15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, all right.	15	And I point that out because routinely
16	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So you do have a	16	applicants come in, they get approval, and then
17	copy actually of that. I apologize.	17	things happen and they realize they need to make an
18	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Councilman	18	adjustment. And if the applicant wasn't afforded
19	Kirsh, I personally checked Mr. Silbert's proofs and	19	the opportunity to reappear before the board, a lot
20	they were accurate.	20	of times they would not do that, if they had to come
21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And it does	21	in with a brand-new application.
22	include, again, what I affectionately call "the	22	So I just wanted to point out one
23	college streets," like Stanford, Dartmouth?	23	clarifying component to this, that I don't think
24	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: There were	24	it's appropriate for the board to treat this as a
25	quite a few. I can't attest to	25	brand-new application; they should treat it as an
	J. McKay 43		J. McKay 45
1	43	1	45
1 2	43 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as	1 2	·
1 2 3	43	l	45 amendment to a prior approval.
2	43 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were	2	45 amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's
2 3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the	3	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations
2 3 4	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some	2 3 4	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan.
2 3 4 5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though.	2 3 4 5	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific.	2 3 4 5 6	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions	2 3 4 5 6 7	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners? THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have a count	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two witnesses, both from Park Silver Development. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners? THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have a count for you. I'm not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two witnesses, both from Park Silver Development. If you, Mr. Chairman, and the board would allow me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners? THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have a count for you. I'm not sure. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Is that something you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two witnesses, both from Park Silver Development. If you, Mr. Chairman, and the board would allow me to do so, I would like to introduce both of them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, as long as ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: They were outside of the ATTORNEY PECK: There are some Dartmouths on here, though. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Terrific. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. McKay? MITCH ZAYTOUN: Good evening. Mitch Zaytoun, Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way in Bridgewater. I have been a resident here for 20-plus years. I do have some questions. It looks like they're more civil so, but there's one question that I wanted to ask based on the testimony that there was, you said you had roughly 80 hotels; is that what the number was? THE WITNESS: We have 88 currently. MITCH ZAYTOUN: Out of those 88, how many back up against residential homeowners? THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have a count for you. I'm not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	amendment to a prior approval. ATTORNEY PECK: Well the board's analysis should really be constrained to deviations from the previously approved plan. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Correct. ATTORNEY PECK: And what kind of impacts that would be, so if they're proposing changes if they're proposing changes, say, to the internal site circulation, that would be a good question of inquiry or the stormwater-type questions. But if there's no deviation from the past approval and they've perfected their approval, then it's really you know, in my opinion, it wouldn't be appropriate and certainly we're not here to relitigate a previous approval. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. That is what I was trying to say. But anyway, Mr. Chairman, I do have what I think might be a timely solution. I have two witnesses, both from Park Silver Development. If you, Mr. Chairman, and the board would allow me to

			T OD 1 / C 11-6:-
	J. McKay		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 48
	46		group; you heard some of the public questions or
1	don't have to wait for the witnesses doesn't say,		comments about their concerns here so why don't you
2	"Well, he's going to answer it."	2	start off and tell the board a little bit about
3	So if it's okay, I would like to call		WoodSpring Suites.
4	both of the witnesses and just pose some questions	4 5	TERRY O'BRIEN: Sure.
5	to them. If that poses a problem, I don't have to	6	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Obviously there was
6	do that. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I assume we can try	7	concern about the difference between what was
7		8	previously approved and as far as the user goes.
8	that, sure. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.	9	TERRY O'BRIEN: As I was saying,
9 10	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: As long as we don't	10	WoodSpring is an extended stay hotel. It's part of
11	get confusing, I think that should be fine.	11	Choice Hotels. Choice is the second largest hotel
12	ATTORNEY PECK: And we'll just ask	12	company in the world, 7,000 units across the world.
13	them each identify their roles, their roles with the	13	And this falls under the Extended Stay Group of
14	applicant.	14	Choice and they have got Choice has 20-plus
15	TERRY O'BRIEN: Hi, I'm Terry O'Brien,	15	brands overall, and they have got a couple of
16	I'm a Regional Director excuse me, Regional	16	extended stay brands and WoodSpring is one of their
17	Vice-President of Real Estate. And I'm the guy that	17	extended stay brands.
18	goes out and identifies the sites to build these	18	You know, the brand is a little
19	hotels.	19	different than Residence Inn, as has been spelled
20	ATTORNEY PECK: Are you with	20	here by Mr. McKay earlier. It doesn't have a pool.
21	WoodSpring?	21	It doesn't have a meeting space or a restaurant or
22	TERRY O'BRIEN: I'm sorry. I'm with	22	bar. It's really a rooms-only product. And the
23	Park Silver Development.	23	customer that stays there is that's what they're
24	WoodSpring is the franchise company and	24	looking for.
25	that's part of Choice Hotels, which is the second	25	I mean, a good example of who would be
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 49
	47	,	staying there, traveling nurses, who come in to work
1	largest hotel company in the world with over 7,000	1	in the hospital for three to six months at a time on
2	units.	3	contract, need a place to stay. They probably still
3	GREG HEFLIN: And I'm Greg Heflin. I'm also a Regional Vice-President with Park Silver		
4			have their own apartment, but they come in, they
1 -	Development And I nick up where Terry leaves off	5	have their own apartment, but they come in, they stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they
5	Development. And I pick up where Terry leaves off	5	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they
6	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring	1	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are
6 7	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and	5 6 7	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they
6 7 8	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring	5	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects.
6 7 8 9	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it.	5 6 7 8	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been
6 7 8 9	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREGHEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies	5 6 7 8	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of
6 7 8 9 10	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it.	5 6 7 8 9	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREGHEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows:	5 6 7 8 9 10	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as
6 7 8 9 10	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREGHEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREG HEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREG HEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREG HEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies as follows:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for one or two nights and then you move on. It's a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREG HEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies as follows:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for one or two nights and then you move on. It's a little different customers base.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREG HEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: EXAMINATION	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for one or two nights and then you move on. It's a little different customers base. And extended stay hotels, in the last
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREGHEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: So, Greg, is it fair to say that I should direct questions more to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for one or two nights and then you move on. It's a little different customers base. And extended stay hotels, in the last 25 years, is by far the fastest growing segment of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREGHEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: So, Greg, is it fair to say that I should direct questions more to you about the elevations and the floor plans and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for one or two nights and then you move on. It's a little different customers base. And extended stay hotels, in the last 25 years, is by far the fastest growing segment of the hotel industry. You would probably recognize
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREGHEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: So, Greg, is it fair to say that I should direct questions more to you about the elevations and the floor plans andwould you agree with that? GREGHEFLIN: Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for one or two nights and then you move on. It's a little different customers base. And extended stay hotels, in the last 25 years, is by far the fastest growing segment of the hotel industry. You would probably recognize brands a little more like well, Residence Inn,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREGHEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: So, Greg, is it fair to say that I should direct questions more to you about the elevations and the floor plans and would you agree with that? GREGHEFLIN: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Mr. O'Brien,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for one or two nights and then you move on. It's a little different customers base. And extended stay hotels, in the last 25 years, is by far the fastest growing segment of the hotel industry. You would probably recognize brands a little more like well, Residence Inn, certainly, but TownePlace Suites, Home2Suites,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with identifying the site and entitling it, hiring any consultants and professionals to design it and permit it. GREGHEFLIN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: TERRY O'BRIEN, previously sworn, testifies as follows: EXAMINATION ATTORNEY SILBERT: So, Greg, is it fair to say that I should direct questions more to you about the elevations and the floor plans andwould you agree with that? GREGHEFLIN: Yes. ATTORNEY SILBERT: And, Mr. O'Brien,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stay at the hotel for a week at a time and then they go back. Or consultants who come in, that are working on big projects. Even displaced people that have been either burnt out of their home, maybe flooded out of their home. And we have got the great example, which is down in Asheville, North Carolina, which as we all know went through a very bad time recently. And we have got a lot of people staying in the hotel that have been displaced out of their homes. So it's not your typical hotel that Greg or I, when we're on the road, go and stay for one or two nights and then you move on. It's a little different customers base. And extended stay hotels, in the last 25 years, is by far the fastest growing segment of the hotel industry. You would probably recognize brands a little more like well, Residence Inn, certainly, but TownePlace Suites, Home2Suites, Candlewood Suites, Mainstay Suites. All these

25

different customer. They're not here to be on

25

ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	54		56
1	And then Mr. McKay had testified to and	1	Mr. Silbert. I would like to open to our board for
2	I made in my opening remarks, that the architectural	2	any questions on the testimony you just heard.
3	style, materials, that they were heavily influenced,	3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, all right. I
4	if not substantially similar to what was previously	4	have several. And I guess one of them is, first, to
5	approved. Do you concur with that testimony you	5	Mr. Peck.
6	heard and my representation?	6	So this property is zoned hotel,
7	GREG HEFLIN: Yes.	7	correct?
8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And is this a	8	ATTORNEY PECK: Correct.
9	customary design for WoodSpring Suites? I'm	9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is there a
10	referring to the dievacions and more exercises	10	delineation when we zone for a hotel, what type of a
11	Speak to the a state	11	hotel it is, whether it's a standard product, an
12	GRED HEI EITH. HIGES HOLDE	12	extended stay product?
13	prototype: We would not many ment a pro-	13	ATTORNEY PECK: No, you can't. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: We permit a hotel
14	more of a residential look. To mimic the Residence	14	
15	Inn, we have a flat roof. That is allowed by	15	in a particular place and as long as what you do
16	Choice, but we have chosen to mimic the Residence	16	meets that definition, you can build a hotel there? ATTORNEY PECK: You can't say it
17	Inn with that look.	17	permits fast food restaurants but will only allow
18	We have added color variation, Hardie	18	·
19	plank on the exterior and I believe that we have a	19	McDonald's and not Burger King. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, but with that
20	stacked-stone look on the base on the first floor.	20	analogy, you know, it's not we allow McDonald's but
21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. So is it	21	not Burger King; we allow fast food but you can't be
22	fair to say in recognition of the previous approval	22	an elegant restaurant or vice-versa. I mean, like
23	that you really made a strong effort to incorporate	23	should we think of a hotel is a hotel, as long as it
24	or mimic the previously approved Residence Inn?	24 25	meets the definition it's permitted?
25	GREG HEFLIN: Yes, we did.	23	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 55		57
١.	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. I don't	1	ATTORNEY PECK: A hotel is a hotel
1	have anyoh, actually, one more question.	2	a hotel is a hotel.
2	I don't know which one would be	3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
3	appropriate to answer. I think you, Greg. But just	4	PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, just to
5	provide some details on the number of full-time and	5	interject, the zone permits hotels and motels, so
6	part-time employees, typical shifts for WoodSpring	6	it's more broad than just
7	Suites, if you would.	7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And the reason I'm
8	GREG HEFLIN: Yeah, so we	8	going there there's a reason. The reason the
9	typically have I think we have shown four	9	reason I'm going here is, going back to 2018, this
10	employees on the peak shift and then four employees	10	specific property was identified as an extended stay
11	on the after shift; we usually have a general	11	hotel under the Residence Inn brand, correct?
12	manager who also does sales, an assistant manager, a	12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I don't hold on.
13	maintenance engineer and a housekeeper.	13	Was it an extended stay under Residence Inn?
14	TERRY O'BRIEN: Just to add, I was just	14	JEFF McKAY: I believe just Residence
15	going to say in this operation the employees tend to	15	Inn. Residence Inn is traditionally an extended
16	wear several hats; you know, where they're needed,	16	stay brand.
17	that's the job they tend to do, which is good	17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: By it's definition,
18	because you can cross-train your employees and gives	18	Residence Inn is in the extended stay category?
19	them good experience, too, that they can take into	19	
20	the industry further.	20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So what you are
21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. I have	21	_
22	no further questions, Mr. Chairman.	22	,
23	we	23	• •
24	questions, please	24	• •
25	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,	25	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. I don't

			T 010 : 1 0 11 0b.
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 60
	58		Most extended stay hotels have that ability for them
1	exactly. I don't know if there was tremendous I		for a person to stay at a hotel for a year or
2	wasn't there so I don't know, and I couldn't take it	2	more or less. With the Residence Inn and the
3	away from the resolution. I don't know if there was	3 4	WoodSpring Suites it would be the same opportunity.
4	significant focus in 2018 as to whether the	5	But, we, in the industry we have a
5	Residence Inn was an extended stay, but my	6	term called ESOC, which is extended stay occupancy
6	understanding is hat it is an extended stay. Even though the board may not have	7	and you see that without any extended stay. People
7	focused on it, we're saying, okay, we're replacing	8	stay for long periods of time in a Hampton Inn.
8	it with another extended stay hotel.	9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You could go to the
9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You're saying it's	10	Marriott by the mall and stay for a year.
10	a like product, you know, albeit based on the	11	TERRY O'BRIEN: Expensive but you
11 12	testimony a Residence Inn, the average person is	12	could.
13	there three to four nights, yours is more like 11?	13	GREG HEFLIN: You could absolutely do
	TERRY O'BRIEN: Right.	14	that.
14 15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. I guess what	15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: What's that?
16	I would like to understand from the length of the	16	TERRY O'BRIEN: It would be expensive
17	stay is, is there a maximum? I mean, could someone	17	but you could.
18	stay at this hotel for, like, a year?	18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I guess, here is
19	TERRY O'BRIEN: That's a good	19	kind of where I'm headed. At what point could
20	question. Unless there is a local restriction on	20	somebody be at your property, potentially have
21	that, which we have seen in some municipalities, to	21	children with them at the property, right, and then
22	be honest, yeah, somebody could stay there some	22	we absorb a responsibility to educate children?
23	of the municipalities we have worked in they have a	23	TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, I mean, I don't
24	30-day stay, and then they check out and then they	24	know how often that would happen. But to Greg's
25	have to re-check in or whatever.	25	point, you may have that in some of the existing
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 61
	59		hotels now, I don't know, where somebody is down on
1	But some municipalities do have that	1 2	their luck or whatever and they are a staying in one
2	requirement. But, yeah, I mean, but again, if you	3	of the other type of hotels.
3	have a traveling nurse who has with a six-month	4	I don't really know. I mean I don't
4		5	have an answer.
5	for six months. She'll stay that long. And so, yeah, I mean, and then there's	6	and outsited the double have asked
6		7	was a standard We don't have
7		8	the state of the s
8	to the transport of the	9	
10	to us with dealth you notablish residency	10	COUNTRY MAN ICTOCUL. Dut it could? I
10	11.1	11	
12		12	ATTORNEY PECK: It could but, again,
13	the state of the s	13	,
14	and the state of the state and the	14	
15	the state of the s	15	not unique to this hotel either; it could happen
16		16	
17	the state of the s	17	
18		18	
19	But I guess what my concern is, do we	19	
20	know what Bridgewater's standard is; do we have a	20	
2:		21	
2.	PLANNER SARMAD: Not that I'm aware	22	
23		23	DE ANNER CARLASS. I amplemize for my
24		24	the state of the base consthing
2	GREG HEFLIN: May I add some clarity?	2.	s ignorance before saying that we don't have something

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	62		64
1	I just quickly looked into the code, it does	1	some municipalities, I would think.
2	include that extended stay facilities for transients	2	GREG HEFLIN: Our corporate policy is
3	staying five or more days with an average seven to	3	not to take money from government officials to house
4	ten days, but not to exceed 89 days.	4	individuals. It hasn't been since the '90s when we
5	So there is a limitation of basically	5	worked there at Embassy Suites, it hasn't been
6	89.	6	since, and doesn't, you know, now.
7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But just so	7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I've got a question
8	okay, so that kind of jives with the 90-day thing	8	over here.
9	with the eviction law. Let me understand, what does	9	MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, it's a comment
10	that mean?	10	actually for Mr. Kirsh Councilman Kirsh.
11	Does that mean I can stay 89 days, I	11	Actually I, myself, stayed in a hotel with my son
12	check out for one day, and then I come back for	12	for a long period of time because of an assignment.
13	another 89 days?	13	So it is common actually. You know, many of the
14	PLANNER SARMAD: From a technical	14	international assignments also, comes and stay with
15	sense, yes. From an enforcement standpoint, if	15	the children. So, you know, whether it's 89 days
16	there was enforcement on things like you're	16	or my question was actually, what is the
17	talking about, implications with the school system	17	difference for the room number number of rooms on
18	and things like that, that could be something that	18	the WoodSpring as well as the Residence Inn, what
19	would be an enforcement issue.	19	was the do you know, Mr. Silbert what was the
20	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Do you think that	20	resolution passed for Residence Inn?
21	that 89 days means, okay, I have to move from Room	21	TERRY O'BRIEN: Seven less.
22	101 to Room 102?	22	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So now you are
23	PLANNER SARMAD: I don't think that's	23	basically talking about 33, 19, 19 plus 26, or
24	the intention. I think it's supposed to be	24	something, which is about 125 rooms, right?
25	something that is enforced by the hotel at a higher	25	GREG HEFLIN: We're 122.
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 63		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 65
١.	level, knowing that there's a code violation if they	1	TERRY O'BRIEN: It was 127 to 122. So
1	don't.	2	it came down five rooms.
3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So let me	3	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Oh, okay. So it's
1	ask this question, is the operator willing to, you	4	almost the same size, a similar size.
5	know, actively enforce, you know, this 89-day rule?	5	GREG HEFLIN: Yes.
6	TERRY O'BRIEN: Yes, We would.	6	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Other than the
7	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.	7	Residence Inn, have a pool; Residence Inn had a
8	I may have others, so maybe you can	8	pool, right?
9	move on to another board member.	9	GREG HEFLIN: Yes, a pool, a breakfast
10	MEMBER MAGURA: I have traveled and	10	area.
11	stayed in hotels and I have noticed that some of the	11	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Breakfast area,
12	hotels take in people and families being paid for by	12	yeah.
13	the township. It's because of the housing issues or	13	GREG HEFLIN: Expanded employee areas.
14	what-have-you, they put them up in hotels. Well, at	14	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, okay.
15	least in New York City, right, but I have seen it in	15	TERRY O'BRIEN: Little bit of meeting
16	some of the hotels, living there.	16	space.
17	TERRY O'BRIEN: It happens. We	17	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So those are the
18	haven't experienced it.	18	things that are basically going to be eliminated
19	MEMBER MAGURA: And I assume they're	19	here, and it's simpler?
20	more than 90 days. I assume they're there for	20	GREG HEFLIN: Yes, correct.
21	longer periods of time.	21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, I do
	TERRY O'BRIEN: I don't think it's a	22	have a couple others. I might bounce back and
22	ILKA O DRIEM. I don't dank it's d		
1	huge problem in some of the suburban locations we	23	forth.
22		1	-

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 68
	66		ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just didn't want
1	GREG HEFLIN: I don't think we have	1	
2	adjoining rooms, no.	2	to create a situation where 40 years from now a new
3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Because I think it	3	user comes in, say it's whatever it is, say they
4	would be important to stipulate that that not be the	4	want to increase the room size, or you know, I
5	case, because I think interconnected rooms, while,	5	just didn't want there to be something that runs
6	you know, may provide convenience under certain	6	with the land that would somehow jeopardize the
7	circumstance, I think it could open the door for,	7	marketability of the property 40 years from now. We
8	you know, making a two, you know, two, three-bedroom	8	don't have a crystal ball.
9	situation here, which I think could lead us down a	9	But there's no adjoining rooms proposed
10	challenging path.	10	with this application.
11	You know, again, I don't know how that	11	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But over time, the
12	impacts your business model.	12	property, even under the hands of this ownership
13	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Can I answer that	13	group or this management group could decide that it
14		14	needs a change, we're going to start doing some
15		15	internal construction and making some of the our
16		16	rooms interconnected.
17		17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sure, but there's
18		18	nothing that in your ordinance that would prohibit
19		19	that. Again, I just go back to the fact that
20		20	there's no reason that a WoodSpring Suites, which is
21		21	an extended stay hotel, should have a special
22		22	condition imposed upon it, that wouldn't otherwise
23		23	be imposed on a Residence Inn, and that's really
24	4 hotel approvals. I don't know for a fact, but just	24	what I fall back to. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, I'm saying
2!		25	
2!	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin	25	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
2:	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you	1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that?	1 2	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah,	1 2 3	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean,	1 2 3 4	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah.
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't	1 2 3 4	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not.
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring	1 2 3 4 5	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have"	1 2 3 4 5 6	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned	1 2 3 4 5 6	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category.	1 2 3 4 5 6	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that.
7-1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 11 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically
1 1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. 10 And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 11 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 12 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 12	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site?
3	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. 10 And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 11 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 12 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 13 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of
1 1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you 2 agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 10 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 11 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 12 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the 13 price point is pretty low, you know what's that?	1 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't
1 1 1 :	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 10 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 11 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 12 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the 13 price point is pretty low, you know what's that? 15 JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't	1 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have
1 1 1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 10 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 11 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 12 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the 13 price point is pretty low, you know what's that? 14 JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't 15 have any adjoining rooms.	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 10 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 11 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 12 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the 13 price point is pretty low, you know what's that? 14 JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't 15 have any adjoining rooms. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there
1 1 1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. 10 And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this becomes a situation where, oh, you can start stringing three rooms together and, you know, the price point is pretty low, you know what's that? 15 JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't have any adjoining rooms. 16 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none proposed.	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a
1 1 1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. 10 And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 11 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 12 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 13 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the 14 price point is pretty low, you know what's that? 15 JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't 16 have any adjoining rooms. 17 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none 18 proposed. 19 I will let you testify to that, Greg.	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. 10 And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 11 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 12 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 13 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the 14 price point is pretty low, you know what's that? 15 JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't 16 have any adjoining rooms. 17 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none 18 proposed. 19 I will let you testify to that, Greg. GREG HEFLIN: I don't believe there	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 2	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And if a toilet
1 1 1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 10 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 11 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 12 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the 13 price point is pretty low, you know what's that? 14 JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't 15 have any adjoining rooms. 16 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none 17 proposed. 18 I will let you testify to that, Greg. 19 GREG HEFLIN: I don't believe there 20 are any proposed. I believe, however, that the	1 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 2 2 2	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct.
1 1 1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 10 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 11 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 12 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the 13 price point is pretty low, you know what's that? 14 JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't 15 have any adjoining rooms. 16 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none 17 proposed. 18 I will let you testify to that, Greg. 19 GREG HEFLIN: I don't believe there 20 are any proposed. I believe, however, that the 21 Residence Inn does have adjoining rooms, or would	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And if a toilet
1 1 1	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 67 1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Peck, do you agree with that? 3 ATTORNEY PECK: That we can't yeah, 4 I would tend to agree. I mean, you can't I mean, 5 if it's a hotel, it's a hotel. I mean, I don't 6 think you can say, "Well, if it's a WoodSpring 7 hotel, you can't have" 8 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm not concerned 9 about the brand. I'm concerned about the category. And I'm concerned and, again, maybe this is 10 unfounded, but I'm concerned, you know, if this 11 becomes a situation where, oh, you can start 12 stringing three rooms together and, you know, the 13 price point is pretty low, you know what's that? 14 JEFF McKAY: He said that he doesn't 15 have any adjoining rooms. 16 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, there's none 17 proposed. 18 I will let you testify to that, Greg. 19 GREG HEFLIN: I don't believe there 20 are any proposed. I believe, however, that the	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 11 11 11 11 12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 69 maybe it ought to be, you know, on a going-forward basis. But I guess that's a question of potential ordinance change ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: or not. You mentioned the staffing. I think you said typically a general manager, assistant general manager, an engineer, housekeeper, wear multiple hats. Completely understand that. In overnight conditions, like 3:00 in the morning, how many of those people will typically be on-site? TERRY O'BRIEN: It's 24-hour. Some of these lower-end properties, I know sometimes don't have a desk after 11, anyone there. But we have someone on-site for 24 hours. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So if there is a question or problem, there is going to be a human there? TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And if a toilet clogs in the middle of the night, hopefully whether

consistently have that.

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	70		
1	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. All right,	1	he has come to the WoodSpring before. That does
2	I'm good for now.	2	happen. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm sure.
3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: It is fascinating.	3 4	TERRY O'BRIEN: When you think about
4	We learn so much in these hearings and some nights tonight, for example, we're learning about the	5	it, unfortunately, that would happen to any of us.
5 6	hotel industry and the hospitality industry and I	6	JEFF McKAY: I might add that we have
7	plea completely ignorant on this. But I did hear a	7	seen Johnny at the Residence Inn too.
8	few times, they talked about a different customer,	8	TERRY O'BRIEN: So where do you go, I
9	or that it's for a different customer.	9	mean, you know
10	And I'm just going to, for a second, go	10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, I want
11	from the outside looking in, you know, from a	11	to make sure I heard that right, "could" or "could
12	business perspective, what is the typical consumer	12	not" be working on their car out in your parking
13	that WoodSpring Suites is looking for?	13	lot?
14	Who is their target market?	14	TERRY O'BRIEN: Could not.
15	TERRY O'BRIEN: Obviously, anybody who	15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And is that because
16	wants to stay for an extended period of time, that's	16	we prohibit it or because your policies prohibit it?
17	the obvious answer, but it's all over the board.	17	TERRY O'BRIEN: Our policies prohibit
18	Like I was saying earlier, you know,	18	it, yes.
19	it's the traveling nurses. It's maybe if people who	19	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Your policies
20	are coming in to work on construction projects and	20	prohibit, okay.
21	they're going to be here for six months off and on,	21	So like in an emergency situation where
22	consultants that have these assignments.	22	you have to fix something in your car, yes, but if
23	And Greg and I, we have been in this a	23	somebody is, you know, changing the oil and
24	long time but we still are learning, I think. It's	24	detailing a car out in your parking lot, that would
25	amazing what you find out about who is staying at	25	violate your policies?
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 71		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 73
		1	TERRY O'BRIEN: Putting all four
1	your hotel. And it's just it's a huge market. And it's hard to really pinpoint and say this is	2	wheels on the jacks, working on the brakes, you
2	really what we target.	3	know, that's no.
3	But our salespeople do go out and call	4	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
5	on local companies and try to get, you know, their	5	TERRY O'BRIEN: But yeah, if you have a
6	employees that are coming into town to stay with us,	6	busted radiator hose or something, you have got to
7	just like any other hotel would. But they do drill	7	fix the car, obviously. But you know what I mean,
8	down and say well, what kind of company do we really	8	where a car's sitting there for a week and it's
9	want to target that is going to bring people in here	9	on
10	that is not just going to stay like the corporate	10	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Again, going back
11	guy does, one night or two nights, but somebody who	11	to be this whole it rests with the land, so if you
12	is going to stay here for a month and we can keep	12	have that policy, that makes me feel better. But do
13	that room full.	13	all hotels have that policy? I would say, maybe
14	So it's kind of a hard answer and I	14	not.
15	didn't give you a real good answer, but it's kind of	15	So what happens 25 years from now where
16	all over the board who our customer really is.	16	it is no longer you know, and you guys all seem
17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: How do you avoid the	17	like aboveboard, committed to making this work and I
18	situation where Johnny gets thrown out by his wife	18	respect that and I appreciate your willingness to
19	and he stays in the WoodSpring Suites and you find	19	come into our community. But the same way, you
20	him working on his car outside for 30 days; what is	20	know, we were asked about the 40 years from now, you
	the type of enforcement, at least for something like	21	know, with the connecting rooms, i'm looking at what happens 25 years from now when the whole property
21		22	nappens 25 years from now when the whole property
22	that?		• •
22 23	TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, we wouldn't	23	changes hands and, you know, it's like do whatever
22			changes hands and, you know, it's like do whatever you want, you know, we have loose rules. You know,

		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin				
T. O'Brien / G. Heflin			1. O'Brien / G. Heffin			
74						
1			averaging 11 largely because you don't have the			
	· -		onesie, twosie, threesie as part of that mix? You			
3	point, it's an emergency. But, you know, if you're		know what I'm saying?			
4	the state of the s		4 Because like the Residence Inn, you			
5	the state of the s		know, I have stayed like one night. It sounds like			
6	"No, You can't do that here, we're going to tow the	6	you would take the reservation and you would satisfy			
7	car; either you get it off the lot or we're going to	7	7 that customer, but the likelihood of them coming to			
8	tow the car."	8				
	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But to me it's a	9				
9	bit beyond the whole working-on-your-car thing. I	10	TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, it depends. It			
10	don't think we can allow ourselves to be sold on you	11	depends the time of year, obviously, the room			
11	are going to care for this property as though it's	12	demand, how full you are. You know, it's like			
12		13	anything else, any other commodity, if it's really			
13	your own, Because it sounds like you will. But	13	in demand, you know, you might not take that			
14	25 years from now, maybe somebody else owns it,	i	one-night stay, you might wait for the five-night			
15	maybe somebody else is operating it, maybe they	15				
16	don't have those same standards.	16	stay. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But wait a minute,			
17	You know, and that is just a concern	17	I went on your website today. You can put in			
18	that I have. I mean, maybe it's an open-ended	18				
19	concern that can't be answered in a forum like this.	19	specific dates, specific locations, like I guess			
20	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think you could	20	it's based on the property manager's desires, if			
21	probably say that about every commercial property.	21	they want to open it up to low stays during times			
22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yes, you can.	22	that you have rooms open, they'll take it.			
23	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And it would be the	23	TERRY O'BRIEN: Yes. That's what I			
24	operator's prerogative and it would be advantageous	24	was trying to say, exactly.			
25	to the property owner to trespass that individual	25	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. Thank			
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin			
	75	i	77			
	,5		//			
1	from their property.	1	you.			
1 2	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying	1 2	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals,			
	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying		you.			
2	from their property.	2	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard?			
2	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think	2	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just			
2 3 4 5	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that,	2 3 4	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions.			
2 3 4 5 6	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that	2 3 4 5	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms			
2 3 4 5 6 7	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they	2 3 4 5 6	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for	2 3 4 5 6 7	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	chairman vescio: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	chairman vescio: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much?			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much? TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, smaller rooms is			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some outliers, but they've got an awful lot of people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much? TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, smaller rooms is part of the total factor of the reduction of the			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some outliers, but they've got an awful lot of people like me, I've never stayed at a Residence Inn for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much? TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, smaller rooms is part of the total factor of the reduction of the square footage.			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some outliers, but they've got an awful lot of people like me, I've never stayed at a Residence Inn for more than maybe two nights. So that's how you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much? TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, smaller rooms is part of the total factor of the reduction of the square footage. ENGINEER BURR: Are all the rooms			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some outliers, but they've got an awful lot of people like me, I've never stayed at a Residence Inn for more than maybe two nights. So that's how you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much? TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, smaller rooms is part of the total factor of the reduction of the square footage. ENGINEER BURR: Are all the rooms the typical, in my mind, the typical efficiency			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from their property. Obviously, WoodSpring Suites is trying to deliver a quality product just like I would think most businesses in Bridgewater so. MEMBER MAGURA: While we're on that, we mentioned interconnecting rooms. I assume that it's going to be a structural modification, they would have to get permits for the structure, for electrical, the fire inspector. The Fire Marshal is going to be inspecting that building once a year and he will notice changes. Those guys have fantastic memories. TERRY O'BRIEN: Yep. Good point. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Last one for this segment, I promise. And thank you for your indulgence. So you said I'm going to come back to this point about Residence Inn being three to four nights on average. Probably they do have some outliers, but they've got an awful lot of people like me, I've never stayed at a Residence Inn for more than maybe two nights. So that's how you probably come to a three, four-night average.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Board professionals, do you have any questions on the testimony you just heard? ENGINEER BURR: Just a couple of quick questions. So the Marriott is going from 127 rooms to this property would be 122 rooms. And we heard that there are some amenities that are being taken out of this of this new model, the pool is going away, the breakfast facility, meeting rooms I think I heard. But those amenities in and of themselves don't constitute such a large decrease in the size of the building. So are the units themselves I don't think I heard are the units themselves shrinking significantly from what the Residence Inn was providing; is that how the building is being tightened up so much? TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah, smaller rooms is part of the total factor of the reduction of the square footage. ENGINEER BURR: Are all the rooms the typical, in my mind, the typical efficiency			

			T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		1. O'Brien / G. Heilin
	78	1 n	ne, look at that hotel, you don't have to do
	kitchenette and that's it; there's no one-bedroom,		nything they will give you a ticket and then you go
2.	two-bedrooms, they're all just single-occupancy, if		and stay there without any extra charges or anything
3	you will?		else.
4	TERRY O'BRIEN: That's right. Yes. ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And we talked a	5	Are you having in the process of having
5			co-sharing with SpringHill Suites as well as your
6	little bit about what the typical clientele might		notel or vice-versa?
7	be, where we heard consultants and long-stay nurses,	8	TERRY O'BRIEN: I didn't I didn't
8	et cetera. But has your company done a market	-	understand your question.
9	research of Bridgewater and the surrounding area to	10	MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Suppose one of your
10	feel comfortable that, you know, this product is	!	SpringHill Suites obviously is a different
11	viable at this location? TERRY O'BRIEN: Absolutely. It's one		operator, right? And you're is a different
12		1	operating company, that's correct?
13	of the strongest market studies that we have ever	14	TERRY O'BRIEN: Different owners.
14	gotten back actually. ENGINEER BURR: It's one of the can	15	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Generally when you
15		1	have same hotel in the different area fully booked
16	you repeat? TERRY O'BRIEN: Strongest markets	I .	up, the hotel give actually give you okay, Mr.
17			Choudury, you can go and we already talked about it,
18	we've looked at. ENGINEER BURR: Is that right? Very	1	you just go there.
19		20	TERRY O'BRIEN: We haven't yet but we
20	good, thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Mr. Burr.		yeah, we definitely that's a common practice in
21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You have other	1	the industry.
22	locations. I think there's one in South Plainfield,	23	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So you do the same
23	right? There's a couple I think closer to 95?	24	thing so people don't have to go back somewhere else
24	TERRY O'BRIEN: Linden, if you know	25	because you have two hotels nearby?
25	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin	+	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	79		81
1	where that is.	1	TERRY O'BRIEN: Usually that's just
2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yep.	2	sort of a no-brainer because it's right there and
3	TERRY O'BRIEN: Those are not our	3	you just go right next door and you have the working
4	properties but they're part of our system.	4	relationship.
5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: This brand, not	5	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay, thank you.
6	your management group?	6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chair, earlier
7	TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. South	7	in the testimony, you made reference to, you need a
8	Brunswick, Linden. Is there one in East Brunswick?	8	first domino to trigger potentially the other
9	GREG HEFLIN: South Plainfield.	9	projects here. So I just want to make sure that I
10	TERRY O'BRIEN: South Plainfield, I'm	10	understand that presuming that you obtain this
11	sorry.	11	approval, presuming that your financing I know
12	GREG HEFLIN: There's one a little	12	it's very complicated, you don't just snap your
13	further up 95, I can't think of the name of the	13	finger and a hotel shows up. I understand it's a
14		14	lengthy and complicated process.
15	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman?	15	But do you anticipate, you know no
16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury.	16	obligation but do you reasonably anticipate that
17	TERRY O'BRIEN: There's 235	17	that will then trigger the activity necessary to see
18	nationally, with another 75 under development,	18	the SpringHill built, we'll see the retail in the
19	probably.	19	front built?
20	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yeah, my question is	20	TERRY O'BRIEN: I can't really speak
2:	actually now that you will have two hotel probably	21	to the retail but typically retail users do like to
22		22	see hotels because there's people that will come and
2.	travel every week, so when I go to some hotel, and	23	spend money.
2.		24	And by the way, we're self-funded. We
	and the second s	10-	the comment was a series of the series of th
2	already booked up, when I reserve it they will tell	25	have a fund we work with. We don't have to get

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 84		
	financing. You know we build them out of cash	1	they can get someone to say I can stay there for		
1	financing. You know, we build them out of cash.		30 days, that's where they're staying. So this		
2	But yeah, I think obviously I think		demand is everywhere and nationally it's so		
3					
4	trigger people saying, oh, there's something's going	4	Again, I can't answer the question,		
5	on over there finally, because it's been sitting for	5	where is it all coming from. I mean, we're always		
6	a long time.	6	trying to figure that out, but it's not an easy		
7	But, yeah, I think if one goes, then	7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
8	maybe the other one goes. I don't know I don't	8	answer. But it's everywhere. I mean, this extended		
9	know the particulars on the SpringHill so I can't	9	stay demand, it's everywhere. You just have to find		
10	speak to that. Maybe Jeff might be able to.	10	it.		
11	JEFF McKAY: If I can comment on the	11	Typically if you build a new box, which		
12	commercial	12	obviously is what we want to do, new always sells,		
13	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: They may want you	13	and so that pulls a lot of business in your		
14	to come to the microphone just so everyone can hear.	14	direction.		
15	JEFF McKAY: Just a comment briefly on	15	PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you.		
16	the commercial out front, they have actually lost	16	As far as do you have, like, target		
17	some tenants as a result of, you know, not having	17	occupancy rates for this type of hotel?		
18	the hotels, right.	18	TERRY O'BRIEN: This hotel will		
19	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Uncertainty, okay.	19	typically run anywhere from 80 low 80s to almost		
20	JEFF McKAY: Yeah. So if that helps to	20	90-percent occupancy, believe it or not.		
21	answer your question, we think that once we get a	21	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And when you		
22	hotel done, the users will come and finish off the	22	go to build, is there a price range? Is there like		
23	front with the commercial.	23	a bottom and a for a price that you kind of keep		
24	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: If I'm looking at	24	as far as the quality of the hotel?		
25	the interplay between the two potential hotels, they	25	TERRY O'BRIEN: Price from		
					
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		
	83		85		
1	83 are very different models, you know, but there's a	1	85 development?		
1 2	83 are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see	2	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per		
1	83 are very different models, you know, but there's a		development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night?		
2	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct?	2 3 4	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per		
2 3	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct.	2 3	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again,		
2 3 4	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks.	2 3 4	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably		
2 3 4 5	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct.	2 3 4 5	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139.		
2 3 4 5 6	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals.	2 3 4 5 6	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it		
2 3 4 5 6 7	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything	2 3 4 5 6 7	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater specifically; what are some of the specific		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from. TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, some of it is in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater specifically; what are some of the specific measures?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from. TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, some of it is in existing hotels. As Greg said earlier, what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater specifically; what are some of the specific measures? TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, I think you have		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from. TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, some of it is in existing hotels. As Greg said earlier, what we call, ESOC, extended stay demand, it's they stay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater specifically; what are some of the specific measures? TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, I think you have a real diverse economy here; you know, you have a		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are very different models, you know, but there's a belief that reasonably in the near future could see could see investment in both of those properties, correct? JEFF McKAY: Correct. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Is there anything else from our professionals. PLANNER SARMAD: I just have a couple of questions. To Mr. Burr's question about has a market analysis been conducted, which I believe you've answered. When you look at that market analysis, where are those where is the demand coming from? So like where are just out of curiosity, where are the nurses, and the military and the people that are in construction jobs, where are they currently now and where is the demand coming from. TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, some of it is in existing hotels. As Greg said earlier, what we call, ESOC, extended stay demand, it's they stay in a Hampton Inn, or they stay in one of your older	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	development? PLANNER SARMAD: Sorry, price per night? TERRY O'BRIEN: Oh, from a price per night? I would assume in this market and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me probably 129 a night, 139. GREG HEFLIN: Yeah. I think here it was like \$90 so. TERRY O'BRIEN: So somewhere in that range. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. And I think that's all I have for these witnesses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, you mentioned earlier that Bridgewater was a very strong market choice. What are some of the metrics that are used to classify your term "strong"? What is it about Bridgewater specifically; what are some of the specific measures? TERRY O'BRIEN: Well, I think you have a real diverse economy here; you know, you have a lot of big companies and you also have a lot of		

T. O'Brien / G. Heflin T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 88 86 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions? business. The retail is good. The housing is 1 Any other questions from the board? strong. It's just a great community, and the whole 2 2 Okay, at this time I would like to open 3 3 general area. up to any members of the public who would like to This is really the second project I 4 4 ask questions based on the testimony you just heard. 5 have worked on up here, I have worked on another 5 JOHN KULAK: John Kulak again, 13 project back in the teens that I never got built, it 6 6 Ramsey Street. I heard, as you were describing the was a Cambria Hotel which I think eventually got 7 7 brand, the extended stay and then, Katherine, I approved as a Home2 Suites, but it hasn't been built 8 8 think you addressed the municipal ordinance in place 9 9 vet. that protects people from establishing residency at 10 But I remember even back then when 10 your -- maybe the economy was even stronger here your location. 11 11 In New Jersey law, and I would have to prior to COVID, you know, it's just -- it was kind 12 12 defer to Mr. Peck, but it states that if you are of a no-brainer, and you walk in and it's just --13 13 90 days in one place consecutively and you list that and again, some of this is intuitive to me because 14 14 as your permanent address, then the hotel has to this is what I have done my whole life -- but just a 15 15 enter into a different agreement with you, which is good community with a lot of good support services 16 16 more of a landlord-tenant agreement than just a and a lot of job growth and a lot of good companies. 17 17 hotel, you're providing hotel services. And that's kind of the ideal terrain 18 18 So as long as we're protected from for a hotel product regardless of what price point 19 19 that, then we have a way to protect the township and 20 you're at. 20 the adjacent residents from a -- what could become a 21 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Sometimes we ask 21 less-desirable community staying at your hotel. those questions because we figure that 15 or 20 22 22 23 I know you don't want that. I'm years ago it was an no-brainer. You know, this was 23 certain we don't. But we have two well-established a major piece of the pharmaceutical corridor, and 24 24 thriving communities right next to this hotel and you think today it's a vastly different world. 25 25 T. O'Brien / G. Heflin T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 89 87 the last thing we want to do is expose our residents So it begs the question, what is it 1 to a transient population that is trying to specifically about Bridgewater, is it mostly 2 cooperate or is there some other element that makes 3 establish residence. 3 Not to say I don't feel for the --4 it that attractive to people? 4 what's the proper term now -- unhoused people. We TERRY O'BRIEN: Yeah. It's funny, you 5 5 have a number of illegal immigrants in this state know, and I have been in the hotel business a long 6 that the Governor has refused to identify. So we time but it's kind of a broad-brush comment, and not 7 don't know who is going to come to your place with specific to extended stay but when I was first intentions other than staying 20 days, or 11 days starting in the industry, you know, you went out to 9 10 look for a site, and you would look, 5 million 10 So as long as we can protect the 11 square foot of office, okay, I want to build here 11 residents and the hoteliers agree to stipulate to because there's a lot of business here. 12 12 have stays less than that period of time, then I And back then the weekends at a hotel 13 13 think we're in a better place overall. So thank you were empty, and midweek you were full. Well, 14 that's -- it hasn't turned the midweek but weekend you. 15 15 TERRY O'BRIEN: Thank you. business at hotels has just skyrocketed in the last 16 16 LARS KNUDSON: Would you like me to 15, 20 years. And it's soccer teams. It's hockey 17 17 reenter my name in the record? teams. It's traveling sports. It's families. 18 18 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: If you could? You know, it's this huge demand that --19 19 LARS KNUDSON: Would you like me to 20 and there's a lot of that out here, too. And this 20 reenter my name into the record?

21

22

23

24

25

it but...

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: If you could. I have

LARS KNUDSON: It's Lars Knudson,

K-N-U-D-S-O-N. President of the Board of Trustees

21 demand is now there and it was never there 25 --

22 when I started in the industry, a hotel couldn't run

one that probably isn't running 80 percent.

23

24

25

30 percent on the weekend. And now you can't find

So it's kind of interesting.

	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		
	90		92		
1			say, based upon Mr. Kulak's questions, if the board		
2			and, Mr. Peck, if you want to put into the		
3	· -		resolution of approval assuming we receive one at		
4			the next hearing a specific reference to the ordinance that Ms. Sarmad referenced		
5	three parking spaces. Do you know where those	5			
6	parking spaces will be lost from?	6	ATTORNEY PECK: I already have that,		
7	ATTORNEY PECK: Would these be more	7	yeah ATTORNEY SILBERT: Wonderful.		
8	appropriately directed at the engineer?	8	ATTORNEY PECK: in some way or		
9	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah.	9	on the control of the		
10	ATTORNEY PECK: Sorry to have to	10			
11	LARS KNUDSON: That's fine.	11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
12	ATTORNEY PECK: And I think these are	12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Absolutely. We're		
13	more appropriate for the site engineer.	13	good to do that. ATTORNEY PECK: the definition		
14	LARS KNUDSON: That's fine. That is	14	,		
15	fine. I wanted to make sure that I'm that's who	15	section for extended stay lodging.		
16	I understood was going to be able to answer these	16	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. LARS KNUDSON: There was one other		
17	questions, and it was represented that the next two	17			
18	witnesses	18	thing that just apologies, I just wanted to raise		
19	ATTORNEY PECK: I heard that, too, but	19	to the board in particular, regarding the notice		
20	maybe the engineer is coming up, I don't know.	20	•		
21	LARS KNUDSON: I'll defer those	21	notice for this was sent by certified mail,		
22	questions. I am concerned, it's 10:45 now, are we	22	···· •		
23	going to have time to get to that?	23	" - " - " - d-liveand and it		
24	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: We're probably going	24	would have made it a little more difficult for us to		
25	to have to carry; we're approaching the hour.	25	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 91		93		
		1	be able to reach out to our association counsel to		
1	ATTORNEY PECK: As long as there's no	2	get advice on this.		
2	LANG CONTROL AND A WILL BUILD	3	I just wanted that to be entered into		
3	y to to to the fourth a mout who and Possysso if	4	the record for consideration in case there are any		
4	T 11 4.6 11 -6	5			
5		6			
6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	the Association of the Chelsea Village Condo		
7		8	Association who did not immediately border adjoin		
8		9	the southern and western boundaries of the subject		
9		10	property, even though the condo association the		
110	10 United States, that if you can ask any questions of				
1 44	the witnesses And obviously we'll try to	1 11	property is a condo association, most of that		
11		11 12	property is a condo association, most of that property is held in common by all of the residents.		
12	accommodate and have them back out.	12	property is held in common by all of the residents.		
12	accommodate and have them back out. LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions	12 13	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the		
12 13 14	accommodate and have them back out. LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's	12 13 14	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on		
12 13 14 15	accommodate and have them back out. LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine.	12 13 14 15	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were.		
12 13 14 15	accommodate and have them back out. LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine. I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh	12 13 14 15 16	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were. But that being said, we are willing to		
12 13 14 15 16	accommodate and have them back out. LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine. I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh particularly, and the board, for raising the issues	12 13 14 15	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were. But that being said, we are willing to waive those objections if we have adequate		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	accommodate and have them back out. LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine. I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh particularly, and the board, for raising the issues about the the market of the new hotel. Thank you	12 13 14 15 16	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were. But that being said, we are willing to		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine. I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh particularly, and the board, for raising the issues about the the market of the new hotel. Thank you very much for raising that. A lot of my questions	12 13 14 15 16 17	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were. But that being said, we are willing to waive those objections if we have adequate opportunity to question and get satisfaction of our concerns from the engineer.		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine. I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh particularly, and the board, for raising the issues about the the market of the new hotel. Thank you very much for raising that. A lot of my questions were answered with that.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were. But that being said, we are willing to waive those objections if we have adequate opportunity to question and get satisfaction of our concerns from the engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine. I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh particularly, and the board, for raising the issues about the the market of the new hotel. Thank you very much for raising that. A lot of my questions were answered with that. Just looking through my list of my	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were. But that being said, we are willing to waive those objections if we have adequate opportunity to question and get satisfaction of our concerns from the engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Just to very		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine. I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh particularly, and the board, for raising the issues about the the market of the new hotel. Thank you very much for raising that. A lot of my questions were answered with that. Just looking through my list of my notes and seeing if there's anything that I want to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were. But that being said, we are willing to waive those objections if we have adequate opportunity to question and get satisfaction of our concerns from the engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Just to very quickly, respond to that, I'll go through the list		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine. I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh particularly, and the board, for raising the issues about the the market of the new hotel. Thank you very much for raising that. A lot of my questions were answered with that. Just looking through my list of my notes and seeing if there's anything that I want to raise for the you know, I'm going to defer my	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were. But that being said, we are willing to waive those objections if we have adequate opportunity to question and get satisfaction of our concerns from the engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Just to very quickly, respond to that, I'll go through the list and see if the condo association was on it. Under		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LARS KNUDSON: Most of my questions are going to be directed to the engineer, so that's that's fine. I do want to thank Mr. Kirsh particularly, and the board, for raising the issues about the the market of the new hotel. Thank you very much for raising that. A lot of my questions were answered with that. Just looking through my list of my notes and seeing if there's anything that I want to raise for the you know, I'm going to defer my questions to the engineer.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	property is held in common by all of the residents. So if you want to look at notice going forward, the condo association should definitely have been on notice and I don't believe we were. But that being said, we are willing to waive those objections if we have adequate opportunity to question and get satisfaction of our concerns from the engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Just to very quickly, respond to that, I'll go through the list and see if the condo association was on it. Under the MLUL, the applicant's only requirements are in		

	T 013 : 10 11 11		T O'Prion / C Hoffin
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 96
	94	1	only one hearing deep into this application and two
1			into the other.
2			I ran into a situation where we were 20
3	·		meetings deep, new board members. It was a major
4	<u>~</u>		issue.
5	potentially entertaining, because of the other	5 6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: We're not going
6	applications and the caseload, the possibility and correct me if I'm mistaken but either a	7	20 hearings.
7	I	8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I don't think so,
8	Special Meeting to start this hearing at 6:00 p.m. or 6:30 p.m. to hear this first, which is why I made	9	not this application.
9	the opening remarks as the beginning.	10	But, Mr. Chairman, at the very
10	So it wasn't to be underhanded. I	11	beginning of the hearing you had referenced the
11	couldn't provide notice until it was confirmed that	12	Hulya Has application which was slated as third on
12	it would be at its regular meeting time which was	13	the agenda. I just wanted to make sure it was clear
13	very close to the holiday and we had 200 notices to	14	on the record that there's no new notice required
14	prepare, so it took a long time.	15	for that application, that it's being carried
15 16	LARS KNUDSON: I appreciate that. I	16	without new notice to the 17th.
17	have had on that end too so.	17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes.
18	Assuming the board is going to carry	18	ATTORNEY PECK: That's correct.
19	this, I'm going to defer any of my other questions	19	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. Thank
20	for the engineer.	20	you very much.
21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.	21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any subsequent
22	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Chairman, is	22	questions of the witnesses, members of the public?
23	December 17th okay?	23	Questions pertinent to the testimony
24	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: December 17th, yes.	24	you just heard, please.
25	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Let me just confirm	25	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Yes. Mitch Zaytoun
	<u>-</u>	1	
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 95		T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 97
1		1	·
1 2	95	1 2	97
	95 with my engineer.		97 once again, 130 Cheisea Way.
2	95 with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on	2	97 once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking
2	95 with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day?	2	97 once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything
2 3 4	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed.	2 3 4	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site.
2 3 4 5	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure,	2 3 4 5	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at
2 3 4 5 6	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of	2 3 4 5 6	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional
2 3 4 5 6 7	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like	2 3 4 5 6 7	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we hadbut none of the properties we own or operate, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we had but none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet ATTORNEY PECK: I ran into that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we had but none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there. But if there was a situation were there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet ATTORNEY PECK: I ran into that question with another board but, no, what will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we hadbut none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there. But if there was a situation were there was problems we, obviously, would deal with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet ATTORNEY PECK: I ran into that question with another board but, no, what will happen if it carries over and you have new board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we hadbut none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there. But if there was a situation were there was problems we, obviously, would deal with it. MITCH ZAYTOUN: This property is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet ATTORNEY PECK: I ran into that question with another board but, no, what will happen if it carries over and you have new board members, they would just have to certify that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we had but none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there. But if there was a situation were there was problems we, obviously, would deal with it. MITCH ZAYTOUN: This property is unique in the sense that there's residents very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet ATTORNEY PECK: I ran into that question with another board but, no, what will happen if it carries over and you have new board members, they would just have to certify that they listened to the tape or reviewed the transcript.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we hadbut none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there. But if there was a situation were there was problems we, obviously, would deal with it. MITCH ZAYTOUN: This property is unique in the sense that there's residents very close to your property. Security, to me, would be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet ATTORNEY PECK: I ran into that question with another board but, no, what will happen if it carries over and you have new board members, they would just have to certify that they listened to the tape or reviewed the transcript. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Same as tonight for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we hadbut none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there. But if there was a situation were there was problems we, obviously, would deal with it. MITCH ZAYTOUN: This property is unique in the sense that there's residents very close to your property. Security, to me, would be a necessary being that there's a lot of children in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet ATTORNEY PECK: I ran into that question with another board but, no, what will happen if it carries over and you have new board members, they would just have to certify that they listened to the tape or reviewed the transcript. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Same as tonight for any who not here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we hadbut none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there. But if there was a situation were there was problems we, obviously, would deal with it. MITCH ZAYTOUN: This property is unique in the sense that there's residents very close to your property. Security, to me, would be a necessary being that there's a lot of children in our complex under the age 11, and security and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet ATTORNEY PECK: I ran into that question with another board but, no, what will happen if it carries over and you have new board members, they would just have to certify that they listened to the tape or reviewed the transcript. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Same as tonight for any who not here? ATTORNEY PECK: Correct. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we had but none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there. But if there was a situation were there was problems we, obviously, would deal with it. MITCH ZAYTOUN: This property is unique in the sense that there's residents very close to your property. Security, to me, would be a necessary being that there's a lot of children in our complex under the age 11, and security and safety is of the utmost importance to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with my engineer. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Nancy, we're good on that day? ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Confirmed. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just for procedure, though, because December 17th is our last meeting of the year, do we have a requirement to adjudicate cases in a calendar year? We do not. ATTORNEY PECK: No. It's not like with the governing body adopting an ordinance. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. If somehow one other cases, despite our intention, is not completed that night, we can we might not be able to select a date yet because we don't have the meeting schedule yet ATTORNEY PECK: I ran into that question with another board but, no, what will happen if it carries over and you have new board members, they would just have to certify that they listened to the tape or reviewed the transcript. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Same as tonight for any who not here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	once again, 130 Chelsea Way. The gentleman that was up here speaking before, he mentioned staff. I didn't hear anything about a security, licensed security officer on-site. Are they going to have someone like that on-site at all times? ATTORNEY SILBERT: One moment. I apologize, I didn't know there were additional questions. So we'll call him back to answer that. TERRY O'BRIEN: Sorry about that. So you're asking if there is security on-site. Typically not, depending on location. If there was a need for it, certainly. If we hadbut none of the properties we own or operate, we don't have any full-time security there. But if there was a situation were there was problems we, obviously, would deal with it. MITCH ZAYTOUN: This property is unique in the sense that there's residents very close to your property. Security, to me, would be a necessary being that there's a lot of children in our complex under the age 11, and security and

			T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 98	T. O'Brien / G. Heffin			
	- I		and representations from 2018, you will abide by and		
1	I mean, we typically always make friends with law enforcement, locally. We try to		carry over.		
2	get them to drive the lot as much as they can,		ATTORNEY SILBERT: Absolutely.		
3	things like that, the basics that you do. We're		COUNCILMAN KIRSH: On the point of a		
5	big, big fans of that. But we haven't had any real	4 5	fence, you know, and this is true for any		
5 6	problems so far so.	6	residential or commercial case, when somebody builds		
7	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Living here for		a fence as a condition, there's a requirement to		
8	25 years, we have had problems in that site.	8	maintain that over time, it's not just, hey, we		
9	TERRY O'BRIEN: Really? Even being	9	built a fence and a storm knocked it over, we don't		
10	empty?	10	have to have a fence anymore, correct?		
11	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Empty and full. When	11	Am I right; is that correct?		
12	the hotel was active there were sites there was	12	I mean, if there's going to be a		
13	police called in there multiple times when I lived	13	condition that there be a fence, that means a		
14	here.	14	working fence, not just on opening day.		
15	So it's just something that I hope the	15	ATTORNEY PECK: We can specify a		
16	board will consider, I hope you consider, that	16	condition that a fence shall be not only installed,		
17	safety/security is the utmost importance for our	17	but shall be maintained		
18	children.	18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Maintained.		
19	TERRY O'BRIEN: Absolutely.	19	ATTORNEY PECK: as a condition, for		
20	100 percent.	20	so long as the hotel exists.		
21	MITCH ZAYTOUN: Thank you.	21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I was going to go		
22	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And to that point,	22	through the resolution just to see exactly what it		
23	I think when it was the Days Inn property there may	23	said about the fence, but we could agree to a		
24	have been some concern about the state of a fence	24	condition that we'll maintain the fence as long as		
25	that may have been there. Maybe there was certain	25	25 it's being used in conjunction with the hotel. If T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		
	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin 99	1			
1	чч	ı	101		
		1			
1	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and	1 2	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives		
2	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing		that answers your concern.		
2	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property.	2	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives		
2 3 4	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be	2	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because,		
2 3 4 5	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only	2 3 4	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a		
2 3 4 5 6	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on	2 3 4 5	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't		
2 3 4 5 6 7	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there	2 3 4 5 6	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment.	2 3 4 5 6 7	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the		
2 3 4 5 6 7	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly? JEFF McKAY: Whatever is agreed to has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 tt 17 18 19 20 21	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was a tree that was damaged during one of the storms		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly? JEFF McKAY: Whatever is agreed to has been agreed to. We will honor, as I mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was a tree that was damaged during one of the storms from that came through about a year ago. And		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly? JEFF McKAY: Whatever is agreed to has been agreed to. We will honor, as I mentioned earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 t 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was a tree that was damaged during one of the storms from that came through about a year ago. And that fence has still not been repaired. We tried to		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	breaks in the fence or deterioration of a fence and I guess it's my understanding that there is fencing involved here with this property. And, you know, I think it would just be our desire to make sure that, you know, not only does the property look as we expect, you know, on opening day when everything looks great, but there just be that continued commitment. JEFF McKAY: I would just like to note that this was an issue back in 2018 and we, as the developer, agreed to a fence. And it runs that whole backside between the the neighboring Chelsea property there. And there is stipulations in the fence. I don't want to tell on myself, but it's a nice fence and it's a big fence. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: With a commitment that any any, you know, deterioration over time or anything else that might happen would be addressed quickly? JEFF McKAY: Whatever is agreed to has been agreed to. We will honor, as I mentioned earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that answers your concern. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, it gives me a measure of comfort. You know, just because, oops, a storm came through, now we don't have a fence anymore. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. If that condition is put in there, then you know, I don't know specifically what the ordinance says about upkeep, but the zoning officer, for example, if the fence was not kept in proper reasonable condition, could issue a zoning violation saying you were not in accordance with approval. I assume when the board says you have to put a fence in it is implied, although perhaps not put in the last resolution that you expect the fence to be kept and well-maintained. So I think it's very reasonable. LARS KNUDSON: Apologies for jumping back in here, but in this regard, we did have a tree fall on the existing fence about a year ago. It was a tree that was damaged during one of the storms from that came through about a year ago. And that fence has still not been repaired. We tried to reach out to the property owners to have it		

T O'Dries / C U	T. O'Brien / G. Heflin		
1. O Bielly G. Fiction	1. O'Brien / G. Heilin 104		
102			
1 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. O'Brien, the 1 the future as well. So thank you 2 TERRY O'BRIEN: Al			
2 previous resident brings up the	: Thank you very much.		
3 ATTORNE STEELER WORLD			
4 it repaired as a condition of approval. And that 4 Any other questions? Okay. The	1		
5 goes right along with the condition that we just 5 public portion for these two with			
6 discussed. 6 Mr. Silbert, where	T: So we do have our		
AN SELLIT. Good evening. Dan State)			
8 S-E-E-L-E-Y. 26 Chelsea Way. Thank you, everyone, 8 civil engineer. If the board will			
9 for burning the midnight oil. 9 engineer, we would love to bring			
10 I did have one question to jump onto 10 CHAIRMAN VESCIO			
11 the security. There was no mention and it might 11 11:00 p.m. how many witnesses			
12 have been in the paperwork, I apologize, any 12 ATTORNEY SILBER	1: That's our final		
13 security or surveillance systems at the site that 13 witness.	To the second final		
14 Will probably go a long way let just): That's your final		
15 security in the building for the tenants and the 15 witness.	T 1 1 10		
16 MEMBER ATKINS:			
17 ATTORNEY PECK: Cameras and things 17 CHAIRMAN VESCIO			
18 like triat?	T: Mr. Folk is local.		
19 LEWA O BIZZIA. Z CHINA MZ 112.0 ZZ	SH: Because here is		
20 cameras, I know. Inside and outside the building, 20 what my concern is. You know,			
21 both. 21 adjudicate this tonight but, you			
DAN SEELEY: Okay. And is that for 22 some period of time for that tes			
23 the whole property or just okay. 23 be questions from the audience			
24 TERRY O'BRIEN: Yes. 24 comments from the audience, t			
25 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, 25 deliberations. That and we're a			
T. O'Brien / G. Heflin	105		
103 1 little tired here.			
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	as he's local and I		
2 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Just to clarify 3 guess as long as we're and p			
3 your question that you just asked, Mr. Seeley, it's 4 and I don't know if maybe we do			
4 22 cameras associated with the WoodSpring Suites on 5 bite at the apple to the audience	e for any of your		
5 Land Unit D. 6 out-of-towners, basically now is	s the time to ask a		
6 TERRY O'BRIEN: And it shoots the 7 question to the out-of-towners.			
	suggestion. I know,		
8 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So it's fair to 9 Mr. Chairman, it's ultimately yo	our call. That seems		
9 assume, WoodSpring Suites is a fully-surveilled 10 like the fair and neighborly thir	ig to do at this		
10 establishment? 11 late hour.			
11 TERRY O'BRIEN: Correct. 12 CHAIRMAN VESCI	O: I do see that the		
12 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's how many 13 testimony is probably going to			
13 cameras? 14 hour, not the testimony itself to			
14 ATTORNEY PECK: 22. 15 So I think it would			
15 TERRY O'BRIEN: 22.			
16 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. I'm giad that			
17 the resident brings that up.			
18 I, personally, was subjected to a time			
19 In a Courtyard years ago, to which my car was			
20 completely vandalized and everything stolen from.	RSH: Anyone who is not		
121 So years ago they did not have that type of	COLL MITTORIC WHO IS HOL		
22 surveillance in place. 22 going to be here on the 17th.			
23 I'm very fortunate that that resident 23 CHAIRMAN VESC	IO. Dight anyons who is		
	IO: Right, anyone who is		
24 did bring that up because this is something near and 24 not going to be here, I agree.	IO: Right, anyone who is will open it back up		

	106	1	108		
1	to the public to anyone who wishes to ask any		that are just simply not improved yet, correct?		
2	questions based on all the testimony you heard this		ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. Right, It		
3	-		could almost be constructed in phases, where first		
4			4 part of the phase might be SpringHill and then,		
5	•		maybe one of the retail components or pads would		
6	BOB ZGORZYNSKI: I'm Bob Zgorzynski. I		follow. So I think that you're looking at most		
7	live at 119 Chelsea Way.	7			
8	My question is, is that I wasn't here	8	8 But I would think for each land unit		
9	for the original, but I would like to know about the	9			
10	timing of things, like when does the fence go up?	10	constructed. So you wouldn't have SpringHill		
11	When does the landscaping go up?	11	without any of the landscaping shown for that		
12	If they're only building one hotel and	12	specific land unit installed.		
13	they don't build the rest of the site, is all the	13	BOB ZGORZYNSKI: I'm guess I'm looking		
14	landscaping going up? Is all the improvements going	14	at the perimeter. I'm looking at, you know Chelsea		
15	up?	15	Way, I'm looking at what buffers there are along		
16	What is the timing of all this?	16	Chelsea Way and around the whole perimeter of the		
17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. So I think	17	site. It really doesn't affect the buildings but		
18	before that they can pull a building permit for any	18	there is a cost associated with that. I would think		
19	component of this site, they have to comply with the	19	that, you know, that we would like to see it put up.		
20	conditions of the original approval and now, if this	20	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, I would		
21	were to get approved, the conditions of this	21	it's a good question. Allow me to review the 2018		
22	approval.	22	resolution and just see if there was how it		
23	So whatever the resolution says here	23	addressed, like, phasing and I can get back to you		
24	about what has to be improved before they can start	24	at the next hearing with an answer of that.		
25	building is what the applicant would have to	25	BOB ZGORZYNSKI: Is that resolution		
	107		109		
1	provide.	1	online? I could get that online?		
2	So, for example, as Mr. Folk will	2	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'll give it to		
3	testify to at the next hearing, he's going to talk a	3	YOU.		
4	lot about the on-site and existing infrastructure	4	BOB ZGORZYNSKI: Oh, thank you. ATTORNEY SILBERT: You can submit an		
5	that's already been improved on the site in	5			
6	connection with the prior approval. So there is a	6	Open Public records request to the board. BOB ZGORZYNSKI: Thank you.		
7	lot of infrastructure that has to be constructed on	7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sure.		
8	the property prior to building, you know, the	8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,		
9	BOB ZGORZYNSKI: But before they start	9			
10	doing so in other words, they only build that	10	Mr. Zgorzynski. Okay, Mr. Silbert, it seems that we can		
11	component, they don't build the rest of the	11	offer you December 17th.		
12	component, they still have to do all of the	12	Nancy, we're okay with that date still?		
13	landscaping infrastructure?	13	ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Yes.		
14	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah. I'll defer	14	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. Yeah, I		
15	that to our civil engineer, but I would think that	15			
16	at least as it's related to a specific land unit, if	16	think the three applications that evening, your		
17	they're developing Land Unit D, then all of the	17	Hulya Has has no witnesses, and then we have one		
18	landscaping would presumably have to be in place on	18	witness remaining for Chick-fil-A, and one witness		
19	Land Unit D.	19	remaining, so I think it's very doable to complete		
20		20	all three. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I think so.		
21	forward for one of the other land units.	21			
22		22			
23	·	23	board very much for indulging me and R.I. Heritage		
24	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24	Inn, allowing the out-of-towners to get their		
25	and you have a road through other parts of the site	25	testimony in tonight. So thank you very much for		

	110
1	staying and accommodating, we appreciate it. Thank
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. And we
4	do apologize for the order. And thank you very much
5	for those that came far away, and for the residents
6	that came out this evening, as well.
7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.
8	
9	(Whereupon, the application was
10	adjourned at the meeting concluded.)
11	
12	(Time noted, 11:03 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	111

CERTIFICATE

Ingela C. Suoranteuro

1

2 3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

I, ANGELA C. BUONANTUONO, a Notary Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that prior to the commencement, the witnesses were duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceeding as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative, nor employee, nor attorney, nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative, nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

18 19 20

21 22

23

Angela C. Buonantuono, CCR, RPR, CLR NJ License No. 30XI00233100 Notary Public Commission No. 50014616

24 25

				<u> </u>
	40.40	400 to 10-12	35:25, 69:23,	66:18, 67:16,
\$	19th [1] - 19:13	400 [1] - 19:12	82:10, 90:16, 93:1,	67:22, 67:23, 68:9
\$16 [1] - 50:10	^	43,96 [1] - 4:21 45 [1] - 11:14	95:13	adjourned [1] -
\$17 _[1] - 50:10	2	45 [1] = 11:14 46 [5] = 15:3, 15:13,	aboveboard [1] -	110:10
\$90 [1] - 85:9	20 [5] - 86:22, 87:17,	27:12, 28:5, 28:8	73:17	adjudicate [2] - 95:7,
	89:9, 96:3, 96:7	47 [2] - 4:5, 4:7	absent [6] - 7:9, 7:13,	104:21
•	20-plus [2] - 43:12,	48 [1] - 6:19	7:17, 7:18	adjust [1] - 41:12
'90s [1] - 64:4	48:14	49 [1] - 27:12	absolute [1] - 24:23	adjusting [1] - 28:2
000 jij 04.4	200 [5] - 11:16, 37:8,	49.2 [1] - 15:7	absolutely [9] - 16:2,	adjustment [1] -
0	39:13, 41:19, 94:14 200-foot [1] - 39:4	49.33 [1] - 11:14	60:13, 69:25,	44:18
	200-100 (1) - 39.4 2018 [19] - 11:5, 14:1,		78:12, 92:12,	Administrator [1] -
07059 [1] - 3:4 08535 [1] - 1:24	14:12, 14:15, 19:9,	5	97:25, 98:19,	2:18
08807 [1] - 1:11	21:8, 21:10, 21:19,	5(1) - 87:10	100:3, 104:2 absorb [1] - 60:22	ADMINISTRATOR [13] - 7:8, 7:12, 7:16,
2000. [4]	22:1, 22:8, 22:24,	50 [1] - 11:20	accessory [1] - 00.22	7:21, 7:23, 40:7,
1	24:4, 24:11, 27:15,	50014616 [1] - 111:24	accessory [1] - 11.20	40:15, 40:19,
1 [1] - 40:13	57:9, 58:4, 99:10,		91:12	42:18, 42:24, 43:2,
1 [1] - 40:13 10 [3] - 8:12, 8:14,	100:1, 108:21	6	accommodating [1] -	95:4, 109:14
8:22	202 [2] - 37:2, 40:10	6:00 [1] - 94:8	110:1	admitted [1] - 33:21
100 [4] - 1:11, 39:16,	2020 [1] - 14:14	6:30 [1] - 94:9	accommodation [1] -	adopting [1] - 95:10
39:17, 98:20	2024 [5] - 1:14, 6:3,		6:16	advance [2] - 6:19,
101 [2] - 4:19, 62:22	6:7, 12:20, 14:20	7	accompanied [1] -	6:24
102 [2] - 4:22, 62:22	21.87 [1] - 11:19	7,000 [2] - 47:1, 48:12	38:3	advantageous [1] -
104 [2] - 4:19, 33:17	22 [11] - 1:6, 10:1, 10:12, 11:15,	732)882-3590 [1] -	accomplished [1] -	74:24
106 [1] - 4:23	29:15, 29:18,	1:24	18:25	advice [1] - 93:2
10:00 [1] - 6:14	29:15, 29:16, 29:21, 102:19,	75 [4] - 11:19, 11:21,	accordance [3] - 6:5,	advise [1] - 91:7
10:30 [6] - 8:14, 8:21,	103:4, 103:14,	19:20, 79:18	93:25, 101:12	advocating [1] - 9:10
9:2, 9:5, 9:7	103:15	7:00 [1] - 1:15	according [1] - 15:4	aesthetics [1] - 22:22
10:4-6 [1] - 6:6	222 [3] - 1:5, 9:25,	7th [1] - 6:6	accurate [3] - 31:24,	affect [1] - 108:17
10:45 [1] - 90:22	10:10		42:20, 111:10	affectionately [3] - 23:15, 41:20, 42:22
11 [8] - 9:8, 11:5,	22nd [1] - 92:22	8	accurately [1] - 39:17	Affidavit [1] - 42:9
53:20, 58:13,	235 [1] - 79:17	80 [3] - 43:16, 84:19,	acres [2] - 10:15, 30:3	affirm [1] - 17:20
69:15, 76:1, 89:9,	24 [1] - 69:16	87:24	30.3 Act [2] - 6:6, 6:18	afforded [1] - 44:18
97:22	24-022-PB [1] - 1:8	80s [1] - 84:19	action [3] - 24:6,	age [1] - 97:22
119 [2] - 4:23, 106:7	24-hour [1] - 69:13	88 [4] - 19:8, 19:20,	111:15, 111:18	agenda [4] - 8:5,
11:00 [1] - 104:11	25 [7] - 19:21, 49:20,	43:18, 43:19	active [1] - 98:12	40:8, 41:2, 96:13
11:03 [1] - 11 0:12 122 [3] - 64:25, 65:1,	73:15, 73:22,	89 [6] - 62:4, 62:6,	actively [1] - 63:5	agendas [1] - 40:10
77:8	74:14, 87:21, 98:8	62:11, 62:13,	activities [1] - 32:4	ago [7] - 25:19, 33:1,
125 [3] - 11:18, 28:19,	26 [6] - 1:23, 4:22,	62:21, 64:15	activity [1] - 81:17	86:23, 101:20,
64:24	52:16, 52:17,	89-day (2) - 63:5,	actual [6] - 14:4,	101:22, 103:19,
126 [1] - 10:15	64:23, 102:8	92:10	26:13, 37:3, 37:22,	103:21
126-314.182 [1] -	26th [1] - 12:20		38:3, 94:4	agree [11] - 21:11,
10:16	3	⊣ 9	ADA [1] ~ 6:18	37:23, 47:21,
126-314.1F[1] -		90 [3] - 28:20, 63:20,	add [6] - 14:17,	47:24, 67:2, 67:4,
28:18	3 [2] - 1:14, 6:3	88:14	25:18, 40:23,	89:12, 100:23,
1260 [3] - 1:6, 10:1,	3.01 [3] - 1:5, 10:1,	90-day [2] - 59:16,	55:14, 59:25, 72:6	102:3, 105:16,
10:12	10:10	62:8	added [1] - 54:18	105:24
127 [2] - 65:1, 77:7	30 [4] - 59:10, 71:20,	90-percent [1] - 84:20	addition [1] - 39:3	agreed [3] - 99:11, 99:21, 99:22
129 [1] - 85:7	84:2, 87:23	90.87 [1] - 11:17	additional [2] - 38:15,	Agreement [1] - 32:3
13 [5] - 4:20, 10:15,	30-day [1] - 58:24	908.757.7800 [1] - 3:5	97:8	agreement [2] ~
30:3, 34:14, 88:6	30X(00233100 [2] - 2:23, 111:23	908.757.8039 [1] - 3:5	address [9] - 14:7, 14:25, 15:2, 36:23,	88:16, 88:17
130 [3] - 4:21, 43:10,	32 [1] - 52:17	94.11 [1] - 28:19	37:5, 37:9, 37:12,	ahead [4] - 31:15,
97:1	33 [1] - 64:23	95 [2] - 78:24, 79:13	37:13, 88:15	31:16, 32:23, 32:25
139 [1] - 85:7	33,89 [i] - 4:19	9:03 [1] - 8:8	ADDRESS [1] - 4:17	albeit [1] - 58:11
139.59 [1] - 11:15	34,88 [1] - 4:20	9:13 [1] - 16:14	addressed [4] - 88:9,	Algonquin [1] - 1:23
15 [3] - 3:4, 86:22,	38/39 [1] - 20:1	9:23 [1] - 16:16	99:20, 101:25,	Allegiance [1] - 7:3
87:17 17th [8] - 14:20,	3:00 [1] - 69:10	9:30 [1] - 6:13	108:23	allow [6] - 45:22,
94:23, 94:24, 95:6,	* 1	A	adequate [2] - 6:4,	56:18, 56:21,
96:16, 105:16,	4		93:17	56:22, 74:11,
105:22, 109:12	4 [1] - 28:25	AB [1] - 1:22	adjacent [1] - 88:21	108:21
18 [1] - 4:4	40 [3] - 68:2, 68:7,	abide [1] - 100:1	adjoin [1] - 93:8	allowed [2] - 11:5,
19 [2] - 64:23	73:20	ability [1] - 60:1	adjoining [6] - 66:2,	54:15
	. = . ==	able [8] - 12:9, 20:2,	1	

allowing [1] - 109:24 alluded [1] - 35:1 almost [5] - 57:21, 65:4, 84:19, 108:3 alone [1] - 31:5 alternatively [1] -35:7 amazing [1] - 70:25 amended [10] -11:23, 13:22, 25:23, 26:6, 26:25, 27:2, 34:19, 37:22, 40:11, 44:11 AMENDED [1] - 1:7 Amended [1] - 10:8 amendment [4] -9:20, 30:16, 44:14, 45:1 amendments [1] -12:20 amenities [5] - 26:15, 52:5, 53:22, 77:9, 77:12 amenity [4] - 51:21, 53:13, 53:14, 53:16 Americans [1] - 6:17 analogy [1] - 56:21 analysis [3] - 45:3, 83:12, 83:14 AND [1] - 2:12 AND/OR [1] - 4:16 anew [1] - 41:9 ANGELA [2] - 2:23, 111:3 angela [1] - 111:23 angelabuonocsr@ gmail.com [1] - 1:25 announcement [1] -9:22 answer [25] - 21:1, 26:1, 31:25, 34:2, 36:14, 36:18, 36:20, 46:2, 47:25, 50:9, 51:4, 55:4, 61:5, 66:13, 66:14, 66:22, 70:17, 71:14, 71:15, 82:21, 84:5, 84:8, 90:16, 97:9, 108:24 answered [3] - 74:19, 83:13, 91:20 answers [1] - 101:1 anticipate [2] - 81:15, 81:16 anyway [1] - 45:19 apartment [1] - 49:4 apologies [2] - 92:18, 101:18 apologize [9] - 27:23, 37:14, 38:8, 41:23, 42:17, 61:24, 97:8, 102:12, 110:4 appearance [1] - 14:4 appease [1] - 22:18

apple [1] - 105:5 Applicant [1] - 3:7 applicant [10] - 10:6, 10:8, 11:22, 13:17, 17:8, 22:10, 25:21, 44:18, 46:14, 106:25 applicant's [4] - 12:1, 14:9, 41:3, 93:24 applicants [1] - 44:16 APPLICATION [1] -1:8 application [29] - 8:7, 9:17, 9:23, 10:20, 10:21, 11:4, 14:11, 22:23, 24:25, 26:1, 37:1, 37:3, 37:6, 37:22, 38:4, 41:5, 41:9, 42:14, 44:12, 44:13, 44:21, 44:25, 68:10, 96:1, 96:9, 96:12, 96:15, 110:9 applications [3] -6:12, 94:6, 109:16 appreciate [4] - 38:5, 73:18, 94:16, 110:1 approaching [1] -90:25 appropriate [4] -44:24, 45:15, 55:4, 90:13 appropriately (1) -90:8 approval [47] - 8:24, 9:20, 10:9, 11:5, 11:8, 11:24, 12:5, 13:5, 14:13, 14:14, 14:16, 14:18, 14:20, 21:2, 22:8, 22:25, 25:24, 26:6, 26:8, 26:25, 27:2, 27:16, 28:12, 29:16, 31:2, 31:9, 32:12, 32:13, 36:6, 36:10, 36:11, 41:10, 44:14, 44:16, 45:1, 45:13, 45:16, 54:22, 57:24, 81:11, 92:3, 101:12, 102:4, 106:20, 106:22, 107:6 approvals [3] - 30:5, 30:8, 66:24 approved [32] - 11:2, 11:4, 11:16, 11:17, 11:19, 11:21, 13:6, 13:25, 14:11, 14:12, 19:10, 21:7, 21:19, 21:24, 22:1, 22:24, 26:9, 26:14,

28:2, 28:20, 30:16,

34:24, 41:13, 45:4,

31:21, 31:22,

48:8, 54:5, 54:24, 57:23, 86:8, 106:21 approximate [1] -52:14 architectural [7] -13:24, 15:4, 21:7, 21:17, 22:11, 26:4, 54:2 arduous [1] - 39:14 area [9] - 51:16, 51:17, 51:18, 51:23, 65:10, 65:11, 78:9, 80:16, 86:3 areas [1] - 65:13 arrangement [1] -10:19 arrangements [1] -32:10 Asheville [1] - 49:11 aside [1] - 34:22 assessor [1] - 42:13 assignment [1] -64:12 assignments [2] -64:14, 70:22 assistance [1] - 6:15 assistant [2] - 55:12, 69:7 associated [2] -103:4, 108:18 Associates [1] - 3:18 Association [3] -33:20, 93:7, 93:8 association [5] -93:1, 93:10, 93:11, 93:14, 93:23 assume [10] - 41:25, 42:3, 46:7, 63:19, 63:20, 63:24, 75:6, 85:5, 101:13, 103:9 assuming [3] - 66:23, 92:3, 94:18 assure [1] - 39:14 ATKINS [4] - 2:3, 7:22, 16:5, 104:16 Atkins [2] - 7:21, 16:6 attest [1] - 42:25 ATTORNEY [127] -9:16, 10:2, 16:2, 16:11, 16:24, 17:13, 17:15, 17:18, 17:25, 18:8, 18:9, 19:1, 21:4, 21:15, 22:7, 22:21, 23:3, 23:23, 27:13, 28:6, 28:15, 29:1, 29:4, 36:17, 36:25, 37:19, 38:7, 38:11, 38:19, 38:22, 39:8, 39:11, 40:5, 40:22,

41:24, 42:2, 42:8,

42:12, 42:16, 43:4,

44:8, 45:2, 45:5,

45:6, 45:17, 46:9,

46:12, 46:20, 47:18, 47:23, 48:6, 50:16, 51:5, 52:11, 52:19, 53:9, 53:25, 54:8, 54:21, 55:1, 55:21, 56:8, 56:13, 56:17, 57:1, 57:12, 57:19, 57:25, 61:12, 61:14, 61:22, 66:13, 67:3, 67:17, 68:1, 68:17, 69:4, 74:20, 74:23, 90:7, 90:9, 90:10, 90:12, 90:19, 91:1, 91:7, 91:25, 92:6, 92:8, 92:9, 92:12, 92:14, 92:16, 93:21, 94:22, 94:25, 95:9, 95:16, 95:23, 95:25, 96:8, 96:18, 96:19, 97:7, 99:24, 100:3, 100:15, 100:19, 100:21, 101:6, 102:3, 102:17, 103:2, 103:14, 104:7, 104:12, 104:18, 106:17, 107:14, 108:2, 108:20, 109:2, 109:5, 109:8, 109:15, 109:22, 110:7 Attorney [1] - 2:13 attorney [5] - 10:4, 33:20, 59:15, 111:14, 111:16 attractive [1] - 87:4 audience [3] -104:23, 104:24, 105:5 authority [1] - 8:18 available [1] - 6:15 average [5] - 53:20, 58:12, 62:3, 75:20, 75:24 averaging [1] - 76:1 avoid [1] - 71:17 Award [1] - 50:24 aware [3] - 6:11, 32:4, 59:22 awful [1] - 75:21 Ave [2] - 16:10, 16:21

В

backdrop [1] - 20:24 background [8] -10:24, 15:17, 18:23, 23:6, 23:21, 25:18, 47:25, 94:4 backside [1] - 99:12 bad [1] - 49:12 ball [1] - 68:8 Banga [1] - 7:17

2 bar [2] - 48:22, 52:6 base [2] - 49:18, 54:20 based [10] - 22:8, 25:24, 33:10, 43:15, 58:11, 76:20, 88:5, 92:1, 105:19, 106:2 basics [1] - 98:4 basis [3] - 51:19, 52:10, 69:2 Bateman [1] - 10:4 BATEMAN [1] - 3:3 bath [1] - 51:17 bathroom [1] - 17:2 become [1] - 88:21 becomes [1] - 67:12 becoming [1] - 27:12 bed [1] - 51:16 bedroom [2] - 66:8, 78:1 bedrooms [1] - 78:2 begin [1] - 8:11 beginning [2] -94:10, 96:11 begs [1] - 87:1 behalf [1] - 10:6 belabor (1) - 41:15 belief [1] - 83:2 beneficial [1] - 45:25 berms [1] - 24:13 better [5] - 25:22, 35:25, 36:13, 73:12, 89:14 between [5] - 27:15, 29:15, 48:7, 82:25, 99:12 beyond [3] - 39:3, 53:1, 74:10 big [6] - 49:7, 77:25, 85:24, 98:5, 99:16 bigger [1] - 20:4 bit [15] - 8:15, 18:12, 18:23, 20:22, 23:21, 25:12, 35:10, 48:3, 51:18, 52:12, 52:20, 54:11, 65:15, 74:10, 78:6 bite [1] - 105:5 blast [1] - 39:22 Block [2] - 9:25, 10:10 BLOCK [1] - 1:5 Board [5] - 2:13, 2:16, 6:2, 6:11, 89:25 board [44] - 6:10, 8:4, 11:23, 13:24, 15:17, 21:1, 22:8, 22:9, 22:24, 23:5, 23:11, 24:4, 26:22,

33:19, 34:24, 36:4,

42:9, 43:24, 44:19,

44:24, 45:22, 48:3, 55:23, 56:1, 58:7, 63:9, 70:17, 71:16, 77.2, 88:2, 91:17, 92:1, 92:19, 94:4, 94:18, 95:17, 95:18, 96:4, 98:16, 101:13, 104:8, 105:3, 109:6, 109:23 BOARD [4] - 1:1, 2:1, 16:10, 16:21 board's [1] - 45:2 BOB [6] - 106:6, 107:9, 108:13, 108:25, 109:4, 109:7 Bob [1] - 106:6 body [1] - 95:10 booked [2] - 79:25, 80:16 border [1] - 93:8 bottom [1] - 84:23 Boulevard [1] - 3:4 bounce m - 65:22 boundaries [1] - 93:9 box [3] - 22:4, 23:16, 84:11 brainer [3] - 81:2, 86:13, 86:23 brakes [1] - 73:2 brand [21] - 20:8, 20:10, 20:15, 26:24, 28:12, 34:1, 34:24, 35:1, 35:3, 35:4, 35:9, 35:14, 44:21, 44:25, 48:18, 57:11, 57:16, 57:24, 67:9, 79:5, 88:8 brand-new [2] -44:21, 44:25 brands [5] - 48:15, 48:16, 48:17, 49:22, 67:24 break [4] - 15:24, 16:4, 16:23, 17:2 breakfast [3] - 51:23, 65:9, 77:11 Breakfast [1] - 65:11 breaks [1] - 99:1 breathing [1] - 8:16 Bridgewater [21] -2:15, 2:18, 6:2, 6:9, 9:24, 10:7, 19:15, 19:16, 19:23, 22:19, 33:18, 34:15, 34:17, 40:25, 43:11, 63:25, 75:4, 78:9, 85:16, 85:19, 87:2 BRIDGEWATER [3] -1:1, 1:4, 1:11 Bridgewater's [1] -59:20

brief [1] - 10:24 briefly [1] - 82:15 bring [4] - 18:11, 71:9, 103:24, 104:9 brings [2] - 102:2, 103:17 broad [2] - 57:6, 87:7 broad-brush [1] -87:7 Brunswick [2] - 79:8 brush [1] - 87:7 brutal [1] - 19:19 budget [1] - 83:25 buffer [5] - 11:16, 11:18, 11:20, 28:17, 28:18 c(2[1] - 13:3 buffers [1] - 108:15 C/O [1] - 1:5 build [11] - 19:25, calendar [1] - 95:8 20:23, 46:18, California [3] - 59:7, 56:16, 82:1, 84:11, 59:10, 59:13 84:22, 87:11, Cambria [1] - 86:7 106:13, 107:10, cameras [4] - 102:17, 107:11 102:20, 103:4, BUILDING [1] - 1:10 103:13 building [44] - 10:22, cancelled [1] - 20:3 11:12, 11:17, Candlewood [1] -11:25, 12:1, 12:2, 49:24 12:7, 12:21, 14:2, Cappelli [1] - 2:14 14:4, 15:3, 15:6, car [11] - 71:20, 15:10, 15:12, 20:4, 71:24, 72:12, 22:2, 22:18, 25:2, 72:22, 72:24, 73:7, 25:7, 25:11, 26:5, 74:4, 74:7, 74:8, 26:13, 26:16, 74:10, 103:19 27:18, 27:20, car's [i] - 73:8 28:19, 30:17, 31:5, care [2] - 9:24, 74:12 34:22, 34:25, career [1] - 39:12 36:10, 52:13, 53:5, Carlton [1] - 50:13 53:13, 75:10, Carolina [1] - 49:11 77:14, 77:18, carried [1] - 96:15 102:15, 102:20, carries [1] - 95:18 106:12, 106:18, carry [5] - 90:25, 106:25, 107:8 94:18, 100:2, Building [1] - 6:10 105:16, 107:20 buildings [2] - 22:12, CART [1] - 6:24 108:17 case [8] - 9:11, 22:14, builds (1) - 100:6 28:16, 34:11, 66:5, built [9] - 31:21, 91:5, 93:4, 100:6 31:22, 35:8, 67:23, caseload [1] - 94:6 81:18, 81:19, 86:6, cases [2] - 95:8, 86:8, 100:9 95:12 bulk [5] - 11:9, 12:3, cash [1] - 82:1 12:4, 12:24, 13:4 category [3] ~ 57:18, bulletin [1] - 6:9 59:17, 67:9 **BUONANTUONO** [2] CCR [2] - 2:23, - 2:23, 111:3 111:23 Buonantuono [1] celebrated [1] - 19:13 111:23 center [1] - 53:2 Burger [2] - 56:19, certain [5] - 14:1, 56:22 32:4, 66:6, 88:24, burner [1] - 50:5 burning [1] - 102:9 certainly [7] - 14:17, burnt [1] - 49:9 38:8, 39:15, 41:3, Burr (3) - 7:24, 29:10, 45:15, 49:23, 97:13

78:21

BURR [8] - 2:15,

29:11, 30:9, 77:5, 77:23, 78:5, 78:15, 78:19 Burr's [1] - 83:11 buses [1] - 61:7 business [8] - 35:22, 66:12, 70:12, 84:13, 86:1, 87:6, 87:12, 87:16 businesses [1] - 75:4 busted [1] - 73:6 but.. [1] - 89:23 BY [2] - 2:22, 3:3

C

Certified [1] - 111:4

52:7, 78:8 Chair [1] - 81:6 CHAIRMAN [66] - 2:2, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:1, 9:1, 9:6, 9:10, 9:15, 9:21, 15:22, 16:3, 16:6, 16:9, 16:12, 16:18, 16:22, 17:16, 23:9, 25:15, 27:6, 27:7, 29:7, 33:4, 34:13, 43:7, 44:2, 46:7, 46:10, 55:25, 64:7, 70:3, 71:17, 72:3, 75:14, 77:2, 78:21, 79:16, 83:7, 85:15, 86:21, 88:1, 89:19, 89:22, 90:24, 93:20, 94:21, 94:24, 95:2, 96:17, 96:21, 102:1, 102:25, 103:8, 103:12, 103:16, 104:3, 104:10, 104:14, 104:17, 105:12, 105:23, 106:5, 109:9, 109:21, 110:3 Chairman [16] - 7:6, 8:10, 10:2, 16:24, 18:10, 23:5, 29:12, 31:13, 45:19, 45:22, 55:22, 65:21, 79:15, 94:22, 96:10, 105:9 challenging [1] -66:10 CHAMBERS [1] -1:10 change [11] - 15:10, 17:5, 18:12, 18:14, 26:9, 27:15, 28:12, 36:15, 39:16, 68:14, 69:3 changed [6] - 19:18, 21:23, 26:19, 27:17, 35:2, 35:3 changes [13] - 11:24, 12:14, 12:15, 18:13, 25:24, 26:23, 27:3, 33:24, 45:8, 73:23, 75:11 changing [4] - 14:3, 27:25, 72:23 channels [1] - 39:22 charges (1) - 80:3 Chartowich [1] - 7:18 cheat [1] - 52:15

certified [3] - 1:23,

certify [2] - 95:19,

CERTIFY [2] - 111:9,

92:21, 93:25

111:6

111:13 cetera [4] - 51:17, check [5] - 42:5, 52:23, 58:24, 58:25, 62:12 check-in [1] - 52:23 checked [1] - 42:19 cheese [1] - 50:7 Chelsea [18] - 4:19, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 33:18, 33:19, 41:17, 41:18, 42:4, 43:10, 90:1, 93:7, 97:1, 99:13, 102:8, 106:7, 108:14, 108:16 Chick [1] - 109:18 Chick-fil-A [1] -109:18 child [1] - 61:21 children [8] - 60:21, 60:22, 61:8, 61:18, 61:20, 64:15, 97:21, 98:18 Choice [5] - 46:25, 48:11, 48:14, 54:16 choice [2] - 48:11, 85:17 chosen [1] - 54:16 Choudhury [2] - 7:19, 27:8 CHOUDHURY [4] -2:4, 7:20, 27:9, 80:10 CHOUDURY [17] -28:4, 28:13, 28:24, 29:2, 29:5, 64:9, 64:22, 65:3, 65:6, 65:11, 65:14, 65:17, 79:15, 79:20, 80:15, 80:23, 81:5 Choudury [3] - 29:8, 79:16, 80:18 circulation [1] - 45:9 circumstance [2] -66:7, 69:24 cited [1] - 26:17 City [1] - 63:15 civil [8] - 13:21, 14:17, 36:18, 36:19, 43:14, 104:8, 107:15 clarify [4] - 11:11, 14:16, 44:9, 103:2 clarifying [2] - 23:14, 44:23 clarity [1] - 59:25 class [1] - 50:11 classify [1] - 85:18 clean [1] - 31:8 clear [2] - 19:4, 96:13 Clerk [1] - 6:8 clientele [1] - 78:6 clogs [1] - 69:22 close [5] - 9:13, 44:4,

94:14, 97:20, 104:4 closed [1] - 19:21 closer [2] - 19:20, 78:24 CLR [1] - 111:23 co [1] - 80:6 co-sharing [1] - 80:6 code [3] - 10:16, 62:1, 63:1 codes [1] - 53:6 coffee [2] - 52:25, 53:18 college [2] - 41:21, 42:23 color [1] - 54:18 colors [3] - 20:21, 22:1, 22:2 comfort [1] - 101:3 comfortable [1] -78:10 coming 191 - 41:7 50:15, 70:20, 71:6, 76:7, 83:15, 83:20, 84:6, 90:20 commencement [1] -111:6 comment [5] - 64:9, 82:11, 82:15, 87:7, 94:1 comments [3] -33:14, 48:2, 104:24 COMMENTS [1] -4:16 commercial [8] -18:12, 29:17, 32:6, 74:21, 82:12, 82:16, 82:23, 100:6 Commission [1] -111:24 commitment [2] -99:8, 99:17 committed [1] - 73:17 commodity [1] -76:13 common [3] - 64:13, 80:21, 93:12 commonly [3] - 10:1, 10:11, 21:21 COMMONS [1] - 1:11 communities [1] -88:25 community [5] -50:15, 73:19, 86:2, 86:16, 88:22 companies [4] - 71:5, 85:24, 85:25, 86:17 company [7] - 19:14, 46:24, 47:1, 48:12, 71:8, 78:8, 80:13 compare [1] - 50:19 compared [2] - 24:1, 35:20 comparing [1] -

26:11

comparisons [1] -50:18 complete [1] - 109:19 completed [3] -30:12, 30:13, 95:13 completely [3] - 69:9, 70:7, 103:20 complex [1] - 97:22 compliance [1] -14:15 compliant [1] - 13:1 complicated [2] -81:12, 81:14 comply [1] - 106:19 component [5] -22:22, 44:23, 106:19, 107:11, 107:12 components [1] -108:5 comprises [1] - 10:18 concern [8] - 39:19, 48:7, 59:19, 74:17, 74:19, 98:24, 101:1, 104:20 concerned [7] -29:23, 39:23, 67:8, 67:9, 67:10, 67:11, 90:22 concerns [3] - 24:13, 48:2, 93:19 conclude [1] - 40:23 concluded [1] -110:10 concur [1] - 54:5 condition [11] -30:11, 68:22, 100:7, 100:13, 100:16, 100:19, 100:24, 101:7, 101:10, 102:4, 102:5 conditions [5] -66:23, 69:10, 99:25, 106:20, 106:21 condo [4] - 93:10, 93:11, 93:14, 93:23 Condo [2] - 33:20, 93:7 condominium [1] -10:19 conducted [1] - 83:12 conference [1] -53:12 confirm [3] - 21:16, 28:7, 94:25 confirmed [2] -94:12, 95:4

conformed [1] -

confusing [4] -

15:12, 38:6, 38:24,

confusion [1] - 27:23

37:25

corridor [1] - 86:24

38:20

46:11

conjunction [1] -100:25 connecting [1] -73:21 connection [1] -107:6 consecutively [1] -88:14 consensus [1] - 8:14 consider [2] - 98:16 consideration [1] -93-4 consistently [1] -67:25 consists [1] - 10:14 constitute [1] - 77:13 constrained [1] -45:3 constructed [3] -107:7, 108:3, 108:10 construction [6] -11:6, 19:25, 68:15, 70:20, 83:18, 108:7 consultants [4] -47:7, 49:6, 70:22, 78:7 consumer [1] - 70:12 continue [1] - 20:6 continued [1] - 99:8 continues [1] - 8:4 contract [2] - 49:3, 59:4 controlling [1] -29:25 convenience [1] -66:6 cook [1] - 50:7 cooperate [1] - 87:3 copy [1] - 42:17 core [1] - 53:3 corporate [2] - 64:2, 71:10 correct (32) ~ 22:16. 23:2, 29:4, 31:17, 32:20, 35:18, 36:2, 37:5, 37:9, 37:12, 37:13, 40:14, 40:15, 45:5, 51:1, 53:15, 56:7, 56:8, 57:11, 57:19, 65:20, 69:20, 79:7, 80:13, 83:4, 83:5, 94:7, 96:18, 100:10, 100:11, 103:11, 108:1 Correct [2] - 95:23 corrected [3] - 36:24, 37:17, 37:21 correctly [2] - 37:18, 40:18 correspondence [1] -

costs [1] - 19:25 COUNCIL [1] - 1:10 Councilman [8] -7:10, 25:16, 33:4, 40:7, 41:7, 42:18, 64:10, 105:17 COUNCILMAN [93] -2:5, 7:11, 8:10, 9:4, 9:9, 9:12, 23:13, 24:2, 25:1, 25:9, 25:14, 31:12, 31:19, 32:17, 32:21, 38:16, 38:21, 39:2, 39:10, 39:18, 40:12, 40:16, 41:14, 41:25, 42:6, 42:11, 42:15, 42:21, 43:1, 43:6, 56:3, 56:9, 56:14, 56:20, 57:3, 57:7, 57:17, 57:20, 58:10, 58:15, 59:14, 59:24, 60:9, 60:15, 60:18, 61:10, 61:17, 62:7, 62:20, 63:3, 63:7, 65:21, 66:3, 67:1, 67:8, 68:11, 68:25, 69:5, 69:17, 69:21, 70:1, 72:10, 72:15, 72:19, 73:4, 73:10, 74:9, 74:22, 75:15, 76:17, 76:25, 78:22, 79:2, 79:5, 81:6, 82:13, 82:19, 82:24, 83:6, 95:5, 95:11, 95:21, 95:24, 96:6, 98:22, 99:17, 100:4, 100:18, 101:2, 104:19, 105:21, 106:4, 107:22 Counsel [1] - 3:7 counsel [4] - 23:23, 93:1, 111:14, 111:17 count [1] - 43:21 country [1] ~ 53:8 COUNTY [1] - 1:2 couple [7] - 14:6, 19:10, 48:15, 65:22, 77:5, 78:24, 83:9 Courier [1] - 6:7 course [4] - 17:22, 20:13, 25:11, 66:15 COURT [1] - 1:22 Court [2] - 1:23, 111:4 courtesy [1] - 37:11 Courtyard [2] - 67:24, 103:19 cover [4] - 14:12,

cost [2] - 20:23,

108:18

15:5, 37:20, 38:3 coverage [3] - 12:9, 33:24, 90:3 COVID [1] - 86:12 COVID-19 [1] - 31:4 create [1] - 68:2 created [1] - 10:19 crime [1] - 103:18 criteria [1] - 13:3 cross [1] - 55:18 cross-train (1) -55:18 crvstal [1] - 68:8 curiosity [1] - 83:17 curious [1] - 30:15 current [1] - 12:16 customary [1] - 54:9 customer [6] - 48:23, 51:25, 70:8, 70:9, 71:16, 76:7 customers [2] -49:18, 50:4

Г

D dab [1] - 31:4 damaged (1) - 101:21 Dan [2] - 4:22, 102:7 DAN [2] - 102:7, 102:22 Dartmouth [1] - 42:23 Dartmouths [1] - 43:5 data (1) - 27:15 date [6] - 14:13, 14:19, 14:20, 95:14, 109:13, 111:12 dated [1] - 12:20 dates [1] - 76:19 David [1] - 3:18 **DAVIS** [1] - 3:3 Days [4] - 29:14, 30:25, 31:8, 98:23 days [16] - 35:21, 59:10, 62:3, 62:4, 62:11, 62:13, 62:21, 63:20, 64:15, 71:20, 74:4, 84:2, 88:14, 89:9 days' [1] - 6:24 deal [1] - 97:17 dealing [1] - 61:13 dear [1] - 103:25 DECEMBER [1] -1:14 December [6] - 6:3, 11:5, 94:23, 94:24, 95:6, 109:12 decide [1] - 68:13 decision [4] - 21:10, 26:5, 35:16, 35:17 decision-maker [1] -21:10 decrease [2] - 51:10, 77:13

decreasing [1] -12:12 deep [2] - 96:1, 96:4 defer [6] - 88:13, 90:21, 91:5, 91:23, 94:19, 107:14 definitely [3] - 24:9, 80:21, 93:14 definition [4] - 56:16, 56:25, 57:17, 92:14 deliberations [1] -104:25 delineation [1] -56:10 deliver [1] - 75:3 delivered [1] - 92:24 demand [9] - 76:12, 76:14, 83:14, 83:19, 83:23, 84:3, 84:9, 87:19, 87:21 demolish [1] - 30:25 Department [1] - 6:20 depiction [1] - 23:22 depth [1] - 20:12 describing [1] - 88:7 **DESCRIPTION [1] -**5:3 design [8] - 11:9, 13:24, 14:2, 21:7, 21:17, 22:11, 47:7, 54:9 designs [1] - 22:12 desirable [1] - 88:22 desire [1] - 99:5 desires [1] - 76:20 desk [1] - 69:15 despite [2] - 12:10. 95:12 detailing [1] - 72:24 details [1] - 55:5 deterioration [2] -99:1, 99:18 develop [2] - 20:6, 53:7 developed [1] - 19:12 developer [2] - 17:7, 99:11 Developer's [1] - 32:3 developing [2] -50:15, 107:17 **DEVELOPMENT** [1] -1:5 development [5] -21:3, 50:11, 79:18, 85:1, 107:24 Development [13] -4:4, 4:6, 4:7, 9:25 13:10, 13:12, 13:17, 17:4, 17:6, 41:17, 45:21, 46:23, 47:5 deviate [1] - 27:4 deviation [4] - 28:11, 28:21, 45:12, 51:20

deviation-wise [1] -28:11 deviations [1] - 45:3 difference [2] - 48:7, 64:17 differences [1] - 12:7 different [20] - 20:18, 22:5, 25:3, 26:24, 41:9, 41:11, 48:19. 49:18, 51:25, 59:17, 70:8, 70:9, 74:5, 80:11, 80:12, 80:14, 80:16, 83:1, 86:25, 88:16 difficult [1] - 92:25 DiFrancesco [1] -10:4 DIFRANCESCO [1] -3:3 diligence [1] - 24:17 direct [1] - 47:19 directed [2] - 90:8, 91:14 direction [1] - 84:14 Director [1] - 46:16 Disabilities [1] - 6:18 disability [2] - 6:17, 6:19 disapproval [1] - 8:24 discovered [1] - 20:8 discrepancy [1] -15:2 discuss [2] - 12:7, 35:25 discussed [2] -92:20, 102:6 displaced [2] - 49:8, 49:14 distance [1] - 8:20 district [1] - 10:14 diverse [1] - 85:23 DO [2] - 111:9, 111:13 doable [1] - 109:19 document [2] - 37:21, 38:2 documents [3] -36:22, 37:10, 37:18 domino [2] - 31:10, 81.8 done [4] - 37:17. 78:8, 82:22, 86:15 door [4] - 52:3, 52:24, 66:7, 81:3 doors [1] - 19:21 double [1] - 42:5 double-check [1] -42:5 doubt [3] - 19:17, 22:19, 39:19 down [9] - 19:22, 21:25, 35:6, 49:11, 52:6, 61:1, 65:2,

62:15, 62:16, drawings [1] - 14:13 62:19, 71:21, 98:2 drawn [2] - 32:12, 32:13 driff [1] - 71:7 drive [1] - 98:3 78:19 driven [1] - 12:15 dropping [1] - 90:4 due m - 11:24 duly [1] - 111:7 during [5] - 12:5, 17:21, 19:21, 76:21, 101:21 E East [2] - 10:12, 79:8 east [1] - 41:22 easy [1] - 84:7 eat [1] - 52:25 economic [2] - 12:15, 35:17 economics [2] -26:18, 35:2 economy [2] - 85:23, 86:11 educate [2] - 60:22, 61:19 effectively [1] - 22:3 17:9 efficiency [1] - 77:24 effort 121 - 21:6, 54:23 efforts [1] - 40:3 eight [2] - 25:19, 32:14 either [5] - 49:9, 53:5, 61:15, 74:7, 94:7 83:23 electrical [1] - 75:9 elegant [1] - 56:23 3:3 element [1] - 87:3 elevations [3] - 15:4, 47:20, 54:10 elevator [2] - 1213, 53:4 elevators [1] - 52:21 eliminated [1] - 65:18 88:24 eliminating [1] -53:11 88:10 email [1] - 39:22 Email [1] - 3:6

Embassy [1] - 64:5

employee [3] - 65:13,

employees [6] - 55:6,

111:14, 111:16

55:10, 55:15,

empty [3] - 87:14,

ended [1] - 74:18

enforce [2] - 63:5,

enforcement [5] -

enforced [1] - 62:25

86:7

everywhere [3] -

84:3, 84:8, 84:9

end [3] - 53:5, 69:14,

55:18, 71:6

98:10, 98:11

94:17

92:10

66:9, 71:8

emergency [2] -

72:21, 74:3

ENGINEER [7] -29:11, 30:9, 77:5, 77:23, 78:5, 78:15, engineer [22] - 12:6, 13:20, 13:21, 14:17, 14:24, 23:20, 36:18, 36:20, 55:13, 69:8, 69:23, 90:8, 90:13, 90:20, 91:14, 91:24, 93:19, 94:20, 95:1, 104:8, 104:9, 107:15 Engineer [1] - 2:15 enrolled [1] - 61:21 enter [3] - 52:23, 61:7, 88:16 entered [1] - 93:3 entertaining [2] -16:23, 94:5 entirety [1] - 11:2 entities [1] - 19:3 entitling [1] - 47:6 entity [2] - 13:17, equipment [1] - 6:23 error [6] - 15:7, 27:19, 28:1, 37:5, 37:14, 41:2 errors [1] - 36:22 ESOC [2] - 60:6, ESQUIRE [2] - 2:13, essentially [5] -11:12, 13:25, 26:5, 26:21, 40:13 *ablish [3] - 59:10, 61:23, 89:3 established [1] establishing [1] establishment [1] -103:10 Estate [1] - 46:17 estate [3] - 12:16, 18:13, 50:12 et [4] - 51:17, 52:7, 78:8 eternity [1] - 66:17 evening [15] - 6:1, 8:21, 9:11, 11:1, 15:14, 17:1, 18:22, 21:18, 27:16, 43:9, 102:7, 105:19, 106:3, 109:16, 110:6 eventually [2] - 11:4, eviction [2] - 59:17, 62:9 exactly [4] - 26:7, 58:1, 76:24, 100:22 example [5] - 48:25, 49:10, 70:5, 101:9, 107:2 examples [1] - 50:19 exceed [1] - 62:4 exception [3] - 9:3, 14:9, 37:3 excluding [1] - 6:25 excuse [3] - 17:15, 41:18, 46:16 existing [4] - 60:25, 83:22, 101:20, 107:4 exists [1] - 100:20 expanded [1] - 65:13 expect [2] - 99:6, 101:15 Expensive [1] - 60:11 expensive [1] ~ 60:16 experience [2] -20:12, 55:19 experienced [1] -63:18 expertise [1] - 20:10 experts [1] - 35:14 explain [3] - 12:14, 27:11, 34:8 explanation [1] - 50:9 expose [1] - 89:1 extended [29] -48:10, 48:16, 48:17, 49:19, 49:25, 50:3, 50:17, 51:3, 53:19, 56:12, 57:10, 57:13, 57:15, 57:18, 57:22, 58:5, 58:6, 58:9, 60:1, 60:6, 60:7, 62:2, 68:21, 70:16, 83:23, 84:8, 87:8, 88:8, 92:15 Extended [1] - 48:13 extent [2] - 25:1, 37:16 exterior [4] - 13:24, 14:4, 21:14, 54:19 extra [2] - 8:15, 80:3

F-O-L-K [1] - 18:4 F.A.R [2] - 12:8, 14:18 facilities [1] - 62:2 facility [1] - 77:11 fact [7] - 12:11, 21:21, 25:7, 32:25, 35:1, 66:24, 68:19 factor (1) - 77:21 fair [4] - 47:19, 54:22, 103:8, 105:10

fall [2] - 68:24, 101:20 falls [1] - 48:13 families [2] - 63:12, 87:18 fans [1] - 98:5 fantastic [1] - 75:11 far [11] - 16:1, 29:22, 37:24, 39:12, 48:8, 49:20, 84:16, 84:24, 91:9, 98:6, 110:5 fascinating [1] - 70:3 fast [3] - 28:17, 56:18, 56:22 fastest [1] - 49:20 fatal [1] - 41:3 favor [2] - 16:9, 16:20 features [1] - 14:2 February [1] - 6:6 feet [20] - 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 11:17, 11:18, 11:19, 11:20, 11:21, 15:3, 15:7, 15:13, 28:5, 28:8, 28:19, 28:20, 28:25, 41:19 fence [23] - 98:24, 99:1, 99:11, 99:14, 99:16, 100:5, 100:7, 100:9, 100:10, 100:13, 100:14, 100:16, 100:23, 100:24, 101:5, 101:10, 101:14, 101:16, 101:20, 101:23, 106:10 fenced [2] - 32:9, 33:1 fences [1] - 24:14 fencing [1] - 99:2 few [3] - 35:21, 42:25, 70:8 figure [2] - 84:7, 86:22 fil [1] - 109:18 filed [1] - 6:8 final [2] - 104:12, 104:14 FINAL [1] - 1:7 Final [2] - 10:9, 11:3 finally [2] - 15:1, 82:5 financial [1] - 32:22 financially [1] -111:17 financing [3] - 32:10, 81:11, 82:1 Finderne [1] - 34:16 fine [7] - 15:20, 39:1, 46:11, 90:11, 90:14, 90:15, 91:15 finger [1] - 81:13 finish [1] - 82:22

fire [1] - 75:9 Fire [1] - 75:9 firm [1] - 10:4 first (11) - 15:19, 50:11, 52:17, 54:20, 56:4, 81:8, 82:3, 87:8, 94:1, 94:9, 108:3 first-class [1] - 50:11 fit [1] - 22:11 fitness [2] - 53:1, 53:17 five [6] - 10:18, 15:24, 30:22, 62:3, 65:2, 76:15 five-minute [1] -15:24 five-night [1] - 76:15 fix [2] - 72:22, 73:7 flag [1] - 7:2 flat [4] - 21:22, 21:23, 54:15 FLAUM [1] - 3:3 flooded [1] - 49:9 floor [5] - 47:20, 51:11, 52:14, 52:17, 54:20 floors [2] - 52:17, 52:18 Florida [1] - 59:13 Florio [1] - 2:14 focus [1] - 58:4 focused [1] - 58:8 Folk [4] - 13:20, 18:4, 104:18, 107:2 FOLK [2] - 3:18, 18:4 follow [2] - 30:9, 108:6 follow-up [1] - 30:9 followed [1] - 40:1 following [1] - 18:17 follows [3] - 25:4, 47:11, 47:14 food [2] - 56:18, 56:22 foot [1] - 87:11 footage [2] - 51:21, 77:22 footprint [9] - 12:2, 12:7, 14:2, 23:25, 24:1, 25:8, 25:11, 41:12, 51:9 FOR [1] - 1:3 foregoing [1] - 111:9 foreseeable [1] -30:20 forth [2] - 65:23, 111:12 fortunate [1] - 103:23 fortunately [1] -95:25 forum [1] - 74:19 forward [8] - 21:3,

25:13, 33:11, 41:4,

50:14, 69:1, 93:13, 107:21 forwarded [1] - 40:20 four [12] - 13:8, 20:19, 30:22, 33:1, 50:25, 53:21, 55:9, 55:10, 58:13, 73:1, 75:20, 75:24 four-night [1] - 75:24 four-story [1] - 20:19 franchise [1] - 46:24 free [1] - 33:11 friends [1] - 98:2 front [6] - 11:15, 31:17, 81:19, 82:16, 82:23, 85:6 full [6] - 55:5, 71:13, 76:12, 87:14, 97:15, 98:11 full-time [2] - 55:5, 97:15 fully [6] - 31:1, 31:21, 40:3, 80:16, 103:9 fully-surveilled [1] -103:9 function [1] - 26:19 fund [1] - 81:25 funded [1] - 81:24 funny [1] - 87:5 FURTHER [2] - 111:9, 111:13 furthest [1] - 29:20 future [6] - 13:9, 13:14, 30:20, 79:22, 83:2, 104:1 G

gatehouse [1] - 51:22 gather [1] - 52:25 general [5] - 33:14, 55:11, 69:7, 69:8, 86:3 generally [2] - 61:8, 79:22 Generally [1] - 80:15 gentleman [1] - 97:2 GEORGE [2] - 3:18, 18:4 George [2] - 13:20, 18:4 given [2] - 6:5, 15:25 glad [1] - 103:16 goal [5] - 8:21, 8:22, 20:7, 31:9, 40:3 going-forward [1] -69:1 governing [1] - 95:10 government [1] -64:3 Governor [1] - 89:7 grab [1] - 53:17 granted [5] - 11:10,

11:13, 12:5, 12:22,

13:4

great [7] - 19:16, 23:3, 34:4, 34:10, 49:10, 86:2, 99:7 GREG [27] - 4:7, 18:5, 47:3, 47:22, 51:1, 51:14, 52:16, 52:22, 54:7, 54:12, 54:25, 55:8, 59:12, 59:25, 60:13, 61:6, 64:2, 64:25, 65:5, 65:9, 65:13, 65:20, 66:1, 67:20, 79:9, 79:12, 85:8 Greg [13] - 13:11, 18:5, 20:11, 47:3, 47:18, 49:16, 50:25, 52:11, 55:4, 59:11, 67:19, 70:23, 83:22 Greg's [1] - 60:24 group [4] - 48:1, 68:13, 79:6 Group [2] - 2:17, 48:13 growing [1] - 49:20 growth [1] - 86:17 guess [14] - 9:12, 30:3, 30:7, 31:20, 36:4, 56:4, 58:15, 59:19, 60:18, 69:2, 76:19, 99:2, 105:3, 108:13 guest [3] - 25:12, 52:23, 53:2 guests [3] - 52:8, 53:16, 53:23 guidance [1] - 25:4 guy [2] - 46:17, 71:11 guys [2] - 73:16,

Н

75:11

H-E-F-L-I-N [1] - 18:5 Hampton [2] - 60:8, 83:24 hand [1] - 17:20 handle [1] - 69:24 hands [2] - 68:12, 73:23 hard [2] - 71:2, 71:14 Hardie [1] - 54:18 hat [1] - 58:6 hats [2] - 55:16, 69:9 headed [1] - 60:19 hear [5] - 70:7, 82:14, 94:9, 97:3, 104:8 heard [18] - 6:13, 23:12, 33:10, 48:1, 54:6, 56:2, 72:11, 77:4, 77:8, 77:12, 77:16, 78:7, 88:5, 88:7, 90:19, 96:24, 105:19, 106:2 HEARING [1] - 1:18

hearing [13] - 6:15, 17:22, 21:10, 24:15, 24:20, 40:24, 92:4, 94:8, 96:1, 96:11, 105:14, 107:3, 108:24 hearings [3] - 6:12, 70:4, 96:7 heat [1] - 50:7 heavily [1] - 54:3 HEFLIN [27] - 4:7, 18:5, 47:3, 47:22. 51:1, 51:14, 52:16, 52:22, 54:7, 54:12, 54:25, 55:8, 59:12, 59:25, 60:13, 61:6, 64:2, 64:25, 65:5, 65:9, 65:13, 65:20, 66:1, 67:20, 79:9, 79:12. 85:8 Heflin [3] - 13:11, 18:5, 47:3 height [15] - 11:13, 15:2, 15:6, 15:11, 15:13, 27:10, 27:11, 27:20, 27:21, 27:25, 28:2, 28:4, 28:7 heights [1] - 28:9 held [1] - 93:12 helpful | 11 - 18:11 helps [1] - 82:20 hereby [1] - 111:6 hereinbefore [1] -111:12 HERITAGE [1] ~ 1:4 Heritage [3] - 9:24, 10:6, 109:23 hi [1] - 46:15 HIC [1] - 10:13 higher [1] - 62:25 highest [1] - 39:6 hip [1] - 59:8 hiring [1] - 47:6 hit (2) - 42:1, 53:9 hockey [1] - 87:17 hold [1] - 57:12 holiday [3] - 92:23, 94:2, 94:14 holidays [1] - 6:25 home [2] - 49:9, 49:10 Home2 [1] - 86:8 Home2Suites [1] -49:23 homeowners [1] -43:20 homes [1] - 49:14 honest [1] - 58:22 honor [2] - 24:23, 99:22 hope [2] - 98:15,

98:16

hopefully [3] - 21:2, 40:23, 69:22 hoping [2] - 9:17, 9:19 hose [1] - 73:6 hospital [1] - 49:2 hospitality [1] - 70:6 Hospitality [5] - 4:4, 9:25, 13:16, 17:6, 19:6 HOSPITALITY [1] -1:5 hospitals [1] - 59:4 hotel [78] - 11:6, 11:24, 13:14, 15:8, 19:19, 20:16, 20:18, 27:21, 29:20, 31:6, 32:12, 41:13, 47:1, 47:25, 48:10, 48:11, 49:5, 49:13, 49:15, 49:21, 49:25, 50:19, 52:6, 56:6, 56:10, 56:11, 56:14, 56:16, 56:24, 57:1, 57:2, 57:11, 58:9, 58:18, 59:21, 60:2, 61:15, 61:16, 62:25, 64:11, 66:24, 67:5, 67:7, 68:21, 70:6, 71:1, 71:7, 79:21, 79:23, 79:24, 80:1, 80:7, 80:16, 80:17, 81:13, 82:22, 84:17, 84:18, 84:24, 86:19, 87:6, 87:13, 87:22, 88:15, 88:18, 88:22, 88:25, 91:18, 92:10, 98:12, 100:20, 100:25, 106:12 Hotel [3] - 11:14, 29:24, 86:7 hoteliers [1] - 89:12 hotels [30] - 10:16, 19:8, 19:10, 19:12, 19:21, 29:17, 32:18, 35:21, 43:16, 46:19, 49:19, 49:25, 50:1, 57:5, 60:1, 61:1, 61:3, 63:11, 63:12, 63:14, 63:16, 73:13, 74:1, 79:24, 80:25, 81:22, 82:18, 82:25, 83:22, 87:16 Hotels [2] - 46:25, 48:11 Houlihan's [1] -29:16 hour [3] - 90:25, 105:11, 105:14

hours [1] - 69:16 hours' [1] - 6:19 house [2] - 64:3, 71:25 housekeeper [2] -55:13, 69:8 housekeeping [1] -14:6 housing [2] - 63:13, 86:1 huge [3] - 63:23, 71:1, 87:19 Hulya [2] - 96:12, 109:17 human [1] - 69:19

idea [1] - 44:9

ideal (1) - 86:18

ideally [1] - 32:15

identified [2] - 10:10,

57:10 identifies [1] - 46:18 identify [2] - 46:13, 89:7 identifying [2] - 37:8, 47.6 ignorance [1] - 61:25 ignorant [1] - 70:7 illegal [1] - 89:6 imagine [1] - 20:22 immediately [2] -32:16, 93:8 immigrants [1] - 89:6 impacted [1] - 39:15 impacts [3] - 12:3, 45:7, 66:12 impervious [2] -33:23, 90:3 implications [1] -62:17 implied [1] - 101:14 importance [2] -97:23, 98:17 important [1] - 66:4 imposed [2] - 68:22, 68:23 improved [4] - 28:25, 106:24, 107:5, 108:1 improvement [2] -28:22, 30:12 improvements [4] -12:21, 13:1, 106:14, 108:9 IN [3] - 16:10, 16:21, 17:24 in-depth [1] - 20:12 include [3] - 42:22, 53:16, 62:2 included [3] - 29:16, 42:14, 92:23 inconsistencies [1] -14:7

incorporate [1] -54:23 incorrect [2] - 14:18, 40:13 increase [2] - 12:10, 68:4 independent (1) -57:22 indicates [4] - 14:10. 14:13, 15:5, 90:4 individual [3] - 6:18, 6:22, 74:25 individuals [2] - 6:16, 64:4 indoor [2] - 51:22, 53:11 indulgence [1] -75:17 indulging [1] ~ 109:23 industry [8] - 49:21, 55:20, 60:5, 70:6, 80:22, 87:9, 87:22 influenced [1] - 54:3 information [2] -10:25, 15:17 infrastructure [3] -107:4, 107:7,

107:13

42:14

initial [2] - 42:10,

Inn [45] - 9:24, 10:6,

11:6, 19:25, 20:2,

20:3, 20:4, 20:20,

29:14, 30:25, 31:8,

35:6, 48:19, 49:22,

50:13, 51:15,

53:21, 54:15,

54:17, 54:24,

57:11, 57:13,

57:15, 57:18,

57:22, 57:23, 58:5,

64:18, 64:20, 65:7,

67:22, 68:23, 72:7,

75:19, 75:22, 76:4,

77:17, 83:24,

98:23, 109:24

inguiry [1] - 45:10

inside [1] - 102:20

inspector [1] - 75:9

instance [1] - 32:5

instated [1] - 24:24

instead [1] - 8:21

integrity [1] - 39:6

intent [1] - 24:11

89:9

intended [1] - 30:17

intention [4] - 24:19,

intentions [2] - 32:8,

24:23, 62:24, 95:12

inspecting [1] - 75:10

installed [2] - 100:16,

INN [1] - 1:4

108:12

58:12, 60:3, 60:8,

interconnected [3] -65:24, 66:5, 68:16 interconnecting [1] -75:6 interest [4] - 15:23, 29:23, 29:25, 33:22 interested [3] - 24:9, 39:23, 111:17 interesting [1] -87:25 interior [1] - 14:3 interject [1] - 57:5 internal [2] - 45:9, 68:15 internally [1] - 65:25 international [1] -64-14 interplay [1] - 82:25 introduce [2] - 34:8, 45:23 intuitive [1] ~ 86:14 investment [1] - 83:3 involved [2] - 21:9, 99:3 issue [6] - 15:2, 61:8, 62:19, 96:5, 99:10, 101:11 issues [2] - 63:13, 91:17 items [1] - 34:9 iterate [1] - 24:7 itself [3] - 25:11, 34:25, 105:14 IV [1] - 2:15

J

J.D [1] - 50:24 jacks [1] - 73:2 **JAMES** [1] - 2:6 JEFF [11] - 4:4, 18:3, 57:14, 67:15, 72:6, 82:11, 82:15, 82:20, 83:5, 99:9, 99:21 Jeff [5] - 13:15, 17:5, 18:3, 19:6, 82:10 jeopardize [1] - 68:6 JERSEY [2] - 1:2, 1:11 Jersey [8] - 1:24, 3:4, 33:21, 53:6, 59:15, 61:20, 88:12, 111:5 jives [1] - 62:8 job [3] - 41:1, 55:17, 86:17 jobs [1] - 83:18 JOHN [11] - 34:14, 35:16, 35:19, 35:24, 36:3, 36:21, 37:15, 38:5, 38:10, 38:13, 88:6 John [3] - 4:20, 34:14, 88:6

Johnny [3] - 71:18,

71:25, 72:7
joined [3] - 13:10,
13:15, 13:19
joint [1] - 12:19
jump [1] - 102:10
jumping [1] - 101:18
just.. [1] - 57:6

K

K-N-U-D-S-O-N [1] -89:25 KATHERINE [1] -2:16 Katherine [1] - 88:8 keep [5] - 8:18, 21:6, 52:8, 71:12, 84:23 kept [2] - 101:10, 101:16 kids [1] - 103:25 kind [19] - 18:11, 18:24, 20:25, 21:1, 25:4, 30:20, 45:6, 50:8, 53:3, 60:19, 62:8, 71:8, 71:14, 71:15, 84:23, 86:12, 86:18, 87:7, 87:25 King [2] - 56:19, 56:22 KIRSCH [1] - 27:6 Kirsh [9] - 7:10, 25:18, 33:5, 40:8, 42:19, 64:10, 91:16, 105:17 KIRSH [93] - 2:5, 7:11, 8:10, 9:4, 9:9, 9:12, 23:13, 24:2, 25:1, 25:9, 25:14, 31:12, 31:19, 32:17, 32:21, 38:16, 38:21, 39:2, 39:10, 39:18, 40:12, 40:16, 41:14, 41:25, 42:6, 42:11, 42:15, 42:21, 43:1, 43:6, 56:3, 56:9, 56:14, 56:20, 57:3, 57:7, 57:17, 57:20, 58:10, 58:15, 59:14, 59:24, 60:9, 60:15, 60:18, 61:10, 61:17, 62:7, 62:20, 63:3, 63:7, 65:21, 66:3, 67:1, 67:8, 68:11, 68:25, 69:5, 69:17, 69:21, 70:1, 72:10, 72:15, 72:19, 73:4, 73:10, 74:9, 74:22, 75:15, 76:17, 76:25, 78:22, 79:2, 79:5, 81:6, 82:13, 82:19, 82:24, 83:6, 95:5,

95:11, 95:21,

95:24, 96:6, 98:22, 99:17, 100:4, 100:18, 101:2, 104:19, 105:21, 106:4, 107:22 kitchenette [2] -51:16, 78:1 knocked [1] - 100:9 know.. [1] - 72:9 knowing [1] - 63:1 knowledge [1] -40:20 known [3] - 10:1, 10:11, 17:19 knows [1] - 19:18 Knudson [3] - 4:19, 33:17, 89:24 KNUDSON [15] -33:16, 33:17, 34:6, 34:10, 89:17, 89:20, 89:24, 90:11, 90:14, 90:21, 91:3, 91:13, 92:17, 94:16, 101:18 Kulak [3] - 4:20, 34:14, 88:6 KULAK [11] - 34:14, 35:16, 35:19, 35:24, 36:3, 36:21, 37:15, 38:5, 38:10, 38:13, 88:6 Kulak's [1] - 92:1 KUNZMAN [1] - 3:3

L

land [9] - 10:18, 13:18, 30:4, 68:6, 73:11, 107:16, 107:21, 108:8, 108:12 Land [9] - 2:18, 10:21, 11:7, 11:25, 13:14, 39:24, 103:5, 107:17, 107:19 landlord [1] - 88:17 landlord-tenant [1] -88:17 landscaping [6] -33:25, 106:11, 106:14, 107:13, 107:18, 108:11 large [1] - 77:13 largely [2] - 23:16, 76:1 largest [2] - 47:1, 48:11 Lars [3] - 4:19, 33:17, 89:24 LARS [15] - 33:16, 33:17, 34:6, 34:10, 89:17, 89:20,

89:24, 90:11,

90:14, 90:21, 91:3, 91:13, 92:17, 94:16, 101:18 last [9] - 36:21, 49:19, 50:24, 75:15, 87:16, 89:1, 94:3, 95:6, 101:15 lastly [1] - 13:19 late [1] - 105:11 laundry [2] - 53:2, 53:18 law [5] - 10:4, 40:1, 62:9, 88:12, 98:2 layout [2] - 26:5, 51.12 lead [1] - 66:9 learn [1] - 70:4 learning [2] - 70:5, 70:24 least [8] - 12:4, 12:24, 14:5, 41:19, 63:15, 71:21, 105:13, 107:16 leave [2] - 12:6, 35:22 leaves [1] - 47:5 led [1] - 18:13 Ledger [1] - 6:8 **LEHRER** [1] - 3:3 length [1] - 58:16 lengthy [2] - 31:2, 81:14 less [5] - 20:22, 60:3, 64:21, 88:22, 89:13 less-desirable [1] -88:22 letter [8] - 24:10, 24:19, 37:2, 37:4, 37:12, 37:20, 38:3, 40:9 level [1] - 63:1 leveled [1] - 31:8 License [2] - 2:23, 111.23 licensed [1] - 97:4 life [2] - 34:18, 86:15 light [1] - 12:17

lighting [2] - 33:25, 90:3 likelihood [1] - 76:7 likely [3] - 26:24, 37:6, 108:7 limit [1] - 92:11 limitation [1] - 62:5 limited [1] - 41:16 Linden [2] - 78:25, 79:8 line [5] - 21:7, 31:14,

79:8
line [5] - 21:7, 31:14, 37:1, 37:4, 38:23
list [6] - 42:3, 42:12, 88:14, 91:21, 93:22, 93:25
listened [1] - 95:20
live [1] - 106:7

lived [1] - 98:13

living [4] - 51:18, 61:18, 63:16, 98:7 LLC [12] - 1:4, 1:5, 1:22, 2:14, 2:17, 3:18, 4:4, 4:6, 4:7, 9:24, 10:7, 13:12 lobby [2] - 52:23, 52:24 local [6] - 58:20, 71:5, 104:16, 104:17, 104:18, 105:2 locally [1] - 98:2 located [2] - 10:5, 10:13 location [5] - 23:17, 52:20, 78:11, 88:11, 97:12 locations [5] - 20:3, 63:23, 76:19, 78:23, 91:9 lodging [1] - 92:15 long-stay [1] - 78:7 iong-term [1] - 35:20 look [16] - 8:23, 20:20, 22:17, 41:22, 42:3, 42:7, 50:14, 54:14, 54:17, 54:20, 80:1, 83:13, 87:10, 93:13, 99:6 looked [4] - 20:5, 38:25, 62:1, 78:18 looking [15] - 15:23, 30:18, 32:17, 34:19, 41:12, 48:24, 70:11, 70:13, 73:21, 82:24, 91:21, 108:6, 108:13, 108:14, 108:15 looks [4] - 22:4, 43:13, 51:12, 99:7 loose [1] - 73:24 lost [2] - 82:16, 90:6 LOT [1] - 1:5 lounge [2] - 51:17, 52:7 love [2] - 104:9, 104:20 low [4] - 67:14, 76:9. 76:21, 84:19 lower [1] - 69:14 lower-end [1] - 69:14 luck [1] - 61:2

M

M-C-K-A-Y [1] - 18:3 macaroni [1] - 50:7 magnitude [1] - 27:3 Magura [1] - 7:14 MAGURA [6] - 2:6, 7:15, 16:8, 63:10, 63:19, 75:5 mail [2] - 92:21, 92:24

Mainstay [1] - 49:24 maintain [2] - 100:8, 100:24 maintained [3] -100:17, 100:18, 101:16 maintaining [1] -51:9 maintenance [1] -55:13 major [3] - 51:20, 86:24, 96:4 MAJOR [1] - 1:7 maker [1] - 21:10 mall [2] - 60:10, 61:19 management [3] -36:8, 68:13, 79:6 manager [4] - 55:12, 69:7, 69:8 manager's [1] - 76:20 maps [1] - 10:11 MARK [1] - 2:13 market [13] - 12:17, 18:13, 19:24, 20:1, 70:14, 71:1, 78:8, 78:13, 83:12, 83:13, 85:5, 85:17, 91:18 marketability [1] -68:7 markets [1] - 78:17 Marriott [6] - 11:6, 35:8, 60:10, 61:18, 67:24, 77:7 Marshal [1] - 75:9 match [1] - 21:24 material [1] - 14:5 materials [7] - 10:21, 14:1, 14:9, 20:21, 21:25, 37:3, 54:3 matter [1] - 33:1 matters [1] - 39:24 **MAURIZIO** [1] - 2:2 maximum [2] - 58:17, 59:21 Mayor [1] - 7:8 McDonald's [2] -56:19, 56:21 McKay [22] - 13:15, 17:6, 18:1, 18:3, 18:11, 18:14, 19:6, 21:5, 29:22, 43:8, 48:20, 54:1, 57:14, 67:15, 72:6, 82:11, 82:15, 82:20, 83:5, 99:9, 99:21 MCKAY [1] - 4:4 McKay's [1] - 23:7 mean [23] - 22:5, 31:20, 38:24, 48:25, 56:23, 58:17, 59:2, 59:6, 60:23, 61:4, 61:11,

62:10, 62:11, 67:4,

67:5, 72:9, 73:7, 74:18, 84:6, 84:8, 98:1, 100:12 means [2] - 62:21, 100:13 measure [1] - 101:3 measures [1] - 85:21 meet [1] - 53:6 Meeting [1] - 94:8 meeting [10] - 6:3, 6:4, 6:21, 48:21, 65:15, 77:11, 94:13, 95:6, 95:15, 110:10 MEETING [1] - 1:3 meetings [1] - 96:4 Meetings [1] - 6:6 meets [2] - 56:16, 56:25 member [1] - 63:9 MEMBER [28] - 7:15, 7:20, 7:22, 16:5, 16:8, 27:9, 28:4, 28:13, 28:24, 29:2, 29:5, 63:10, 63:19, 64:9, 64:22, 65:3, 65:6, 65:11, 65:14, 65:17, 75:5, 79:15, 79:20, 80:10, 80:15, 80:23, 81:5, 104:16 MEMBERS [3] - 2:1, 16:10, 16:21 members [8] - 9:18, 16:18, 33:9, 88:4, 93:6, 95:19, 96:4, 96:22 memo [2] - 12:19, 14:8 memories [1] - 75:12 mention [2] - 14:19, 102:11 mentioned [7] -26:18, 50:17, 69:6, 75:6, 85:16, 97:3, 99:22 Mercedes [1] - 29:15 met [2] - 25:21, 40:4 metrics [1] - 85:17 Michael [1] - 10:3 MICHAEL [2] - 2:5, microphone [1] -82:14 microwave [1] - 50:6 mid [2] - 50:22 mid-tier [2] - 50:22 middle [2] - 31:4, 69:22 midnight [1] - 102:9 midweek [2] - 87:14, 87:15 might [13] - 40:8,

45:20, 65:22,

66:19, 72:6, 76:14,

76:15, 78:6, 82:10, 95:13, 99:19, 102:11, 108:4 military [2] - 52:2, 83:17 million [2] - 50:11, 87:10 Millstone m - 1:24 mimic [3] - 54:14. 54:16, 54:24 mimics [1] - 22:25 mind [1] - 77:24 minimum [2] - 11:18, 11:20 minor [2] - 26:22, 41:2 minute [3] - 15:24, 76:17, 94:3 mirrored [1] - 40:9 mislead [1] - 38:9 mistaken [1] - 94:7 mistakes [1] - 27:14 MITCH [9] - 43:9, 43:19, 43:23, 44:1, 96:25, 97:18, 98:7, 98:11, 98:21 Mitch [2] - 43:9, 96:25 Mitchel [1] - 4:21 mix [2] - 76:2, 85:25 MLUL [1] - 93:24 model [2] - 66:12, 77:10 models [1] - 83:1 modification [1] -75:7 Moench [1] - 7:8 moment (1) - 97:7 money [2] - 64:3, 81:23 month [3] - 32:15, 59:3. 71:12 months [5] - 25:19, 49:2, 59:5, 70:21, 107:23 morning [4] - 40:20, 52:4, 52:25, 69:11 most [8] - 29:20, 39:15, 60:1, 74:1, 75:4, 91:13, 93:11, 108:6 mostly [1] - 87:2 motels [2] - 57:5, 83:25 motion [2] - 16:4, 16:5 Mountain [1] - 3:4 move [6] - 21:2, 25:13, 34:21, 49:17, 62:21, 63:9 moved [1] - 25:2 moving [6] - 9:23, 31:11, 32:22, 32:25, 33:2, 41:4

msilbert@ newjerseylaw.net 111 - 3:6multiple [2] - 69:9, 98:13 municipal [1] - 88:9 MUNICIPAL [1] - 1:10 Municipal [1] - 6:10 municipalities [4] -58:21, 58:23, 59:1, 64:1 must [1] - 108:9

96:14, 96:16

newer [2] - 36:6,

News [1] - 6:7

36:15

108:24

NEW [2] - 1:2, 1:11

next [18] - 8:11, 9:17,

9:23, 24:8, 28:14,

34:5, 34:8, 34:11,

35:13, 44:7, 81:3,

88:25, 90:17, 91:4,

91:6, 92:4, 107:3,

Ν

N.J.S.A [1] - 6:6

NAME [1] - 4:17

26:24, 28:12,

33:17, 79:13,

89:18, 89:21

names [1] - 18:1

NANCY [1] - 2:18

Nancy [3] - 40:25,

nature [3] - 20:19,

nearby [1] - 80:25

need [16] - 14:24,

26:12, 35:19

near [2] - 83:2,

103:24

66:18

68:14

22:18

99:12

105:10

needs [2] - 9:11,

neighboring [1] -

neighborly [1] -

never [4] - 39:13,

61:20, 63:15,

88:12, 111:4

44:13, 44:21,

84:11, 84:12,

91:18, 95:18, 96:4,

95:2, 109:13

84:3

nice [2] - 50:14, 99:15 night [11] - 52:4, 69:22, 71:11, 75:24, 76:5, 76:15, 85:3, 85:5, 85:7, name [8] - 10:3, 19:5, 95:13 nights [9] - 49:17, 53:20, 53:21, 58:13, 70:4, 71:11, 75:20, 75:23, 76:8 nine [1] - 32:15 nine-month [1] -32:15 nationally [2] - 79:18, NIREN [1] - 2:4 NJ [1] - 111:23 NO [2] - 1:8, 5:3 no-brainer [3] - 81:2, 86:13, 86:23 non [1] - 13:1 non-compliant [1] necessarily [1] - 52:1 13:1 necessary [4] - 6:25, none [3] - 24:5, 8:21, 81:17, 97:21 67:17, 97:14 None [1] - 5:7 15:6, 16:4, 16:7, normally [1] - 54:13 25:23, 26:6, 31:10, North [1] - 49:11 32:5, 32:12, 37:16, Notary [2] - 111:3, 37:23, 44:17, 49:3, 111:24 52:15, 81:7, 97:13 note [2] - 36:19, 99:9 needed [2] - 55:16, noted [3] - 12:19, 16:16, 110:12 notes [1] - 91:22 nothing [3] - 17:22, neighborhood [1] -68:18, 111:8 Nothing [1] - 29:6 notice [25] - 6:4, 6:7, 6:20, 6:24, 15:5, 37:7, 38:17, 38:19, 38:25, 39:1, 39:3, 42:3, 75:11, 90:4, 75:22, 86:6, 87:21 92:19, 92:21, New [9] - 1:24, 3:4, 92:22, 93:5, 93:6, 33:21, 53:6, 59:15, 93:13, 93:15, 94:4, 94:12, 96:14, 96:16 noticed (1) - 63:11 new [24] - 6:12, 6:13, notices [2] - 39:13, 9:2, 9:7, 24:1, 25:4, 94:14 26:8, 27:9, 28:4, notified [1] - 39:16 31:5, 36:6, 36:11, notifying [1] - 39:20 November [2] -44:25, 68:2, 77:10, 12:20, 92:22

number [14] - 11:9,

107:24

12:10, 12:11, 27:17, 33:23, 42:1, 43:17, 51:2, 51:10, 52:14, 55:5, 64:17, 89:6 numbers [1] - 85:6 nurse [1] - 59:3 nurses [5] - 49:1, 52:3, 70:19, 78:7, 83:17

0

O'B [1] - 47:13 O'BRIEN 1681 - 4:5. 18:6, 46:15, 46:22, 48:5, 48:9, 50:21, 51:2, 53:15, 55:14, 58:14, 58:19, 60:11, 60:16, 60:23, 63:6, 63:17, 63:22, 64:21, 65:1, 65:15, 69:13, 69:20, 69:25, 70:15, 71:23, 72:4, 72:8, 72:14, 72:17, 73:1, 73:5, 74:1, 75:13, 76:10, 76:23, 77:20, 78:4, 78:12, 78:17, 78:25, 79:3, 79:7, 79:10, 79:17, 80:8, 80:14, 80:20, 81:1, 81:20, 83:21, 84:18, 84:25, 85:4, 85:10, 85:22, 87:5, 89:16, 97:10, 97:24, 98:9, 98:19, 102:19, 102:24, 103:6, 103:11, 103:15, 104:2 O'Brien [8] - 13:11, 15:19, 17:3, 18:6, 46:15, 47:23, 85:15, 102:1 o'clock 121 - 8:14. 8:22 O-B-R-I-E-N [1] - 18:7 objections [1] - 93:17 obligation [1] - 81:16 obtain [1] - 81:10 obvious [1] - 70:17 obviously [12] -20:18, 26:1, 48:6, 70:15, 73:7, 75:2, 76:11, 80:11, 82:2, 84:12, 91:11, 97:17 Obviously [1] - 24:5 occupancy [5] - 60:6, 78:2, 84:17, 84:20, 92:11 occur [1] - 32:5 occurred [1] - 30:22 occurs [2] - 30:6,

October [1] - 19:13 OF [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:17 offer [1] - 109:12 office [1] - 87:11 officer [2] - 97:4, 101:9 official [1] - 38:2 officials [1] - 64:3 often [1] - 60:24 oil [2] - 72:23, 102:9 old [6] - 23:25, 25:8, 28:7, 29:14, 29:15, 36:9 older [1] - 83:24 on-site [7] - 53:17, 69:12, 69:16, 97:4, 97:5, 97:12, 107:4 on.. [1] - 73:9 once (3) - 75:10, 82:21, 97:1 one [61] - 8:13, 8:17, 12:4, 12:24, 13:23, 14:11, 27:14, 29:3, 31:10, 31:24, 31:25, 33:3, 34:21, 36:21, 43:14, 44:22, 48:16, 49:17, 51:2, 51:6, 52:22, 53:2, 53:4, 55:2, 55:3, 56:4, 59:4, 61:2, 62:12, 71:11, 75:15, 76:5, 76:8, 76:15, 77:25, 78:1, 78:12, 78:15, 78:23, 79:8, 79:12, 80:10, 82:7, 82:8, 83:24, 87:24, 88:14, 91:2, 92:3, 92:17, 95:12, 96:1, 97:7, 101:21, 102:10, 105:4, 106:12, 107:21, 108:5, 109:17, 109:18 one-bedroom [1] -78:1 one-night [1] - 76:15 ones [1] - 53:23 onesie [1] - 76:2 online [2] - 109:1 oops [1] - 101:4 Open [3] - 6:5, 29:15, 109:6 open [7] - 56:1, 66:7, 74:18, 76:21, 76:22, 88:3, 105:25 open-ended [1] -74:18 opening [5] - 21:5, 54:2, 94:10, 99:7, 100:14

operate [6] - 19:8,

63:24, 97:14

20:15, 39:6, 59:13,

operating [2] - 74:15, 80:13 operation [1] - 55:15 operational [1] -15:16 operator [3] - 13:9, 63:4, 80:12 operator's [1] - 74:24 opinion [4] - 22:22, 45:14, 50:18, 50:20 opportunity [5] -24:8, 33:14, 44:19, 60:4, 93:18 order [2] - 38:18, 110:4 ordinance [6] - 68:18, 69:3, 88:9, 92:5, 95:10, 101:8 ordinarily [1] - 37:21 organization [1] -20:12 original [10] - 11:8, 12:5, 13:5, 13:21, 14:11, 27:5, 28:11, 30:4, 106:9, 106:20 originally [2] - 21:24, 34:24 otherwise [1] - 68:22 ought [1] - 69:1 ourselves [1] - 74:11 out-of-towners [5] -105:6, 105:7, 106:4, 106:5, 109:24 outliers [1] - 75:21 outside [6] - 20:20, 43:3, 52:5, 70:11, 71:20, 102:20 overall [3] - 12:2, 48:15, 89:14 overnight [1] - 69:10 own [5] - 19:8, 30:3, 49:4, 74:13, 97:14 owner [3] - 13:18, 17:9, 74:25 owners [2] - 80:14, 101:24 ownership [2] - 30:6, 68:12 owns [1] - 74:14

P

P.E [2] - 2:15, 3:18
p.m [9] - 6:13, 6:14,
8:8, 16:14, 16:16,
94:8, 94:9, 104:11,
110:12
P.M [1] - 1:15
P.P [1] - 2:16
packet [2] - 41:23,
42:7
pad [2] - 29:17, 29:18
pads [1] - 108:5
PAGE [3] - 4:2, 4:17,

89:5

19:21, 30:23 paperwork [1] -102:12 Pappas [1] - 7:12 parallel [1] - 29:21 parcel [1] - 10:14 Park [8] - 4:6, 4:7, 13:9, 13:12, 17:4, 45:21, 46:23, 47:4 parking [8] - 11:18, 12:10, 72:12, 72:24, 90:3, 90:5, 90:6, 103:7 part [13] - 11:8, 13:5, 26:16, 27:24, 37:5, 41:22, 46:25, 48:10, 55:6, 76:2, 77:21, 79:4, 108:4 part-time [1] - 55:6 particular [2] - 56:15, 92:19 particularly [6] -12:17, 24:9, 27:10, 39:23, 91:17, 105:3 particulars [1] - 82:9 parties [1] - 111:15 parts [1] - 107:25 passed [1] - 64:20 past [4] - 9:8, 26:9, 30:22, 45:13 path [1] - 66:10 pay [1] - 53:24 PC [1] - 3:3 peak [1] - 55:10 PECK [38] - 2:13, 17:13, 17:18, 17:25, 18:8, 19:1, 38:19, 38:22, 39:8, 43:4 45:2, 45:6. 46:12, 46:20, 56:8, 56:13, 56:17, 57:1, 61:12, 61:22, 67:3, 90:7, 90:10, 90:12, 90:19, 91:1, 92:6, 92:9, 92:14, 95:9, 95:16, 95:23, 96:18, 99:24, 100:15, 100:19, 102:17, 103:14 Peck [7] - 7:23, 38:17, 44:10, 56:5, 67:1, 88:13, 92:2 pending [1] - 32:11 people [22] - 8:18, 20:14, 31:4, 35:21, 37:8, 49:8, 49:13, 50:2, 50:3, 60:7, 63:12, 69:11, 70:19, 71:9, 75:21, 80:24, 81:22, 82:4, 83:18, 87:4, 88:10,

5:3

paid [1] - 63:12

pandemic [3] - 19:18,

per[4] - 51:19, 52:14, 85:2, 85:4 per-room [1] - 51:19 percent [6] - 20:1, 39:16, 39:17, 87:23, 87:24, 98:20 perfected [1] - 45:13 perhaps [4] - 15:24, 41:8, 41:10, 101:14 perimeter [2] -108:14, 108:16 period [7] - 53:19, 64:12, 70:16, 89:13, 92:22, 104:22, 107:23 periods [2] - 60:8, 63:21 permanent [1] -88:15 permit [4] - 47:8, 56:14, 71:24, 106:18 permits [3] - 56:18, 57:5, 75:8 permitted [5] - 10:17, 11:14, 32:7, 56:25, 61:13 Perrucci [1] - 2:14 person [5] - 8:13, 8:17, 58:12, 60:2, 61:17 personally [2] -42:19, 103:18 perspective [3] -30:13, 41:4, 70:12 pertain [1] - 36:7 pertains [2] - 10:20, 41:8 pertinent [1] - 96:23 pharmaceutical [1] -86:24 phase [2] - 91:4, 108:4 phases [1] - 108:3 phasing [2] - 108:7, 108:23 pick [1] - 47:5 piece [2] - 50:11, 86:24 pinpoint [1] - 71:2 pitched [1] - 54:13 place [11] - 24:20, 24:21, 49:3, 56:15, 88:9, 88:14, 89:8, 89:14, 103:22, 107:18, 111:12 places [1] - 53:7 Plainfield [3] - 78:23, 79:9, 79:10 PLAN [1] - 1:7 plan [17] - 11:24, 12:5, 13:22, 14:7,

14:10, 14:12,

14:19, 24:25,

25:23, 26:4, 34:20, 36:1, 37:22, 44:11, 45:4, 108:9 Plan [2] - 10:9, 11:3 plank [1] - 54:19 PLANNER [11] -25:17, 57:4, 59:22, 61:24, 62:14, 62:23, 83:9, 84:15, 84:21, 85:2, 85:12 Planner [1] - 2:16 **PLANNING** [1] - 1:1 Planning [4] - 2:17, 6:2, 6:11, 6:20 planning [1] - 34:24 plans [5] - 19:16, 32:13, 37:13, 47:20, 51:11 plea [1] - 70:7 please., [1] - 55:24 pleasure [1] - 16:25 Pledge [1] - 7:3 plus [1] - 64:23 point [16] - 30:7, 41:15, 44:15, 44:22, 53:10, 60:19, 60:25, 67:14, 73:25, 74:3, 75:13, 75:19, 86:19, 98:22, 100:4, 107:22 pointed [2] - 51:14, 51:24 pointing [1] - 66:25 police [1] - 98:13 policies [4] - 72:16, 72:17, 72:19, 72:25 policy [4] - 8:12, 64:2, 73:12, 73:13 Policy [1] - 6:12 pool [7] - 48:20, 51:22, 53:11, 65:7, 65:8, 65:9, 77:10 population [1] - 89:2 portion [4] - 8:11, 40:23, 44:5, 104:5 portions [1] - 30:1 pose [1] - 46:4 poses [1] - 46:5 position [1] - 47:24 positioned [1] -29:21 possibility [1] - 94:6 possible [2] - 17:19, 23:1 possibly [3] - 31:25, 32:1, 34:5 post [1] - 14:14 post-approval [1] -14:14 post-COVID-19[1] -12:18 posted [2] - 6:9, 8:5

postmarked [1] -

92-22 postpone [1] - 105:16 potential [2] - 69:2, 82:25 potentially [3] -60:20, 81:8, 94:5 Power [1] - 50:24 practice [1] - 80:21 Preliminary [2] -10:9, 11:3 PRELIMINARY [1] -1:7 prepare [1] - 94:15 prepared [3] - 13:22, 31:1, 34:2 prerogative [1] -74:24 PRESENT [2] - 2:1, present [2] - 7:24, 34:20 presenting [1] -34:21 President [3] - 4:4, 46:17, 47:4 president [6] - 4:5, 4:7, 13:16, 17:7, 33:19, 89:25 presidents [1] - 13:12 presumably [1] -107:18 presuming [2] -81:10, 81:11 pretty [3] - 34:17, 67:14, 76:9 previous [6] - 9:20, 10:25, 44:14, 45:16, 54:22, 102:2 previously [14] -11:2, 12:22, 12:25, 24:24, 26:14, 28:20, 28:22, 41:12, 45:4, 47:10, 47:13, 48:8, 54:4, 54:24 price [7] - 67:14, 84:22, 84:23, 84:25, 85:2, 85:4, principal [2] - 11:17, 28:18 priority [1] - 31:17 privacy [1] - 24:13 problem [4] - 19:5, 46:5, 63:23, 69:18 problems [3] - 97:17, 98:6, 98:8 PROBST [14] - 2:18, 7:8, 7:12, 7:16, 7:21, 7:23, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19, 42:18, 42:24, 43:2, 95:4, 109:14 Probst [2] - 7:4, 8:2

procedure (1) - 95:5

proceed [1] - 7:25 proceeding [2] -38:18, 111:10 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:17 process [6] - 21:10, 31:2, 32:15, 39:14, 80:5, 81:14 product [9] - 48:22, 50:9, 51:3, 56:11, 56:12, 58:11, 75:3, 78:10, 86:19 Professional [1] -111:5 professional [4] -13:20, 14:8, 51:7 professionals [7] -25:22, 33:7, 36:5, 47:7, 55:23, 77:2, 83:8 **PROFESSIONALS** [1] - 2:12 prohibit [5] - 68:18, 72:16, 72:17, 72:20 project [8] - 11:1, 13:21, 30:1, 31:11, 31:15, 32:8, 86:4, projects [3] - 49:7, 70:20, 81:9 promise [1] - 75:16 proofs [1] - 42:19 proper [5] - 6:7, 38:19, 39:1, 89:5, 101:10 properly [1] - 37:8 properties [6] -20:14, 61:7, 69:14, 79:4, 83:3, 97:14 property [42] - 10:10, 10:13, 10:18, 10:23, 17:8, 22:13, 35:7, 35:8, 37:2, 41:18, 50:14, 50:22, 50:23, 56:6, 57:10, 60:20, 60:21, 66:17, 68:7, 68:12, 73:22, 74:12, 74:21, 74:25, 75:1, 75:25, 76:8, 76:20, 77:8, 93:10, 93:11, 93:12, 97:18, 97:20, 98:23, 99:3, 99:6, 99:13, 101:24, 102:23, 107:8 propose [1] - 20:18 proposed [14] -10:22, 12:20, 15:3, 21:17, 21:18, 22:12, 26:17, 27:18, 27:20, 28:19, 52:13, 67:18, 67:21, 68:9

proposing [7] - 12:1, 22:25, 27:4, 27:16, 45:7, 45:8, 53:13 protect [3] - 88:20, 89:11, 103:25 protected [1] - 88:19 protects [1] - 88:10 prototype [1] - 54:13 provide [8] - 23:21, 43:24, 53:22, 55:5, 66:6, 94:3, 94:12, 107:1 provided [4] - 6:18, 39:13, 42:8, 42:13 provides [2] - 6:19, 18:17 providing [2] - 77:18, 88:18 PUBLIC [2] - 1:18, 4:16 Public [4] - 6:5, 109:6, 111:3, 111:24 public [13] - 6:12, 6:21, 9:18, 33:9, 39:3, 39:20, 44:4, 45:25, 48:1, 88:4, 96:22, 104:5, 106:1 pull [1] - 106:18 pulls [1] - 84:13 pun [1] - 30:17 purchased [1] - 30:3 purely [1] - 38:11 pursuant [2] - 6:17, 10:15 put [9] - 34:22, 63:14, 66:16, 76:18, 92:2, 101:7, 101:14, 101:15, 108:19 puts (1) - 37:10 putting [1] - 73:1

Q

quality [4] - 14:1, 50:18, 75:3, 84:24 questioning [1] -31:14 QUESTIONS [1] -4.16 questions [53] - 21:1, 22:8, 22:9, 23:6, 23:7, 23:11, 29:9, 29:12, 33:6, 33:13, 33:23, 34:3, 34:11, 38:14, 43:7, 43:13, 45:11, 45:24, 46:4, 47:19, 47:25, 48:1, 51:6, 55:22, 55:24, 56:2, 75:14, 77:3, 77:6, 83:10, 86:22, 88:1, 88:2, 88:5, 90:2, 90:17, 90:22, 91:6, 91:10, 91:13, 91:19, 91:24, 92:1,

93:5, 94:19, 96:22, 96:23, 97:9, 104:4, 104:23, 105:18, 106:2 quick [2] - 29:11, 77:5 quickly [5] - 9:19, 11:11, 62:1, 93:22, 99:20 quite [2] - 35:6, 42:25 quo [1] - 30:20 quorum [1] - 7:25

R

R.I [4] - 1:4, 9:23,

10:6, 109:23

radar [1] - 19:15

radiator [1] - 73:6

raise [3] - 17:19,

91:23, 92:18

91:19

85:11

raising [2] - 91:17,

Ramsey [3] - 4:20,

ran [2] - 95:16, 96:3

range [2] - 84:22,

34:14, 88:7

rates [1] - 84:17 rather [1] - 36:10 re [1] - 58:25 re-check [1] - 58:25 reach [2] - 93:1, 101:24 read [1] - 37:11 ready [3] - 16:18, 31:3, 32:19 Real [1] - 46:17 real [6] - 12:16, 18:12, 50:12, 71:15, 85:23, 98:5 realize [1] - 44:17 really [21] - 20:24, 22:5, 27:2, 36:9, 44:12, 45:3, 45:14, 48:22, 50:14, 54:23, 61:4, 68:23, 71:2, 71:3, 71:8, 71:16, 76:13, 81:20, 86:4, 98:9, 108:17 reappear [1] - 44:19 rear [1] - 14:23 reason [6] - 57:7, 57:8, 57:9, 66:18, 68:20 reasonable [3] - 74:2, 101:10, 101:17 reasonably [3] - 23:1, 81:16, 83:2 receive [1] - 92:3 received [2] - 12:25, 28:22 recently [1] - 49:12 recess [1] - 16:14

recognition (1) -54:22 recognize [1] - 49:21 record [8] - 7:9, 10:3, 18:2, 19:4, 89:18, 89:21, 93:4, 96:14 records [1] - 109:6 rectified [1] - 40:11 rectify [1] - 38:1 red [1] - 24:1 reduce [1] - 12:1 reducing [1] - 51:8 reduction [4] - 12:8, 12:9, 28:1, 77:21 reenter [2] - 89:18, 89:21 reference [6] - 15:8, 27:18, 29:13, 81:7, 92:4 referenced [4] - 37:2, 37:4, 92:5, 96:11 referring [2] - 37:1, 54:10 refrigerator [1] - 50:5 refused [1] - 89:7 regard [2] - 31:18, 101:19 regarding [2] - 23:11, 92:19 regardless [1] - 86:19 Regional [3] - 46:16, 47:4 regional [1] - 13:11 Registered [1] -111:5 regular [2] - 50:3, 94:13 **REGULAR** [1] - 1:3 reiterate [1] - 33:12 related [5] - 13:17, 17:9, 27:3, 33:23, 107:16 relates [1] - 27:20 relationship (1) -81:4 relative [3] - 14:14, 111:14, 111:16 relatively [1] - 51:9 relief [4] - 12:22, 12:23, 15:6, 27:19 relitigate [1] - 45:16 remain [4] - 13:1, 13:6, 34:23 remaining [2] -109:18, 109:19 remains [1] - 24:19 remarks [2] - 54:2, 94:10 remedied [1] - 37:24 remember [2] - 59:9, 86:10 repaired [2] - 101:23,

102:4

repeat [2] - 21:13,

78:16 replacing [1] - 58:8 report [1] - 51:8 **REPORTED** [1] - 2:22 Reporter [2] - 111:4, Reporters [1] - 1:23 REPORTING [1] reports [1] - 51:7 reposted [2] - 40:11, 40:21 represent [2] - 12:21, 19:7 representation [5] -21:5, 21:11, 21:16, 54:6, 99:25 representations [2] -11:1, 100:1 representatives [3] -13:9, 25:20 represented [2] -17:2, 90:17 representing [1] -13:13 request [2] - 6:16, 109:6 requested [2] - 27:19, 36:12 requesting [1] -25:25 require [1] - 6:23 required [8] - 11:16, 11:18, 11:19, 11:21, 12:24, 28:17, 28:20, 96:14 requirement [4] -39:4, 59:2, 95:7, 100:7 requirements [7] -13:2, 24:24, 36:7, 36:16, 38:20, 92:20, 93:24 research [1] - 78:9 reservation [1] - 76:6 reserve [4] - 34:11, 35:13, 38:14, 79:25 residence [3] - 35:20, 61:23, 89:3 Residence [38] -11:6, 19:25, 20:2. 20:3, 20:4, 20:20, 21:22, 22:1, 35:6, 48:19, 49:22, 50:13, 51:15, 53:21, 54:14, 54:16, 54:24, 57:11, 57:13, 57:14, 57:15, 57:18, 57:22, 57:23, 58:5, 58:12, 60:3, 64:18, 64:20, 65:7, 67:22, 68:23, 72:7, 75:19, 75:22,

76:4, 77:17

residency [3] - 59:7, 59:10, 88:10 resident [6] - 34:15, 34:16, 43:11, 102:2, 103:17, 103:23 residential [3] -43:20, 54:14, 100:6 residents [12] -39:15, 41:17, 41:20, 42:1, 42:4, 88:21, 89:1, 89:12, 93:12, 97:19, 105:18, 110:5 resolution [14] - 22:9, 24:11, 26:4, 26:17, 27:5, 58:3, 64:20, 66:16, 92:3, 100:22, 101:15, 106:23, 108:22, 108:25 respect [5] - 14:23, 27:21, 41:7, 66:21, 73:18 respond [1] - 93:22 responding [1] -12:16 responsibility [1] -60:22 rest [4] - 30:18, 38:14, 106:13, 107:11 restaurant [3] -48:21, 52:6, 56:23 restaurants [1] -56:18 restriction [1] - 58:20 restrictions [1] -66:20 restroom [1] - 15:25 rests [1] - 73:11 result [2] - 12:8, 82:17 resulted [1] - 14:15 resume [1] - 16:19 retail [8] - 29:18, 31:16, 31:21, 81:18, 81:21, 86:1, 108:5 returning [1] - 11:23 review [4] - 12:19, 14:8, 26:3, 108:21 reviewed [2] - 22:23, 95:20 revisions [1] - 14:15 rise [1] - 7:1 Ritz [1] - 50:13 Ritz-Carlton [1] -50:13 road [2] - 49:16, 107:25 Road [1] - 29:15 Robert [1] - 4:23

roles [2] - 46:13

roll [1] - 7:5 roof [5] - 21:22, 21:23, 54:13, 54:15 room [10] - 8:16, 9:22, 51:12, 51:13, 51:19, 64:17, 68:4, 71:13, 76:11, 77:25 Room (2) - 62:21, 62:22 rooms [29] - 12:11, 25:12, 48:22, 51:10, 52:13, 52:17, 53:12, 64:17, 64:24, 65:2, 65:24, 66:2, 66:5, 66:19, 67:13, 67:16, 67:22, 67:23, 68:9, 68:16, 73:21, 75:6, 76:22, 77:7, 77:8, 77:11, 77:20, 77:23 rooms-only [1] -48:22 roughly [1] - 43:16 ROUTE [1] - 1:6 Route [6] - 10:1, 10:12, 11:15, 29:15, 29:21, 40:10 routinely [1] - 44:15 RPR [2] - 2:23, 111:23 rule [1] - 63:5 rules [1] - 73:24 run [3] - 59:7, 84:19, 87:22 running [1] - 87:24 runoff [1] - 36:8 runs [2] - 68:5, 99:11

S S-E-E-L-E-Y [1] -102:8 safety [1] - 97:23 safety/security [1] -98:17 sales [1] - 55:12 salespeople [1] -71:4 salute m - 7:1 SARMAD [12] - 2:16, 25:17, 57:4, 59:22, 61:24, 62:14, 62:23, 83:9, 84:15, 84:21, 85:2, 85:12 Sarmad [5] - 2:17, 7:24, 27:8, 29:9, 92:5 satisfaction [1] -93:18 satisfied [5] - 24:12, 38:17, 39:4, 39:8, 40:1 satisfy [1] ~ 76:6

saw [4] - 27:14,

61:21, 62:17 second [7] - 16:7, 16:8, 46:25, 48:11, 66:14, 70:10, 86:4 secret [1] - 30:10 secretary [1] - 6:20 Section [2] - 10:15, 10:16 section [2] - 34:17, 92:15 security [10] - 52:24, 97:4, 97:11, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 102:11, 102:13, 102:15 see [16] - 9:18, 10:20, 23:24, 37:12, 60:7, 81:17, 81:18, 81:22, 83:2, 83:3, 93:23, 100:22, 104:10, 105:12, 108:19, 108:22 seeing [1] - 91:22 seek [4] - 11:23, 21:2, 53:16, 53:23 seeking [7] - 10:8, 13:3, 26:8, 28:16, 28:22, 30:4, 36:11 Seeley [4] - 4:22, 102:7, 103:1, 103:3 SEELEY [2] - 102:7, 102:22 seem [2] - 41:16, 73:16 segment [3] - 49:20, 50:21, 75:16 segue [2] - 34:5, 34:8 select [1] - 95:14 selected [1] - 35:3 self [1] - 81:24 self-funded [1] -81:24 selis [1] - 84:12 semi [2] - 30:12, 30:13 semi-completed [2] -30:12, 30:13 send [1] - 37:7 sense [2] - 62:15, 97:19 sent [3] - 6:7, 92:21, 93:6 September [2] -14:13, 14:20 Service [1] - 42:9 services [3] - 6:23, 86:16, 88:18 set [1] - 111:12

27:17, 30:23, 30:24

scale [2] - 26:13,

scaled [1] - 26:19

school [3] - 61:6,

schedule [1] - 95:15

51:16

setback [2] - 11:15, 14:23 seven [3] - 6:24, 62:3, 64:21 several [4] - 12:3, 19:11, 55:16, 56:4 shafts [2] - 53:4 shall [2] - 100:16, 100:17 sharing [1] - 80:6 sheet [3] - 14:12, 15:5, 52:15 shift [3] - 55:10, 55:11, 57:24 shifted [2] - 25:2, 25:6 shifts [1] - 55:6 shooting [1] - 59:8 shoots [1] - 103:6 shops (1) - 52:7 short [1] - 50:9 shower [1] - 51:17 shown [4] - 10:10, 55:9, 108:9, 108:11 shows [2] - 27:12, 81:13 shrinking [1] - 77:16 shut [1] - 19:22 side [3] - 8:24, 36:18, 40:24 sign [1] - 22:5 significant [1] - 58:4 significantly [1] -77:17 Sikora [1] - 7:17 SILBERT [91] - 3:3, 9:16, 10:2, 16:2, 16:11, 16:24, 17:15, 18:9, 21:4, 21:15, 22:7, 22:21, 23:3, 23:23, 27:13, 28:6, 28:15, 29:1, 29:4, 36:17, 36:25, 37:19, 38:7, 38:11, 39:11, 40:5, 40:22, 41:24, 42:2, 42:8, 42:12, 42:16, 44:8, 45:5, 45:17, 46:9, 47:18, 47:23, 48:6, 50:16, 51:5, 52:11, 52:19, 53:9, 53:25, 54:8, 54:21, 55:1, 55:21, 57:12, 57:19, 57:25, 61:14, 66:13, 67:17, 68:1, 68:17, 69:4, 74:20, 74:23, 90:9, 91:7, 91:25, 92:8, 92:12, 92:16, 93:21, 94:22, 94:25, 95:25, 96:8, 96:19, 97:7, 100:3, 100:21, 101:6, 102:3, 103:2, 104:7, 104:12,

104:18, 106:17, 107:14, 108:2, 108:20, 109:2, 109:5, 109:8, 109:15, 109:22, 110:7 Silbert [8] - 10:3, 16:22, 23:10, 44:6, 56:1, 64:19, 104:6, 109:11 Silbert's [1] - 42:19 Silver [8] - 4:6, 4:7, 13:10, 13:12, 17:4, 45:21, 46:23, 47:4 similar [7] - 20:18, 21:18, 21:20, 26:12, 51:15, 54:4, 65:4 similarly [1] - 59:13 simpler [1] - 65:19 simply [1] - 108:1 single [2] - 10:14, 78:2 single-occupancy [1] - 78:2 SITE [1] - 1:7 Site [2] - 10:9, 11:3 site [43] - 11:24, 12:5, 13:22, 14:7, 14:10, 14:12, 14:19, 19:11, 20:6, 24:25, 25:23, 29:14, 29:21, 30:10, 30:12, 30:18, 30:19, 31:8, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 37:22, 44:11, 45:9, 47:6, 53:17, 69:12, 69:16, 87:10, 90:13, 97:4, 97:5, 97:12, 98:8, 102:13, 106:13, 106:19, 107:4, 107:5, 107:25, 108:9, 108:17 sites [3] - 29:18, 46:18, 98:12 sits [1] - 25:7 sitting [2] - 73:8, 82:5 situation [8] - 41:11, 66:9, 67:12, 68:2, 71:18, 72:21, 96:3, 97:16 six [5] - 24:7, 49:2, 59:3, 59:5, 70:21 six-month [1] - 59:3 size [10] - 10:15, 12:2, 12:21, 26:13, 51:9, 65:4, 68:4, 77:13 skyrocketed [1] -87:16 slated [1] - 96:12 sleeping [1] - 51:17 slight [1] - 51:10

slightly [1] - 12:11 smack [1] - 31:4 smack-dab [1] - 31:4 small [3] - 50:4, 50:5, 52:24 smaller [10] - 20:22, 22:4, 23:16, 25:10, 25:12, 26:14, 51:16, 51:18, 77:20, 85:25 snap [1] - 81:12 soccer [1] - 87:17 sold [1] - 74:11 solution [1] - 45:20 someone [4] - 58:17, 69:16, 84:1, 97:5 **SOMERSET** [1] - 1:2 something's [1] -82:4 sometime [1] - 79:22 sometimes [1] -69:14 Sometimes [1] -86:21 somewhat [1] - 30:19 somewhere [2] -80:24, 85:10 son [1] - 64:11 sorry [13] - 9:4, 15:11, 17:8, 25:17, 31:13, 46:22, 53:4, 57:4, 72:10, 79:11, 85:2, 90:10, 97:10 sort [2] - 20:24, 81:2 sounds [2] - 74:13, 76:5 South [4] - 78:23, 79:7, 79:9, 79:10 southerly [1] - 29:20 southern [1] - 93:9 southernmost [1] -10:22 space [7] - 14:3, 48:21, 51:21, 53:13, 53:14, 53:16, 65:16 spaces [4] - 12:10, 90:4, 90:5, 90:6 speaking [1] - 97:2 special [3] - 6:23, 66:20, 68:21 Special [1] - 94:8 specific [10] - 33:24, 33:25, 57:10, 76:19, 85:20, 87:8, 92:4, 107:16, 108:12 specifically [7] -10:20, 11:25, 26:16, 52:12, 85:20, 87:2, 101:8 specifics [1] - 23:19 specify [1] - 100:15

spell [1] - 18:1

spelled [1] - 48:19 spend [1] - 81:23 spirit [1] - 25.5 sports [1] - 87:18 springboard [1] -30:17 SpringHill [15] -11:13, 15:11, 15:12, 27:22, 28:3, 31:16, 31:20, 32:7, 32:18, 80:6, 80:11, 81:18, 82:9, 108:4, 108:10 square (3) - 51:20, 77:22, 87:11 stacked [1] - 54:20 stacked-stone [1] -54:20 STAFF [1] - 2:12 staff [1] - 97:3 staffing [1] - 69:6 stage [1] - 33:13 stair [1] - 53:4 stairwells [1] - 52:21 stake [1] - 29:25 stand [2] - 17:19, 24.6 standard [3] - 56:11, 59:16, 59:20 standards [1] - 74:16 standpoint [6] -12:16, 12:23, 14:5, 32:22, 38:25, 62:15 Stanford [1] - 42:23 Star [1] - 6:8 Star-Ledger [1] - 6:8 start [11] - 15:16, 31:1, 32:6, 32:16, 48:3, 67:12, 68:14, 94:8, 106:24, 107:9 started [4] - 20:7, 31:3, 32:8, 87:22 starting [2] - 17:25, 87:9 STATE [1] - 1:2 state [3] - 18:1, 89:6, 98:24 State [2] - 61:20, 111:4 states [2] - 40:17, 88:13 States [3] - 19:9, 19:12, 91:10 status [1] - 30:20 statutory [2] - 38:20, 39.4 Stay [1] - 48:13 stay [56] - 24:21, 35:21, 48:10, 48:16, 48:17, 49:3, 49:5, 49:16, 49:19, 50:1, 50:3, 50:4,

50:6, 51:3, 53:20,

56:12, 57:10,

57:13, 57:16, 57:18, 57:22, 58:5, 58:6, 58:9, 58:17, 58:18, 58:22, 58:24, 59:5, 59:21, 60:1, 60:2, 60:6, 60:7, 60:8, 60:10, 62:2, 62:11, 64:14, 68:21, 70:16, 71:6, 71:10, 71:12, 76:15, 76:16, 78:7, 80:3, 83:23, 83:24, 84:1, 84:9, 87:8, 88:8, 92:15 stayed [4] - 63:11, 64:11, 75:22, 76:5 staying [11] - 49:1, 49:13, 50:2, 53:19, 61:2, 62:3, 70:25, 84:2, 88:22, 89:9, 110:1 stays [6] - 48:23, 50:17, 66:16, 71:19, 76:21, 89:13 Steinhardt [1] - 2:14 stenographically [1] - 111:11 STENOGRAPHICAL LY [1] - 2:22 step [3] - 31:24, 31:25, 35:6 still [13] - 12:23, 13:1, 20:6, 22:14, 24:12, 38:18, 49:3, 53:14, 70:24, 101:23, 101:25, 107:12, 109:13 stipulate [2] - 66:4, 89:12 stipulations [1] -99:14 Stires [1] - 3:18 stolen [1] - 103:20 stone [1] - 54:20 storm [2] - 100:9, 101:4 storms [1] - 101:21 stormwater [3] -36:7, 36:8, 45:10 stormwater-type [1] -45:10 story [2] - 20:19, 74:5 Street [3] - 4:20, 34:15, 88:7 street [1] - 52:6 streets [2] - 41:21, 42:23 stress [1] - 13:23 stringing [1] - 67:13 strong [5] - 21:6,

54:23, 85:16,

stronger [1] - 86:11

strongest [2] - 78:13,

85:18, 86:2

78:17

structural [1] - 75:7 structure [3] - 11:20, 30:6, 75:8 studies [1] - 78:13 style [1] - 54:3 subject [9] - 11:3, 25:25, 36:6, 36:15, 37:1, 37:4, 38:17, 38:23, 93:9 subjected [1] -103:18 submission [2] -38:23, 42:14 submit [1] - 109:5 submitted [4] - 36:22, 37:10, 37:18, 54:10 subsequent [1] -96:21 substantially [1] -54:4 suburban [1] - 63:23 sufficient [1] - 39:5 suggesting [1] -37:16 suggestion [1] -105:8 Suites [30] - 11:13, 13:13, 15:3, 15:9, 15:11, 15:13, 15:18, 27:22, 29:24, 34:1, 35:14, 48:4, 49:23, 49:24, 54:9, 55:7, 60:4, 64:5, 66:19, 66:21, 68:20, 70:13, 71:19, 75:2, 80:6, 80:11, 86:8, 103:4, 103:9 support [1] - 86:16 Suppose [1] - 80:10 supposed [1] - 62:24 surrounding [2] -78:9, 102:16 surveillance [2] -102:13, 103:22 surveilled [1] - 103:9 swear [6] - 15:20, 17:10, 17:11, 17:13, 17:16, 17:20 sworn [4] - 18:17, 47:10, 47:13, 111:7 system [2] - 62:17, 79:4 systems [1] - 102:13

T

table [3] - 8:25, 15:5, 27:15 tactic [1] - 94:2 tape [1] - 95:20 target [4] - 70:14, 71:3, 71:9, 84:16 tax [2] - 10:11, 42:13 team [2] - 20:14,

13 25:21 teamed [2] - 20:11, 35:15 teams [2] - 87:17, 87:18 technical [2] - 12:23, 62:14 teens [1] - 86:6 Tel [1] - 1:24 telegraph [1] - 24:3 ten [1] - 62:4 tenant [1] - 88:17 tenants [2] - 82:17, 102:15 tend [3] - 55:15, 55:17, 67:4 term [5] - 35:20, 59:9, 60:6, 85:18, 89:5 terms [5] - 14:1, 31:17, 31:19. 50:18, 59:17 Terrace [1] - 1:23 terrain [1] - 86:18 terrific [1] - 43:6 Terry [9] - 13:10, 15:19, 17:3, 18:6, 20:11, 46:15, 47:5, 51:14, 51:24 TERRY [68] - 4:5, 18:6, 46:15, 46:22, 48:5, 48:9, 50:21, 51:2, 53:15, 55:14 58:14, 58:19, 60:11, 60:16, 60:23, 63:6, 63:17, 63:22, 64:21, 65:1, 65:15, 69:13, 69:20, 69:25, 70:15, 71:23, 72:4, 72:8, 72:14, 72:17, 73:1, 73:5, 74:1, 75:13, 76:10, 76:23, 77:20, 78:4, 78:12, 78:17, 78:25, 79:3, 79:7, 79:10, 79:17, 80:8, 80:14, 80:20, 81:1, 81:20, 83:21, 84:18, 84:25, 85:4, 85:10, 85:22, 87:5, 89:16, 97:10, 97:24, 98:9, 98:19, 102:19, 102:24, 103:6, 103:11, 103:15, 104:2 testified [2] - 15:14, 54:1 testifies [2] - 47:10, 47:13

testify [3] - 67:19,

testimony [24] - 6:13,

22:10, 23:8, 23:12,

9:2, 9:7, 15:16,

17:21, 18:18,

107:3, 111:7

33:10, 43:15, 54:5, 56:2, 58:12, 77:3, 81:7, 88:5, 96:23, 104:22, 105:13, 105:14, 105:19, 106:2, 109:25 thankfully [1] - 31:7 Thanksgiving [2] -92:23, 94:2 THARALDSON [1] -1:5 Tharaldson [7] - 4:4, 9:25, 13:16, 17:6, 19:6, 19:7, 29:23 THE [27] - 18:22, 19:5, 21:13, 21:20, 22:16, 23:2, 23:18, 23:25, 24:22, 25:6, 25:10, 28:10, 30:2, 30:21, 31:18, 32:2, 32:20, 32:24, 34:4, 34:7, 35:12, 35:18, 35:23, 36:2, 43:18, 43:21, 43:25 themselves [3] -77:12, 77:15, 77:16 theoretically [1] -61:20 theory [1] - 61:22 they've [3] - 26:18, 45:13, 75:21 third [1] - 96:12 thorough [2] - 26:3, 39:21 three [22] - 13:7, 13:8, 15:24, 29:17, 30:14, 33:1, 49:2, 50:24, 52:18, 53:21, 58:13, 66:8, 67:13, 74:4, 75:19, 75:24, 76:8, 79:24, 90:5, 109:16, 109:20 three-bedroom [1] -66:8 threesie [1] - 76:2 thriving [1] - 88:25 throughout [1] - 91:9 thrown [2] - 71:18, 71:25 ticket [1] - 80:2 tied [1] - 32:3 tier [2] - 50:22 tightened (1) - 77:19 timely [1] - 45:20 timing [4] - 31:20, 32:5, 106:10, 106:16 timing-wise [1] - 32:5 tip [1] - 31:10 Tipton [1] - 2:14 tired [1] - 105:1 tiresomely [1] - 22:17 title [1] - 19:2 to.. [1] - 42:25

today [4] - 22:15, 22:25, 76:18, 86:25 58:1 today's [1] - 19:17 together [4] - 17:17, 20:25, 66:16, 67:13 toilet [1] - 69:21 tonight [11] - 8:15, 10:5, 11:22, 26:7, 29:19, 34:16, 70:5, 91:9, 95:21, 104:21, 109:25 took [1] - 94:15 top [1] - 50:5 tossed [1] - 19:3 total [1] - 77:21 totally [2] - 37:23, 41:6 touch [1] - 21:12 tow [2] - 74:6, 74:8 town [3] - 30:18, 71:6, 79:14 TownePlace [1] -49:23 towners 151 - 105:6, 105:7, 106:4, 106:5, 109:24 township [8] - 10:16, 25:22, 31:7, 32:13, 36:5, 37:10, 63:13, 88:20 Township [8] - 1:24, 2:15, 2:15, 2:18, 6:2, 6:9, 10:5, 22:19 TOWNSHIP [1] - 1:1 township's (2) -10:11, 13:2 traditionally [1] -57:15 train [2] - 52:2, 55:18 transcriber [1] - 6:24 transcript [2] - 95:20, 111:10 TRANSCRIPT [1] -1:17 transferring [1] - 36:9 transient [1] - 89:2 transients [1] - 62:2 transition [1] - 30:19 transmittal [1] - 40:9 transparency [2] -39:7, 41:8 transparent (1) - 40:3 travel [1] - 79:23 traveled [3] - 8:19, 9:3, 63:10 traveling [5] - 49:1,

59:3, 70:19, 87:18,

treat [2] - 44:24,

treated [1] - 44:12

tree [2] - 101:19,

91:8

44:25

101:21

trees [1] - 24:14 tremendous [1] trends [1] - 12:17 trespass [1] - 74:25 tried [1] - 101:23 trigger [3] - 81:8, 81:17, 82:4 triggers [1] - 59:16 true [3] - 26:11, 84:4 100:5, 111:10 Trustees [1] - 89:25 trustees [1] - 33:19 truth [5] - 17:22, 17:23, 111:7, 111:8 try [5] - 46:7, 52:8, 71:5, 91:11, 98:2 trying [13] - 9:13, 18:24, 22:6, 24:17, 25:5, 28:10, 34:20, 38:8, 45:18, 75:2, 76:24, 84:7, 89:2 TUESDAY [1] - 1:14 Tuesday [1] - 6:3 turn [1] - 23:4 turned [1] - 87:15 turning (1) - 51:11 two [24] - 13:8, 27:14, 29:3, 29:11, 29:16, 32:18, 45:20, 49:17, 50:5, 53:3, 53:4, 66:8, 71:11, 75:23, 78:2, 79:21, 79:24, 80:25, 82:25, 88:24, 90:17, 96:1, 104:5 two-bedrooms [1] -78:2 two-top [1] - 50:5 twosie [1] - 76:2 type [7] - 45:10, 56:10, 61:3, 71:21, 77:25, 84:17, 103:21 typical [9] - 49:15, 51:12, 51:13, 55:6, 70:12, 75:25, 77:24, 78:6 typically [9] - 9:2, 9:6, 55:9, 69:7, 69:11, 81:21, 84:19, 97:12, 98:1 Typically [1] - 84:11 typo [6] - 14:21, 14:23, 38:12, 38:22, 40:8, 40:9 typographical [1] -41:2

U

ultimately [2] - 12:14, 105:9 uncertainty [1] -82:19

98:17

unchanged [1] - 13:6 uncommon [1] - 41:1 under [12] - 10:14, 13:3, 26:25, 28:17, 48:13, 57:11, 57:13, 66:6, 68:12, 79:18, 93:23, 97:22 underhanded [2] -94:3, 94:11 underserved [1] understood [5] -27:6, 33:16, 38:7, 40:5, 90:16 unfortunate [1] -38:24 unfortunately [2] -19:23, 72:5 unfounded [1] -67:11 unhoused [1] - 89:5 unique [2] - 61:15, 97:19 UNISON [3] - 16:10, 16:21, 17:24 unit [4] - 13:18, 107:16, 108:8, 108:12 Unit [7] - 10:21, 11:7, 11:25, 13:14, 103:5, 107:17, 107:19 United [3] - 19:9, 19:12, 91:10 units [6] - 10:18, 47:2, 48:12, 77:15, 77:16, 107:21 unknown [1] - 30:7 unless [1] - 58:20 unusual [1] - 66:20 up [34] - 8:12, 15:19, 17.5, 18:11, 19:25, 20:11, 20:14, 30:9, 35:15, 43:20, 47:5, 50:7, 61:9, 63:14, 76:21, 77:19. 79:13, 79:25, 80:17, 81:13, 86:5, 88:4, 90:20, 97:2, 102:2, 103:17, 103:24, 104:9, 105:25, 106:10, 106:11, 106:14, 106:15, 108:19 update [1] - 40:14 upkeep [1] - 101:9 user [5] - 11:24, 13:14, 48:8, 66:18, 68:3 users [2] - 81:21, 82:22 utilize [1] - 52:5 utmost [2] - 97:23,

V

vacation [1] - 52:1 vandalized [1] -103:20 variance [13] - 11:13, 12:23, 13:3, 14:23, 15:6, 27:9, 27:11, 27:21, 27:25, 28:15, 28:21, 28:23, 29:3 variances [6] - 11:9, 12:3, 12:4, 12:24, 13:4, 36:11 variation [1] - 54:18 vastly [1] - 86:25 vernacular [1] - 49:25 versa [2] - 56:23, 80:7 Version [1] - 40:13 Vescio [1] - 7:6 VESCIO [65] - 2:2, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:1, 9:1, 9:6, 9:10, 9:15, 9:21, 15:22, 16:3, 16:6, 16:9, 16:12, 16:18, 16:22, 17:16, 23:9, 25:15, 27:7, 29:7, 33:4, 34:13, 43:7, 44:2, 46:7, 46:10, 55:25, 64:7, 70:3, 71:17, 72:3, 75:14, 77:2, 78:21, 79:16, 83:7, 85:15, 86:21, 88:1, 89:19, 89:22, 90:24, 93:20, 94:21, 94:24, 95:2, 96:17, 96:21, 102:1, 102:25, 103:8, 103:12, 103:16, 104:3, 104:10, 104:14, 104:17, 105:12, 105:23, 106:5, 109:9, 109:21, 110:3 viable [1] - 78:11 Vice [5] - 4:4, 4:5, 4:7, 46:17, 47:4 vice [5] - 13:12, 13:16, 17:7, 56:23, 80:7 Vice-president [5] -4:4, 4:5, 4:7, 46:17, 47:4 vice-president [2] -13:16, 17:7 vice-presidents [1] -13:12 vice-versa [2] -56:23, 80:7 Village [3] - 33:20, 90:1, 93:7 violate [1] - 72:25

violation [2] - 63:1, 101:11

W wait [4] - 46:1, 76:15,

76:17, 94:3 waiting [1] - 32:9 waive (1) - 93:17 waivers [1] - 11:10 walk [2] - 51:13, 86:13 Wang [1] - 7:16 wants [1] - 70:16 Warren [2] - 3:4, 10:5 WAY [1] - 1:11 wear [2] - 55:16, 69:8 webmaster [1] -40:21 website [4] - 37:11, 39:21, 40:17, 76:18 week [3] - 49:5, 73:8, 79:23 weekend [2] - 87:15, 87:23 weekends [2] - 6:25, 87:13 weeks [1] - 33:1 welcome [2] - 6:2, 52:15 well-established [1] -88:24 well-maintained [1] -101:16 western [1] - 93:9 what-have-you [1] -63:14 wheels [1] - 73:2 whole [11] - 27:2, 34:17, 73:11, 73:22, 74:10, 86:2, 86:15, 99:12, 102:23, 108:16, 111:8 wife [1] - 71:18 WILLIAM [2] - 2:3, 2:15 willing [4] - 8:13, 8:20, 63:4, 93:16 willingness [1] -73:18 wise [3] - 28:11, 32:5, 105:15 wish [1] - 105:18 wishes [1] - 106:1 wishing [1] - 33:9 witness [11] - 15:19, 34:5, 34:8, 34:12, 35:13, 44:5, 44:7, 104:13, 104:15, 109:18 WITNESS [27] -18:22, 19:5, 21:13, 21:20, 22:16, 23:2, 23:18, 23:25,

24:22, 25:6, 25:10, 28:10, 30:2, 30:21, 31:18, 32:2, 32:20, 32:24, 34:4, 34:7, 35:12, 35:18, 35.23, 36:2, 43:18, 43:21, 43:25 witnesses [20] -12:13, 13:7, 13:8, 17:11, 17:12, 17:18, 38:15, 45:21, 46:1, 46:4, 85:14, 90:18, 91:4, 91:8, 91:11, 96:22, 104:5, 104:11, 109:17, 111:7 WITNESSES [2] - 4:2, 17:24 won [1] - 50:24 wonderful [1] - 92:8 wondering [1] -36:14 WoodSpring [34] -13:13, 15:3, 15:9, 15:12, 15:13, 15:18, 20:10, 20:13, 21:21, 29:24, 32:11, 34:1, 35:14, 46:21, 46:24, 48:4, 48:10, 48:16, 54:9, 55:6, 60:4, 64:18, 66:14, 66:19, 66:21, 67:6, 68:20, 70:13, 71:19, 72:1, 75:2, 103:4, 103:9 word [1] - 26:11 words [1] - 107:10 working-on-yourcar[1] - 74:10 world [8] - 12:18, 19:17, 19:19, 35:2, 47:1, 48:12, 86:25

Υ

wrapped [1] - 8:12

yard [2] - 11:15, 14:23 year [10] - 19:13, 58:18, 60:2, 60:10, 75:10, 76:11, 95:7, 95:8, 101:20, 101:22 years [18] - 19:11, 24:7, 30:14, 30:22, 43:12, 49:20, 50:25, 68:2, 68:7, 73:15, 73:20, 73:22, 74:14, 86:23, 87:17, 98:8, 103:19, 103:21 York [1] - 63:15

Z

ZAYTOUN [10] - 43:9, 43:10, 43:19, 43:23, 44:1, 96:25, 97:18, 98:7, 98:11, 98:21 Zaytoun [3] - 4:21, 43:10, 96:25 ZGORZYNSKI [6] -106:6, 107:9, 108:13, 108:25, 109:4, 109:7 Zgorzynski [3] - 4:23, 106:6, 109:10 zone [4] - 10:13, 10:17, 56:10, 57:5 zoned [1] - 56:6 zoning [4] - 15:5, 27:15, 101:9, 101:11