### **BRIDGEWATER TOWNSHIP PLANNING BOARD**

Regular Meeting

December 17, 2024

-Minutes-

### **CALL MEETING TO ORDER**

Chairman Vescio called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

### **OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT ANNOUNCEMENT**

Chairman Vescio read the OPMA Statement.

### **SALUTE TO THE FLAG**

### **ROLL CALL**

Mayor Moench-	Absent	Mr. Banga-	Absent
Councilman Kirsh-	Present	Ms. Sikora-	Present
Mr. Pappas-	Absent	Mr. Choudhury-	Present
Mr. Magura-	Present	Mr. Atkins-	Absent
Chairman Vescio-	Present	Ms. Chartowich-	Absent
Mr. Wang-	Present		

Others present: Board Attorney Mr. Mark Peck, Ms. Katherine Sarmad, Board Planner, Mr. Bill Burr, Township Engineer & Land Use Administrator Nancy Probst.

### **MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC**

Chairman Vescio opened the meeting to the public. With there being no comments from the public, the Boad closed this portion.

### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

There were no minutes for approval.

### **MEMORIALIZATION OF RESOLUTIONS**

There were resolutions for adoption.

# LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS Application No. 23-024-PB; Has

Block 150, Lots 12&13; 147 Chestnut St.

Minor Subdivision with Variances

Mr. Michael Silbert, Esq. was present to represent the application. He provided a summation of the application and prior hearings. Mr. Silbert advise the applicant made every effort to revise the application based on the prior hearings. He then reviewed the changes made to the application that were made to comply with as many bulk standards as she could.

Mr. Silbert stated the main concern was with the storm water management for the lot. He identified the changes that were made to the plans and advised these proposed changes reduce the amount of lot coverage for both lots.

Mr. Silbert identified the remaining bulk standard variances in detail.

Mr. Silbert reviewed the prior testimony from the applicants Professional Engineer and Planner. He reviewed and described the undersized and nonconforming lots surrounding the applicant's lots. He opined the variances being requested by the applicant are consistent with the surrounding neighborhood. Mr. Silbert then requested the Board grant the variance relief being sought.

On questioning by the Board, Mr. Silbert reviewed the variance relief being requested by the applicant.

Chairman Vescio opened the meeting to the public for questions and comments.

Mr. Paul Vetter of 148 Chestnut St. Bridgewater NJ was sworn in. Mr. Vetter discussed stormwater/flood waters in the Bradley Gardens section. He entered exhibit **O1 12-17-24 Photographs** taken during August of 2024 into the record and described them. Mr. Peck confirmed these photographs will be a part of the record.

Mr. Eugene Jeziorski of 145 Chestnut St. Bridgewater NJ. Was sworn. Mr. Jeziorski stated numerous neighbors could not be present and one resident submitted a letter. Mr. Peck confirmed that members of the public must be present to be to be cross-examined.

Mr. Jeziorski described rain impacts on the neighborhood. He then expressed concerns with other residents subdividing and building more houses and potential impacts from stormwater.

Mr. Dominic Caruso of Bridgewater NJ was sworn. Mr. Caruso advised the applicant requested he review this application. During his research, he met with Township Officials and opined zoning for this section was an "injustice" for the area. He further advised the lots prevent houses from being widened since they are mostly nonconforming lots.

On questioning by the Board, Mr. Caruso advised he informs homeowners he works with of the lot conditions and zoning.

Mr. Silbert asked Mr. Caruso if he would have approached the project differently if both properties were vacant and Mr. Caruso stated he would have combined the lots to build one home.

On questioning by the Board, Mr. Burr discussed the drainage and stormwater for the site.

Chairman Vescio opened the meeting to the public for questions and comments.

Mr. Paul Vetter of 148 Chestnut St. Bridgewater NJ. Mr. Vetter clarified there are 4 storm drains in the area and that the drains can't handle the existing runoff.

Mr. Eugene Jaziorski of 145 Chestnut St. Bridgewater NJ. Mr. Jaziorski reiterated the flooding concerns and stormwater runoff from the site.

Mr. Silbert opined these concerns would remain if the applicant built a conforming residence just as they would exist if this application would be granted.

With there being no further questions or comments from the public, the Board closed this portion of the meeting.

Mr. Peck reviewed the conditions for the resolution and the Boar deliberated.

On motion by Mr. Magura, seconded by Chairman Vescio, the application was approved with conditions based on the following roll call vote:

Aye:

Mr. Magura, Ms. Sikora & Mr. Choudhury

Nay:

Councilman Kirsch & Chairman Vescio

Abstain:

Not Eligible:

Mr. Wang

Absent:

Mayor Moench, Mr. Pappas, Mr. Banga, Ms. Chartowich & Mr. Atkins

Application #24-022-PB; R.I. Heritage Inn of Bridgewater LLC c/o Theraldson Hospitality Development LLC Block 222, Lot 3.01; 1260 Route 202

Amended Preliminary & Final Major Site Plan

See attached transcript by AB Court Reporting LLC.

On motion by Councilman Kirsch, seconded by Mr. Magura, the Board voted to approve the application with conditions based on the following roll call vote:

Aye:

Councilman Kirsch, Mr. Magura, Mr. Wang, Ms. Sikora,

Mr. Choudhury & Chairman Vescio

Nay:

Abstain:

Not Eligible:

Absent:

Mayor Moench, Mr. Pappas, Mr. Banga, Ms. Chartowich & Mr. Atkins

# Application #24-014-PB; Chick-Fil-A Block 164, Lot 6.05; 754 Route 202 Preliminary & Final Major Site Plan

See attached transcript provided by AB Court Reporting. This application was carried to the January 7, 2025 Reorganization Meeting without further notice.

### **ADJOURN**

The Board unanimously voted to adjourn the meeting at 11:33 pm.

**Respectfully Submitted** 

Jo-Ann M. Ricks

**Board Secretary Certified** 

# R.I. HERITAGE CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

1	TOWNSHIP OF BRIDGEWATER PLANNING BOARD
2	COUNTY OF SOMERSET - STATE OF NEW JERSEY
3	DECHIAD MEETING EOD.
4	REGULAR MEETING FOR:
5	R.I. HERITAGE INN OF BRIDGEWATER, LLC
6	C/O THARALDSON HOSPITALITY DEVELOPMENT, LLC BLOCK 222, LOT 3.01
7	1260 ROUTE 22
8	AMENDED PRELIMINARY & FINAL MAJOR SITE PLAN
9	APPLICATION NO. 24-022-PB
10	MUNICIPAL BUILDING
11	COUNCIL CHAMBERS  100 COMMONS WAY
12	BRIDGEWATER, NEW JERSEY 08807
13	
14	
15	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 17, 2024
16	7:00 P.M.
17	
18	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
19	PUBLIC HEARING - CONTINUATION -
20	COMITMONITON
21	
22	AB COURT REPORTING, LLC
23	Certified Court Reporters 26 Algonquin Terrace
24	Millstone Township, New Jersey 08535 Tel: (732)882-3590
25	angelabuonocsr@gmail.com

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	MAURIZIO VESCIO, CHAIRMAN
3	
4	NIREN CHOUDHURY
5	MICHAEL KIRSH, COUNCILMAN
6	JAMES MAGURA
7	ASHLEY SIKORA
8	HENRY WANG
9	
10	
11	
12	PROFESSIONALS AND STAFF PRESENT:
13	MARK R. PECK, ESQUIRE, Board Attorney Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton, LLC
14	WILLIAM H. BURR, IV, P.E., Township Engineer Township of Bridgewater
15 16	KATHERINE SARMAD, P.P., Board Planner Sarmad Planning Group, LLC
17	NANCY PROBST, Land Use Administrator Township of Bridgewater
18	Township of bilagewater
19	
20	
21	STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
22	ANGELA BUONANTUONO, CCR, RPR
23	License No. 30XI00233100
24	
25	

## APPEARANCES: DIFRANCESCO, BATEMAN, KUNZMAN, DAVIS, LEHRER & FLAUM, PC BY: MICHAEL E. SILBERT, ESQUIRE 15 Mountain Boulevard 4 Warren, New Jersey 07059 T: 908.757.7800 5 908.757.8039 $\mathbf{F}$ : Email: msilbert@newjerseylaw.net 6 --Counsel for the Applicant 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 ALSO PRESENT: 16 JEFF MCKAY, Vice-President 17 Tharaldson Hospitality Development 18 TERRY O'BRIEN Regional Vice-President Real Estate & Land Acquisitions 19 Park Silver Development, LLC 20 GREG HEFLIN Regional Vice-President Real Estate & Land Acquisitions 21 Park Silver Development, LLC 22 23 24 25

1		INDEX		
2	TITTUD O O		1	PAGE
3	<u>WITNESS</u>		<u>.</u>	FAGE
4	GEORGE FOLK, P.E.	acciptos IIC		9
5	David A. Stires As	sociates, inc		
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	PUBLIC QUESTIONS AN	D/OR COMMENTS:		
16				
17	NAME	<u>ADDRESS</u>	j	PAGE
18	Lars Knudson	104 Chelsea Way		71,104
19				113
20	John Merten	104 Adamsville	-	81,110
21	Robert Zgorzynski	119 Chelsea Way		88
22	Mitchel Zaytoun	130 Chelsea Way		94
23				
24				
25				

1		EXHIBITS	
2	NT -	DE COLIDETON	PAGE
3	No.	DESCRIPTION	FAGE
4	7A 1	Coloniard Cita Dlan Dandarina	10
5	A-1	Colorized Site Plan Rendering	10
6	0-1	Photographs from Chelsea Village in direction of subject property,	96
7		submitted by Mitchel Zaytoun	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

· · · · ·			
	6		Mr. Burr, heard angineer, And Mr. Sarmad, heard
1	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Good evening, And	1	Mr. Burr, board engineer. And Ms. Sarmad, board
2	welcome to the Bridgewater Township Planning Board	2	planner.  You have a quorum and you can proceed.
3	meeting for Tuesday, December 3, 2024.	3	rou nave a quorum and you can proceed.
4	Adequate notice of this meeting has	4	(Whereupon, the board continues with
5	been given in accordance with the Open Public	5	the agenda as posted.)
6	Meetings Act, or N.J.S.A. 10:4-6. On February 7th,	6	the agenua as posted.)
7	2024, proper notice was sent to The Courier News and	7 8	(Whereupon, the application is called
8	The Star-Ledger, and filed with the Clerk at the	9	at 8:40 p.m.)
9	Township of Bridgewater, and posted on the bulletin	10	at 0.40 p.m.)
10	board in the Municipal Building.	11	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay, next on our
11	Please be aware that the Planning	12	agenda this evening is R.I. Heritage Inn of
12	Board Policy for public hearings, no new	13	Bridgewater, LLC, Tharaldson Hospitality
13	applications will be heard after 9:30 p.m., and no	14	Development, LLC, as Block 222, Lot 3.01, commonly
14	new testimony will be taken after 10:00 p.m.	15	known as 1260 Route 22.
15	Hearing assistance is available upon	16	And who is returning this evening to
16	request. Accommodation will be made for individuals	17	represent the applicant?
17	with a disability, pursuant to the Americans with	18	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you,
18	Disabilities Act, or ADA, provided the individual	19	Mr. Chairman. Just for the record, Michael Silbert
19	with the disability provides 48 hours' advance notice to the Planning Department secretary before	20	from DiFrancesco Bateman, Warren Township, New
20		21	Jersey. I'm here this evening representing the
21	the public meeting. However, if the individual should	22	applicant, R.I. Heritage Inn at Bridgewater, LLC.
22	require special equipment or services, such as a	23	I will not give too much for summary
23	CART transcriber, seven days' advance notice,	24	here. The applicant is seeking Amended Preliminary
24 25	excluding weekends and holidays, may be necessary.	25	and Final Site Plan approval for the property. Just
2.5	7		9
,	Would everyone please rise to salute	1	
1 2	Would everyone please rise to salute	1 2	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared
2	to the flag.	1	
2	• • •	2	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and
2 3 4	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I	2	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay,
2	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.	2 3 4	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality
2 3 4 5	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I	2 3 4 5	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of
2 3 4 5 6	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.	2 3 4 5 6	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional
2 3 4 5 6 7	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?	2 3 4 5 6 7	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura?  MEMBER MAGURA: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura?  MEMBER MAGURA: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board this evening. I believe Mr. Folk was sworn in at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura?  MEMBER MAGURA: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang?  MEMBER WANG: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board this evening. I believe Mr. Folk was sworn in at the last hearing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura?  MEMBER MAGURA: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang?  MEMBER WANG: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Ms. Sikora?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board this evening. I believe Mr. Folk was sworn in at the last hearing.  GEORGE FOLK: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura?  MEMBER MAGURA: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang?  MEMBER WANG: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Ms. Sikora?  MEMBER SIKORA: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board this evening. I believe Mr. Folk was sworn in at the last hearing.  GEORGE FOLK: Yes.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. Do we want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura?  MEMBER MAGURA: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang?  MEMBER WANG: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Ms. Sikora?  MEMBER SIKORA: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Banga will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board this evening. I believe Mr. Folk was sworn in at the last hearing.  GEORGE FOLK: Yes.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. Do we want to just jump right into it, Mr. Chairman, if that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to the flag.  [Pledge of Allegiance.]  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura?  MEMBER MAGURA: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang?  MEMBER WANG: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Ms. Sikora?  MEMBER SIKORA: Here.  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board this evening. I believe Mr. Folk was sworn in at the last hearing.  GEORGE FOLK: Yes.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. Do we want to just jump right into it, Mr. Chairman, if that's acceptable?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang? MEMBER WANG: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Ms. Sikora? MEMBER SIKORA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudury?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board this evening. I believe Mr. Folk was sworn in at the last hearing.  GEORGE FOLK: Yes.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. Do we want to just jump right into it, Mr. Chairman, if that's acceptable?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang? MEMBER WANG: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Ms. Sikora? MEMBER SIKORA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudury? MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board this evening. I believe Mr. Folk was sworn in at the last hearing.  GEORGE FOLK: Yes.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. Do we want to just jump right into it, Mr. Chairman, if that's acceptable?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	[Pledge of Allegiance.] CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Probst, could I have a roll call, please. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Chairman Vescio? CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mayor Moench is absent. Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Pappas will be absent.  Mr. Magura? MEMBER MAGURA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Wang? MEMBER WANG: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Ms. Sikora? MEMBER SIKORA: Here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Banga will be absent. Ms. Chartowich will be absent. Mr. Choudury? MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, here. ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Mr. Atkins will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so the board remembers, the applicant appeared before the board on December 3rd, 2024, and presented the testimony of Jeff McKay, Vice-President of Tharaldson Hospitality Development, followed by operational testimony of Terry O'Brien and Jeff Heflin, regional vice-presidents of real estate and land acquisitions for Park Silver Development, the developer of the proposed WoodSpring Suites Hotel.  So again, I'm not going to waste the public or the board's time in summarizing this application. The applicant had one remaining witness to call, which is Mr. George Folk, who is going to present the amended site plan to the board this evening. I believe Mr. Folk was sworn in at the last hearing.  GEORGE FOLK: Yes.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. Do we want to just jump right into it, Mr. Chairman, if that's acceptable?  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please do.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	10		12
1	GEORGE FOLK, P. E., previously sworn,	1	relatively centered. So the pickup is about 25 on
2	testified as follows:	2	each side?
3		3	THE WITNESS: One side is maybe a
4	THE WITNESS: I'm going to have with	4	little longer than that and one side is a little
5	me a color rendering, I guess we can call it	5	shorter than that.
6	A-something?	6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Which is the longer
7	ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. We're at A-1.	7	one?
8	That's just colorized rendering of the	8	THE WITNESS: The side to the east.
9	site plan?	9	Let's see, it was currently 91 feet to the building.
10	THE WITNESS: Correct.	10	It's approximately 116, so 25 feet there. And this
11	ATTORNEY SILBERT: And Mr. Folk	11	is 109 from the easterly sideline, to 143.
12	THE WITNESS: What exhibit?	12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry. Can you
13	ATTORNEY PECK: Can you mark that A-1	13	just repeat where is the new space? Like
14	with today's date?	14	THE WITNESS: The new space is
15	<b></b>	15	143 feet from the property line and it was 109.
16	(Exhibit A-1, Colorized Site Plan	16	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: The other one?
17	Rendering, was marked.)	17	THE WITNESS: From the westerly
18		18	property line it's approximately 116, and it was 91,
19	THE WITNESS: Done. Okay. The	19	so 16 and 8 is 24.
20	genesis for this application is the WoodSpring	20	And then the rear yard line, the rear
21	Suites Hotel, which is to the south of the property,	21	setback was 155/156, and is now is now 210 feet,
22	the replacement of the Residence Inn.	22	so from 155 to 210.
23	The WoodSpring Hotel Suites we talked	23	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just a question,
24		24	and I don't know the topography of the land. I do
25	building is much smaller than the Residence Inn.	25	want to make sure that that building is as far away
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	11		13
1		1	from residents both to the east and to the west. Is
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.	there flexibility to come closer to centering it
3		3	again as the previous building was?
4	• • •	4	THE WITNESS: The older building
5		5	really was essentially they're both predicated
6	the site with greater buffers to all residential	6	really on the visual sight line that comes off of
7		7	Route 22 so as you pull in the driveway, if you're
8		8	an out-of-towner looking for your hotel you see it ahead of you, the main entrance. And essentially it
9		9	is, you know, halfway between the property.
10		10	Could we shift it a couple of feet?
11	<del>_</del>	11	
12		12	
13		13	and the state of the same and the same in
14		14	The consider him more
15		15	a the form this building he on
16		16	t 55 to the anatomy of to
17	The summer building in 240 foot	17	T
18	COLUMN OF MARKING PORTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T	18	The second secon
19	THE WITHERS WASH	20	
20		20	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
2:		22	
2:		23	
2:		24	
2.	The state of the s	25	
2	5 COUNCILMAN KIKSH, SO ILS SUII	12-	

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	14		16
1	turning movements.	1	detention basin that ties into an existing fence on
2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: All right. But if	2	the westerly side of the property.
3	I am a resident to the west, we have picked up	3	So that berm is probably 6 or 7 feet
4	another 25 feet on the buffer it sounds like?	4	high, with another, I believe it's a 6-foot fence or
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah. And substantially	5	it could be even an 8-foot fence on top of that.
6	less impact of the building. That wall here	6	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I guess I have a
7	proposed is on the order of 50 feet wide, in width,	7	comment. I don't know if this is a comment maybe
8	compared to 170 feet.	8	you could speak to or maybe someone else. You know,
9	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And on the depth,	9	I have been speaking to the residents. I'm going to
10	how much less deep is this new building compared to	10	be blunt. You know, it's my role. And they have
11	the old?	11	concerns, you know, many of which have been heard.
12	THE WITNESS: About that same depth.	12	You know, the biggest one is they want
13	This building depth is 65 feet and the old building	13	to make sure that the enjoyment of their property is
14	was the greatest depth was 165. And then down	14	not diminished. And, you know, among them they said
15	the middle was 115. So we're about 50 feet in depth	15	we don't want people, you know, looking into our
16	we've lost.	16	bedrooms. And I joked with one person, I said,
17	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And the front wall	17	well, maybe the people in the hotel don't want you
18	is in the same or similar spot. We have added to	18	looking into theirs.
19	the quote/unquote "backyard" basically?	19	But are you satisfied that the current
20	THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes. This	20	location is satisfying that need for privacy in both
21	building is essentially in the same location with	21	directions to the greatest extent possible with this
22	the SpringHill Suites.	22	plan?
23	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. So the front	23	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I do. We have
24	is the same. Where you've really lost bulk is	24	also left a substantial buffer that is existing out
25	behind where it's shown and to the sides where it's	25	there we're not going to touch. You can go out
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	15		17
1	shown?	1	there today and look at it. It's there.
2	THE WITNESS: Correct.	2	I will admit that this time of year
3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So almost like a	3	it's probably a little thinner than in the summer
4	U-shape around that is what is no longer there	4	but with the tree growth we have proposed, the
5	compared to the original plan?	5	fencing that we have proposed, I think it's going to
6	THE WITNESS: I would say so, yeah.	6	be a nice, quiet neighbor.
7		7	ATTORNEY PECK: You said that would be
8	residents who live in the Chelsea, you know, to the	8	an 8-foot-high fence?
9	south, which I guess would be the right on the	9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I did.
10	diagram, they're noticeably further away from the	10	ATTORNEY PECK: That would be sitting
11		11	on top of the berm?
12		12	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
13		13	ATTORNEY PECK: The berm would be how
14	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	tall?
15	but still we've picked up, I think we said, the	15	THE WITNESS: I would say 4 and a half
16		16	feet.
17	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	17	ATTORNEY PECK: So a 9-foot base on
18		18	the berm, is that 2-to-1?
19	•	19	THE WITNESS: It's a 3-to-1.
20	berming and the trees and the fencing and all that	20	ATTORNEY PECK: So 13 feet?
21		21	THE WITNESS: No, the berm is
22		22	elevation goes from well, if you want to go from
23		23	the back of the property here, to the top of the
24		24	berm is 82 feet is the top of the berm, 82 and a
25	fence that was proposed along the berm of the	25	half feet. And the back of the property is 75. So

18

7 and a half feet.

1

4

6

7

19

20

21

1

9

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the top of the berm is 10 feet wide 2 with an 8-foot fence on top of that. 3

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Can you also speak to, you know, the privacy and the shielding of car 5 lights, particularly along -- I hope I'm going to get my directions right -- the westerly side of the 8 property?

So to the extent that people will be 9 parking in the ten or so spots to the west of the 10 building but across the driveway, so to speak, and 11 then along that longer run, you know, are you 12 satisfied that between the fencing that is proposed, 13 the berming, the -- any shrubbery and other things 14 that -- we would not have a light pollution 15

challenge from cars, you know, getting in and out of 16 those parking spaces diminishing the enjoyment of 17 residents that live there. 18

THE WITNESS: No. I firmly commit that the parking lot is very buffered. This fence along the westerly property line is 10 feet high.

We also have back here a 3-foot-high 22 retaining wall with berms up into a substantially 23 landscaped area. And then this is a 6-foot-high 24 wood fence in this area. 25

G. Folk, P.E.

19

So from here -- from the rear property line to approximately the front of the WoodSpring 2 Hotel is a 6-foot-high fence, wooden fence, on top 3 of an existing berm. And our parking lot then drops 4 down with a 3-foot retaining wall here. So it's 5 further dug into the ground. 6 7 So light pollution from headlights and 8

cars, I would say would be extremely minimal or non-existent at best.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. Because 10 11 that's, I think, a concern.

THE WITNESS: Absolutely.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: If that's been

addressed, you know, that's an important component.

THE WITNESS: The previous board that we had this approved through, we had a lot of go at this. And Scarlet Doyle, to her -- her approval,

17 was very sure that this was to be a nice project. 18 19

And further, we're reducing the impervious coverage on the site. We're reducing the motor vehicle surfaces on site. This plan was designed and approved by the Flood Hazard Area, the NJDEP and with it were green infrastructure facilities that were baked into the design.

Most of this green infrastructure has

G. Folk, P.E.

been in place today as pervious pavement, 1

bio-swales, rain, dry wells for the roof leaders on 2

the hotels. 3

14

15

16

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The water quality was addressed within 4 the basin and these gigantic filters that are 5 installed. 6

Most of the utilities on site have been 7 installed. Most of them -- I would say 90 percent 8 of the stormwater is in effect and operational. 9

Concerns with that or that the site isn't final 10

graded such that maybe these inlets are not working 11

as well as they should, but they're still picking up 12

most of the runoff that comes from this site. 13

The basin has been inspected. It is operating. After a rainstorm, you'll go out there, you will see it's full of water.

There is a pipe, a 6-foot-diameter pipe 17 that comes from the north on the other side of 18 Route 22. That is between the Red Lobster and the 19 Hampton Inn, which traverses across Route 22, picks 20 up Route 22 water and then comes through our site in 21 between Building Number 1 and Building Number 2 and 22 continues unimpeded through the site and continues 23 to discharge. 24

We have not changed anything,

G. Folk, P.E.

21

20

characteristics of that pipe, that's an existing

feature. The DEP has reviewed that and it has 2

agreed that it's not to be touched and not to be 3

changed. So that water is from the north of us. 4

And I would say the reduction of 5

impervious coverage on the site, over 18,000 square 6

feet; the reduction of motor vehicle surface area; 7

and the reduction of the floor area is a positive --

are all positive attributes for this project. 9

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, I have a question for Mr. Peck, particularly. You know, we as a board, spend a lot of time, you know, focusing on plans and ask questions and the applicants provide testimony and I think the applicants provide fair and honest testimony about impacts.

Sometimes, though, impacts aren't known until the build condition, right. If we ever get it wrong, so to speak, in a case like this and there is excess light coming through, there's a spot in the fence, the design in the fence, or angle of the fence, you know, something isn't quite right, do we have recourse to go back? And again, I'm not saying

because something wasn't followed, just because the 24

real-life condition turned out to be a little 25

1

2

different. 1

9

10

11

12

15

16

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Do we have any recourse to go back to 2 the applicant or at that point the owner or 3 operator, and say, you know what, can you make a 4 tweak over here, just so that we can -- and I ask 5 that question broadly, not just for this applicant 6 because again, a build condition is sometimes a 7 8 little different.

ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, no, I hear what you're saying and there's no eraser that the board could put in and say, "Well, we want to go back and change things." But it can be addressed, like, with taking lighting as an example, you could ask once 13 the lights are installed that there's lighting tests 14 done and adjustments be made as a consequence of those tests.

So if you have concerns about things 17 like that, you know, the best you could do is draft 18 conditions that would address that and give you 19 recourse to, after the fact, you know, mitigate some 20 issue that -- that was unforeseen. 21

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, I'm not 22 trying to jam up the applicant here. I'm just 23 simply, you know, asking the open-ended question, 24 you know, is there an acceptable condition that 25

G. Folk, P.E.

23

1 could be put in here that, if there are unintended, you know, site-based circumstances that arise, you 2 know, post-construction -- and I don't mean forever, 3 I mean shortly after construction -- that there be a 4 willingness to address them. 5 I don't know how we word that. You 6 know, I just want to protect the residents. 7

ATTORNEY SILBERT: I would discuss with the applicant, but any type of condition like that would have to be very specific. You know, it would have to call out the very specific concern, the very specific test that we are supposed to do. 12 Doing some kind of open-ended condition that, you know, just kind of just opens up the applicant to anyone's complaints, they don't like this, they don't like that, can we do a test? Like it needs to

the board and the board's professionals. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I am trying to say my concerns -- and I'm not trying to jam this up but I'm trying to provide a level of protection -- would be associated with light either from, you know, the

be very specific and I would be willing to work with

lighting on the site or potentially from car 23 headlights. Those would be the two I'd be concerned 24

about. 25

ATTORNEY SILBERT: So as far as lighting, site lighting, are you looking at like a

foot-candle? I don't know what the test would be 3 and I don't know if the board's professionals, if 4

there's a specific test that you have in mind? 5

Because, you know, I guess, when 6 you're thinking about like car headlights, perhaps 7 something like that could be subjective. Maybe to 8 one person the headlights of the car -- and I'm not 9 even suggesting there would be impact, we just heard 10 testimony that there's sufficient buffering. But to 11 one person there might be an impact, to another 12

person there might not be an impact. 13 So I don't know what the test looks 14

15 like.

22

23

24

25

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Because I mean the 16 fencing is intended to not have it be a problem. 17 And I think in good faith you expect it won't be a 18 problem. I guess I'm looking for if there would be 19 a way of, you know, tweaking, if a tweak becomes 20 21 necessary.

THE WITNESS: If I may, in other towns we do this, we usually have -- the town engineer would come out as part of a CO and see the night lighting, the light and identify that it's adequate

G. Folk, P.E.

25

24

because these LED light fixtures are dimmable or

adjustable, so we can add light or reduce light in

areas. And that's typically, you know, what's done. 3

I would note that we do have something on the plan, an indication that the lights will be

sufficient for "Site lighting shall be reduced

6

around commercial realty building pad sites 1, 2 and 7

3, in accordance with the township requirements to 8

extinguish lighting levels to half hour after close 9

of business except for security purposes needed for 10

the hotel uses. The hotels and hotel parking lots 11

shall remain illuminated dusk to dawn." 12

So we do have a note on the plans, but on top of that we can stipulate that, you know, if there is an issue somewhere of not enough light or too much light, we can have your board engineer come out and, you know, say yea or nay to the lights.

ATTORNEY PECK: So prior to CO that the engineer would check the intensity of lighting and also to make sure that the fence and other landscaping is sufficient to block headlights from spilling into neighboring properties and then, you know, if there is some light escape, you know, you beef up the buffer.

THE WITNESS: Certainly, yes. 25

G. Folk, P.E. 26 ENGINEER BURR: Mr. Chairman, that is 1 something that is reasonable and it is a condition 2 that both boards have included in prior approvals 3 before to do a night light test. Our office would 4 go out in the evening, particularly if there has 5 been some sensitive issues raised in the course of 6 the hearing with an eye on surrounding properties to 7 make sure that there are no objectionable light spillover impacts, nuisances from lights, as a result of the build. 10 But our office will also be involved 11 with construction of any approved project as well, 12 and our charge is to oversee the site improvements, 13 everything outside of the building itself, to make 14 sure that the plans that the board has approved, 15 usually with conditions, have been built in the same 16 17 manner.

And if there are issues that arise during construction, it's not uncommon for us to bring them to the developer and request that they address those issues, whether it's, you know, 22 there's a void in the landscaping and there needs to be some additional plantings or, you know, if the drainage issue just wasn't fully addressed. Normally those are issues that are

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

G. Folk, P.E.

27

caught during construction and certainly we do a 1 final inspection before any Certificate of Occupancy 2 3 is issued. 4

So there's a couple of checks along the way, but certainly a night light test, especially 5 for impacts both for the overhead lights as well as headlights would certainly be an appropriate condition.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And again, I'm just trying to strike that balance, sufficient light so that this property is secure, as the operators and certainly in time the guests are going to want safety. But at the same time, protecting the residents so that they're not, you know, living across from a blazing light. And clearly there is a balance and I guess I just want to make sure that we strike that balance.

And, you know, I take this applicant at their word; they want to be good neighbors. And I think that is going to be an important checkmark as part of the construction process.

MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman, can I 22 23 ask a question on that line, please?

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury. 24 MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yeah, actually it's 25

a comment.

1

17

18

19

20

25

5

6

7

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Mr. Burr, you probably know very well, 2 there are lights designed nowadays -- well I know 3 that very well, actually. So reflector lights 4 actually, you can actually have in a very common diameters, or rectangle shape, any shape you like, 6 so that the light doesn't go beyond a certain point

from depending on what the height of the light tower 8 9

And also -- the reflective light, you 10 cannot avoid it. That will go anywhere, like 11 diffuse light. That cannot be put as a condition 12 because diffused light will go to anywhere, wherever 13 light could go, but the reflective light could be 14 basically protected through the design of the 15 reflector itself. 16

ENGINEER BURR: Oh, I agree. And lighting has come a long way and there are definitely features that can be integrated. MEMBER CHOUDURY: It's a very good

question. Councilman Kirsh basically raised it, 21 it's important point. 22 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, 23 24 Mr. Choudury.

Are there any specific hours of

G. Folk, P.E.

28

1 operation during which the lights remain the same or do they change after a certain time in the evening or is it 24/7, the same type of lighting? 3 4

THE WITNESS: I would think the lights, again, are different for the front half of the site. For the commercial buildings, again,

this was gone over years ago. They wanted specific lights to be -- to bring the residents or, you know, 9 the residents for these hotels in, and the areas 10 around the hotels safe for them at any time during 12 the night.

And then areas up here where an hour after closing time would be dimmed, not turned off but maybe every other light, or dimmed somehow so it would be less obtrusive.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: And we talked about security as well. I'm glad that you brought that up. Would there be lighting -- the lighting in that area that immediately greets -- yes, exactly. Where would the security lighting be in that spot there?

22 THE WITNESS: I couldn't hear you. 23 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Where would the

24 security lighting be behind, I guess that's, what, 25

	0.5 % 0.5		G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E.		32
	30	4	provision? Streetlights?
1	building	1 2	ENGINEER BURR: Streets?
2	THE WITNESS: Behind the SpringHill?	3	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Traffic lights,
3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Behind the		
4	SpringHill.	4	engineer burn: Traffic signals?
5	THE WITNESS: These are spaces for the	5	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes.
6	SpringHill. So this would be you know, there	6	ENGINEER BURR: No. Off the entrance
7	would be some lighting here. And, you know, buffer	7	off of 22?
8	that we have. I guess Houlihan's or whatever is	8	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes.
9	going over here.	9	ENGINEER BURR: No, it's just a stop.
10	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right,	10	MEMBER CHOUDURY: How many basically,
11	THE WITNESS: But for the most part,	11	
12	the parking is going to be here for the renters or	12	you know, cars you are going to see in the morning
13	their guests.	13	time or evening time?
14	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Remind me again, the	14	THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that
15	access points to access the location off of	15	for you, sir.
16	Route 22, there's only one access point in, one	16	ATTORNEY SILBERT: That would have
17	access point out?	17	been a question that would have been addressed
18	THE WITNESS: You have two access	18	during the original application when the traffic
19	points, two coming in, one going out.	19	engineer testified. I would imagine that the counts
20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. And that	20	has gone down since the square footage of the
21	lighting remains the same lighting 24/7, 365.	21	building is being decreased, but we don't have a
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	22	traffic engineer.
23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.	23	MEMBER CHOUDURY: So how many both
24	THE WITNESS: I don't know about 24	24	hotels, how many rooms are there, SpringHill and
25	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right. I'm just	25	THE WITNESS: How many rooms? 122, I
	G. Folk, P.E.	1	G. Folk, P.E.
	G. FUIK, F.L.	1	·
	31		33
1	·	1	33 believe. Let me just check that.
1 2	31	1 2	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were
	thinking from a security perspective here, just to		33 believe. Let me just check that.
2	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have	2	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were
2	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.	2	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were 127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.
2 3 4	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.	2 3 4	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were 127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.	2 3 4 5	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were 127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right. You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?
2 3 4 5 6	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was	2 3 4 5 6	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how
2 3 4 5 6 7	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the	2 3 4 5 6 7	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury? MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury?  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury?  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know  (Stenographer requests clarification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's 225. So total 225, so 500 people basically, mostly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury?  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know  (Stenographer requests clarification.)  MEMBER CHOUDURY: I told you to put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's 225. So total 225, so 500 people basically, mostly.  So the problem that we see, I drive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury? MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know (Stenographer requests clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDURY: I told you to put some stones so that you can throw me out here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's 225. So total 225, so 500 people basically, mostly.  So the problem that we see, I drive there everyday so that is what I'm more worried
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury? MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know (Stenographer requests clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDURY: I told you to put some stones so that you can throw me out here. THE WITNESS: I'm getting deaf, too,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 12 13 144 15 16 17 18	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's 225. So total 225, so 500 people basically, mostly.  So the problem that we see, I drive there everyday so that is what I'm more worried about it actually, not because of all other things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury? MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know (Stenographer requests clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDURY: I told you to put some stones so that you can throw me out here.  THE WITNESS: I'm getting deaf, too, so it's not just her.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's 225. So total 225, so 500 people basically, mostly.  So the problem that we see, I drive there everyday so that is what I'm more worried about it actually, not because of all other things that has been raised by, you know, the engineer can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury? MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know (Stenographer requests clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDURY: I told you to put some stones so that you can throw me out here. THE WITNESS: I'm getting deaf, too, so it's not just her.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Don't worry about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's 225. So total 225, so 500 people basically, mostly.  So the problem that we see, I drive there everyday so that is what I'm more worried about it actually, not because of all other things that has been raised by, you know, the engineer can take care of it, and also what board has raised.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury? MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know (Stenographer requests clarification.) MEMBER CHOUDURY: I told you to put some stones so that you can throw me out here. THE WITNESS: I'm getting deaf, too, so it's not just her.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Don't worry about it. So 22, there's no light proposed, street light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's 225. So total 225, so 500 people basically, mostly.  So the problem that we see, I drive there everyday so that is what I'm more worried about it actually, not because of all other things that has been raised by, you know, the engineer can take care of it, and also what board has raised.  The problem that I see the traffic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury?  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know  (Stenographer requests clarification.)  MEMBER CHOUDURY: I told you to put some stones so that you can throw me out here.  THE WITNESS: I'm getting deaf, too, so it's not just her.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Don't worry about it. So 22, there's no light proposed, street light proposed here, right, Mr. Burr?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 155 166 17 18 19 20 21 22	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's 225. So total 225, so 500 people basically, mostly.  So the problem that we see, I drive there everyday so that is what I'm more worried about it actually, not because of all other things that has been raised by, you know, the engineer can take care of it, and also what board has raised.  The problem that I see the traffic coming out and blocking the 22 because if you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	thinking from a security perspective here, just to ensure that there is adequate lighting, you have identified cars coming in and out.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, 100 percent.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.  THE WITNESS: It meets all your ordinance standards. And then again, the note was put on there to address, you know, to customize the lighting so it's not on all the time where it doesn't need to be on all the time.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Do you have a question? Mr. Choudury?  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yes, I actually borrowed the question from you, I know  (Stenographer requests clarification.)  MEMBER CHOUDURY: I told you to put some stones so that you can throw me out here.  THE WITNESS: I'm getting deaf, too, so it's not just her.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Don't worry about it. So 22, there's no light proposed, street light proposed here, right, Mr. Burr?  ENGINEER BURR: I didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	believe. Let me just check that.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yes. 122. There were  127 that were previously  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Right, right.  You're right, 122. And the SpringHill will be how many? SpringHill?  MEMBER SIKORA: How many were in the SpringHill he said?  THE WITNESS: 127. We lost five rooms.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: No, no, no. That is WoodSpring, right; WoodSpring is 122?  PLANNER SARMAD: 103.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: 103? Okay, so it's 225. So total 225, so 500 people basically, mostly.  So the problem that we see, I drive there everyday so that is what I'm more worried about it actually, not because of all other things that has been raised by, you know, the engineer can take care of it, and also what board has raised.  The problem that I see the traffic coming out and blocking the 22 because if you have driving at this moment, that should be okay, but if

			- 1 - m
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	34		36
1	or 7:30 or so in the evening, and the morning	1	Mr. Choudury.
2	probably about 7 to 9, that 287 is totally blocked	2	MEMBER CHOUDURY: I have some more
3	anyway and that actually is blocked up to what we	3	questions I'll ask later,
4	call previously the restaurant name I forgot.	4	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good. Thank
5	THE WITNESS: Morgan Lane?	5	you.
6	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Not Morgan Lane, 22	6	MEMBER SIKORA: I have a couple.
7	itself. So if you have another so called 500 people	7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Sikora.
8	coming and going, or maybe 200 200 or 300 cars,	8	MEMBER SIKORA: I know you proposed a
9	can you actually block it up to Mercedes-Benz on 22.	9	need for a variance for a buffer and I wasn't here
10	So that's my worry rather than, you know, anything	10	last time, I did listen to the tapes. However, I
11	else.	11	probably listened right afterwards, so bear with me.
12	The question is, have you thought about	12	Why is there a reduced why can't we
13	how to mitigate that big traffic jam from almost,	13	meet the requirement for the buffer?
14	you know, beyond Mercedes-Benz, I think I forgot	14	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I'm going to answer
15	what is gas station or something like that, and to	15	that just because when I looked at the site plan, I
16	the 287?	16	called out two variances. And those variances were
17	THE WITNESS: Well the original	17	actually with respect to the SpringHill hotel, which
18	project was DOT approved. There was a hotel on this	18	we're not touching.
19	site originally.	19	And I guess there were and maybe
20	MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yeah, I know, Days	20	George could speak to it, but I assume there were
21	Inn.	21	some revisions to the site plan after the board
22	THE WITNESS: And that hotel was, you	22	approved it in 2018, and when it was going through
23	know, very similar, not maybe close in room size,	23	the township's review of the plans. And there were
24	but they had approvals to triple the size of that	24	certain things done, I believe maybe Bill, or Mr.
25	hotel that was never done. So the site has been	25	Burr, or Ms. Doyle probably asked the applicant if
	note: the mean of the control of the		
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
			G. Folk, P.E.
1	G. Folk, P.E.	1	
	G. Folk, P.E. 35	1 2	37
1	G. Folk, P.E. 35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know	i	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references
1 2	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.	2	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they
1 2 3	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the	2	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references
1 2 3 4	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have.	2 3 4	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they
1 2 3 4 5	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have.  We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a	2 3 4 5	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and
1 2 3 4 5 6	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.	2 3 4 5 6	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table,
1 2 3 4 5 6	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have.  We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have.  We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have.  We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you already DOT approved it, I'm nothing to complain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed or you wanted to add to that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you already DOT approved it, I'm nothing to complain about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed or you wanted to add to that.  But just in short, the two variances I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you — already DOT approved it, I'm nothing to complain about it.  THE WITNESS: And I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed or you wanted to add to that.  But just in short, the two variances I have called out in our application have nothing to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you — already DOT approved it, I'm nothing to complain about it.  THE WITNESS: And I think the operators testified that, you know, that their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed or you wanted to add to that.  But just in short, the two variances I have called out in our application have nothing to do with the hotel that is proposed before the Board
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you — already DOT approved it, I'm nothing to complain about it.  THE WITNESS: And I think the operators testified that, you know, that their timeframes for the use of their facilities is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed or you wanted to add to that.  But just in short, the two variances I have called out in our application have nothing to do with the hotel that is proposed before the Board this evening, they had to do with the SpringHill
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you already DOT approved it, I'm nothing to complain about it.  THE WITNESS: And I think the operators testified that, you know, that their timeframes for the use of their facilities is off-peak, you know, not typically peak times, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed or you wanted to add to that.  But just in short, the two variances I have called out in our application have nothing to do with the hotel that is proposed before the Board this evening, they had to do with the SpringHill hotel. And again, they constituted improvements to what was originally approved.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you — already DOT approved it, I'm nothing to complain about it.  THE WITNESS: And I think the operators testified that, you know, that their timeframes for the use of their facilities is off-peak, you know, not typically peak times, but when they come and go for the hotel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed or you wanted to add to that.  But just in short, the two variances I have called out in our application have nothing to do with the hotel that is proposed before the Board this evening, they had to do with the SpringHill hotel. And again, they constituted improvements to what was originally approved.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	G. Folk, P.E.  35 used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you already DOT approved it, I'm nothing to complain about it.  THE WITNESS: And I think the operators testified that, you know, that their timeframes for the use of their facilities is off-peak, you know, not typically peak times, but when they come and go for the hotel.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, let me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed or you wanted to add to that.  But just in short, the two variances I have called out in our application have nothing to do with the hotel that is proposed before the Board this evening, they had to do with the SpringHill hotel. And again, they constituted improvements to what was originally approved.  I don't know if there's anything you want to add to that?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	used for many, many years, 50, 60 years that I know of.  So again, the DOT has approved the application for the amount of rooms that we have. We're reducing the rooms by five, so it has to be a net positive at some point.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: If we were going to increase the number of rooms, to a certain point it would probably require us to go back to the State and DOT and file a new application. But since this is a reduction from what they previously approved, as Mr. Folk said, it should result in a net positive.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, if you already DOT approved it, I'm nothing to complain about it.  THE WITNESS: And I think the operators testified that, you know, that their timeframes for the use of their facilities is off-peak, you know, not typically peak times, but when they come and go for the hotel.  MEMBER CHOUDURY: Okay. Well, let me I'm okay with that, Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they could improve this or improve that.  So the plan that was submitted to the board, which references 2020 approval, references revisions that were made to the plan after they received site plan approval, based upon feedback and revisions with the township's professionals at that time.  So I looked at the bulk standard table, saw there was a deviation from what was originally approved and what is now on the plans and I called that as a variance. But in reality, that was changes that happened over the course of, as I explained, going through post-approval compliance and I don't know if there's anything that I missed or you wanted to add to that.  But just in short, the two variances I have called out in our application have nothing to do with the hotel that is proposed before the Board this evening, they had to do with the SpringHill hotel. And again, they constituted improvements to what was originally approved.  I don't know if there's anything you want to add to that?  THE WITNESS: No, that was perfectly

			The state of the s
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	38		40
1	MEMBER SIKORA: So what are the	1	with residents that are close with overnight pickup
2	variances being proposed?	2	and the loudness. Are we able to add it into
3	ATTORNEY SILBERT: There are no	3	ATTORNEY PECK: Sounds like during
4	variances. And I apologize for misleading the board	4	daytime hours or something like that
5	and the public with that. I just saw the deviation,	5	MEMBER SIKORA: Yes.
6	and it had to do with SpringHill; I assumed it was	6	ATTORNEY PECK: so they're not
7	related to the WoodSpring Suites hotel.	7	rattling dumpsters at 4:30 in the morning?
8	ATTORNEY PECK: Essentially, you're	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	looking for Amended Preliminary and Final Site Plan?	9	GREG HEFLIN: We don't want our guests
10	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right,	10	disturbed either, in all due respect.
11	variance-free. Well, we're asking I mean there	11	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think that's
12	was variances previously granted but	12	fine.
13	ATTORNEY PECK: Right, but those are	13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any further
14	existing and they run with	14	questions, Ms. Sikora?
15	ATTORNEY SILBERT: We're not touching	15	MEMBER SIKORA: No.
16	it. The only thing I would say is that I guess	16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Last time we talked a
17	and I wasn't in the room and I don't know how it	17	lot about the hotel, the extended-stay model. I'm
18	went but typically when you're changing a	18	not sure if this question is for Mr. Folk or someone
19	deviation and it's an improvement, technically	19	else. But at times it is possible for people to
20	you're supposed to go to the board and still get	20	move into the area with children that need bus
21	the to dot your I's, cross your T's, you get the	21	access; is there any school bus access or
22	relief.	22	residential bus access located to the area or
23	And I guess when it went through the	23	located to the site?  THE WITNESS: Bus access would be off
24	review of the township, perhaps they said, "Wait a	24	
25	second. So you're going to increase the buffer if	25	of Route 22.
-		_	C Folk B F
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E. 39	1	41
1	G. Folk, P.E. 39 we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay,	1 7	41 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as
2	G. Folk, P.E.  39 we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make	2	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close
2	G. Folk, P.E.  39 we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to	2 3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?
2 3 4	G. Folk, P.E.  39 we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.
2 3 4 5	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing
2 3 4 5 6	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.
2 3 4 5 6 7	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSprings THE WITNESS: About 14.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring?  THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring:  THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring?  THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring?  THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?  GREG HEFLIN: Deliveries and trash?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring.  THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. Any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?  GREG HEFLIN: Deliveries and trash?  MEMBER SIKORA: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring?  THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. Any other questions for Mr. Folk?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?  GREG HEFLIN: Deliveries and trash?  MEMBER SIKORA: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We'll contract with a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring:  THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Any questions from our professionals?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?  GREG HEFLIN: Deliveries and trash?  MEMBER SIKORA: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We'll contract with a local trash hauler so they'll probably come at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that.  I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring:  THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Any questions from our professionals?  PLANNER SARMAD: Yes. Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?  GREG HEFLIN: Deliveries and trash?  MEMBER SIKORA: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We'll contract with a local trash hauler so they'll probably come at off-peak times. The deliveries are de minimis;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Any questions from our professionals?  PLANNER SARMAD: Yes. Thank you,  Mr. Chairman. I would just like a clarification
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	G. Folk, P.E.  39  we just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?  GREG HEFLIN: Deliveries and trash?  MEMBER SIKORA: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We'll contract with a local trash hauler so they'll probably come at off-peak times. The deliveries are de minimis; FedEx. There's no food and beverage or laundry or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Any questions from our professionals?  PLANNER SARMAD: Yes. Thank you,  Mr. Chairman. I would just like a clarification from Mr. Silbert about the way the relief I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?  GREG HEFLIN: Deliveries and trash?  MEMBER SIKORA: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We'll contract with a local trash hauler so they'll probably come at off-peak times. The deliveries are de minimis; FedEx. There's no food and beverage or laundry or anything like that that goes in and out of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Any questions from our professionals?  PLANNER SARMAD: Yes. Thank you,  Mr. Chairman. I would just like a clarification from Mr. Silbert about the way the relief I just maybe I'm misunderstanding what was said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?  GREG HEFLIN: Deliveries and trash?  MEMBER SIKORA: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We'll contract with a local trash hauler so they'll probably come at off-peak times. The deliveries are de minimis; FedEx. There's no food and beverage or laundry or anything like that that goes in and out of the hotel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring.  THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Any questions from our professionals?  PLANNER SARMAD: Yes. Thank you,  Mr. Chairman. I would just like a clarification from Mr. Silbert about the way the relief I just maybe I'm misunderstanding what was said.  As outlined in the table in the joint
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We just do this, it's 4 feet. You're probably okay, just show this on the plan." And they didn't make us file an amended site plan application because, to the applicant, if the variance relief was already granted why would they go through the motions to file an amended site plan if they're making an improvement based up the ordinance for something minor like that.  I don't know if I'm making sense, but I would imagine that's probably what happened when they were reviewing this site plan after site plan approval.  MEMBER SIKORA: Got it. My only other question like deliveries and garbage pickup, what timeframe are those? Have those been set?  GREG HEFLIN: Deliveries and trash?  MEMBER SIKORA: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We'll contract with a local trash hauler so they'll probably come at off-peak times. The deliveries are de minimis; FedEx. There's no food and beverage or laundry or anything like that that goes in and out of the hotel.  MEMBER SIKORA: So could we make it as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So as far as school goes, there would be no school bus stop close by there or proposed?  THE WITNESS: I couldn't answer that. I mean if I don't think so. We're not proposing one.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just keeping in mind that it's extended stay, we did talk about what that entails. What we didn't ask last time, roughly how many employees would be working at the WoodSpring. THE WITNESS: About 14.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: 14, okay. Are any of those employees would they be considered a full-time resident at the WoodSpring, any of them?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. Any other questions for Mr. Folk?  Any questions from our professionals?  PLANNER SARMAD: Yes. Thank you,  Mr. Chairman. I would just like a clarification from Mr. Silbert about the way the relief I just maybe I'm misunderstanding what was said.  As outlined in the table in the joint review memo, it shows what was previously approved

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	42		44
1	changed, whether or not they refer to the Residence	1	in the review memo that on Sheet 2 of the site plan,
2	Inn, this is the amended site plan with the entire	2	I believe it does show it correctly. The zoning
3	site. So I'm a little confused about what was	3	table is what shows it incorrectly.
4	spoken about and what dates were reflected on the	4	I was just curious if because the
5	plans.	5	46.45 could still be the variance condition; it's still over 45 feet, correct?
6	I also raised a number of housekeeping	6	THE WITNESS: Correct.
7	items from the plans that need to be updated to kind	7	PLANNER SARMAD: Not A "D" variance,
8	of mitigate some of that confusion.	8	it's a bulk variance, but it's still a variance
9	But can you back to the question.	9	condition. I just didn't know if it was previously
10	Can you just can you describe if what was shown	10	approved at 46.45 feet.
11	on the plans as previously approved is proposed to	11 12	THE WITNESS: Well it wasn't at
12	be changed, and still in a variance condition,	13	46.45 feet when it was original; it was 44.83 feet.
13	whether or not it's an improvement or not?	14	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, thank you. So
14		15	I do think that there was bulk variance relief
15	exactly which item and I can explain it.  PLANNER SARMAD: So I know that the	16	needed for the building height.
16		17	The one other condition was the minimum
17	I'll touch upon the height first. Because I think	18	conservation easement, which I think Mr. Silbert
18	that was just a plan error, as Mr. Silbert mentioned, and I just want to verify that that was a	19	just referred was for the SpringHill Suites. But
19		20	regardless, it was approved at 90.87 feet where
20	plan error as identified in the review memo; the	21	125 feet is required, and is being improved but
21	height is actually conforming?  THE WITNESS: The height of that in	22	still in a variance condition to 94.11 feet.
22	the review memo is for the SpringHill Suites and	23	Can you confirm that?
23	there was a variance approved for that.	24	ATTORNEY SILBERT: So this variance
24	PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.	25	here.
2.3	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	43		45
1	THE WITNESS: The height for our	1	THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay. So
1 2	THE WITNESS: The height for our building was 46.45 feet.	1 2	THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay. So that's for the previously approved. That was for
1			
2	building was 46.45 feet. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, And that was	2	that's for the previously approved. That was for
2	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height	2	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.
2 3 4	building was 46.45 feet. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, And that was	2 3 4	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback. PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been
2 3 4 5	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?	2 3 4 5	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?
2 3 4 5 6	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh,	2 3 4 5 6	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?	2 3 4 5 6 7	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay, And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at 46.5 or whatever the number was, would the board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those would be the two deviations from the previous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at 46.5 or whatever the number was, would the board just grant a single variance for the site at 49.3,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those would be the two deviations from the previous approval that would require new bulk variance relief to codify that.  MEMBER SIKORA: So to confirm, those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at 46.5 or whatever the number was, would the board just grant a single variance for the site at 49.3, or would the board have granted variances for each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those would be the two deviations from the previous approval that would require new bulk variance relief to codify that.  MEMBER SIKORA: So to confirm, those two do need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at 46.5 or whatever the number was, would the board just grant a single variance for the site at 49.3, or would the board have granted variances for each individual building?  PLANNER SARMAD: They may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those would be the two deviations from the previous approval that would require new bulk variance relief to codify that.  MEMBER SIKORA: So to confirm, those two do need PLANNER SARMAD: In my opinion, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at 46.5 or whatever the number was, would the board just grant a single variance for the site at 49.3, or would the board have granted variances for each individual building?  PLANNER SARMAD: They may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those would be the two deviations from the previous approval that would require new bulk variance relief to codify that.  MEMBER SIKORA: So to confirm, those two do need PLANNER SARMAD: In my opinion, yes. I will defer to the board and the board's attorney
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	building was 46.45 feet.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at 46.5 or whatever the number was, would the board just grant a single variance for the site at 49.3, or would the board have granted variances for each individual building?  PLANNER SARMAD: They may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those would be the two deviations from the previous approval that would require new bulk variance relief to codify that.  MEMBER SIKORA: So to confirm, those two do need  PLANNER SARMAD: In my opinion, yes. I will defer to the board and the board's attorney to guide them on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at 46.5 or whatever the number was, would the board just grant a single variance for the site at 49.3, or would the board have granted variances for each individual building?  PLANNER SARMAD: They may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those would be the two deviations from the previous approval that would require new bulk variance relief to codify that.  MEMBER SIKORA: So to confirm, those two do need PLANNER SARMAD: In my opinion, yes. I will defer to the board and the board's attorney to guide them on that.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Ms. Sarmad, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at 46.5 or whatever the number was, would the board just grant a single variance for the site at 49.3, or would the board have granted variances for each individual building?  PLANNER SARMAD: They may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those would be the two deviations from the previous approval that would require new bulk variance relief to codify that.  MEMBER SIKORA: So to confirm, those two do need PLANNER SARMAD: In my opinion, yes. I will defer to the board and the board's attorney to guide them on that.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Ms. Sarmad, I'm just going to go through the resolution really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And that was previously approved as what? What was the height previously approved for the Residence Inn building?  THE WITNESS: Give me a second. Oh, for the Residence Inn?  PLANNER SARMAD: Correct.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: While Mr. Folk's looking this up, it's more of a question I guess, but if the board was viewing this entire site plan in its totality and there was one building that was approved at 49.3 feet and one that was proposed at 46.5 or whatever the number was, would the board just grant a single variance for the site at 49.3, or would the board have granted variances for each individual building?  PLANNER SARMAD: They may have  ATTORNEY PECK: Each individual building.  PLANNER SARMAD: It depends how they are outlined in the resolution, but I would assume each individual building.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's for the previously approved. That was for the SpringHill Suites setback.  PLANNER SARMAD: Okay. And it's been improved from 90 to 94?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  PLANNER SARMAD: It would still require THE WITNESS: During the compliance for after the approval it took about a year, and during that time the building shifted a little bit.  PLANNER SARMAD: I would consider, just for the purposes of doing it correctly, that that would also be a variance condition. And those would be the two deviations from the previous approval that would require new bulk variance relief to codify that.  MEMBER SIKORA: So to confirm, those two do need PLANNER SARMAD: In my opinion, yes. I will defer to the board and the board's attorney to guide them on that.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Ms. Sarmad, I'm just going to go through the resolution really

			G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. FOIK, P.E. 48
	46	4	trees, same similar species?
1	PLANNER SARMAD: Sure.	1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Because I thought	2	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay.
3	that it didn't, but just let me double-check that.	3	Other than that, I don't have any
4	PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you.		questions right now. Thank you.
5	Do you mind if I keep asking	5 6	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you,
6	Mr. Folk	7	Ms. Sarmad.
7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Please, Please go	8	Our engineer, Mr. Burr?
8	ahead.	9	ENGINEER BURR: Thank you,
9	PLANNER SARMAD: Thank you.	10	Mr. Chairman. Just a couple of questions.
10	The other thing that was raised in	11	George, you had you had mentioned in
11	review, this is an amended site plan, is at the time	12	your testimony about site work that has already
12	of the prior approval there was no electric vehicle	l	taken place on the property. Can you just elaborate
13	charging requirement at the state, part of state	13	on that? I know we know that the site has been
14	law. And I didn't know if as part of the site plan	1	dormant for a while but it was active a few years
15	amendments, if that was a consideration?	15 16	ago in terms of site work.
16	I think the requirement would still be	17	Can you just fill the board in on what
17	imposed per the law, the way the law reads. And if	18	has taken place to date?
18	so, is that something that the applicant is	19	THE WITNESS: Sure, certainly.
19	THE WITNESS: We have proposed	20	Number one, the existing facilities
20	electrical charging stations for the amended.	21	have been demoed completely from the site. There is
21	PLANNER SARMAD: Are they shown on the	22	no longer a hotel there.
22	plan?	23	Secondly, I would say 90 percent of
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, they are.	24	the curb for this project has been installed.
24	PLANNER SARMAD: Can you indicate	25	90 percent or more of the stormwater
25	where those are; just guide me?  G. Folk, P.E.	23	G. Folk, P.E.
	G. FOIK, F.L. 47		49
1	ATTORNEY PECK: And are those going to	1	management, the inlets, the stormwater piping, the
2	be actual EV spaces or make-ready spaces?	2	detention basin, the water quality mechanisms, the
3	THE WITNESS: Well, there's a	3	outlet structure, all that has been installed.
4	requirement for an install right now, and then	4	The sanitary sewer has been installed.
5	there's the 5 percent of 15 percent that you have to	5	Items like the gas and currently the
6	add in the next year or two.	6	water are pending but, you know, once the building
7	Whatever the state law is we'll comply	7	goes up, they like to they want to get the water
8	with.	8	in and then, you know, as the flammable materials
9	ATTORNEY PECK: Comply with?	9	come on site the fire department requires that we
10	PLANNER SARMAD: Okay.	10	have water, so that's coming next.
11	THE WITNESS: They're in the front of	11	ENGINEER BURR: And from a stormwater
12	the building on the right-hand side.	12	perspective, I know we had talked about the fact
13	PLANNER SARMAD: The front of the	13	that your original approval dates back to, I think,
14		14	2018. The approval was perfected in, I think, 2020.
15		15	THE WITNESS: Correct.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	ENGINEER BURR: So it's before the
16	The state of the s	16	
16 17	PLANNER SARMAD: All right, I do see	16 17	current version of our stormwater ordinance. But
1	PLANNER SARMAD: All right, I do see them. Thank you.		current version of our stormwater ordinance. But your testimony was from a green-infrastructure
17	PLANNER SARMAD: All right, I do see them. Thank you.  And then my only other question would	17	
17 18	PLANNER SARMAD: All right, I do see them. Thank you.  And then my only other question would be in looking at the landscape plan on Sheet 17 and	17 18	your testimony was from a green-infrastructure
17 18 19	PLANNER SARMAD: All right, I do see them. Thank you.  And then my only other question would be in looking at the landscape plan on Sheet 17 and 18, I didn't have a copy of the prior approved	17 18 19	your testimony was from a green-infrastructure perspective you do comply?
17 18 19 20	PLANNER SARMAD: All right, I do see them. Thank you.  And then my only other question would be in looking at the landscape plan on Sheet 17 and 18, I didn't have a copy of the prior approved landscape plan, but is it substantially consistent	17 18 19 20	your testimony was from a green-infrastructure perspective you do comply?  THE WITNESS: We got our approval in
17 18 19 20 21	PLANNER SARMAD: All right, I do see them. Thank you.  And then my only other question would be in looking at the landscape plan on Sheet 17 and 18, I didn't have a copy of the prior approved landscape plan, but is it substantially consistent with the prior approval?	17 18 19 20 21	your testimony was from a green-infrastructure perspective you do comply?  THE WITNESS: We got our approval in December of 2019 from the Flood Hazard Area, DEP NJDEP. And at that time they knew the rules were coming and we were under the, you know, you better
17 18 19 20 21 22	PLANNER SARMAD: All right, I do see them. Thank you.  And then my only other question would be in looking at the landscape plan on Sheet 17 and 18, I didn't have a copy of the prior approved landscape plan, but is it substantially consistent with the prior approval?  THE WITNESS: Yes, yes it is. Same,	17 18 19 20 21 22	your testimony was from a green-infrastructure perspective you do comply?  THE WITNESS: We got our approval in December of 2019 from the Flood Hazard Area, DEP NJDEP. And at that time they knew the rules were

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	50		52
1	were not in effect yet, everything comported with	1	ENGINEER BURR: One of the conditions
2	the proposed rules that were due to be	2	in the prior approval is to construct an 8-foot-high
3	ENGINEER BURR: And those DEP permits	3	board-on-board fence requested by Chelsea Condo
4	are still valid?	4	Association, made of a composite brown or neutral
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, they are.	5	composite material. My concern is that the
6	ENGINEER BURR: In good standing?	6	resolution doesn't speak to the timing of when that
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	7	fence is going to be installed.
8	ENGINEER BURR: And your testimony was	8	In your opinion, what is an appropriate
9	from a lot coverage perspective and motor vehicle	9	time to construct that fence?
10	service or service perspective, that's kind of	10	THE WITNESS: I mean that's if
11	the trigger point, your testimony is you're reducing	11	everything is done out there and installed, any
12	both of those	12	time.
13	THE WITNESS: Correct.	13	ENGINEER BURR: I guess it's a
14	ENGINEER BURR: versus what was	14	question, perhaps for the applicant, but I don't
15	previously approved?	15	know if I don't know if we're talking about two
16	THE WITNESS: That's correct, yes.	16	separate issues. I don't know if there's an
17	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And in terms of	17	existing fence that's
18	overall parking, is there any difference in the	18	THE WITNESS: There is. There's an
19	parking count?	19	existing fence.
20	THE WITNESS: I think we picked up	20	ENGINEER BURR: And then there's a new
21	five spaces.	21	fence that is proposed?
22	ENGINEER BURR: So you picked up a	22	THE WITNESS: Correct.
23	couple spaces, but nothing significant?	23	ENGINEER BURR: Certainly, the new
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah. A little bit more	24	fence that is proposed, the furthest out time is at
25	efficient layout than what was previous.	25	the time of CO. But if there is a damaged fence,
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E. 51		G. Folk, P.E. 53
1		1	
1 2	51	1 2	53
	51 ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to		53 can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible? THE WITNESS: I think the owner
2	51 ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape	2	53 can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?
2	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building	2	53 can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible? THE WITNESS: I think the owner
2 3 4	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to	2 3 4	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's
2 3 4 5	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with	2 3 4 5	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner  ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant.
2 3 4 5 6	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity,	2 3 4 5 6	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape	2 3 4 5 6 7	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner  ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant.  THE WITNESS: Yeah.  ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner  ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant.  THE WITNESS: Yeah.  ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit  ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner?  ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner? ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner. THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner? ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner. THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely. ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner  ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant.  THE WITNESS: Yeah.  ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit  ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner?  ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner.  THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely.  ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice too. You know, it's a  ENGINEER BURR: But that's the plan,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner? ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner. THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely. ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions. THE WITNESS: Yes, we would replace
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice too. You know, it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner? ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner. THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely. ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions. THE WITNESS: Yes, we would replace that or repair it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice too. You know, it's a  ENGINEER BURR: But that's the plan, is to have it be green space?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner  ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant.  THE WITNESS: Yeah.  ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit  ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner?  ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner.  THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely.  ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions.  THE WITNESS: Yes, we would replace that or repair it.  ENGINEER BURR: Okay, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice too. You know, it's a  ENGINEER BURR: But that's the plan, is to have it be green space?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, of course.  ENGINEER BURR: There was one condition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner? ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner. THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely. ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions. THE WITNESS: Yes, we would replace that or repair it. ENGINEER BURR: Okay, thank you. That's all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice too. You know, it's a  ENGINEER BURR: But that's the plan, is to have it be green space?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, of course.  ENGINEER BURR: There was one condition and we talked about it briefly, about fencing around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner? ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner. THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely. ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions. THE WITNESS: Yes, we would replace that or repair it. ENGINEER BURR: Okay, thank you. That's all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice too. You know, it's a  ENGINEER BURR: But that's the plan, is to have it be green space?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, of course.  ENGINEER BURR: There was one condition and we talked about it briefly, about fencing around the rear. And I think there was either public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner? ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner. THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely. ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions. THE WITNESS: Yes, we would replace that or repair it. ENGINEER BURR: Okay, thank you. That's all.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, I have a question that dawns here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice too. You know, it's a  ENGINEER BURR: But that's the plan, is to have it be green space?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, of course.  ENGINEER BURR: There was one condition and we talked about it briefly, about fencing around the rear. And I think there was either public question at the last hearing a couple of weeks ago	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner  ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant.  THE WITNESS: Yeah.  ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit  ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner?  ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner.  THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely.  ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions.  THE WITNESS: Yes, we would replace that or repair it.  ENGINEER BURR: Okay, thank you.  That's all.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, I have a question that dawns here.  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I just have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice too. You know, it's a  ENGINEER BURR: But that's the plan, is to have it be green space?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, of course.  ENGINEER BURR: There was one condition and we talked about it briefly, about fencing around the rear. And I think there was either public question at the last hearing a couple of weeks ago about the condition of the fence adjacent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner? ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner. THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely. ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions. THE WITNESS: Yes, we would replace that or repair it. ENGINEER BURR: Okay, thank you. That's all. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, I have a question that dawns here. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I just have a housekeeping question of Mr. Silbert real quick.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ENGINEER BURR: Okay. And just to build upon Ms. Sarmad's question about the landscape plan. So shrunk the building. You were able to obtain more buffer distance in terms of the building from the three adjacent property lines.  Is that space just being filled in with turf or the lawn area, or is there an opportunity, in your opinion, to maybe enhance the landscape package at all?  It is already a pretty robust. And I know it's been through site plan compliance review, I just if we're shrinking the building and there's a bunch of open space  THE WITNESS: Well, the grass is nice too. You know, it's a  ENGINEER BURR: But that's the plan, is to have it be green space?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, of course.  ENGINEER BURR: There was one condition and we talked about it briefly, about fencing around the rear. And I think there was either public question at the last hearing a couple of weeks ago about the condition of the fence adjacent  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Glad you brought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can that be repaired sooner or, quite frankly, as soon as possible?  THE WITNESS: I think the owner ENGINEER BURR: I guess that's for Mr. Silbert, or the applicant. THE WITNESS: Yeah. ATTORNEY PECK: The condition being, if there's any existing fence that's damaged, it will be repaired prior to pulling a building permit ENGINEER BURR: Or sooner? ATTORNEY PECK: or sooner. THE WITNESS: Sure, absolutely. ATTORNEY PECK: I mean I'm open to suggestions. THE WITNESS: Yes, we would replace that or repair it. ENGINEER BURR: Okay, thank you. That's all.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, I have a question that dawns here. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I just have a housekeeping question of Mr. Silbert real quick. Recognizing the time is 9:30, during my

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	54		56
1	application, will there be new testimony?	1	times and dates when you can, when you can't work.
2	ATTORNEY SILBERT: For the Chick-fil-A	2	So that's incorporated into any approval.
3	application?	3	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right.	4	ENGINEER BURR: Councilman, that is
5	ATTORNEY SILBERT: There would have	5	ordinance-driven. The hours of construction that
6	been new testimony, yeah.	6	are permitted are listed on the originally approved
7	Even if we could get a couple of	7	plan, Monday through Friday I can't read the fine
8	minutes of the board's time just to work through the	8	print I think it's 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. And
9	supplemental review memo that came out, that would	9	then Saturday construction is allowed in a reduced
10	be helpful so we can come prepared at the next	10	timeframe. I believe it's 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
11	hearing, being that it's necessary, at least we'd	11	No Sunday construction is permitted.
12	have the opportunity to address the board for a	12	So as long as they work in accordance
13	couple of minutes, but	13	with the ordinance, that's what we would be looking
14	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So we'll give time	14	for.
15	to review the new information that has been	15	The common issue we have is contractors
16	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Right. We don't	16	show up early, they fire up their equipment. It's
17	have to ask for a vote this evening, but just	17	6:45 in the morning and everything is idling and
18	just to talk out that review memo which we would get	18	getting started up, and that's where the complaints
19	into.	19	come in.
20	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. Thank you.	20	So we do take a hard look at that.
21	Let's see how things go and we'll	21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And typically
22	resume from here.	22	speaking, I guess impacts to the neighborhood would
23	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sure.	23	be earlier in the construction process, right?
24	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. I	24	I mean once the structure is built and
1		25	starts to be closed in, you know, interior stuff is
25	apologize.		
25	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E. 55		G. Folk, P.E. 57
1	G. Folk, P.E. 55 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an	1	G. Folk, P.E.  57 not likely to be impacting them, correct?
1 2	G. Folk, P.E. 55 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.	1 2	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct?  ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that,
1 2 3	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of	1 2 3	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct?  ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes.
1 2 3 4	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to	1 2 3 4	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct?  ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that,  yes.  THE WITNESS: I would also add that a
1 2 3 4 5	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?	1 2 3 4 5	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes. THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really
1 2 3 4 5 6	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's	1 2 3 4 5	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes.  THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.
1 2 3 4 5 6	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes. THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes. THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes.  THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes.  THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	G. Folk, P.E.  The witness: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes.  THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction? GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes.  THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction? GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood;
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes. THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction? GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	G. Folk, P.E.  The witness: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction?  GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?  GREG HEFLIN: No steel.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know, again, I'm not singling out this property, I would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	G. Folk, P.E.  77  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes.  THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction? GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all? GREG HEFLIN: No steel. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know, again, I'm not singling out this property, I would be concerned about any property, you know, what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	G. Folk, P.E.  The witness: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction?  GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?  GREG HEFLIN: No steel.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just had a couple
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know, again, I'm not singling out this property, I would be concerned about any property, you know, what protections are there to the neighborhood so that 12	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	G. Folk, P.E.  The witness: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction?  GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?  GREG HEFLIN: No steel.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just had a couple of questions related to the height since I did look
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know, again, I'm not singling out this property, I would be concerned about any property, you know, what protections are there to the neighborhood so that 12 to 14 months is not a miserable experience?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	G. Folk, P.E.  The witness: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction?  GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?  GREG HEFLIN: No steel.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just had a couple of questions related to the height since I did look at the original 2020 plan and it appears that a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know, again, I'm not singling out this property, I would be concerned about any property, you know, what protections are there to the neighborhood so that 12 to 14 months is not a miserable experience?  I mean, is there time limits during the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	G. Folk, P.E.  The witness: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction?  GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?  GREG HEFLIN: No steel.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just had a couple of questions related to the height since I did look at the original 2020 plan and it appears that a height variance is needed for the WoodSpring Suites.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know, again, I'm not singling out this property, I would be concerned about any property, you know, what protections are there to the neighborhood so that 12 to 14 months is not a miserable experience?  I mean, is there time limits during the day when construction is typically occurring? You	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	G. Folk, P.E.  The witness: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction?  GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?  GREG HEFLIN: No steel.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just had a couple of questions related to the height since I did look at the original 2020 plan and it appears that a height variance is needed for the WoodSpring Suites.  So I just wanted to ask Mr. Folk if he
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know, again, I'm not singling out this property, I would be concerned about any property, you know, what protections are there to the neighborhood so that 12 to 14 months is not a miserable experience?  I mean, is there time limits during the day when construction is typically occurring? You know, like, what is the standard practice there?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	G. Folk, P.E.  The witness: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction?  GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?  GREG HEFLIN: No steel.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just had a couple of questions related to the height since I did look at the original 2020 plan and it appears that a height variance is needed for the WoodSpring Suites.  So I just wanted to ask Mr. Folk if he believes that under the c(2) criteria, if he
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know, again, I'm not singling out this property, I would be concerned about any property, you know, what protections are there to the neighborhood so that 12 to 14 months is not a miserable experience?  I mean, is there time limits during the day when construction is typically occurring? You know, like, what is the standard practice there?  THE WITNESS: Your township has	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	G. Folk, P.E.  The witness: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction?  GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?  GREG HEFLIN: No steel.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just had a couple of questions related to the height since I did look at the original 2020 plan and it appears that a height variance is needed for the WoodSpring Suites.  So I just wanted to ask Mr. Folk if he
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	G. Folk, P.E.  55  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, no, that's an important housekeeping item.  So just a question. What amount of time, what time period do we expect construction to take once ground is broken?  I don't know if that's maybe there's someone else from the applicant that can give a general estimate there.  GREG HEFLIN: For building construction?  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah.  GREG HEFLIN: We usually run 12 to 14 months, start to finish.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay.  So I guess my question is, you know, again, I'm not singling out this property, I would be concerned about any property, you know, what protections are there to the neighborhood so that 12 to 14 months is not a miserable experience?  I mean, is there time limits during the day when construction is typically occurring? You know, like, what is the standard practice there?  THE WITNESS: Your township has construction mitigation measures. It's pretty	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	G. Folk, P.E.  57  not likely to be impacting them, correct? ENGINEER BURR: I agree with that, yes.  THE WITNESS: I would also add that a lot of the site work has been done and that's really the dirty, smokey, noisy stuff.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. THE WITNESS: Now we're just into pad construction, which is, you know  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But there's this steel component to this, correct, the construction? GREG HEFLIN: No, it's wood frame. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Oh, it's all wood; there's no steel at all?  GREG HEFLIN: No steel. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I just had a couple of questions related to the height since I did look at the original 2020 plan and it appears that a height variance is needed for the WoodSpring Suites. So I just wanted to ask Mr. Folk if he believes that in will, despite the variance, that

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	58		60
1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think by moving	1	impacts it. We have a requirement for a reason.
2	the building shrinking the building in size and	2	THE WITNESS: Well, you know, let's
3	moving it further from the east, south and westerly	3	see, looking at the finished floor, and the
4	property lines, it will not be a detriment to the	4	doorways, I mean, based upon the ordinance, I
5	location.	5	probably could raise the ground around it a foot and
6	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.	6	a half.
7	And based upon the other buildings in	7	If you want to make it 45 feet, I could
8	the area, there is a height variance required for	8	make it 45 feet by raising the ground around it.
9	SpringHill. Do you think that this helps promote a	9	MEMBER SIKORA: What does that do to
10	desirable, visual environment?	10	stormwater management?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, it will.	11	THE WITNESS: Nothing. That just
12	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Great.	12	makes the entrance, you know, I just keep that
13	And you don't believe that there's any	13	that's at 2 percent or so. And then the doorways on
14	substantial impairment for this de minimis deviation	14	either end, the east and west side of the building,
15	from the ordinance height requirements?	15	and then the doors in the back we would just have
16	THE WITNESS: No, I don't.	16	you know, we try to keep everything 2 percent so
17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. And no	17	it's safe.
18	substantial detriment to the public?	18	So I can go to 5 percent and pick up
19	THE WITNESS: No, no substantial	19	and I mean, it's negligible. I understand your
20	detriments to the public.	20	concern. It's something that, you know, the
21	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.	21	building is smaller feeling because it is a smaller
22	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any further	22	building. And 45 feet to 46 and a half is really
23	questions for Mr. Folk?	23	hard to see anywhere around, so it's you know, if there was a parapet wall or something that you could
24	MEMBER SIKORA: I have a question.	24	
25	Why can't you meet the height variance for the	25	shave off, that would be my thought, but I don't  G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E. 59		61
,	building height requirement?	1	know why, what's going on up there.
1	THE WITNESS: That's a I mean, I	2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just a question.
2	could bring the ground up around it and meet it that	3	Maybe this is best asked of Mr. Burr.
4	way, or these guys could make the ceilings a little	4	So we have a 45-foot requirement.
5	lower by an inch or two throughout, I don't know.		
		5	There's probably a reason for it. Is it all
	May he hest asked by the owner or	5	There's probably a reason for it. Is it an aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you
6 7	May be best asked by the owner or	1	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you
7	the	6	
7 8	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we	6 7	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach
7 8 9	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?	6 7 8	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?
7 8 9 10	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot	6 7 8 9	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale
7 8 9 10	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact	6 7 8 9 10	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?
7 8 9 10 11 12	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?	6 7 8 9 10	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a foot and a half shorter?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire, you know, firefighting equipment issue, you know, I'm not positive. I almost think it's driven by the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a foot and a half shorter?  GREG HEFLIN: No.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire, you know, firefighting equipment issue, you know, I'm not positive. I almost think it's driven by the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a foot and a half shorter?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  MEMBER SIKORA: Why?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire, you know, firefighting equipment issue, you know, I'm not positive. I almost think it's driven by the number of stories and then you factor into the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a foot and a half shorter?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  MEMBER SIKORA: Why?  GREG HEFLIN: We would have to take it	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire, you know, firefighting equipment issue, you know, I'm not positive. I almost think it's driven by the number of stories and then you factor into the height.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a foot and a half shorter?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  MEMBER SIKORA: Why?  GREG HEFLIN: We would have to take it out of the rooms in ceiling heights. It would be a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire, you know, firefighting equipment issue, you know, I'm not positive. I almost think it's driven by the number of stories and then you factor into the height.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Do we have signoff from the fire official on this plan?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a foot and a half shorter?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  MEMBER SIKORA: Why?  GREG HEFLIN: We would have to take it out of the rooms in ceiling heights. It would be a less desirable product.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire, you know, firefighting equipment issue, you know, I'm not positive. I almost think it's driven by the number of stories and then you factor into the height.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Do we have signoff from the fire official on this plan?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a foot and a half shorter?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  MEMBER SIKORA: Why?  GREG HEFLIN: We would have to take it out of the rooms in ceiling heights. It would be a less desirable product.  MEMBER SIKORA: But our requirement	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire, you know, firefighting equipment issue, you know, I'm not positive. I almost think it's driven by the number of stories and then you factor into the height.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Do we have signoff from the fire official on this plan?  ENGINEER BURR: I believe we do.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: You already
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a foot and a half shorter?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  MEMBER SIKORA: Why?  GREG HEFLIN: We would have to take it out of the rooms in ceiling heights. It would be a less desirable product.  MEMBER SIKORA: But our requirement says 45 feet is our max height requirement.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire, you know, firefighting equipment issue, you know, I'm not positive. I almost think it's driven by the number of stories and then you factor into the height.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Do we have signoff from the fire official on this plan?  ENGINEER BURR: I believe we do.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: You already
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the  JEFF McKAY: What is the distance we are trying to make up?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is about a foot and a half. I mean, I don't have the exact  Foot and a half?  THE WITNESS: Yeah, foot and a half.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: A foot and a half.  THE WITNESS: Can you guys make it a foot and a half shorter?  GREG HEFLIN: No.  MEMBER SIKORA: Why?  GREG HEFLIN: We would have to take it out of the rooms in ceiling heights. It would be a less desirable product.  MEMBER SIKORA: But our requirement says 45 feet is our max height requirement.  To be really frank, that's not a good	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	aesthetic reason? Is there a fire concern; you know, do we have fire equipment that can reach 45 feet but can't reach 46 feet?  I mean, like, what's the rationale behind that?  ENGINEER BURR: I don't know the genesis of it but I know it's three stories, and 45 feet. And generally speaking, a three-story building can approach 45 feet.  Whether or not it is because of a fire, you know, firefighting equipment issue, you know, I'm not positive. I almost think it's driven by the number of stories and then you factor into the height.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Do we have signoff from the fire official on this plan?  ENGINEER BURR: I believe we do.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: You already approved a 49.3-foot building with respect to

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	62		64
1	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay. And that one	1	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And the height of
2	has fire signoff?	2	the SpringHill is taller?
3	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes.	3	THE WITNESS: Correct.
4	ENGINEER BURR: Yes, it does.	4	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, SpringHill is
5	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I asked the question	5	49.3.
6	from a safety standpoint.	6	JEFF McKAY: And the SpringHill has
7	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Oh, of course. Of	7	9-foot ceilings in the guest rooms. It's just a
8	course.	8	higher experience and a taller ceiling in the guest
9	JEFF MCKAY: Just to add a comment, a	9	room. That's why that building is taller.  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, I would
10	flat roof was something that was sort of mandated	10	like this to be as compliant as possible, but I'm
11	when we did the original of the buildings. You	11	willing to be flexible on that, on that point.
12	know, with a flat roof we do have rooftop equipment	12	PLANNER SARMAD: I think that I
13	up there, and the parapets are designed to screen as	13	don't want to speak for the architect, but the
14	much as you can with the rooftop parapet.	14	architectural plans don't show any other
15	I know we contemplated different roof	15	dimension height dimensions, except to the top,
16	heights earlier on with these flat roofs, and that	16	the uppermost part of the building, including what
17	is how they were derived the way they are.	17	is showing as pretty much a facade, like a parapet.
18	ATTORNEY PECK: So are you suggesting	19	So I think we measure building height
19	that the overage of the height is to provide	20	from grade, average grade to roof, to the roof
20	screening for the rooftop HVAC, so it's really	21	height. That's not the roof. So they probably are
21	aesthetically based?  JEFF MCKAY: Correct.	22	compliant with the roof height.
22	ATTORNEY SILBERT: You can actually	23	And just taking a look at the
23	see that if you look at the architecturals. I have	24	architectural plans, I think that's probably just a
24	them out. On SD-1.1 you will see that most of the	25	lack of detail is why it got translated over to the
25	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	63		65
1	building is compliant, it's just the center feature	1	engineering site plans.
2	and the parapets that were mentioned that are at	2	I would like that to be confirmed. I
3	that 46 feet height.	3	think that you know, I think we can move forward,
4	It doesn't have it's not extremely	4	but I do think that we would want to see it
5	detailed but the other portions of the building	5	confirmed from the architect or the engineer that it
6	aren't exceeding there. So it could be a	6	is compliant, but it does appear to be from the
7	combination of aesthetics and also screening.	7	plan.
8	JEFF MCKAY: And those areas are where	8	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think from my
9	we would try to screen use to screen the	9	standpoint, when I was preparing this application, I
10	mechanical equipment.	10	was told that the height of this building was going
11	ATTORNEY PECK: Sure.	11	to be the exact same height of what was previously
12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And this is closer	12	approved.
13	to compliance than the original?	13	So when I saw the architecturals come
14	ATTORNEY SILBERT: The original was, I	14	in at 46 feet, I just assumed based upon the
15	believe what does it say on the plan?	15	representations that it was going to be constructed
16	THE WITNESS: 44.83.	16	at the exact same height so.  So is there any reason why this
17	ATTORNEY SILBERT: 44.83. So we are	17	and the state of t
18	up. I mean, our architectural plan says 46 feet, so	18	
19	we're within	19	the second secon
20		20	the state of the s
21	max in the middle?	21	
22		22	
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24	
24		25	and the second s
25	be being used for screening purposes.		

ATTORNEY SILBERT: So I think that we can actually comply with the ordinance anyway, even though we're probably already compliant. If we're not, we can comply with the ordinance.

I think that, as Ms. Sarmad has 5 mentioned, that this is not being measured in 6 7 accordance with the township's height requirements, it's to the highest point of the building and it's 8 not taken based on the average height calculations 9 required. 10

So we'll comply.

1

2

3

4

11

ATTORNEY PECK: So then the only 12 variance you need is the buffering between the two 13 principal buildings? 14

THE WITNESS: No, the buffer is from 15 the easterly property line, from here to the 16 17 SpringHill. It got wider.

ATTORNEY PECK: Okay, property line. 18 But it's the other building, it's not your building, 19 why you're here tonight? 20 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. 21

ATTORNEY PECK: It's the other 22 condominium, Unit C or whatever that is. 23 ATTORNEY SILBERT: And then I guess 24 what George said, is that's it's good to, you know, 25

G. Folk, P.E.

66

1 make sure if variance relief is needed that we get it, but I think that that dimension, 2 94-feet-and-change, was actually already approved by 3

the township -- perhaps not by the board -- but the 4 site plan assigned by --5

ATTORNEY PECK: And it's a very 6 tangential relevance to what we're talking about. 7 ATTORNEY SILBERT: It is. That is 8

9 true.

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other questions 10 for Mr. Folk? 11

Ms. Sarmad, I believe we've exhausted a 12

lot of your questions, but you may have some more? 13 PLANNER SARMAD: No, I think that that 14

resolves it. And looking at the architectural plan it looks like they -- they took the grading from the site plan, so it's kind of like -- you can't tell,

but if they take the grade from the site plan but 18 they added the 46 feet, so the average grade they 19

added the .45. 20

So I really do think that if their architectural plans were a little bit more detailed and calculated correctly to the roof height, that it would be -- what I wouldn't have -- this is all things that, I think, can be done administratively,

but that is an update of the full set of plans to show the accurate calculation. 2

And then also they will have to show --3 they'll still have to show the full building height 4 and just give a measurement of the parapet height 5

too, because I know that there are requirements in 6

the ordinance related to the roof height and then 7

the max parapet height. 8

So just to confirm that on the plans as 9 well. 10

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Are you aware of 11 what those are for parapet? 12

THE WITNESS: I believe they're 13 allowed to have a three-foot parapet above the roof, 14 and so they just need to confirm that. 15

Eyeballing it, it's not to scale the 16 plans, but it looks like it's close. So either, you 17 know, I think that they could reliably resolve that 18 by a plan revision too. They look close to about 3 19 feet, but if it's 3.25 they could reduce it to 3 20 21 feet.

That's all I have for this witness. 22 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. 23 Board engineer, Mr. Burr, any 24 25

questions?

G. Folk, P.E.

69

ATTORNEY SILBERT: What I would just 1 want to put on the record, to the extent that the originally approved Residence Inn complied to the ordinance, we're committed to comply with the

ordinance with respect to this building as far as

6 height is concerned.

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

21

25

So we represented this is supposed to mimic what was previously approved, and we're sticking to that. So what was ever approved for the Residence Inn, this building will also echo those 10 11 approvals.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, 12

13 Mr. Silbert. Noted for the record.

ENGINEER BURR: And, Mr. Chairman, just along those lines, the prior resolution and conditions in the prior approval, will be complied with moving forward.

ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes.

ENGINEER BURR: In addition to any 19 20 other conditions that would be agreed to.

ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. With the 22 exception of the condition that referenced amenities 23 that no longer exist, or any of those conditions that are no longer relevant, because of the amended 24

site plan application this evening, obviously won't

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	70		72
1	be enforceable.	1	the truth, and nothing but the truth?
2	But all of the remaining conditions	2	LARS KNUDSON: I do.
3	previously imposed by the board will, in fact, be	3	ATTORNEY PECK: Thank you. And again
4	enforceable. And we'll adhere to them.	4	could you just say and spell your name.
5	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm not sure if	5	THE WITNESS: Again, Lars, L-A-R-S,
6	Ms. Sarmad's report had covered this or not, but the	6	last name Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N. My address, 104
7	easement toward the rear, are those going to be just	7	Chelsea Way. And I'm the president of the Board of
8	typically conifer trees; are there going to be some	8	Trustees of Chelsea Village.
9	deciduous trees that are in there as well?	9	I'm going to skip ahead because I
10	Are these trees going to reach, you	10	appreciate the board's due diligence in confirming a
11	know, 80 to 100 feet, things like that, within the	11	lot of the details of the setback in the back, which
12	next decade or so?	12	was one of our two primary concerns.
13	THE WITNESS: I'm having a hard time	13	Just a note to counsel, I wish you led
14	hearing; say that again, please.	14	with the engineer because that would have addressed
15	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: My apologies.	15	a lot of our concerns last time.
16	The conservation easement in the rear	16	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.
17	rather I should say the stormwater retention	17	LARS KNUDSON: So we're very I am,
18	basin in the rear there, are those trees going to be	18	personally, I don't want to limit my comments right
19	just typically buffer trees, or are they going to be	19	now, but I'm personally encouraged by the new
20	a mix of deciduous trees to the point where they	20	developments.
21	reach, let's say, heights of, like, 60/80 feet?	21	I did have some questions just very
22	THE WITNESS: It's a combination of a	22	quickly to confirm. The prior plan that was
23	lot of trees. The green ones are typically	23	approved included a seven-foot berm, on either side
24	conifers. The other multi-colored ones that are	24	of the detention on the south side of the
25	green and red and yellow are typically deciduous.	25	detention basin with conifer trees. Are those still
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	71		73
1	We have a lot of Norway spruce is what	1	I just want to that's been discussed now
2	a lot of these guys are back here.	2	previously, but that is still part of your plan,
3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So, within	3	that's unchanged?
4	time, that should provide for a lush buffer?	4	THE WITNESS: No change.
5	THE WITNESS: Oh yes.	5	
6			LARS KNUDSON: Okay. And those
	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. That's the	6	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that
7	last question I had.	6 7	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision
8	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from	6 7 8	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back
	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?	6 7 8 9	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo
8	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to	6 7 8 9	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.
8 9 10 11	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any	6 7 8 9 10	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway
8 9 10 11 12	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.
8 9 10 11 12 13	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right. So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.  LARS KNUDSON: Good evening. Lars	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what you said earlier about the prior compliance and the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.  LARS KNUDSON: Good evening. Lars Knudson. L-A-R-S, last name Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what you said earlier about the prior compliance and the the compliance requirements that the that you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.  LARS KNUDSON: Good evening. Lars Knudson. L-A-R-S, last name Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N.  ATTORNEY PECK: I believe you were	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what you said earlier about the prior compliance and the the compliance requirements that the that you had to meet and when you were in substantial
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.  LARS KNUDSON: Good evening. Lars Knudson. L-A-R-S, last name Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N.  ATTORNEY PECK: I believe you were previously sworn?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what you said earlier about the prior compliance and the the compliance requirements that the that you had to meet and when you were in substantial compliance with those?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.  LARS KNUDSON: Good evening. Lars Knudson. L-A-R-S, last name Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N.  ATTORNEY PECK: I believe you were previously sworn?  THE WITNESS: I was at the last	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what you said earlier about the prior compliance and the the compliance requirements that the that you had to meet and when you were in substantial compliance with those?  THE WITNESS: So the question is?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.  LARS KNUDSON: Good evening. Lars Knudson. L-A-R-S, last name Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N.  ATTORNEY PECK: I believe you were previously sworn?  THE WITNESS: I was at the last hearing I was not sworn in, no. I will	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what you said earlier about the prior compliance and the the compliance requirements that the that you had to meet and when you were in substantial compliance with those?  THE WITNESS: So the question is?  LARS KNUDSON: The drainage plans
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.  LARS KNUDSON: Good evening. Lars  Knudson. L-A-R-S, last name Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N.  ATTORNEY PECK: I believe you were previously sworn?  THE WITNESS: I was at the last hearing I was not sworn in, no. I will  ATTORNEY PECK: Could you raise your	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what you said earlier about the prior compliance and the the compliance requirements that the that you had to meet and when you were in substantial compliance with those?  THE WITNESS: So the question is?  LARS KNUDSON: The drainage plans THE WITNESS: Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.  LARS KNUDSON: Good evening. Lars Knudson. L-A-R-S, last name Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N.  ATTORNEY PECK: I believe you were previously sworn?  THE WITNESS: I was at the last hearing I was not sworn in, no. I will  ATTORNEY PECK: Could you raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that any	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what you said earlier about the prior compliance and the the compliance requirements that the that you had to meet and when you were in substantial compliance with those?  THE WITNESS: So the question is?  LARS KNUDSON: The drainage plans THE WITNESS: Yes.  LARS KNUDSON: the runoff plans.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	last question I had.  Any other comments or questions from the board?  At this time I would like to open to any members of the public that wish to ask any questions of Mr. Folk, please come forward at this time.  Good evening, please state your name and address for the record.  LARS KNUDSON: Good evening. Lars  Knudson. L-A-R-S, last name Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N.  ATTORNEY PECK: I believe you were previously sworn?  THE WITNESS: I was at the last hearing I was not sworn in, no. I will  ATTORNEY PECK: Could you raise your	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	conifer trees will eventually grow to a height that will block that will effectively block the vision of anyone in the hotel to look into the back bedrooms look into the second floors of the condo units in Chelsea Village.  THE WITNESS: I believe the Norway spruce get pretty tall.  LARS KNUDSON: I think you're right.  So I want to talk a little bit about the drainage. You said could you go over what you said earlier about the prior compliance and the the compliance requirements that the that you had to meet and when you were in substantial compliance with those?  THE WITNESS: So the question is?  LARS KNUDSON: The drainage plans THE WITNESS: Yes.

Palms that were substantially in you ware in substantial compliance with?   2				
plans that were substantially in you were in 2 substantial compliance with?  Correct me if i'm wong, I may be 3 correct me if i'm wong, I may be 4 misremembering your testimeny, but you were in 5 substantial compliance in 2020; is that right?  THE WITNESS: The 2020 was the date of 5 the signed plans for compliance with the township. The signed plans for compliance report that stipulates everything 12 that the resolution requires you to do. And for 13 your plan to compliance report that stipulates everything 12 that the resolution requires you to do. And for 13 your plan to be signed by the township, that 14 compliance was dated September 22nd, 2020.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before 16 Hurricane Ida?  THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. 17 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 18 more frequent occurrence in the State of New Jersey. 19 So regarding the lighting, so I heard 19 your plans that our community 24 experienced during that time? 25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 26 Confirment fissues that we experienced? 19 THE WITNESS: Every community and 29 seems of the runoff issues shade 20 your restiment plans and any 20 your testiment plans and 20 your plans to some of the runoff issues shade 20 your plans are shaded and 20 your plans are shaded and 20 your plans to some of the runoff issues shade 20 your plans to some of the runoff issues shade 20 your plans 20		G. Folk, P.E.		
substantial compliance with?  The WITNESS: The 2020 was the date of the signed plans for compliance with the tromship.  That's when the — there was a compliance report.  After the approal you have to submit to your plans to comply with the resolution, and there is a compliance report that stipulates everything that compliance with the tromship.  That's when the — there was a compliance report.  After the approal you have to submit to your plans to comply with the resolution, and there is a compliance report that stipulates everything that compliance was dated September 22nd, 220.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before thuricane Ida?  THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes.  LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans a account for the rainfall that we experienced in Hurricane Ida?  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of Some of the runoff issues that our community experienced during that time?  LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very true. But our community in specific, you were aware of 5 some of the runoff issues that we experienced?  THE WITNESS: Every community. This greening of what your community in specific, you were aware of 5 some of the runoff issues that we experienced?  THE WITNESS: Every community and secling everything around me, I know that there was a sol tof train.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware to some of the runoff issues that we experienced?  THE WITNESS: I think all these and the developer when these happened.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any to the contractor at the time when these happened.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any to the contractor at the time when these shapened.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any to the contractor at the time when these shapened.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any to the contractor at the time when these happened.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any to the contractor at the time when these shapened.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any to the contractor at the time when these is and the developer when threse thapse in the contractor at the time when these is and the developer		74		• •
THE WITNESS: 10 account for the rainfall that we experienced in Hurricane Ida?  THE WITNESS: 10 account for the rainfall that we experienced during that time?  THE WITNESS: Oh absolutely.  THE WITNESS: Every community. This some of the runoff issues that our community wasn't the only one touched.  LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very true. But our community in specific, you were aware of some of the runoff issues that our community are reflected, but it know living in this community and the chelesa Village is and the developer when those some are some of the runoff issues that our community assert the only one touched.  LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very true. But our community in specific, you were aware of a community wasn't the only one touched.  LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very true. But our community in specific, you were aware of a community wasn't the only one touched.  LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very true. But our community in specific, you were aware of a community and the these sources were brought up to the concrator or at the time when those issues were made and the developer when the mean that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Sold in you plan to those issues and undertised your darkenses are becoming and an advantage plans and any and an undertised your community in the community and the provided in the true was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very true. But our community in specific, you were aware of some of the runoff issues that we experienced?  THE WITNESS: I think all these oncerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those has been were made the developer when these beape	1	plans that were substantially in you were in	1	THE WITNESS: I am not aware of any
## winstreambering your testimony, but you were in substantial compliance in 2020; is that right?  ## the WINESS: The 2020 was the date of the signed plans for compliance report.  ## That's when the — there was a compliance report.  ## After the approval you have to submit to your plans to comply with the resolution, and there is a compliance report tast stipulates everything that the resolution requires you to do. And for the compliance report tast stipulates everything that the resolution requires you to do. And for the compliance report tast stipulates everything that the resolution requires you to do. And for the compliance report tast stipulates everything that the resolution requires you to do. And for the compliance report that stipulates everything that the resolution requires you to do. And for the compliance report that stipulates everything that the resolution requires you do. And for the compliance report that stipulates everything that the resolution requires you to do. And for the compliance report that stipulates everything that the resolution requires you to do. And for the compliance report that stipulates everything and the stipulate to compliance report that stipulates everything and the plant of the plant of the tomore and the plant of the trunff issues that our community that the resolution requires you to do. And for the trunff issues stop to compliance that you read to be a problem. The going to express and updating your drainage plans and any — any to compliance that you — anything that you — anyt	2	substantial compliance with?	2	
substantial compliance in 2020; is that right?  THE WITNESS: The 2020 was the date of the signed plans for compliance with the township. That's when the there was a campliance report. After the approval you have to submit your plans to comply with the resolution, and there is a compliance report that stipulates everything that there are residents who have brought to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brough to my attention that there are residents who have brought to my attention that there are residents who have brought up to the care are saidents who have brought up to the care are saidents who have brought up to the care are residents who have brought up to the care are saidents who have brought up to the care are saidents who have been dontered and the developer when those happened.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any determined that there was significant sediment runoff from your lot from the care are saidents.  And a spart of the construction — or the certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer will go out to the size at night and identit	3		3	•
THE WITNESS: The 2020 was the date of 7 the signed plans for compliance with the township. That's when the there was a compliance report. After the approval you have to submit 10 your plans to comply with the resolution, and there is a compliance report that stipulates everything 11 so your plans to comply with the resolution, and there is a compliance report that stipulates everything 12 that the resolution requires you to do. And for 13 your plan to be signed by the township, that 14 compliance was dated September 22nd, 2020. 15 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before 16 Hurricane Ida? 16 Hurricane Ida? 17 THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. 18 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before 19 So regarding being forward. Because as 3 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 18 more frequent occurrence in the State of New Jersy. 19 So regarding the lighting, so I heard 19 your testimony earlier that there would be — that 21 there would be an opportunity for the testing of the Lars KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of 22 some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 24 true. But our community 25 true. But our community in specific, you were aware of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 25 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 26 particularly havare of what your community in specific, you were aware of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 26 your community in specific, you were aware of 27 true. But our community in specific, you were aware of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 27 true with the was significant sediment runoff from your 10 to the Chelsea Village lot? 28 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 10 to the Chelsea Village lot? 29 true. 10 to the Chelsea Village lot? 29 the was significant sediment runoff from your 10 the chelsea Village lot? 29 the contractor at the time when those issues were made 11 that there was an opportunity in the contractor of the particularly on the south end of the lot, and that there was a large that there would be an opportunity for the testing of the was a	4	*	4	-
the signed plans for compliance with the township. 8 That's when the — there was a compliance report. 9 After the approval you have to submit your plans to comply with the resolution, and there to a compliance report that stipulates everything 11 is a compliance report that stipulates everything 12 that the resolution requires you to do. And for 13 your plans to be signed by the township, that 14 compliance was dated September 22nd, 2020. 15 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before 16 Hurricane Ida? 17 THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. 18 LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans 19 account for the rainfall that we experienced in 19 account for the rainfall that we experienced? 19 acco	5		5	•
## there are residents who have brought to my attention   Your plant to comply with the resolution, and there	6		6	
4 After the approval you have to submit 10 your plans to comply with the resolution, and there 11 is a compliance report that stipulates everything 12 that the resolution requires you to do. And for 13 your plan to be signed by the township, that 14 compliance was dated September 22nd, 2020. 15 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before 16 Hurricane Ida? 16 Hurricane Ida? 17 THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. 18 LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans 19 account for the rainfall that we experienced in 19 decount for the rainfall that we experienced in 19 decount for the rainfall that we experienced in 19 decount for the runoff issues that our community 22 EARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of 53 some of the runoff issues that our community 24 experienced during that time? 25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 26 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 27 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 4 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 27 experienced, but I know living in this community and seeing everything around me, I know that there was a glonificant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Cheksa Village lot? 18 KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 19 details on that? 19 Know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 18 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 52 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequ	7	the signed plans for compliance with the township.	7	
10 your plans to comply with the resolution, and there 11 is a compliance report that stipulates everything 12 that the resolution requires you to do. And for 13 your plan to be signed by the township, that 14 compliance was dated September 22nd, 2020. 15 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before 16 Hurricane Ida? 17 THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. 18 LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans 19 account for the rainfall that we experienced in 20 Hurricane Ida? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh absolutely. 22 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of when the formal that there was a lot of rain. 23 some of the runoff issues that our community and seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain. 21 THE WITNESS: I mash't present, but 1 that there was significant sediment runoff from your drainage plans and any - any and updating your drainage plans and any - any could do to try to ameliorate those going forward. Because as were request occurrence in the State of New Jersey. 22 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of your testimony earlier that there would be an opportunity for the testing of the lighting, so I heard your testimony earlier that there would be an opportunity for the testing of the lighting. 23 some of the runoff issues that our community and seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain. 24 of orans have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. 25 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Okay. Do you have any of details on that? 26 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but 1 few's areas of concerns. And we can adjust those enough that the experienced of the uniform your of the certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer will go out to the site at night and identify fort-candle intensities, but typically these cut-off lights and the helpit of them are low enough that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made present to them, and they remedied whatever solution was necessary.  29 LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans are those measured in foot-candles, the num	8		8	
11 is a compliance report that stipulates everything 12 that the resolution requires you to do. And for 13 your plan to be signed by the township, that 14 compliance was dated September 22nd, 2020. 15 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before 16 Hurricane Ida? 17 THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. 18 LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans 19 account for the rainfall that we experienced in Hurricane Ida? 20 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of the runoff issues that our community 21 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 22 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very a sperienced, but I know living in this community and seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware of that there was significant sediment runoff from your 10 to into the Chelsea Village lot? 10 KINDSON: Okay. Do you have any 11 know that these concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village on that? 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 12 to into the Chelsea Village lot? 11 KINDSON: Okay. Do you have any 12 to into the Chelsea Village lot? 12 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 13 know that these concerns were brought up to the 14 know that these concerns were brought up to the 15 know that these concerns were brought up to the 16 contractor at the time when those issues were made 17 more and updating your drainage plans and any any 19 compliance that you anything that your comming a somewhat 18 more frequent excurrence in the State of New Jersey. 17 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 19 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 19 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 19 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 19 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 19 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 19 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 19 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 19 we are all aware, hurricanes are becoming a somewhat 19 we are all aware,	9		9	
that the resolution requires you to do. And for your plan to be signed by the township, that compliance was dated September 22nd, 2020. LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before thurricane Ida? THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of Some of the runoff issues that our community THE WITNESS: Every community. This G. Folk, P.E. G. Folk, P.E. THE WITNESS: The wish the experienced? THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not perspective, but I know living in this community and seeing everything around me, I know that there was a leaf there was significant sediment runoff from your to into the Chelsea Village lot? THE WITNESS: I knash t present, but I know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made The Contractor at the time when those issues were made LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff LARS KNUDSON: Subsequ	10	your plans to comply with the resolution, and there	10	
13 your plan to be signed by the township, that 14 compliance was dated September 22nd, 2020. 15 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before 16 Hurricane Ida? 17 THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. 18 LARS KNUDSON: Solid your plans 19 account for the rainfall that we experienced in 19 Hurricane Ida? 20 Hurricane Ida? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh absolutely. 22 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of 22 lighting. 23 some of the runoff issues that our community 24 experienced during that time? 25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 26 Folk, P.E. 27 Community wasn't the only one touched. 27 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very of the runoff issues that experienced? 28 some of the runoff issues that experienced? 30 true. But our community in specific, you were aware of some of the runoff issues that we experienced, but I know living in this community and seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot to frain. 29 lot of rain. 20 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware of the three was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village land? 30 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware of the three was a lot to frain. 31 THE WITNESS: I think all these concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. 32 LARS KNUDSON: Ckay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 33 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 18 details on that? 34 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I sknow that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made 19 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 35 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 22 lighting. 36 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 27 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 28 large in the plans are 29 lower and the developer when those happened. LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 19 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 large in the flank in the chelse is subsequent runoff 29 large in the flank in the chelse is subsequent runoff 29 large in the flank in the chelse is subsequent runoff 29 large in the flank in	11			
compliance was dated September 22nd, 2020.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before  Hurricane Ida?  THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans account for the rainfall that we experienced in thurricane Ida?  THE WITNESS: Oh absolutely. LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of the runoff issues that our community. This  G. Folk, P.E.  G. Folk, P.E.  THE WITNESS: Every community. This  THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not particularly aware of what your community experienced, but I know living in this community experienced, but I know living in this community asseing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that the concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village lot?  THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made present to them, and they remedied whatever solution was necessary.  LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  The WITNESS: Foot-candles. The curry to ameria was reall aware, hurrica	12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12	
LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before Hurricane Ida? THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes.  LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of the runoff issues that our community LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of experienced during that time?  THE WITNESS: Every community. This  G. Folk, P.E.  75 Community wasn't the only one touched. LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not of particularly aware of what your community experienced, but I know living in this community and seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware lit that there was significant sediment runoff from your The WITNESS: I think all these concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff LAR	13	, .		•
16 Hurricane Ida? 17 THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes. 18 LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans 19 account for the rainfall that we experienced in 19 account for the rainfall that we experienced in 19 turricaine Ida? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh absolutely. 22 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of the runoff issues that our community 23 some of the runoff issues that our community. This 25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 26 G, Folk, P.E. 27 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 27 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 28 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 29 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 29 particularly aware of what your community 20 experienced, but I know living in this community and 21 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain. 20 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 21 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 22 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 23 THE WITNESS: I think all these 24 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 25 THE WITNESS: I think all these 26 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 27 and the developer when those happened. 28 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 29 to the these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 24 they the experienced that these concerns were brought up to the 29 contractor at the time when those issues were made 29 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 24 they there would be an opportunity for the testing of the testimony earlier that there would be an opportunity for the testimony oper that there would be an opportunity for the testimony earlier that there would be an opportunity for the testimony earlier that there would be an opportunity for the testimony oper that there would be an opportunity for the testimony earlier that the	14			
17 THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes.  LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans 18 account for the rainfail that we experienced in 29 Hurricaine Ida? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh absolutely. 22 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of 23 some of the runoff issues that our community 24 experienced during that time? 25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 26 G. Folk, P.E. 27 The WITNESS: Every community. This 27 community wasn't the only one touched. 28 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very 38 true. But our community in specific, you were aware of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 49 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not serill that there was allout of rain. 40 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware of the there was significant sediment runoff from your lot to the Chelsea Village land the developer when those happened. 41 Concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. 42 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any details on that? 43 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made present to them, and they remedied whatever solution was necessary. 44 And as part of the new lights that are out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone. 45 So as part of the construction or the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer will goout to the site at night and identify if there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those areas, if necessary. 46 THE WITNESS: I think all these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made large that the time when those issues were made large that there was decoming a somewhat wour of the trunoff issue that our community of the testing of the lighting. 47 Could you go a little bit more a lighting. 48 Could you go a little bit more into what is involved in that? 49 It will be more into what is involved in that? 40 It will be more into what is involved in that? 41 It will be would be an opport	15	LARS KNUDSON: Okay. So before		
18 LARS KNUDSON: So did your plans 19 account for the rainfall that we experienced in 20 Hurricaine Ida? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh absolutely. 22 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of 23 some of the runoff issues that our community 24 experienced during that time? 25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 26 G. Folk, P.E. 27 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 27 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 28 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 29 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 30 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 40 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 51 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 52 a particularly aware of what your community 53 eseing everything around me, I know that there was a lot to frain. 54 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. 55 THE WITNESS: I think all these 56 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. 56 LARS KNUDSON: Clay. Do you have any details on that? 57 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but 1 know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 2 was necessary.  28 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  29 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  20 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  20 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  21 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  22 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  23 Could you go a little bit more a little bit more into what is involved in that?  24 Little bit more into what is involved in that?  25 THE WITNESS: There is a design, a  26 Little bit more into what is involved in that?  27 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very a little bit more into what is involved in that?  28 Little bit more into what is involved in that?  29 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very a little bit more into what is involved in that?  20 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  21 Lars KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  22 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  23 LARS KNUDSON: S	16			<del>-</del>
So regarding the lighting, so I heard THE WITNESS: Oh absolutely. LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of THE WITNESS: Every community The WITNESS: Every community. This  G. Folk, P.E. To The WITNESS: Every community The WITNESS: Every community wasn't the only one touched. LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not particularly aware of what your community Seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain. LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware as element runoff from your Into the Chelsea Village lot? THE WITNESS: I think all these Concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made present to them, and they remedied whatever solution was necessary. LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff So regarding the lighting, so I heard there would be an opportunity for the testing of the there would be an opportunity for the testing of the lighting. Could your testimony earlier that there would be an opportunity for the testing of the lighting. Could you go a little bit more a little bit more into what is involved in that?  THE WITNESS: Tevery community. This  G. Folk, P.E. The WITNESS: There is a design, a  G. Folk, P.E. The WITNESS: There is a design, a  Ilittle bit more into what is involved in that?  I lighting. Could you go a little bit more a  little bit more into what is involved in that?  I lighting.  G. Folk, P.E. The WITNESS: There is a design, a  The WITNESS: Again, I'm not to what your community and step in that has to comport with the township ordinance for lighting, and this plan does that. And as part of the new lights that are out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone.  So as part of the construction or the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer will go out to the site at night and identify if there's areas of concern. And we	17			
Hurricaine Ida?  THE WITNESS: Oh absolutely. LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of the runoff issues that our community wasn't the only one touched. LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very true. But our community in specific, you were aware of of some of the runoff issues that we experienced, but I know living in this community as seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot to frain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was significant sediment runoff from your to tinto the Chelsea Village lot?  THE WITNESS: I think all these concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any details on that?  THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I know that these concractor at the time when those issues were made present to them, and they remedied whatever solution was necessary.  LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	18		l	
there would be an opportunity for the testing of the lighting.  The WITNESS: Okay. Were you aware of the runoff issues that our community. This  G. Folk, P.E.  The WITNESS: Every community. This  G. Folk, P.E.  To  Community wasn't the only one touched.  LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very true. But our community in specific, you were aware of some of the runoff issues that we experienced?  THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not particularly aware of what your community and seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was significant sediment runoff from your lot into the Chelsea Village lot?  THE WITNESS: I think all these concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any details on that?  LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  LARS KNUDSON: Subseq	19	account for the rainfall that we experienced in		
LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Were you aware of some of the runoff issues that our community  24 experienced during that time?  25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This  26 G. Folk, P.E.  77 Community wasn't the only one touched.  27 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very and the uniform the sum of some of the runoff issues that we experienced?  28 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not a seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain.  29 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware as lot of rain.  20 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware as lot of rain.  21 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware as lot the Chelsea Village lot?  29 THE WITNESS: I think all these and the developer when those happened.  20 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any details on that?  21 Lars KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  22 Lighting.  23 Could you go a little bit more a little bit more into what is involved in that?  24 little bit more into what is involved in that?  25 THE WITNESS: There is a design, a  G. Folk, P.E.  77  1 community wasn't the only one touched.  2 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very as the willigh and this plan does that.  3 that.  4 And as part of the new lights that are out they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone.  8 So as part of the construction or the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer will go out to the site at night and identify if there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those areas, if necessary.  13 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I spenificated they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone.  14 And as part of the new lights that are out they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone.  15 So as part of the construction or the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer will go out to the site at night and identify if there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those areas, if necessary.  18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I spenificant the least of project, in my opinion.  19 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I spenificant to them, and they remedied whatever	20			
23 some of the runoff issues that our community 24 experienced during that time? 25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This 26 G. Folk, P.E.  77 Community wasn't the only one touched. 2 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very 3 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 4 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made present to them, and they remedied whatever solution was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 24 little bit more into what is involved in that? 25 THE WITNESS: There is a design, a 26 little bit more into what is involved in that? 27 little bit more into what is involved in that? 28 Ittle bit more into what is involved in that? 29 little bit more into what is involved in that? 20 Itilite bit more into what is involved in that? 20 Itilite bit more into what is involved in that? 20 Itilite bit more into what is involved in that? 20 Itilite bit more into what is involved in that? 21 little bit more into what is involved in that? 22 Itilite bit more into what is involved in that? 23 Itilite bit more into what is involved in that? 24 little bit more into what is involved in that? 25 THE WITNESS: There is a design, a 26 loghand as part of the comport with the 26 township ordinance for lighting, and this plan does 27 that. 28 So as part of the new lights that are 28 township ordinance for lighting, and this township ordinance for lighting, and thi	21	·		
24 experienced during that time? 25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This  G. Folk, P.E.  75  1 community wasn't the only one touched. 2 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very 3 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 4 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I lay know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 2 was necessary. 2 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 2 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 2 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 3 little bit more into what is involved in that?  THE WITNESS: There is a design, a  G. Folk, P.E.  77 1 lighting design that has to comport with the township ordinance for lighting, and this plan does that.  And as part of the new lights that are out they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone.  8 So as part of the construction or the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer will go out to the site at night and identify if there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting these cut-off lights and the height of them are low enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting project, in my opinion.  18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I genuinely hope that it the testing goes well.  19 lighting design that has to componity that these township ordinance for lighting design that has to componity that.  And as part of the new lights that are out they're p	22	·		
25 THE WITNESS: Every community. This  G, Folk, P.E.  75  1 community wasn't the only one touched. 2 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very 3 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 4 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community and 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. 15 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made present to them, and they remedied whatever solution was necessary. 20 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 21 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 22 Was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 24 Sn Folk, P.E.  75  77  1 lighting design that has to comport with the township ordinance for lighting at the compand to community and they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone.  8 So as part of the new lights that are out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone.  8 So as part of the construction or the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer will go out to the site at night and identify if there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those areas, if necessary.  15 There is a design, and this plan does  16 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 17 the WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone.  18 The WITNESS: I wasn't present village lot?  19 There is light meters that study and identify foot-candle intensities, but typically these cut-off lights and the height of	1		l	
G, Folk, P.E.  75  1 community wasn't the only one touched. 2 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very 3 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 4 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community and 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 24 Community wasn't the only one touched. 25 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 26 Concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 27 If moloking at your lighting plan right 28 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 29 thou there today on the market, they're LEDs, and 29 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 20 cell phone. 20 as a part of the new lights that are 21 out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and 22 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 23 that. 4 And as part of the new lights that are 24 out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and 25 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 26 cell phone. 28 So as part of the new lights that are 28 out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and 29 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 29 they re programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 20 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes	1	•		
1 community wasn't the only one touched. 2 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very 3 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 4 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community and 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 24 do forme of the runoff issues that we experienced? 3 that. 4 And as part of the new lights that are 5 out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and 6 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 7 cell phone. 8 So as part of the construction or 9 the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer 10 will go out to the site at night and identify if 11 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those 12 areas, if necessary. 13 There is light meters that study and 14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 16 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 17 project, in my opinion. 18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 19 ow. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 19 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 20 THE WITNESS: Foot-candless.	25		25	
1 community wasn't the only one touched. 2 LARS KNUDSON: That's true. It's very 3 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 4 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community and 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 24 do some of the runoff issues that vue very aware 3 that. 4 And as part of the new lights that are 4 out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and 5 thet. 4 And as part of the new lights that are 6 out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and 6 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 7 cell phone. 8 So as part of the construction or 9 the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer 9 will go out to the site at night and identify if 1 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those 1 areas, if necessary. 1 There is light meters that study and 1 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 1 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 1 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 1 project, in my opinion. 1 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 1 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 1 I have the certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer 1 will go out to the site at night and identify if 1 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those 1 areas, if necessary. 1 There is light meters that study and 1 identify foot-candl		·		
true. But our community in specific, you were aware of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not particularly aware of what your community experienced, but I know living in this community and seeing everything around me, I know that there was a lot of rain.  LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware that there was significant sediment runoff from your lot into the Chelsea Village lot? THE WITNESS: I think all these concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village and the developer when those happened. LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any the WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone. So as part of the construction or the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer will go out to the site at night and identify if there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those areas, if necessary. There is light meters that study and identify foot-candle intensities, but typically these cut-off lights and the height of them are low enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting project, in my opinion.  LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. I'm looking at your lighting plan right now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	١.		1	lighting design that has to comport with the
3 true. But our community in specific, you were aware 4 of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community and 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  3 that.  4 And as part of the new lights that are out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a cell phone.  8 So as part of the construction or will go out to the site at night and identify if there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those areas, if necessary.  13 There is light meters that study and identify foot-candle intensities, but typically these cut-off lights and the height of them are low enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting project, in my opinion.  18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	1		1	-
4 Of some of the runoff issues that we experienced? 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community and 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  14 And as part of the new lights that are 5 out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and 6 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 7 cell phone.  So as part of the construction or 9 the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer 10 will go out to the site at night and identify if 11 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those 11 areas, if necessary. 12 areas, if necessary. 13 There is light meters that study and 14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 16 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 17 project, in my opinion. 18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the	1	•		
5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not 6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community and 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  5 out there today on the market, they're LEDs, and 6 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 7 cell phone.  8 So as part of the construction or 9 the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer 10 will go out to the site at night and identify if 11 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those areas, if necessary. 13 There is light meters that study and 14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 16 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 17 project, in my opinion. 18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.				
6 particularly aware of what your community 7 experienced, but I know living in this community and 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain. 10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  6 they're programmable, dimmable, sometimes with a 7 cell phone.  8 So as part of the construction or 9 the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer 10 will go out to the site at night and identify if 11 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those 11 areas, if necessary. 12 areas, if necessary. 13 There is light meters that study and 14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 16 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 17 project, in my opinion. 18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	1		5	
7 experienced, but I know living in this community and 8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain.  10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  7 cell phone.  8 So as part of the construction or  9 the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer  10 will go out to the site at night and identify if  11 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those  12 areas, if necessary.  13 There is light meters that study and  14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically  15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low  26 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting  27 project, in my opinion.  28 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I  29 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well.  20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right  21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are  22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the  23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	ı		6	•
8 seeing everything around me, I know that there was a 9 lot of rain.  10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  8 So as part of the construction or 9 the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer 10 will go out to the site at night and identify if 11 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those 12 areas, if necessary. 13 There is light meters that study and 14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 16 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 17 project, in my opinion. 18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	1	•	7	
9 Ibt of rain.  10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  10 the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer 10 will go out to the site at night and identify if 11 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those 12 areas, if necessary. 13 There is light meters that study and 14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 16 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 17 project, in my opinion. 18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	1	• -	8	So as part of the construction or
10 LARS KNUDSON: Right. Were you aware 11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  10 will go out to the site at night and identify if 11 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those 21 areas, if necessary. 21 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 22 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 23 project, in my opinion. 24 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 25 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 26 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 27 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 28 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.			9	the Certificate of Occupancy, the board engineer
11 that there was significant sediment runoff from your 12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  11 there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those 12 areas, if necessary. 13 There is light meters that study and 14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 16 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 17 project, in my opinion. 18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	1		10	will go out to the site at night and identify if
12 lot into the Chelsea Village lot? 13 THE WITNESS: I think all these 14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 21 areas, if necessary. 22 here is light meters that study and 24 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 25 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 26 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 27 project, in my opinion. 28 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 29 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.			11	there's areas of concern. And we can adjust those
THE WITNESS: I think all these  14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened.  16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that?  18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary.  13 There is light meters that study and 14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 26 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 27 project, in my opinion. 28 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 29 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	1		12	areas, if necessary.
14 concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village 15 and the developer when those happened. 16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  14 identify foot-candle intensities, but typically 15 these cut-off lights and the height of them are low 16 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 17 project, in my opinion. 18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.			13	There is light meters that study and
and the developer when those happened.  LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any details on that?  THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I know that these concerns were brought up to the contractor at the time when those issues were made present to them, and they remedied whatever solution was necessary.  LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  these cut-off lights and the height of them are low enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting project, in my opinion.  LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I genuinely hope that it the testing goes well.  I'm looking at your lighting plan right now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the	j	concerns have been addressed between Chelsea Village	14	identify foot-candle intensities, but typically
16 LARS KNUDSON: Okay. Do you have any 17 details on that? 18 THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 26 enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting 27 project, in my opinion. 28 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 29 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	1		15	these cut-off lights and the height of them are low
THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I 18 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 24 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 25 LARS KNUDSON: I trust it will. I 19 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	-	•	16	enough that it's going to be a very nice lighting
19 know that these concerns were brought up to the 20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 29 genuinely hope that it the testing goes well. 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	17	details on that?	17	project, in my opinion.
20 contractor at the time when those issues were made 21 present to them, and they remedied whatever solution 22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 20 I'm looking at your lighting plan right 21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are 22 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	18	THE WITNESS: I wasn't present, but I	18	
present to them, and they remedied whatever solution was necessary.  LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff  21 now. The foot-candles, the numbers in the plans are those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	19	know that these concerns were brought up to the	19	
22 was necessary. 23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 23 those measured in foot-candles, lumens, with the 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	20	contractor at the time when those issues were made	20	<u>.                                      </u>
23 LARS KNUDSON: Subsequent runoff 23 THE WITNESS: Foot-candles.	21	present to them, and they remedied whatever solution	21	
25	22	was necessary.	22	
24 issues then, I'm curious as to whether or not you 24 LARS KNUDSON: I got it. Understood.	23		23	
	24	issues then, I'm curious as to whether or not you	24	_
25 were informed or are aware of those as well? 25 Do those stop at the boundary of the	i	were informed or are aware of those as well?	125	Do those stop at the boundary of the

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	78		80
1	numbers stop, particularly of concern to us that	1	the record at the last hearing and we're sticking to
2	they stop at the western boundary of the plans.	2	it.
3	Have there been any has there been	3	LARS KNUDSON: Okay.
4	any consideration given to taking light meter	4	ATTORNEY PECK: And they've agreed to
5	readings in Chelsea Village to make sure that	5	make that a condition prior to pulling any building
6	there's no light spillage into the Chelsea Village	6	permit or anything like that.
7	community?	7	LARS KNUDSON: Okay.
8	THE WITNESS: This plan identifies the	8	Would it be would there be if
9	light created from this project. And its the	9	there are any issues that are raised now that our
10	fence essentially cuts off the lights at the	10	residents would not feel are being complied with, is
11	property line based upon the fence's construction.	11	there a contact I don't want to really involve
12	So lighting on the Chelsea side of the	12	the planning board in any discussions of issues
13	fence would be for Chelsea.	13	going forward. I would really rather try and handle
14	LARS KNUDSON: All right. If the	14	it with you and our future neighbors.
15	fence so it is your position that the fence will	15	Is there some contact information that
16	be tall enough to block the light from the parking	16	you would be able to provide to us as a first level
17	lot into the second floor windows of the Chelsea	17	of escalation before we come back to the planning
18	Village community; is that correct?	18	board?  ATTORNEY SILBERT: We can talk after
19	THE WITNESS: Well, you're not going	19	
20	to see there's not going to be a spotlight, not	20	the hearing, if that's okay.
21	going to be they're cutoffs, so you're not going	21	LARS KNUDSON: That's fine, that's
22	to see a source of light. And the intensity of that	22	okay. I just want to be able to put it on the record that there's an escalation point before we
23	light is going to be no impact, zero	23	
24	LARS KNUDSON: Okay.	24	come back.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Sure.
25	THE WITNESS: at Chelsea.	25	G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E.		81
١.	LARS KNUDSON: It's your assurance	1	LARS KNUDSON: I think that addresses
1	that there will be zero impact. Got it, okay.	2	all my questions. I thank the board for its time.
2		3	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you very much.
3	Understood.  I want to turn again to I want to	4	Any other members of the public wish to
5	turn to security concerns. It was testified in the	5	ask any questions based on Mr. Folk's testimony;
6	last hearing that the parking lot is will be	6	please feel free to come forward.
7	fully monitored.	7	Please state your name and address for
8	Are you aware of the are you aware	8	the record.
9	of what that means?	9	JOHN MERTEN: Good evening.
10	THE WITNESS: I think the user, the	10	ATTORNEY PECK: Do you swear or affirm
11	operator of the hotel indicated they have cameras	11	the testimony you are going to give in the course of
12		12	this hearing will be the truth, and nothing but the
13	A S T COULD COME. Character	13	truth?
14	A TO SHELL OF DEDT. I Shink it was 22	14	JOHN MERTEN: I promise to say
1.5		15	everything is true to my heart, yes.
16	The Market Co. Washing the Bloom in the Co.	16	ATTORNEY PECK: Very good. Now could
17		17	you state and spell your name for the record,
18	A STATE OF THE STA	18	please?
19	a see to the first through	19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23	t	23	
24		24	•
25	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, we put it on	25	reference to a 2018 report.

So I'm not sure what happened to that 1 2024 report. And I would figure a report that's that technical in nature of 208 pages, there would 3 4 be some... THE WITNESS: No, the second -- the 5 addendum to that report is really a two-page letter. 6 ATTORNEY SILBERT: It was basically an 7 addendum just saying that the lot coverage is being 8 reduced, therefore, stormwater management on the site is going to be increased and -- was just saying 10 that the -- actually, it's been constructed -- was 11 sufficient to handle the proposed improvements. 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean the letter 13 is on file. 14 15 JOHN MERTEN: Okay. ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I have it I'll 16 17 give you a copy. JOHN MERTEN: So again, I assume that 18 there's reference to utilization of best practices 19 available at the time. So I'm sure you're aware of 20 the stormwater ordinance that was updated within the 21

Is there -- what assurances are there that calculations in that 208-page report are in 24 compliance with that ordinance that Bridgewater now 25

22

23

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

last year.

G. Folk, P.E.

83

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1 has? THE WITNESS: It complies with 2 Bridgewater ordinance for stormwater management. 3 JOHN MERTEN: And I again defer to the 4 engineers that indeed there is, because there's 5 nothing in that 2018 report. 6 Their numbers may have changed or been 7 modified and with the evolving nature of this site, 8 I'm concerned that whatever things that may be done 9 now or in the future may not comply entirely with 10 the ordinance that we have in place. 11 THE WITNESS: Well the site 12 improvements are getting smaller rather than getting 13 bigger, so that's helping the overall drainage from 14 the site. 15 JOHN MERTEN: From what I understood, 16

is this discussion we're having now solely related to basically that one structure? My understanding from what I heard previous, that the rest of the site is to be determined on occupancy and construction times. So will there be potentially evolving

of this plan at some point in the future?

ATTORNEY SILBERT: If I could answer that question? If we ever wanted to substantially

change the plans, we would probably have to file a 1 new application. If we're doing very minor changes, 2 in this case to decreasing the building footprint of 3 an already approved hotel, that's why we're in here 4 seeking an amended site plan approval. 5

But if your concern is will the 6 7 stormwater management infrastructure that was approved in 2018 exist or be grandfathered in if 8 we're going to do major site plan changes -- I don't 9 know if that's your question -- but the answer is 10 no, we would have to file a new preliminary and 11 final site plan application. 12 13

JOHN MERTEN: And I've been, again my understanding, is that the -- again I heard that the 14 structure itself is going to be smaller in size, 15 however, there has been significant changes to what 16 the government has established as rainfall amounts, 17 and that is what is being reflected and has to be 18 19 taken into account in the ordinance. I believe that was established. And I don't see those changes and 20 those numbers reflected in the 2018 report that's --21 that's presented. 22

So I just want some assurances that the significant changes in our weather, particularly rain as you've heard from the previous gentleman,

G. Folk, P.E.

85

84

are being reflected and would be accounted for. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Again, we're reducing the amount of impervious coverage on the site 3 substantially, which substantially reduces the 4 amount of runoff. 5 JOHN MERTEN: So, and again, so 6 7 reducing substantially, but the projections of rainfall is also substantial; 20 percent increase, 8

if I understand in the report. ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we've answered it and --

JOHN MERTEN: Okay.

And I just want to get some assurances that if there are changes in ordinances, updates to ordinances, are they required to be in place before an application -- its continuance of approval?

And I'm probably not being sufficiently eloquent in this, but if approval is granted for an application but there are ordinances that come forward that are related to, again, like stormwater and other infrastructure that might be critical 21 protection of the citizenship, would they be required to be implemented on the time point before any of the additional construction might be done? ATTORNEY PECK: No. I mean whatever

	G. Folk, P.E.	. –	G. Folk, P.E.
	86		88
1	whatever ordinances were in place at the time the	1	Thank you.
2	approval was granted, that's what they would have to	2	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.
3	live by. So if they change something applying to	3	ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: I'm Robert
4	residences, for instance, you have been in your home	4 Z	Zgorzynski, I live at 119 Chelsea Way.
5	20 years, the government can't come and say no, you	5	ATTORNEY PECK: Do you swear or affirm
6	have to		the testimony you're going to give in the course of
7	JOHN MERTEN: Got you. Isn't that a	7 t	his hearing will be the truth and nothing but the
8	land use that I don't think would be changed but,	8 t	cruth?
9	again, if it turns out the governance comes forward	9	ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: Yes, I do.
10	and says, yeah, they know we are having 300-year	10	ATTORNEY PECK: Now could you state and
11	storms every 50 years, there would have to be	11 9	spell your name.
12	increases and adjustments to the stormwater	12	ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: Last name is
13	management reports. So there's again the protection	13 2	Zgorzynski, Z-G-O-R-Z-Y-N-S-K-I.
14	of this integrity of the	14	ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: So I think that,
15	ATTORNEY PECK: In the context of a		you know, part of the concern or questions here is
16	land use approval whatever regulations are in place		that we talked about the mitigation with the light
17	at the time of the approval, that is what that's		to say that if there was a problem you can't go back
18	what they're bound by.		to the applicant. I think we have the same concern
19	JOHN MERTEN: Okay.	1	about the stormwater saying that we're improving
20	ATTORNEY PECK: So if it changes, you	20	something.
21	know, the next week, they got in, you know, they got	21	You know, I happen to be the unit that
22	in, you know, before the deadline.		is right across from where the emergency spillway is
23	JOHN MERTEN: So something, if I was		for that basin. So if that thing overflows I'm
24	to interpret that, if there were something granted	1	probably going to be the first one to, you know,
25	in 1952, it would still be allowed to be continued	25	experience that.
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	87		89
1	now?		on the contract the second
		1	So then we're relying on the
2	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.	2	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this,
2	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really	2	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really
1	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for	2 3 4	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.
3	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.	2 3 4 5	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is. So I'm not sure if they know; it's not
3 4	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right
3 4 5	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for  something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're	2 3 4 5 6 7	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a
3 4 5 6	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I
3 4 5 6 7	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But
3 4 5 6 7 8	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for  something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're  coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan.
3 4 5 6 7 8	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for  something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're  coming for a new application then they'll be subject  to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they  build according to that approval and they haven't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for  something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're  coming for a new application then they'll be subject  to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they  build according to that approval and they haven't  changed anything, then they're protected from any  changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson it seems inappropriate to have a allow a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson it seems inappropriate to have a allow a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when is that landscaping going to be put in?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for  something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're  coming for a new application then they'll be subject  to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they  build according to that approval and they haven't  changed anything, then they're protected from any  changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson  it seems inappropriate to have a allow a  continuous for what is perhaps a very flexible  potential design.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when is that landscaping going to be put in?  So I do, again, I live right across the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson it seems inappropriate to have a allow a continuous for what is perhaps a very flexible potential design.  Again, there was reference to a domino	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when is that landscaping going to be put in?  So I do, again, I live right across the way. There is construction actively going on right
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson it seems inappropriate to have a allow a continuous for what is perhaps a very flexible potential design.  Again, there was reference to a domino construction going on with some of the properties in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when is that landscaping going to be put in?  So I do, again, I live right across the way. There is construction actively going on right now. I work out of the home from time to time; so
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 17 18	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson it seems inappropriate to have a allow a continuous for what is perhaps a very flexible potential design.  Again, there was reference to a domino construction going on with some of the properties in the county or the town, and so it would seem that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when is that landscaping going to be put in?  So I do, again, I live right across the way. There is construction actively going on right now. I work out of the home from time to time; so I'm right there, my bedroom faces the thing. You
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson it seems inappropriate to have a allow a continuous for what is perhaps a very flexible potential design.  Again, there was reference to a domino construction going on with some of the properties in the county or the town, and so it would seem that there would be perhaps by that virtue a technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when is that landscaping going to be put in?  So I do, again, I live right across the way. There is construction actively going on right now. I work out of the home from time to time; so I'm right there, my bedroom faces the thing. You hear the beeps, you know, on the backup equipment
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 17 18 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson it seems inappropriate to have a allow a continuous for what is perhaps a very flexible potential design.  Again, there was reference to a domino construction going on with some of the properties in the county or the town, and so it would seem that there would be perhaps by that virtue a technical change to the applications, and hence would require	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when is that landscaping going to be put in?  So I do, again, I live right across the way. There is construction actively going on right now. I work out of the home from time to time; so I'm right there, my bedroom faces the thing. You hear the beeps, you know, on the backup equipment rolling, all that stuff is going on.
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 17 20 20 20 20 11 12 13 14 15 16 15 15 16 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson it seems inappropriate to have a allow a continuous for what is perhaps a very flexible potential design.  Again, there was reference to a domino construction going on with some of the properties in the county or the town, and so it would seem that there would be perhaps by that virtue a technical change to the applications, and hence would require that there be an update to any or with compliance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when is that landscaping going to be put in?  So I do, again, I live right across the way. There is construction actively going on right now. I work out of the home from time to time; so I'm right there, my bedroom faces the thing. You hear the beeps, you know, on the backup equipment rolling, all that stuff is going on.  You know, so there is a concern that
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 20 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah.  JOHN MERTEN: Is that really  MEMBER SIKORA: Unless they come for something with changes.  JOHN MERTEN: Okay.  ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah, if they're coming for a new application then they'll be subject to whatever laws are in place now.  But if they get an approval and they build according to that approval and they haven't changed anything, then they're protected from any changes to the land use ordinance.  JOHN MERTEN: It's, to the layperson it seems inappropriate to have a allow a continuous for what is perhaps a very flexible potential design.  Again, there was reference to a domino construction going on with some of the properties in the county or the town, and so it would seem that there would be perhaps by that virtue a technical change to the applications, and hence would require	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	professionals, that they're, you know, doing this, but I also have some concerns that have they really looked at everything the way it is.  So I'm not sure if they know; it's not shown on the plans, but on top of that berm right where the spillway is coming through, is there is a series of manholes that are around there that I assume might be dry wells, might be something. But that's again, something not showing on the plan. I'm not sure how that affects, you know, what's going on in that order. But that is a concern of mine.  But I also want to talk about the timing. We didn't really talk about, you know, when is that landscaping going to be put in?  So I do, again, I live right across the way. There is construction actively going on right now. I work out of the home from time to time; so I'm right there, my bedroom faces the thing. You hear the beeps, you know, on the backup equipment rolling, all that stuff is going on.

G. Folk, P.E. G. Folk, P.E. 90 92 applicant's already commenced construction on site mitigation for the sound and the noise and that type 1 1 2 improvements. of thing. 2 Your question might be something that So I would like to know like when will 3 3 that landscaping go in? To me now is better than would be worked out with the various association 4 4 members, so I can't answer that specific question as ever because now it has the opportunity to grow over 5 5 far as construction. 6 6 the next couple of years; by the time it's built 7 ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: I mean, you know, there might be something there. 7 right, because there's multiple buildings going on That is my concern on that. 8 8 9 here. Does that mean that that CO is going to be ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think you asked 9 granted when that first building is built? that question at the last hearing and I went to go 10 10 I guess that's a guestion for the board 11 see if there was any construction phasing asked for 11 or the engineers, I'm not sure. 12 and approved back in 2018, and there is not. 12 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, I would say 13 So basically the landscaping and the 13 buffering improvements on the entire project site as long as this site plan improvements --14 14 landscaping, buffering things of that nature -- the will have to be put in in order for presumably the 15 15 conditions in the resolution that can be complied first CO to be issued for any component of the 16 16 17 with are complied with, upon completion of one 17 project. ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: So by the time 18 building a CO could be issued for that portion of 18 19 the project. it's built, you're saying, by the time that first 19 ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: And then my only 20 phase is built the landscaping has to be put in? 20 other concern is that, you know, part of the ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, they won't be 21 21 landscaping and part of a lot of what is going on is 22 issued a CO until the township feels that they have 22 on the Chelsea side of the fence, and I don't see adequately complied with the requirements in the 23 23 original approval, in this approval, and that would any access points, there's no gates or something. 24 24 So I would recommend that there's got to be some 25 25 require the promised buffering, fencing, G. Folk, P.E. G. Folk, P.E. 93 91 type of access going through that gate to get to landscaping, things of that nature. 1 1 2 that landscaping. All those things that are shown on the 2 THE WITNESS: I'm not following the site plan have to be put in place, not necessarily 3 3 question. the construction of each building, but you're not 4 4 ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: Well, I'm saying going to get a CO issued for any component of the 5 5 you have the fence that is running along that whole project if the buffering isn't in place that you're 6 property line right there --7 7 speaking about. THE WITNESS: That exists today. ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: I mean typically 8 8 ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: Right. You have 9 I've seen on previous site plans on the site plan 9 lands -- well, I'm sorry, the proposed fence. 10 approval that they have some type of at least 10 11 THE WITNESS: That's on top of the timeline of when things are going on. I didn't see 11 12 basin. anything on here. 12 ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: What's that? 13 13 So I'm not sure if that's an older THE WITNESS: Go ahead. requirement or a new requirement, but I guess 14 14 ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: What I'm saying there's two things, you know, there is some 15 15 there's a lot of landscaping that is happening on plantings that have to be planted in the fall, and 16 16 the side that is not accessible, and I think that 17 there's some plantings that have to be planted in 17 there needs to be a gate in the fence. the spring. So I think that, you know, I just want 18 18 19 THE WITNESS: Well, yeah, the to make sure that that is being part of the whole 19 landscaping will be put on that side of the fence. 20 planning process so. 20 ROBERT ZGORZYNSKI: There is no access 21 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yeah, I don't know 21 as far as the construction timeline is concerned, 22 to it. I am saying that I think there needs to be 22 something, there needs to be a gate some --23 but from my perspective I would be concerned about 23 somewhere in that fence there should be a gate. construction as it relates to vesting of site plan 24 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25 approval and the relief formally granted when the 25

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	94		96
1	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Anybody else?	1	ATTORNEY PECK: I would ask that.
2	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Good evening. I need	2	This will be O-1.
3	to be sworn in.	3	So if you could send
4	ATTORNEY PECK: Do you swear or affirm	4	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: What is it called,
5	that any testimony you give in connection with this	5	0-1?
6	hearing will be the truth, and nothing but the	6	ATTORNEY PECK: O, for objector, dash
7	truth?	7	1. That will be the exhibit. If you could email
8	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes, sir.	8	Ms. Probst a copy of that tomorrow.
9	ATTORNEY PECK: Thank you. Could you	9	So what is O-1?
10	state and spell your name for the record.	10	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: It's Chelsea
11	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Mitchel Zaytoun,	11	Village
12	Z-A-Y-T-O-U-N, 130 Chelsea Way.	12	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Photos in direction
13	So I shared a presentation with the	13	of subject property?
14	board. I don't know if they're able to bring it up	14	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Sounds good.
15	so, you know, everyone can see it?	15	
16	If not, am I able to plug into an	16	(Exhibit O-1, Photographs from Chelsea
17	outlet or USB?	17	Village in direction of subject property, was
18	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Do you have it	18	marked for identification.)
19	digitally on your phone or a device?	19	
20	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: It's on my laptop.	20	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: So on this first
21	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes.	21	slide, this is a photo from my bedroom, second
22	ATTORNEY SILBERT: I believe we should	22	floor. So, as you could see, direct line-of-sight
23	be able to hook into one of those.	23	into the property that is where the building is
24	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I just have to	24	going to be, which you mentioned earlier that you
25	remember my passcode. I can never remember it.	25	guys are going to be building a lot of greenery
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	95		97
1	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Just for my own	1	around the property and should provide some privacy.
2	edification, can you just describe what the	2	A couple of questions. Can you ensure
3	presentation is?	3	that we're not going to have any trees that leaves
4	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: So it's images that	4	are falling?
5	I took from where I live and facing so I live on	5	And, Number 2, the level and I
6	the south side of the proposed building. So just I	6	don't know what the height of the second floor of
7	wanted to share some of the concerns, which some of	7	Chelsea Village is, but if it's 45 feet, are we
8	you which you have addressed, I just wanted to	8	going to have immediate privacy at 45 feet, or do we
9	just kind of talk through it a little bit more, give	9	have to wait 10/15 years to have that privacy?
10	you guys a little bit of visualization of what we're	10	THE WITNESS: I mean the privacy is an
11	experiencing on the south side, as well as, you	11	opinion, really. You are 200-and-some feet away
12	know, as well as on the sorry.	12	from the building wall itself, almost a football
13	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Zaytoun, I'm	13	field. So, you know, to me that's pretty private.
14	assuming you will have pointed questions based on	14	If we were 30 feet away I would say you have a good,
15	this?	15	valid question.
16	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes, sir.	16	We're going to have a buffer; the trees
17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.	17	are being planted much larger than required by the
18	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes, So on the west	18	township. They're going to be placed on a berm.
19	side and same on the east side.	19	There's going to be an eight-foot fence also.
20	ATTORNEY SILBERT: Just so that the	20	
21	applicant could have a copy of this presentation,	21	location?
22	perhaps you could provide a digital copy to the	22	
23	board secretary tomorrow or after the hearing of	23	·
24		24	
25	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yeah, sure.	25	PILICHEL ZATTOUN. HIGH Was way across

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E. 100
	98		MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Because I live on
1	that was on the 22 side.	1	
2	THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, it wasn't	2	that side.
3	too far from this building ahead of it. You know,	3	So question, is that berm causing that water to pool because it's, you know, water goes
4	the Days Inn was approximately centrally located	4	down, right, and it's pooling in that specific area
5	here.	5	and then it actually flows into our property, into
6	So, again, I don't know how to answer	6 7	our storm drains.
7	your question. We hope for privacy for you.	8	Now we spent, as a community, a lot of
8	MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: And that's what, you	9	money on redoing our own drainage. We can't handle
9	know, that's what the concern is, it's just that we don't want to live behind our blinds; you know, we	10	this extra rain-off. We just can't.
10	want to be able to open our blinds, have sunlight	11	And when I get to the next slide or
11	come in and be able to change, you know, when we	12	next two slides, we'll kind of really show what some
12	have to change and not worry about someone peeking	13	of the aftermath of this is, if you want me to move
13	in through your windows.	14	forward.
14	Look, I understand what you're saying,	15	ATTORNEY SILBERT: George, do you have
15	you're far off. I understand that point. But, you	16	anything you want to say?
16	know, in this day and age you don't know who you're	17	THE WITNESS: I mean, this site is
17 18	having in your hotels, right. You don't know what	18	under construction. If that was two years ago, a
19	kind of equipment they might have; you don't know	19	lot of the improvements that are out there today
20	what they're bringing in, right. There's all kinds	20	weren't there then. And, you know, unfortunately
21	of people out there.	21	runoff happens when it rains.
22	And I just want to make sure that I'm	22	At this time I think you're not going
23	protecting my family and protecting the residents of	23	to have problems like that; there's a lot of curb
24	people looking into our units. That's what I'm	24	that's in there right now, a lot of the stormwater
25	trying to prevent, that's all.	25	management is in place. So I don't foresee, you
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E.		101
1	·	1	101 know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean
1 2	99	1 2	101
	99 THE WITNESS: I'm with you	1	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.
2	99 THE WITNESS: I'm with you 100 percent.	2	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is
2 3	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking	2	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do	2 3 4	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking	2 3 4 5	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go	2 3 4 5 6	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to	2 3 4 5 6 7	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.  So on that first slide you could see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would probably have a much worser condition, and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.  So on that first slide you could see all that muddy water that is on the south side of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would probably have a much worser condition, and that could be from the north of 22. There's a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.  So on that first slide you could see all that muddy water that is on the south side of the berm. So it's piling up or pooling in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would probably have a much worser condition, and that could be from the north of 22. There's a lot of water that comes from the north side of 22 that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.  So on that first slide you could see all that muddy water that is on the south side of the berm. So it's piling up or pooling in that general area and it's flowing into our property, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would probably have a much worser condition, and that could be from the north of 22. There's a lot of water that comes from the north side of 22 that barrels through this property that we can't control.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.  So on that first slide you could see all that muddy water that is on the south side of the berm. So it's piling up or pooling in that general area and it's flowing into our property, as you can see on the second, third and fourth slide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would probably have a much worser condition, and that could be from the north of 22. There's a lot of water that comes from the north side of 22 that barrels through this property that we can't control.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: We've never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.  So on that first slide you could see all that muddy water that is on the south side of the berm. So it's piling up or pooling in that general area and it's flowing into our property, as you can see on the second, third and fourth slide all that muddy water.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would probably have a much worser condition, and that could be from the north of 22. There's a lot of water that comes from the north side of 22 that barrels through this property that we can't control.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: We've never experienced this before. I want to make that for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.  So on that first slide you could see all that muddy water that is on the south side of the berm. So it's piling up or pooling in that general area and it's flowing into our property, as you can see on the second, third and fourth slide all that muddy water.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: You took these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would probably have a much worser condition, and that could be from the north of 22. There's a lot of water that comes from the north side of 22 that barrels through this property that we can't control.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: We've never experienced this before. I want to make that for the record. We never experienced this type of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.  So on that first slide you could see all that muddy water that is on the south side of the berm. So it's piling up or pooling in that general area and it's flowing into our property, as you can see on the second, third and fourth slide all that muddy water.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: You took these pictures?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would probably have a much worser condition, and that could be from the north of 22. There's a lot of water that comes from the north side of 22 that barrels through this property that we can't control.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: We've never experienced this before. I want to make that for the record. We never experienced this type of rain-off ever in 25 years that I've been here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I'm with you  100 percent.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think we're doing the best we can because your board required us to do that when we originally came in, and we're sticking to the board's requirements that they made us go above and beyond the ordinance requirements to ensure your privacy.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Thank you.  And then the next one, so this is a photo and now these photos, it wasn't this year, and I want to maybe say it was last year's photos.  So this is some of the drainage issues that have been brought up from the developing site with the runoff.  So on that first slide you could see all that muddy water that is on the south side of the berm. So it's piling up or pooling in that general area and it's flowing into our property, as you can see on the second, third and fourth slide all that muddy water.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: You took these pictures?  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, the waters becoming muddy much longer. I mean until it's fully stabilized, the site itself, you may have this temporarily.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But with the berm is that what is causing the additional rain-off because it's going down, right? Like what's under  THE WITNESS: Well the berms are the berms are shortening the amount of runoff that can come to you.  The berms are collecting the runoff into a basin and holding that water and metering it out slowly and then that water settles the solids that are in that runoff. So the berms are helping you with your runoff.  If those berms weren't there you would probably have a much worser condition, and that could be from the north of 22. There's a lot of water that comes from the north side of 22 that barrels through this property that we can't control.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: We've never experienced this before. I want to make that for the record. We never experienced this type of rain-off ever in 25 years that I've been here.  THE WITNESS: I can't opine on that.

	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	102		104
1	here we never had this.	1	But the other slide will show that we
2	ENGINEER BURR: Mr. Chairman, if I	2	have a basin, we have a basin in the backside of the
3	may?	3	south side. I don't know how best to describe the
4	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Burr.	4	location. Is it south?
5	ENGINEER BURR: The site is, obviously,	5	LARS KNUDSON: Is it okay if I testify
6	under construction. I think we heard from Mr. Folk	6	again?
7	earlier that because the site hasn't been built out	7	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please.
8	that the stormwater facilities that have been	8	Is this image depicting what is on the
9	installed already really are non-functional; they're	9	site that we're viewing this evening or is this
10	not capturing runoff from what will be the parking	10	a different site?
11	lot and the roofs of the new buildings. But, quite	11	LARS KNUDSON: This is on the south
12	honestly, it is troubling to me to see this silt	12	portion of the Chelsea Village development, some
13	laid and runoff leaving the site. I don't know if	13	distance from the hotel site. But what we see here,
14	that's still happening.	14	the mud that is coming out is not typical. This is mud that is runoff from the hotel site across the
15	I don't recall my office ever being	15	
16	notified of that particular issue, but it's	16	entirety of our development.  If you take a look at a satellite map
17	something that I think the applicant needs to get a	17	you will see a detention pond directly behind my
18	handle on at this point, not at a later date. There	18	unit, 104. This is reflecting that.
19	should be soil erosion control measures in place to	19	And this is the mud that you see on
20	help limit the amount of silt water that is leaving	20	this particular photo is not typical of what you get
21	the site. And I think it needs to be looked at.	21	from most large rain events.
22	And I'm happy to meet the applicant or	22	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.
23	his representatives on-site at any point in time,	24	MEMBER SIKORA: When were those photos
24	but I think there should be a requirement for some	1	done.
		1 /5	
25	type of interim measure, whether it be temporarily	25	
25	G. Folk, P.E.	25	G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E.	1	G. Folk, P.E.
1	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to		G. Folk, P.E.
1 2	G. Folk, P.E.  103 directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may	1	G. Folk, P.E. 105 MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was
1 2 3	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but	1 2	G. Folk, P.E. 105 MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.
1 2	G. Folk, P.E.  103 directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may	1 2 3	G. Folk, P.E. 105 MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago. And I brought it, you know, I did bring
1 2 3 4	G. Folk, P.E.  103 directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.	1 2 3 4	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if
1 2 3 4 5	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were	1 2 3 4 5	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So
1 2 3 4 5	G. Folk, P.E.  103 directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.	1 2 3 4 5	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a
1 2 3 4 5 6	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been	1 2 3 4 5 6	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  103 directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think just based upon what George just whispered in my
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think  just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think  just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you had experienced it previously?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think  just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.  I wasn't there. I don't know how it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you had experienced it previously?  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes. I mean this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think  just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.  I wasn't there. I don't know how it was addressed
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you had experienced it previously?  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes. I mean this year was pretty dry, I mean we went, what, 90 days	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.  I wasn't there. I don't know how it was addressed  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Neither was I.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you had experienced it previously?  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes. I mean this year was pretty dry, I mean we went, what, 90 days without rain.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.  I wasn't there. I don't know how it was addressed  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Neither was I.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: but apparently the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you had experienced it previously?  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes. I mean this year was pretty dry, I mean we went, what, 90 days without rain.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: That's true.  Right, right, right.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But that can also	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think  just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.  I wasn't there. I don't know how it was addressed  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Neither was I.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: but apparently the lines of communication were open two years ago in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	G. Folk, P.E.  103  directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you had experienced it previously?  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes. I mean this year was pretty dry, I mean we went, what, 90 days without rain.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: That's true.  Right, right, right.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But that can also hurt us, right, if there's 90 days of dry weather	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.  I wasn't there. I don't know how it was addressed  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Neither was I.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: but apparently the lines of communication were open two years ago in that these problems were communicated to the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you had experienced it previously?  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes. I mean this year was pretty dry, I mean we went, what, 90 days without rain.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: That's true.  Right, right, right.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But that can also hurt us, right, if there's 90 days of dry weather and all of a sudden we have a downpour, or if the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	G. Folk, P.E.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.  I wasn't there. I don't know how it was addressed  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Neither was I.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: but apparently the lines of communication were open two years ago in that these problems were communicated to the applicant and to the contractor.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you had experienced it previously?  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes. I mean this year was pretty dry, I mean we went, what, 90 days without rain.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: That's true. Right, right, right.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But that can also hurt us, right, if there's 90 days of dry weather and all of a sudden we have a downpour, or if the rain has nowhere to go, because it can't absorb into	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	G. Folk, P.E.  105  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.  I wasn't there. I don't know how it was addressed  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Neither was I.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: but apparently the lines of communication were open two years ago in that these problems were communicated to the applicant and to the contractor.  I don't know what the solution was but
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	directing whatever runoff is leaving the site now to the basin on-site. And they may need to they may need to reconstruct the basin at a later date, but it needs to be managed better than what I'm seeing in those photos.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Those photos were taken from two years ago, and I believe there's been improvements made on-site. So perhaps, Mr. Burr, perhaps, you know, we need some current-day data to determine whether or not there is a current-day problem.  And I believe you said, sir, that you haven't experienced these issues this year, but you had experienced it previously?  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yes. I mean this year was pretty dry, I mean we went, what, 90 days without rain.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: That's true.  Right, right, right.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: But that can also hurt us, right, if there's 90 days of dry weather and all of a sudden we have a downpour, or if the rain has nowhere to go, because it can't absorb into	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	G. Folk, P.E.  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: I want to say it was about two years ago.  And I brought it, you know, I did bring it to the board at the time, and I don't know if they ever discussed it, they probably didn't. So now that's why I'm discussing it because it's a concern.  And I know what this gentleman is going to say, it's from two years ago and that you would probably want some type of more recent photo.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Well, also, I think just based upon what George just whispered in my ear that the contractor had actually met with representatives from Chelsea two years ago to address this.  I wasn't there. I don't know how it was addressed  MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Neither was I.  ATTORNEY SILBERT: but apparently the lines of communication were open two years ago in that these problems were communicated to the applicant and to the contractor.

G. Folk, P.E. G. Folk, P.E. 108 106 I had one other quick question. On the that they're trying to address it. 1 1 construction hours on Saturdays, is there any way I don't know if there's... 2 2 you can start construction at maybe 8 o'clock JEFF McKAY: I could just provide a 3 3 4 instead of 7 a.m.? little information, it could be helpful. 4 5 THE WITNESS: It says 8 o'clock. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes. 5 MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Yeah, but we hear JEFF McKAY: Our contractor was 6 6 everything going at 7 a.m. recently contacted by the Soil Conservation District 7 7 ENGINEER BURR: You shouldn't, and if 8 8 who monitors a lot of this. So I'm just hearing all you do I would encourage you to call the engineering 9 this, and I'm speculating that somebody called 9 department. I know it's a Saturday, they're not somebody and, you know, the issue was brought up. 10 10 staffed, but we'll get the message Monday morning. And along this whole south side three 11 11 MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Okay. weeks to four weeks ago a whole new silt fence was 12 12 ENGINEER BURR: And nobody should be installed along that -- along that back side of what 13 13 working on a Saturday without providing advanced 14 I would call the detention pond. 14 So I don't know if you have seen the 15 notice to the township so that if inspections are 15 necessary there's staff available. site recently and seen any of these new improvements 16 16 For Saturday work they should not be, there, but those were placed, obviously, to try to 17 17 they should not be. Just let us know. mitigate some of these issues. 18 18 MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Okay. 19 Again, you know, this was two years 19 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just a follow-up ago; it's hard to say what was there and what wasn't 20 20 question for Mr. Burr on these couple of images; is there. But it is being looked at. And I think, you 21 21 know, open lines of communication if there is an 22 that failure of our system, you know, to keep water 22 off of a municipal road? 23 23 issue that we can notify our contractor and work together with you on solving the issue. THE WITNESS: Bill, could I just 24 24 interject real quick? MITCHEL ZAYTOUN: Fair enough. Yeah, 25 25 G. Folk, P.E. G. Folk, P.E. 109 107 Councilman, that picture there is on -fair enough. 1 1 if you say it's Adamsville, that's downstream of our I mean I haven't -- I haven't seen it 2 3 property -this bad this year. I mean, is there a little bit 3 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Right. 4 of like in this -- if you look at that third slide 4 there, or third picture, that is generally what we THE WITNESS: -- on a tributary that is 5 5 see on -- when it comes off on a rainstorm. 6 nowhere near our project. 6 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Right. I'm asking 7 It doesn't get into the level of pretty 7 through the lens I'm concerned that my residents are much of what the fourth slide is right now, but we 8 8 having difficulty accessing their homes independent haven't had a big rainstorm this year; I mean it's 9 9 of this, and that's why I asked Mr. Burr is that -been pretty steady rain and/or no rain. 10 10 is that a deterioration or failure of our mitigation 11 But I'll keep an eye on it, you know. 11 efforts? I mean if it's something that it does happen like 12 12 this again, yeah, I will definitely be reaching out ENGINEER BURR: It would be very 13 13 difficult to answer that. I don't really have a to somebody about it. 14 14 good context of when the photos were taken. It And then I just wanted to share the 15 15 last photo. And this last photo is our south sounds like two years ago, but what type of storm 16 16 event it was, I don't think -- I'm not aware of that entrance into Chelsea Village and Adamsville Road. 17 17 being a regular frequency issue. So again, you can see all the mud and water going 18 18 I could be told otherwise, but I would 19 into Adamsville roadways and causing flooding right 19 think I would know, if that intersection was into the Adamsville Road. 20 20 regularly flooded, that engineering would have found 21 21 So that is another concern that we 22 out about it. 22 have, like, we can't even get into our complex So we could investigate if it's a because that south side is flooded, so we have to go 23 23 blockage of the storm system or drainage channel into our north side, if we can get to it. I have no 24 24 that is blocked. I'm not aware of it being -other questions on the water. 25

	C Fall DE		G. Folk, P.E.
	G. Folk, P.E.		112
		1	together now, isn't it?
1	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, because ENGINEER BURR: I don't know.	2	ENGINEER BURR: Oh, it's all
2	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Because as was the	3	interconnected.
3	case in the conversation in Bradley Gardens, you	4	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And I guess the
4 5	know, if there is a problem for us to address, we	5	good news is help is on the way, presuming that that
6	would like to address it.	6	area in need of redevelopment again, sorry to
7	ENGINEER BURR: Sure. I mean the best	7	sidebar you know, assuming that that area in need
8	I could tell you is I can talk to our DPW	8	of redevelopment continues along its path and that
9	superintendent to see if he has any history. I do	9	it is in fact properly redeveloped, that whole area
10	not, not in that particular area.	10	and the proper flow of the Cuckels Brook should
11	I know there have been isolated issues	11	improve, correct?
12	and extreme weather events that have been pretty	12	ENGINEER BURR: It is definitely
13	well documented, but I would need to take a closer	13	something that is on our radar. We have a couple of
14	look at it.	14	things that we're looking into, grant money, et
15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Can you recognize	15	cetera. And you said, Councilman, the redevelopment
16	this gentleman? He may have some insight.	16	plan, depending on how that shakes out I think there
17	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Please come back to	17	are opportunities to improve, yes, absolutely.
18	the lectern.	18	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah, it's all
19	JOHN MERTEN: If I may, John Merten,	19	interconnected.
20	again my house is right at that bridge there.	20	ENGINEER BURR: Yes.
21	So going back several administrations	21	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Sorry for that
22	ago, now my understanding when we tried to look at	22	sidebar. I think it was highly relevant.
23	improving the flow on Cuckels Brook we were informed	23	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: The board
24	by the administration that, unfortunately, the work	24	appreciates any and all opportunities to be schooled
25	has to be done downstream and work in the way up.	25	on these matters. Thank you.
	G. Folk, P.E.		G. Folk, P.E.
	111		113
1	So unfortunately, there were budgets	1	Any other members of the public wish to
2	it's my understanding 30 years ago to perhaps start	2	comment or question Mr. Folk?
3	work on improving Cuckels Brook, and you go down 28	3	Seeing none, that closes the public
4	towards Home Depot towards Bound Brook, you will see	4	portion for this witness.
5	how the riparian walls were built along that road,	5	Mr. Silbert, any other witnesses?
6	that riverbed or waterbed there. And that was	6	ATTORNEY SILBERT: We do not have any
7	supposed to be continued up towards the route or	7	other witnesses.
8	that was the road with that bridge that you see.	8	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Very good.
9	However, the work can't be done there until work is	9	ATTORNEY SILBERT: We would conclude
10	done downstream because otherwise you're just	10	our application this evening.
11	pushing the problem downstream to other houses,	11	CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay, very good.
12	homes, residences. It was felt to be inappropriate.	12	Any general commentary from the public
13	So we had to work downstream and work its way	13	based on this application?
14	upstream.	14	LARS KNUDSON: Thank you very much.
15	COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, what's	15	Again, Lars Knudson, K-N-U-D-S-O-N.
16	interesting is this is all interconnected. So for	16	I'm very I want to express, first of
17	those who are all seeing the broader picture, you	17	all, that we are Chelsea Village Community is
18	know, there is, you know, consideration of an area	18	actually grateful for the additional setbacks on the
19	in-need-of redevelopment that runs essentially along	19	southern end of the developed property. This is
20	if my directions are correct west side of the	20	encouraging and we very much very much appreciate
21	Cuckels Brook, there are some challenges that we	21	the developer I understand it wasn't the
22	have now identified on that property. I believe	22	intention of the developer to grant us this
23	it's known as 1200 Route 22, LLC, or something like	23	additional buffer but it's very it's a very
24		24	welcome development.
25	So, you know, Bill, it's all coming	25	There are two things that remain a

5

1 concern to our community, that is privacy, and privacy subsuming the issues of both security in our 2 homes and blockage of lighting, which I think are --3 should be adequately addressed by the landscaping 4 plans. That remains to be seen, and we will be 5 carefully monitoring any developments in that 6 regard. 7 What remains to be a concern with us is Я

the issue of the runoff. And you have seen pictures. And we understand that there have been some developments; there is a silt fence that is on top of the berm now.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

25

And as has been heard tonight, we do not have, this year, nearly as much of a drainage issue as we had in the past, but as we all know it's been a fairly dry year. And if someone could kindly arrange for another hurricane to come through and test the drainage, that would be perversely appreciated. Until that happens --

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Without damage to 20 the rest of the community? 21

LARS KNUDSON: Until such a rain event 22 occurs, this is going to remain a concern for us. 23

The drainage plan was approved in 2020 accounting 24

25 for precipitation that is just not realistic.

115

19

20

If the board is going to grant the new waiver, and we are here today, I understand that there are variance requests that are -- that are in many way improvements and under certain -- certainly the height of the building may no longer be a variance request. But if the board is going to grant its

approval to the updated site plan, it is our position -- my position as a homeowner, and I know a lot of my neighbors' positions that we really need to reconsider the drainage plan in light of new precipitation projections. And it would be -- it would be our request that if the board is going to approve this that they also require a new drainage plan from the developer.

Thank you. 16

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Anybody else? 17 That will close the commentary portion 18 for this application. 19

Ms. Sarmad and Mr. Burr, have we 20 satisfied all points from your reports? 21

ENGINEER BURR: Mr. Chairman, I believe so with some of the conditions and concessions that were made I believe so, yes. 24

MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Chairman, I have

a guestion for Mr. Burr. 1

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I'm sorry? 2 MEMBER CHOUDURY: Sorry. I have a 3 question for Mr. Burr. 4

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yes.

MEMBER CHOUDURY: Mr. Burr, is there 6 any simulated model that we can do because we cannot 7 ask God to have rain tomorrow, so only way we can do 8 it by having a model; Is there any model that we 9 have for rains? 10

ENGINEER BURR: It's hard to answer. 11 You have to -- there's really two issues that are at 12 play here, how the site is going to function when 13 it's fully built out, and how it's functioning right 14

now in a partially constructed phase. 15 I understand there's concerns about 16 drainage, but I also know that this project when it 17 was originally designed several years ago went 18 through the full review of all the ordinances in 19 place, and had it not been for what I would consider 20

to be a fairly modest change to the hotel, shrinking 21 it, then this site could be built according to the

22 original plan with no issues; they have DEP permits. 23

They have -- construction of the drainage is 24

90 percent complete. 25

117

1 an opportunity to have a meeting on-site that I 2 would be present at to see what the existing 3 conditions are, the condition of the silt fence, how the site is currently functioning. And then certainly as construction picks up again, continue 6 to oversee construction with -- from the lens of what is -- how it's going to function at the end of

The best I can offer is I would welcome

the day. 9 There are really a lot of drainage 10 components that have been considered with this 11 project. It's an oversized detention basin. There 12 are a number of different seepage tanks and dry 13 well-type structures to accommodate the roof runoff. 14 There is some bio-retention or bio-soils, there's 15 16 pervious pavement. So there really are a number of 17

different drainage features. It's just how does it 18 all come together and how do we get to that point from today, because we don't really know how much longer it's going to take to build out the site. 21 And that would be my biggest concern, if it's not

22

functioning now and we don't know just because we 23 haven't had a whole lot of rain lately, we have to 24

get -- we have to get a handle on that to make sure

what we're seeing in photos, even if they are two 1 years old and may be addressed, we have to make sure 2 that is not going to happen again. Does that make sense? 4 MEMBER CHOUDURY: Yeah, but I just --5 ENGINEER BURR: The best I could say 6 7 is perhaps a site meeting to find out where things stand right now and if anything could be done right 8 now to make sure that until this project is finished 9 with construction, it's going to function properly 10 with adequate protections for the downstream 11 12 neighbors. 13 MEMBER CHOUDURY: And the reason I'm 14 asking you -- sorry. 15 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry, go 16 ahead. MEMBER CHOUDURY: The reason I'm asking 17 you, because I work in lots of developments and 18 those are done for 100 years planning with the 19 rainstorm and everything else and the ice, so that's 20 21 why I was thinking whether there is any, you know, models that we can use it also. Obviously I don't 22 want to, you know, burden the developer so much, but 23 at least if it's a readily available model then 24 25 probably we could see it. 119 Again, I understand your point, thank 1 you. And completely understand what you are saying. 2

120 those conditions that are specific to the Residence 2 Inn brand, and those would modify the 89 day, the municipal 89-day residency limit will be enforced so 3 as to discourage anyone from trying to declare 4 residency in the hotel; 5 6 The applicant shall install the fencing 7 that is shown on the plan to maintain in good condition for so long as the hotel use is there; 8 9 Prior to the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy there will be a night light 10 test to assess both the intensity of the lighting 11 and to determine whether or not there's any 12 spillover to the neighboring properties, and they 13 will remedy that as necessary; 14 15 If any existing fence is damaged that will be repaired as soon as is practicable, and no 16 building permit will be issued so long as there is 17 18 damaged fencing; 19 They will provide updated and accurate plans that reflect the testimony given in the 20 hearing. And to the extent the prior approval shows 21 compliance with various municipal and State 22 23 regulations this one will also; 24 And finally, there will be a site 25 meeting as soon as practicable to review current 121 site conditions and to implement any reasonable suggestions to mitigate off-site impacts. That would include runoff and things of that nature. 3 That would be with Mr. Burr, Mr. Burr 4 and the applicant and whoever is relevant to the 5 6 applicant, contractors... So that would be -- the motion would be 7 to, you know, either approve or deny that. 8 9 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'll make a motion to approve this application subject to the various 10 conditions and deliberate and then vote. 11 ATTORNEY PECK: Looks like we have a 12 13 second behind Councilman Kirsh?

3 Thank you. COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Mr. Chairman, just 4 to be clear, I think we are in the purview of not 5 adding extra burden but just operating under the 6 principle that each land owner has a requirement to 7 manage their own water, correct. 8 ENGINEER BURR: Correct. 9 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Correct. 10 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Any other comments? 11 Mr. Peck, did we satisfy all the 12 conditions of the requests? 13 14 ATTORNEY PECK: Yes, the relief they're looking for is for Amended Preliminary and 15 Final Site Plan with basically ratifying the prior 16 variances that are being tweaked a little bit 17 18 primarily with the buffer, which does not affect the 19 subject building, but it's the other building on the

In addition to the standard conditions

generally applicable to all applications, the conditions I have for this are that the applicant

representations from the initial approval accepting

will abide by all prior conditions and

20

21

22

23

24

25

site.

14 MEMBER MAGURA: No, I would like to 15 make a request for the board to consider requiring a performance bond to cover landscaping in the event 16 17 the project is not carried out to fruition. From other projects -- not this one, 18 but from other projects we've seen sites left in 19 20 less than desirable condition. So I'm thinking a performance bond on this one. 21 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Can I... I was 22 just going to say a couple of things. 23 24 I think that all requisite bonds of --25 all required bonds have already been posted. The

٦	ר	Æ
1		-

4	$\overline{}$	~
	-/	,

site work has begun, and the applicant will comply 1 with all of the requirements set forth in Section 53 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law as it relates to 3 bonding, if you want to add that. 4 ATTORNEY PECK: Basically in layman's 5 terms you're saying you've already posted a bond 6 that would cover the landscaping. And should the 7 project not go forward, that bond could be invoked 8 to put the site improvements. 9 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: We're into 10 reasonable condition, okay. 11 ATTORNEY PECK: But we'll restate that 12 in the resolution. 13 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Okay, I'll make the 14 motion again with that addition. 15 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: And you will second 16 17 that motion? MEMBER MAGURA: I'll second. 18 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Second from 19 Mr. Magura, okay. And with that we'll open up 20 21 deliberations. And, Mr. Magura, we'll start with you. 22 MEMBER MAGURA: I have no real 23 24 objections to the project. I think it's good for the -- good for the area. Certainly we have to look 25 at the water situation. But other than that and the 1 performance bond, I would concur this project should 2 3 proceed. CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudury? 4 5 MEMBER CHOUDURY: Same thing. I think we have heard enough and there were lots of good 6 discussions and I think with all the variants that's 7 been specified, we should be able to proceed. 8 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Wang? 9 MEMBER WANG: Thank you. I also I 10 think, you know, I appreciate the applicant's 11 efforts to modify and to make sure that the 12 residents benefit going to be protected. 13 Privacy and stormwater management, all 14 the impact I think should be make it minimum. 15 Also, I think, you know, this site 16 going to be a great addition to Bridgewater Township 17 and -- for the land use and, you know, I lean to 18 approve it. 19 By the way, I listened to the 20 December 3rd meeting, so, you know, that's about it. 21 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you. 22 23 Councilman Kirsh? COUNCILMAN KIRSH: You know, I think 24 I've tipped off where I'm going with this throughout 25

the hearing. I really appreciate the willingness of 1 the applicant to work with our community and to work 2 with the members of our community that are closest 3 by and potentially the most impacted. 4 I think we have had a reasonable airing 5 of all the issues. I think that we have identified 6 sufficient protections. I think that there is a 7 8 desire to be a good neighbor, and I certainly q appreciate that. You know, that's an important component 10 of your success, and I think you recognize that. 11 Clearly you're looking to invest a considerable 12 amount of money and equity and, you know, sweat and 13 tears and everything else that goes into a project 14 15 like this. I think that as long as the -- the 16 various issues and protections that we talked about 17 can be abided by, I think we're looking at an asset 18 for Bridgewater Township moving forward, and, you 19 know, hopefully a marquis location for your brand. 20 So it's taken us a little while, to me 21 22 that's okay. But I think we've reached a good conclusion here and I will support the application. 23 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Ms. Sikora? 24 MEMBER SIKORA: I'm most concerned 25 125

about stormwater management, especially after seeing 2 those pictures today.

I do trust Mr. Burr and his ability to come in, and I appreciate your willingness to learn and listen to what he has to say as well and implement those changes. I think that is going to be necessary.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

to make changes.

I did also hear that construction has been going on and off hours and those things really bother me, and I will be really disappointed to hear if that continues. Talking about being good neighbors, that's extremely important especially

during construction. I like the note added about the eviction, 89-day, and being willing to do deliveries during the daytime or garbage pick up during the daytime versus at night, because I think that the neighbors when I think about privacy I don't just think about being able to see inside, but the ability to have privacy of time and being able to sleep and not worry that they're going to be awoken really early on a Saturday morning or in the 22 evenings. I think that's important. So I'm inclined to approve because of all the willingness

25

approval motion here by Councilman Kirsh, and then

				1
0	<b>2019</b> [1] - 49:21	<b>46</b> [7] - 60:22, 61:8,	9	25:8, 56:12, 66:7
·	2020 [7] - 37:3, 49:14,	63:3, 63:18, 63:20,	9 [2] - 4:4, 34:2	according [2] - 87:11,
07059 [1] - 3:4	57:19, 74:5, 74:6,	65:14, 67:19	9-foot [2] - 17:17,	116:22
08535 [1] - 1:24 08807 [1] - 1:12	74:14, 114:24	<b>46.45</b> [4] - 43:2, 44:5,	64:7	account [2] - 74:19,
00007 [1] - 1.12	2024 [5] - 1:15, 6:3,	44:11, 44:13	90 [7] - 20:8, 45:5,	84:19
1	6:7, 9:2, 82:2	<b>46.5</b> [1] - 43:14	48:23, 48:25,	accounted [1] - 85:1
*	208 [1] - 82:3	48[1] - 6:19	103:16, 103:21,	accounting [1] -   114:24
1 [3] - 20:22, 25:7, 96:7	208-page [1] - 82:24 210 [2] - 12:21, 12:22	<b>49.3</b> [3] - 43:13, 43:15, 64:5	116:25	accurate [3] - 68:2,
10 [3] - 5:4, 18:2,	<b>210</b> [2] - 12.21, 12.22 <b>22</b> [18] - 1:6, 8:15,	49.3-foot [1] - 61:24	90.87 [1] - 44:20	120:19, 129:10
18:21	13:7, 20:19, 20:20,	4:00 (1) - 56:10	908.757.7800 [1] - 3:5	Acquisitions [2] -
<b>10/15</b> [1] - 97:9	20:21, 30:16,	4:30 [1] - 40:7	908.757.8039 [1] - 3:5	3:19, 3:21
100 [5] - 1:11, 31:4,	31:22, 32:8, 33:23,		91 [2] - 12:9, 12:18	acquisitions [1] - 9:7
70:11, 99:2, 118:19	34:6, 34:9, 40:25,	5	94 [2] - 4:22, 45:5 94-feet-and-change	Act [2] - 6:6, 6:18
<b>103</b> [2] - 33:14, 33:15	79:14, 98:1,	<b>5</b> [2] - 47:5, 60:18	[1] - 67:3	action [2] - 129:15,
<b>104</b> [4] - 4:18, 4:20,	101:17, 101:18,	50 [5] - 11:19, 14:7,	94.11 [1] - 44:22	129:18
72:6, 104:19	111:23	14:15, 35:1, 86:11	<b>96</b> m - 5:6	active [1] - 48:15
109 [2] - 12:11, 12:15	222 [2] - 1:6, 8:14	500 [2] - 33:16, 34:7	9:30 [3] - 6:13, 53:23,	actively [1] - 89:18
10:00 [1] - 6:14	<b>225</b> [2] - 33:16	50014616 [1] - 129:24	53:25	actual [1] - 47:2 ADA [1] - 6:18
10:4-6 [1] - 6:6	22nd [1] - 74:14 24 [1] - 12:19	<b>53</b> [1] - 122:2		Adamsville [6] - 4:20,
<b>10:59</b> [1] - 128:25 <b>11</b> [1] - 81:24	24-022-PB [1] - 1:9		A	81:20, 107:17,
113 [1] - 4:19	24 [1] - 30:24	6	<b>A-1</b> [4] - 5:4, 10:7,	107:19, 107:20,
115[1] - 14:15	<b>24/7</b> [2] - 29:3, 30:21	6 [2] - 16:3, 33:25	10:13, 10:16	109:2
<b>116</b> [2] - 12:10, 12:18	240 [1] - 11:18	6-foot [1] - 16:4	A-something [1] -	add [8] - 25:2, 37:15,
<b>119</b> [2] - 4:21, 88:4	<b>25</b> [6] - 12:1, 12:10,	6-foot-diameter [1] -	10:6	37:23, 40:2, 47:6,
12 [2] - 55:12, 55:18	14:4, 97:22,	20:17	a., [1] - 51:15	57:4, 62:9, 122:4
<b>1200</b> [1] - 111:23	101:23, 101:25	6-foot-high [2] -	a.m [4] - 56:8, 56:10,	added [4] - 14:18,
<b>122</b> [4] - 32:25, 33:2,	<b>25-ish</b> [1] - 15:16	18:24, 19:3	108:4, 108:7	67:19, 67:20,
33:6, 33:13	<b>26</b> [1] - 1:23	<b>60</b> [3] - 11:3, 11:10, 35:1	AB [1] - 1:22	125:14 addendum (2) - 82:6,
<b>125</b> [1] - 44:21	28 [1] - 111:3	60/80 [1] - 70:21	abide [1] - 119:24 abided [1] - 124:18	82:8
<b>1260</b> [2] - 1:6, 8:15	287 [2] - 34:2, 34:16	<b>65</b> [1] - 14:13	ability [2] - 125:3,	adding [1] - 119:6
<b>127</b> [2] - 33:3, 33:10	290 [1] - 11:17	<b>6:00</b> [1] - 56:8	125:20	addition [4] - 69:19,
<b>13</b> [1] - 17:20 <b>130</b> [2] - 4:22, 94:12	3	<b>6:45</b> [1] - 56:17	able [12] - 40:2, 51:3,	119:21, 122:15,
<b>14</b> [4] - 41:11, 41:12,			80:16, 80:22,	123:17
55:13, 55:19	<b>3</b> [4] - 6:3, 25:8, 68:19, 68:20	7	94:14, 94:16,	additional [5] - 26:23,
143 [2] - 12:11, 12:15	3-foot [1] - 19:5	7 [5] - 16:3, 18:1,	94:23, 98:11,	85:24, 101:5,
<b>15</b> [2] - 3:4, 47:5	3-foot-high [1] -	34:2, 108:4, 108:7	98:12, 123:8,	113:18, 113:23
155 [1] - 12:22	18:22	<b>71,104</b> [1] - 4:18	125:19, 125:20	address [12] - 22:19, 23:5, 26:21, 31:8,
<b>155/156</b> [1] - 12:21	3-to-1 [1] - 17:19	732)882-3590 [1] -	<b>absent</b> [5] - 7:9, 7:12, 7:20, 7:24	54:12, 71:15, 72:6,
16 [1] ~ 12:19	3.01 [2] - 1:6, 8:14	1:24	absolutely [5] -	81:7, 105:15,
165 [1] - 14:14	<b>3.25</b> [1] - 68:20	<b>75</b> [1] - 17:25	15:22, 19:12,	106:1, 110:5, 110:6
<b>17</b> [2] - 1:15, 47:19	<b>30</b> [6] - 11:1, 11:2,	7:00 [1] - 1:16	53:12, 74:21,	ADDRESS [1] - 4:17
170 (1) - 14:8	11:12, 97:14, 111:2	7:30 [2] - 34:1, 56:8	112:17	addressed [12] -
18[1] - 47:20 18,000 [1] - 21:6	300 [1] - 34:8	7th [1] - 6:6	absorb [1] - 103:23	19:14, 20:4, 22:12,
184 [1] - 81:20	300-year [1] - 86:10	8	accept [1] - 79:18	26:24, 32:17,
1952 [1] - 86:25	30th [1] - 81:22 30XI00233100 [2] -		acceptable [2] - 9:20,	72:14, 75:14,
1002 [11]	2:22, 129:23	<b>8</b> [3] - 12:19, 108:3, 108:5	22:25	76:11, 95:8,
2	<b>365</b> [1] - 30:21	8-foot [2] - 16:5, 18:3	accepting [1] -	105:17, 114:4, 118:2
<b>2</b> [6] - 20:22, 25:7,	3rd [2] - 9:2, 123:21	8-foot-high [2] - 17:8,	119:25 access [12] - 30:15,	addresses [1] - 81:1
44:1, 60:13, 60:16,		52:2	30:16, 30:17,	adequate [5] - 6:4,
97:5	4	80 [1] - 70:11	30:18, 40:21,	24:25, 31:2, 57:24,
2-to-1 [1] - 17:18	4[3] - 17:15, 33:25,	81,110 [1] - 4:20	40:22, 40:24,	118:11
<b>20</b> [2] - 85:8, 86:5	39:1	<b>82</b> [2] - 17:24	92:24, 93:1, 93:21	adequately [2] -
<b>200</b> [2] - 34:8	<b>44.83</b> [3] - 44:13,	88 [1] - 4:21	accessible [1] - 93:17	90:23, 114:4
200-and-some [1] -	63:16, 63:17	89 [1] - 120:2	accessing [1] - 109:9	adhere [1] - 70:4
97:11	<b>45</b> [11] - 44:6, 59:23,	<b>89-day</b> [2] - 120:3,	accommodate [1] -	adheres [1] - 126:2
<b>2018</b> [9] - 36:22,	60:7, 60:8, 60:22,	125:15	117:14	adjacent [2] - 51:5,
49:14, 81:24,	61:8, 61:13, 61:14,	8:00 [1] - 56:10	accommodation [1] -	51:23 adjust [1] - 77:11
81:25, 83:6, 84:8, 84:21, 90:12,	67:20, 97:7, 97:8	8:40 [1] - 8:9	6:16 accordance [4] - 6:5,	adjustable [1] - 25:2
126:18	45-foot [1] - 61:4		2000 annes [4] - 0.0,	

126:18

adjustments [2] -22:15, 86:12 administration [1] -110:24 administrations [1] -110:21 administratively [1] -67:25 Administrator [1] -2:17 **ADMINISTRATOR** [13] - 7:6, 7:8, 7:11, 7:15, 7:17, 7:19, 7:23, 128:8, 128:10, 128:13, 128:15, 128:17, 128:19 admit [1] - 17:2 advance [2] - 6:19, 6:24 advanced [1] -108:14 aesthetic [1] - 61:6 aesthetically [1] -62:21 aesthetics [1] - 63:7 affect (1) - 119:18 affects [1] - 89:11 affirm [4] - 71:23, 81:10, 88:5, 94:4 aftermath [1] -100:13 afterwards [1] - 36:11 age [1] - 98:17 agenda [2] - 8:6, 8:12 ago [16] - 10:24, 29:8, 48:16, 51:22, 100:18, 103:7, 105:2, 105:9, 105:14, 105:20, 106:12, 106:20, 109:16, 110:22, 111:2, 116:18 agree [3] - 28:17, 57:2, 65:20 agreed [4] - 15:19, 21:3, 69:20, 80:4 ahead [6] - 13:9, 46:8, 72:9, 93:14, 98:3, 118:16 air [1] - 57:24 airing [1] - 124:5 Algonquin [1] - 1:23 Allegiance [1] - 7:3 allow [1] - 87:15 allowed [3] - 56:9, 68:14, 86:25 almost [4] - 15:3, 34:13, 61:17, 97:12 ameliorate [1] - 76:16 **AMENDED** [1] - 1:8 Amended [3] - 8:24, 38:9, 119:15 amended [8] - 9:14,

39:3, 39:6, 42:2, 46:11, 46:20, 69:24, 84:5 amendments 🗐 -46:15 amenities [1] - 69:22 Americans [1] - 6:17 amount [7] - 35:4, 55:3, 85:3, 85:5, 101:8, 102:20, 124:13 amounts [1] - 84:17 AND [1] - 2:11 and.. [1] - 32:24 AND/OR [1] - 4:15 ANGELA [2] - 2:22, 129:3 angela [1] - 129:23 angelabuonocsr@ gmail.com [1] - 1:25 angle [1] - 21:21 announcement [1] -53:24 answer [10] - 32:14, 36:14, 41:4, 59:25, 83:24, 84:10, 92:5, 98:6, 109:14, 116:11 answered [1] - 85:11 anyway [2] - 34:3, 66:2 apologies [1] - 70:15 apologize [2] - 38:4, 54:25 apparatus [1] - 13:24 appear [1] - 65:6 appeared [1] - 9:1 applicable [1] -119:22 Applicant [1] - 3:7 applicant [32] - 8:17, 8:22, 8:24, 9:1, 9:12, 22:3, 22:6, 22:23, 23:9, 23:14, 27:18, 36:25, 39:4, 46:18, 52:14, 53:5, 55:7, 88:18, 95:21, 102:17, 102:22, 105:22, 119:23, 120:6, 121:5, 121:6, 122:1, 124:2, 126:1, 126:11, 127:1, 127:19 applicant's [2] - 92:1, 123:11 applicants [2] -21:14, 21:15 Application [1] -128:25 application [23] - 8:8, 9:12, 10:20, 32:18,

35:4, 35:11, 37:17,

39:3, 54:1, 54:3,

65:9, 69:25, 84:2,

84:12, 85:16, 85:19, 87:8, 113:10, 113:13, 115:19, 121:10, 124:23, 127:23 APPLICATION [1] applications [4] -6:13, 53:25, 87:22, 119:22 applying [1] - 86:3 appreciate [8] -72:10, 113:20, 123:11, 124:1, 124:9, 125:4, 126:21, 126:22 appreciated [1] -114:19 appreciates [1] -112:24 approach [1] - 61:14 appropriate [2] -27:7, 52:8 approval [34] - 8:25, 19:17, 37:3, 37:5, 37:13, 39:12, 45:9, 45:15, 46:12, 47:22, 49:13, 49:14, 49:20, 52:2, 56:2, 69:16, 74:9, 84:5, 85:16, 85:18, 86:2, 86:16, 86:17, 87:10, 87:11, 90:24, 91:10, 91:25, 115:8, 119:25, 120:21, 126:16, 127:25 approvals [3] - 26:3, 34:24, 69:11 approve [6] - 115:14, 121:8, 121:10, 123:19, 125:24, 127:22 approved [38] -11:15, 11:16, 19:16, 19:22, 26:12, 26:15, 34:18, 35:3, 35:12, 35:16, 36:22, 37:10, 37:21, 41:24, 42:11, 42:24, 43:4, 43:5, 43:13, 44:11, 44:20, 45:2, 47:20, 49:24, 50:15, 56:6, 61:24, 65:12, 65:19, 67:3, 69:3, 69:8, 69:9, 72:23, 84:4, 84:8, 90:12, 114.24 architect [2] - 64:14, 65:5 architectural [5] -

63:18, 64:15,

64:24, 67:15, 67:22

29:20, 40:20, 40:22, 51:7, 58:8, 99:19, 100:5, 110:10, 111:18, 112:6, 112:7, 112:9, 122:25, 126:24 Area [2] - 19:22, 49:21 areas [7] - 11:7, 25:3, 29:10, 29:13, 63:8, 77:11, 77:12 arise [2] - 23:2, 26:18 arrange [1] - 114:17 **ASHLEY** [11 - 2:6 assess [1] - 120:11 asset [1] - 124:18 assigned [1] - 67:5 assistance [1] - 6:15 associated [1] -23:22 Associates [1] - 4:4 association [1] - 92:4 Association [1] -52:4 assume [4] - 36:20, 43:22, 82:18, 89:9 assumed [2] - 38:6, 65:14 assuming [2] - 95:14, 112:7 assurance [2] - 79:1, 79:23 assurances [3] -82:23, 84:23, 85:13 Atkins [1] - 7:23 attention [1] - 76:8 Attorney [1] - 2:12 ATTORNEY [123] -8:18, 9:18, 9:22, 10:7, 10:11, 10:13, 17:7, 17:10, 17:13, 17:17, 17:20, 22:9, 23:8, 24:1, 25:18, 32:16, 33:2, 35:8, 36:14, 38:3, 38:8, 38:10, 38:13, 38:15, 40:3, 40:6, 40:11, 43:9, 43:19, 44:24, 45:22, 46:2, 46:7, 47:1, 47:9, 53:7, 53:11, 53:13, 54:2, 54:5, 54:16, 54:23, 57:17, 58:6, 58:12, 58:17, 58:21, 59:10, 59:14, 61:23, 62:3, 62:7, 62:18, 62:23, 63:11, 63:14, 63:17, 63:22, 64:4, 65:8, 66:1, 66:12,

architecturals [2] -

62:24, 65:13

area [18] - 18:24,

18:25, 21:7, 21:8,

66:18, 66:21, 66:22, 66:24, 67:6, 67:8, 69:1, 69:18, 69:21, 71:18, 71:22, 72:3, 72:16, 79:14, 79:25, 80:4, 80:19, 80:25, 81:10, 81:16, 82:7, 82:16, 83:24, 85:10, 85:25, 86:15, 86:20, 87:2, 87:7, 88:5, 88:10, 90:9, 90:21, 91:21, 92:13, 94:4, 94:9, 94:22, 95:1, 95:20, 96:1, 96:6, 99:3, 99:22, 99:25, 100:15, 103:6, 103:18, 105:11, 105:19, 106:5, 113:6, 113:9, 119:14, 121:12, 121:22, 122:5, 122:12, 128:2, 128:5, 128:21 attorney [4] - 7:25, 45:20, 129:14, 129:16 attributes [1] - 21:9 available [4] - 6:15, 82:20, 108:16, 118:24 average [4] - 64:20, 65:21, 66:9, 67:19 avoid [1] - 28:11 aware [14] - 6:11, 68:11, 74:22, 75:3, 75:6, 75:10, 75:25, 76:1, 76:17, 79:8, 82:20, 109:17, 109:25 awoken [1] - 125:21

### ·

В backside [1] - 104:2 backup [1] - 89:21 backyard [1] - 14:19 bad [1] - 107:3 baked [1] - 19:24 balance [3] - 27:10, 27:16, 27:17 Banga [1] - 7:19 barrels [1] - 101:19 base [1] - 17:17 based [18] - 23:2, 37:5, 39:7, 58:7, 60:4, 62:21, 65:14, 65:20, 65:21, 66:9, 78:11, 81:5, 95:14, 105:12, 105:25, 113:13, 127:9 basin [14] - 16:1, 20:5, 20:14, 49:2, 70:18, 72:25,

66:9, 82:24

77:22, 77:23

28:12, 116:7, 127:6

cars [5] - 18:16, 19:8,

31:3, 32:12, 34:8

21:19, 84:3, 110:4

CART [1] - 6:24

case [4] - 13:14,

caught [1] - 27:1

79:15

77:14

102:10

24:7, 24:9

88:23, 93:12, 101:11, 103:2, 103:3, 104:2, 117:12 **BATEMAN** [1] - 3:3 Bateman [1] - 8:20 be.. [1] - 50:2 bear [2] - 11:16, 36.11 becomes [1] - 24:20 becoming [2] - 76:17, 101:1 bedroom [2] - 89:20, 96:21 bedrooms [2] -16:16, 73:9 beef [1] - 25:24 been.. [1] - 54:15 beeps [1] - 89:21 begun [1] - 122:1 behind [8] - 14:25, 29:25, 30:2, 30:3, 61:10, 98:10, 104:18, 121:13 believes [2] - 57:22, 57:23 benefit [1] - 123:13 Benz [2] - 34:9, 34:14 berm [17] - 15:25, 16:3, 17:11, 17:13, 17:18, 17:21, 17:24, 18:2, 19:4, 72:23, 89:6, 97:18, 99:18, 100:3, 101:4, 114:12 berming [2] - 15:20, 18:14 berms [6] - 18:23, 101:7, 101:8, 101:10, 101:13, 101:15 best [14] - 19:9, 22:18, 59:6, 61:3, 82:19, 99:4, 104:3, 110:7, 117:1, 118:6, 127:2, 127:5, 127:7, 128:23 better [3] - 49:23, 90:4, 103:4 between [8] - 11:23, 13:10, 18:13, 20:19, 20:22, 43:25, 66:13, 75:14 beverage [1] - 39:21 beyond [3] - 28:7, 34:14, 99:7 big [2] - 34:13, 107:9 bigger [1] - 83:14 biggest [2] - 16:12, 117:22 Bill [3] - 36:24, 108:24, 111:25 bio [3] - 20:2, 117:15 bio-retention [1] -

117:15 bio-soils [1] - 117:15 bio-swales [1] - 20:2 bit [10] - 45:10, 50:24, 67:22, 73:14, 76:23, 76:24, 95:9, 95:10, 107:3, 119:17 blazing [1] - 27:15 blinds [2] - 98:10, 98:11 Block [1] - 8:14 BLOCK [1] - 1:6 block [5] - 25:21, 34:9, 73:7, 78:16 blockage [2] -109:24, 114:3 blocked [3] - 34:2, 34:3, 109:25 blocking [1] - 33:23 blunt [1] - 16:10 board [46] - 6:10, 7:25, 8:1, 8:5, 9:1, 9:2, 9:14, 19:15, 21:12, 22:10, 23:18, 25:16, 26:15, 33:21, 36:21, 37:3, 38:4, 38:20, 43:11, 43:14, 43:16, 45:20, 48:17, 52:3, 54:12, 67:4, 68:24, 70:3, 71:9, 77:9, 80:12, 80:18, 81:2, 92:11, 94:14, 95:23, 99:4, 105:4, 112:23, 115:1, 115:7, 115:13, 121:15, 126:14 Board [6] - 2:12, 2:15, 6:2, 6:12, 37:18, 72:7 BOARD [2] - 1:1, 2:1 board's [7] - 9:11, 23:18, 24:4, 45:20, 54:8, 72:10, 99:6 board-on-board [1] -52:3 boards [1] - 26:3 bond [5] - 121:16, 121:21, 122:6, 122:8, 123:2 bonding (1) - 122:4 bonds [2] - 121:24, 121:25 border [1] - 15:14 borrowed [1] - 31:15 bother [1] - 125:10 Boulevard [1] - 3:4 Bound [1] - 111:4 bound [1] - 86:18 boundary [3] - 77:25, 78:2, 79:22

Bradley [1] - 110:4

brand [2] - 120:2,

124:20 68:4, 69:5, 69:10, bridge [2] - 110:20, 79:12, 80:5, 84:3, 111:8 **BRIDGEWATER** [3] -1:1, 1:5, 1:12 Bridgewater [13] -119:19, 120:17 2:14, 2:17, 6:2, 6:9, BUILDING [1] - 1:10 8:13, 8:22, 82:25, building .. [1] - 30:1 83:3, 123:17, buildings [6] - 29:7, 124:19, 127:12, 127:15, 127:21 92:8, 102:11 briefly [1] - 51:20 built [10] - 26:16, bring [5] - 26:20, 29:9, 59:3, 94:14, 90:20, 92:10, 105:3 102:7, 111:5, bringing [1] - 98:20 116:14, 116:22 broader [1] - 111:17 broadly [1] - 22:6 broken [1] - 55:5 45:15 Brook [5] - 110:23, bulletin [1] - 6:9 111:3, 111:4, bunch [1] - 51:13 111:21, 112:10 brought [7] - 29:18, - 2:22, 129:3 51:24, 75:19, 76:8, Buonantuono [1] -99:14, 105:3, 129:23 106:10 brown [1] - 52:4 119:6 budgets [1] - 111:1 buffer [15] - 13:17, 14:4, 16:24, 25:24, 30:7, 36:9, 36:13, 103:8, 108:21, 38:25, 51:4, 66:15, 109:10, 115:20, 70:19, 71:4, 97:16, 116:1, 116:4, 113:23, 119:18 116:6, 121:4, buffered [1] - 18:20 125:3, 126:2 buffering [7] - 24:11, BURR [48] - 2:14, 66:13, 90:14, 90:25, 91:6, 92:15, 127:9 buffers [2] - 11:6, 11:12 build [6] - 21:18, 22:7, 26:10, 51:2, 87:11, 117:21 Building [3] - 6:10, 20:22 building [73] - 10:25, 11:1, 11:5, 11:10, 102:2, 102:5, 11:18, 12:9, 12:25, 108:8, 108:13, 13:3, 13:4, 13:16, 109:13, 110:2, 14:6, 14:10, 14:13, 110:7, 112:2, 14:21, 15:11, 18:11, 25:7, 26:14, 32:21, 43:2, 43:5, 118:6, 119:9 43:12, 43:17, bus [5] - 40:20, 43:20, 43:23, 40:21, 40:22, 44:16, 45:10, 40:24, 41:2 47:12, 47:14, 49:6, 51:3, 51:4, 51:12, but., [1] - 54:13 53:9, 55:9, 58:2, BY [2] - 2:21, 3:3 59:1, 60:14, 60:21, 60:22, 61:14,

61:24, 63:1, 63:5,

65:10, 65:18,

64:9, 64:17, 64:19,

c(2 [1] - 57:22

C/O (1) - 1:5 65:22, 66:8, 66:19, calculated [1] - 67:23 calculation [1] - 68:2 91:4, 92:10, 92:18, calculations [2] -95:6, 96:23, 96:25, 97:12, 98:3, 115:5, cameras [2] - 79:11, candle [2] - 24:3, candles [3] - 77:21, 58:7, 62:11, 66:14, cannot [4] - 28:11, 56:24, 90:6, 90:19, Cappelli [1] - 2:13 capturing [1] car [4] - 18:5, 23:23, bulk [6] - 14:24, 37:8, 41:25, 44:9, 44:15, care [1] - 33:21 carefully [1] - 114:6 carried [1] - 121:17 **BUONANTUONO** [2] burden [2] - 118:23, Burr [19] - 8:1, 28:2, 31:23, 36:25, 48:8, 61:3, 68:24, 102:4, 26:1, 28:17, 31:24, 32:2, 32:5, 32:7, 32:10, 48:9, 49:11, 49:16, 50:3, 50:6, 50:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:22, 51:1, 51:16, 51:19, 52:1, 52:13, 52:20, 52:23, 53:4, 53:10, 53:17, 56:4, 57:2, 61:11, 61:22, 62:4, 69:14, 69:19, 112:12, 112:20, 115:22, 116:11, business [1] - 25:10 C

causing [3] - 100:3, 101:5, 107:19 CCR [2] - 2:22, 129:23 ceiling [2] - 59:20, 64:8 ceilings [2] - 59:4, 64.7 cell [1] - 77:7 center [1] - 63:1 centered [1] - 12:1 centering [1] - 13:2 centrally [2] - 13:25, 98:4 certain [5] - 28:7, 29:2, 35:9, 36:24, 115:4 certainly [11] - 13:12, 25:25, 27:1, 27:5. 27:7, 27:12, 48:19, 52:23, 115:4, 117:6, 124:8 Certainly [1] - 122:25 Certificate [3] - 27:2, 77:9, 120:10 certified [1] - 1:23 Certified [1] - 129:4 certify [1] - 129:6 CERTIFY [2] - 129:9, 129:13 cetera [1] - 112:15 CHAIRMAN [71] - 2:2, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:11, 9:21, 27:24, 28:23, 29:17, 29:24, 30:3, 30:10, 30:14, 30:20, 30:23,

30:25, 31:5, 31:11, 35:25, 36:4, 36:7, 40:13, 40:16, 41:1, 41:7, 41:12, 41:16, 48:6, 53:21, 54:4, 54:14, 54:20, 54:24, 58:22, 67:10, 68:11, 68:23, 69:12, 70:5, 70:15, 71:3, 71:6, 81:3, 88:2, 94:1, 94:18, 94:21, 95:13, 95:17, 102:4, 104:7, 104:23, 110:17, 112:23, 113:8, 113:11, 115:17, 116:2, 116:5, 119:11, 122:16, 122:19, 123:4, 123:9, 123:22, 124:24, 126:10, 128:4, 128:6, 128:9, 128:22 Chairman [17] - 7:6, 8:19, 9:19, 11:8, 21:10, 26:1, 27:22, 35:24, 41:20, 48:10, 53:19, 69:14, 102:2, 115:22, 115:25, 119:4, 128:8 challenge [1] - 18:16 challenges [1] -111:21 CHAMBERS [1] change [9] - 22:12, 29:2, 73:4, 84:1, 86:3, 87:22, 98:12, 98:13, 116:21 changed [7] - 20:25, 21:4, 42:1, 42:12, 83:7, 86:8, 87:12 changes [13] - 37:12, 84:2, 84:9, 84:16, 84:20, 84:24, 85:14, 86:20, 87:5, 87:13, 125:6, 125:25, 126:3 changing [2] - 38:18, 127:9 channel [1] - 109:24 characteristics [1] charge [1] - 26:13 charging [2] - 46:13, 46:20 Chartowich [1] - 7:20 check |3| - 25:19, 33:1, 46:3 checkmark [1] -27:20 checks (1) - 27:4

Chelsea [27] - 4:18,

4:21, 4:22, 5:6, 15:8, 52:3, 72:7, 72:8, 73:10, 75:12, 75:14, 78:5, 78:6, 78:12, 78:13, 78:17, 78:25, 88:4, 92:23, 94:12, 96:10, 96:16, 97:7, 104:12, 105:14, 107:17, 113:17 Chick [1] - 54:2 Chick-fil-A [1] - 54:2 children [1] - 40:20 CHOUDHURY [1] -2:3 Choudury [7] - 7:21, 27:24, 28:24, 31:13, 36:1, 123:4, 128:19 CHOUDURY [30] -7:22, 27:22, 27:25, 28:20, 31:14, 31:17, 31:21, 31:25, 32:3, 32:6, 32:9, 32:11, 32:23, 33:5, 33:12, 33:15, 34:6, 34:20, 35:7, 35:15, 35:23, 36:2, 115:25, 116:3, 116:6, 118:5, 118:13, 118:17, 123:5, 128:20 circumstances [1] -23:2 citizenship [1] -85:22 clarification [3] -31:16, 41:20, 65:24 clear [1] - 119:5 clearly [2] - 27:15, 124:12 Clerk [1] - 6:8 close [7] - 25:9, 34:23, 40:1, 41:2, 68:17, 68:19, 115:18 closed [1] - 56:25 closer [3] - 13:2, 63:12, 110:13 closes [1] - 113:3 closest [1] - 124:3 closing [1] - 29:14 CLR [1] - 129:23 CO [8] - 24:24, 25:18, 52:25, 90:16, 90:22, 91:5, 92:9, 92:18 codify [1] - 45:16 collecting [1] -101:10 color [1] - 10:5

colored [1] - 70:24

colorized [1] - 10:8

Colorized [2] - 5:4,

10:16

74:11, 74:14,

76:15, 82:25,

87:23, 120:22

compliant [5] - 63:1,

64:11, 64:22, 65:6, combination [2] -63:7, 70:22 66:3 coming [17] - 21:20, complied [6] - 69:3, 30:19, 31:3, 33:23, 69:16, 80:10, 90:23, 92:16, 92:17 34:8, 49:10, 49:23, complies [1] - 83:2 87:8, 87:24, 89:7, comply [11] - 47:7, 104:14, 111:25, 47:9, 49:19, 65:25, 126:5, 126:7, 127:13, 127:20 66:2, 66:4, 66:11, 69:4, 74:10, 83:10, commenced [1] -122:1 92:1 component [5] commencement [1] -19:14, 57:11, 129:6 comment [5] - 16:7, 90:16, 91:5, 124:10 components [1] -28:1, 62:9, 113:2 117:11 commentary [2] comport [1] - 77:1 113:12, 115:18 comported [1] - 50:1 COMMENTS [1] ~ composite [2] - 52:4, 4:15 comments [3] - 71:8, 52:5 concern [22] - 19:11, 72:18, 119:11 23:11, 52:5, 60:20, commercial [2] -61:6, 77:11, 78:1, 25:7, 29:7 84:6, 88:15, 88:18, Commission [1] -89:12, 89:23, 90:8, 129:24 92:21, 98:9, commit [1] - 18:19 103:25, 105:7, committed [1] - 69:4 107:21, 114:1. common [2] - 28:5, 114:8, 114:23, 56:15 117:22 commonly [1] - 8:14 concerned 191 -COMMONS [1] - 1:11 23:24, 39:25, communicated [1] -55:17, 69:6, 83:9, 105:21 91:22, 91:23, communication [3] -109:8, 124:25 81:21, 105:20, concerns [12] -106:22 16:11, 20:10, community [14] -22:17, 23:20, 74:23, 74:25, 75:1, 72:12, 72:15, 75:3, 75:6, 75:7, 75:14, 75:19, 79:5, 78:7, 78:18, 100:8, 89:3, 95:7, 116:16 114:1, 114:21, concessions [1] -124:2, 124:3, 115:24 127:16 conclude [1] - 113:9 Community [1] concluded [1] -113:17 128:25 compared [3] - 14:8, conclusion [1] -14:10, 15:5 124:23 complain [1] - 35:16 concur (1) - 123:2 complaints [2] condition (25) -23:15, 56:18 21:18, 21:25, 22:7, complete [1] - 116:25 22:25, 23:9, 23:13, completely [2] -26:2, 27:8, 28:12, 48:21, 119:2 42:12, 44:5, 44:10, completion [1] -44:17, 44:22, 92:17 45:13, 51:19, complex [1] - 107:22 51:23, 53:7, 69:22, compliance [17] -80:5, 101:16, 37:13, 45:8, 51:11, 117:4, 120:8, 63:13, 73:16, 121:20, 122:11 73:17, 73:19, 74:2, conditions [17] -74:5, 74:7, 74:8,

119:13, 119:21, 119:23, 119:24, 120:1, 121:1, 121:11 condo [1] - 73:9 Condo [1] - 52:3 condominium [1] -66:23 confirm [6] - 44:23, 45:17, 45:24, 68:9, 68:15, 72:22 confirmed [2] - 65:2, 65:5 confirming [1] -72:10 conforming [1] -42:21 confused [1] - 42:3 confusion [2] - 42:8, 43:25 conifer [3] - 70:8, 72:25, 73:6 conifers [1] - 70:24 connection [1] - 94:5 consequence [1] -22:15 Conservation [1] -106:7 conservation [2] -44:18, 70:16 consider [3] - 45:11, 116:20, 121:15 considerable [1] -124:12 consideration [3] -46:15, 78:4, 111:18 considered [2] -41:13, 117:11 consistent [1] - 47:21 constituted [1] -37:20 construct [2] - 52:2, 52:9 constructed [3] -65:15, 82:11, 116:15 construction [40] -23:3, 23:4, 26:12, 26:19, 27:1, 27:21, 55:4, 55:10, 55:21, 55:24, 56:5, 56:9, 56:11, 56:23, 57:9, 57:11, 77:8, 78:11, 83:21, 85:24, 87:19, 89:18, 89:25, 90:11, 91:4, 91:22, 91:24, 92:1, 92:6, 100:18, 102:6, 108:2, 108:3, 116:24, 117:6, 117:7, 22:19, 26:16, 52:1, 118:10, 125:8, 69:16, 69:20, 125:13, 126:7 69:23, 70:2, 92:16, contact [2] - 80:11, 115:23, 117:4, 80:15

contacted [1] - 106:7 contemplated [1] -62:15 context (2) - 86:15. 109:15 continuance [1] -85:16 **CONTINUATION** [1] -1:19 continue [1] - 117:6 continued [3] - 76:5, 86:25, 111:7 continues [5] - 8:5, 20:23, 112:8, 125:11 continuing [1] - 76:9 continuous (1) -87:16 contract [1] - 39:18 contractor [5] -75:20, 105:13, 105:22, 106:6, 106:23 contractors [1] -56:15 contractors.. [1] -121:6 control [6] - 101:19, 102:19, 126:15, 126:25, 127:2, 127.4 controlled [1] - 127:7 conversation (1) -110:4 copy [5] - 47:20, 82:17, 95:21, 95:22, 96:8 correct [25] - 10:10, 14:20, 15:2, 15:12, 15:17, 17:12, 42:25, 43:8, 44:6, 44:7, 45:6, 49:15. 50:13, 50:16, 57:1, 57:11, 62:22, 64:3, 74:3, 78:18, 111:20, 112:11, 119:8, 119:9, 119:10 Correct [2] - 52:22, 73:24 correctly [4] - 11:13, 44:2, 45:12, 67:23 COUNCIL [1] - 1:11 councilman [2] -56:4, 109:1 Councilman [7] - 7:9, 28:21, 112:15, 121:13, 123:23, 127:25, 128:10 COUNCILMAN [67] -2:4, 7:10, 11:8, 11:19, 11:21, 11:25, 12:6, 12:12, 12:16, 12:23, 13:13, 13:21, 14:2,

14:9, 14:17, 14:23, 15:3, 15:7, 15:13, 15:18, 16:6, 18:4, 19:10, 19:13, 21:10, 22:22, 23:19, 24:16, 27:9, 53:19, 55:1, 55:11, 55:14, 56:3, 56:21, 57:7, 57:10, 57:13, 57:16, 61:2, 61:20, 62:1, 62:5, 63:12, 63:20, 64:1, 64:10, 96:12, 108:20, 109:4, 109:7, 110:1, 110:3, 110:15, 111:15, 112:4, 112:18, 112:21, 114:20, 118:15, 119:4, 119:10, 121:9, 122:10, 122:14, 123:24, 128:12 Counsel [1] - 3:7 counsel [3] - 72:13, 129:14, 129:17 count [1] - 50:19 counts [1] - 32:19 **COUNTY #1 - 1:2** county [1] - 87:20 couple [16] - 13:11, 13:14, 27:4, 36:6, 48:10, 50:23, 51:22, 54:7, 54:13, 57:17, 79:22, 90:6, 97:2, 108:21, 112:13, 121:23 Courier [4] - 6:7 course [8] - 26:6, 37:12, 51:18, 62:7, 62:8, 71:25, 81:11, 88:6 COURT [1] - 1:22 Court [2] - 1:23, 129:4 cover[2] - 121:16, 122:7 coverage [5] - 19:20, 21:6, 50:9, 82:8, 85:3 covered [1] - 70:6 COVID [1] - 127:14 created [1] - 78:9 criteria [1] - 57:22 critical (1) - 85:21 cross [1] - 38:21 Cuckels [4] - 110:23, 111:3, 111:21, 112:10 curb [2] - 48:24, 100:23 curious [2] - 44:4, 75:24 current [6] - 11:18, 16:19, 49:17, 103:9, 103:10,

120:25
current-day [2] 103:9, 103:10
customize [1] - 31:8
cut [1] - 77:15
cut-off [1] - 77:15
cutoffs [1] - 78:21
cuts [1] - 78:10

### D

damage [1] - 114:20 damaged [4] - 52:25, 53:8, 120:15, 120:18 dash [1] - 96:6 data [1] - 103:9 date [6] - 10:14, 48:18, 74:6, 102:18, 103:3, 129:12 dated [3] - 74:14, 81:22, 81:23 dates [3] - 42:4, 49:13, 56:1 David [1] - 4:4 **DAVIS** [1] - 3:3 dawn [1] - 25:12 dawns [1] - 53:20 Days [3] - 34:20, 97:23, 98:4 days [2] - 103:16, 103:21 davs' [1] - 6:24 daytime [3] - 40:4, 125:16, 125:17 de [2] - 39:20, 58:14 deadline [1] - 86:22 deaf [1] - 31:19 decade [1] - 70:12 December [4] - 6:3, 9:2, 49:21, 123:21 DECEMBER [1] -1:15 deciduous [3] - 70:9, 70:20, 70:25 declare [1] - 120:4 decreased [1] - 32:21 decreasing [1] - 84:3 deep [1] - 14:10 defer [2] - 45:20, 83:4 definitely [4] - 28:19, 107:13, 112:12, 126:20 degree [1] - 126:6 deliberate [1] -121:11 deliberating [1] -126:14 deliberations 113 -122:21 deliveries [4] - 39:14, 39:16, 39:20.

125:15

demand [1] - 127:11

deny [1] - 121:8 DEP [4] - 21:2, 49:21, 50:3, 116:23 Department [1] - 6:20 department [3] -13:23, 49:9, 108:10 depicting [1] - 104:8 Depot [1] - 111:4 depth [5] - 14:9, 14:12, 14:13, 14:14, 14:15 derived [1] - 62:17 describe [3] - 42:10, 95:2, 104:3 DESCRIPTION [1] -5:2 deserve [1] - 126:9 design [6] - 19:24, 21:21, 28:15. 76:25, 77:1, 87:17 designed [4] - 19:22, 28:3, 62:13, 116:18 desirable [4] - 58:10, 59:21, 121:20, 127:16 desire [1] - 124:8 despite [1] - 57:23 detail [1] - 64:25 detailed [2] - 63:5, 67.22 details [2] - 72:11, 75:17 detention [7] - 16:1, 49:2, 72:24, 72:25, 104:18, 106:14, 117:12 deterioration [1] -109:11 determine [2] -103:10, 120:12 determined [1] -83:20 detriment [2] - 58:4, 58:18 detriments [1] -58:20 developed [1] -113:19 developer [7] - 9:8, 26:20, 75:15, 113:21, 113:22, 115:15, 118:23 developing [1] -99:14 development [4] -89:24, 104:12, 104:16, 113:24 DEVELOPMENT [1] -1:5 Development [6] -3:17, 3:19, 3:21, 8:14, 9:5, 9:8 developments [4] -

demoed [1] - 48:21

72:20, 114:6, 114:11, 118:18 deviation [4] - 37:9, 38:5, 38:19, 58:14 deviations [1] - 45:14 device [1] - 94:19 diagram [1] - 15:10 diameters [1] - 28:6 difference [2] - 13:15, 50:18 different [8] - 22:1, 22:8, 29:5, 62:15, 65:18, 104:10, 117:13, 117:18 difficult [1] - 109:14 difficulty [1] - 109:9 diffuse [1] - 28:12 diffused [1] - 28:13 DIFRANCESCO [1] -3:3 DiFrancesco [1] -8:20 digital [1] - 95:22 digitally [1] - 94:19 diligence [1] - 72:10 dimension (3) -11:16, 64:16, 67:2 dimensions [1] -64:16 diminished [1] -16:14 diminishing [1] -18:17 dimmable [2] - 25:1, 77.6 dimmed [2] ~ 29:14, 29:15 direct [1] - 96:22 directing [1] - 103:1 direction [3] - 5:6, 96:12, 96:17 directions [3] - 16:21, 18:7, 111:20 directly [1] - 104:18 dirty [1] - 57:6 Disabilities [1] - 6:18 disability [2] - 6:17, 6:19 disappointed [1] -125:10 discharge [1] - 20:24 discourage [1] -120:4 discuss [1] - 23:8 discussed [2] - 73:1, 105:5 discussing [1] -105:6 discussion [1] -83:17 discussions [2] -80:12, 123:7 disrepair [1] - 79:22 distance [3] - 51:4,

59:8, 104:13 District [1] - 106:7 disturbed [1] - 40:10 divided [1] - 11:21 DO [2] - 129:9, 129:13 documented [1] -110:13 domino [1] - 87:18 Done [1] - 10:19 done [17] - 22:15, 25:3, 34:25, 36:24, 52:11, 57:5, 67:25, 83:9, 85:24, 89:24, 104:25, 110:25, 111:9, 111:10, 118:8, 118:19, 127:8 doors [1] - 60:15 doorways [2] - 60:4, 60:13 dormant [1] - 48:15 DOT [4] - 34:18, 35:3, 35:11, 35:16 dot [1] - 38:21 double [1] - 46:3 double-check [1] -46:3 doubt [1] - 127:19 down [6] - 14:14, 19:5, 32:20, 100:5, 101:6, 111:3 downpour [1] -103:22 downstream [6] -109:2, 110:25, 111:10, 111:11, 111:13, 118:11 Doyle [2] - 19:17, 36:25 DPW [1] - 110:8 draft [1] - 22:18 drainage [17] - 26:24, 73:15, 73:21, 76:14, 83:14, 99:13, 100:9, 109:24, 114:14, 114:18, 114:24, 115:11, 115:14, 116:17, 116:24, 117:10, 117:18 drains [1] - 100:7 drive [1] - 33:17 driven [2] - 56:5, 61:17 driveway [2] - 13:7, 18:11 driving [2] - 33:24, 33:25 drops [1] - 19:4 dry [6] - 20:2, 89:9, 103:16, 103:21, 114:16, 117:13 due [3] - 40:10, 50:2, 72:10

dug [1] - 19:6 duly [1] - 129:7 dumpsters [1] - 40:7 during [16] - 26:19, 27:1, 29:1, 29:11, 32:18, 40:3, 45:8, 45:10, 53:23, 55:20, 74:24, 89:24, 89:25, 125:13, 125:16 dusk [1] - 25:12

### Ε

ear [1] - 105:13 early [2] - 56:16, 125:22 easement [3] - 44:18, 70:7, 70:16 east [7] - 11:12, 12:8, 13:1, 13:17, 58:3, 60:14, 95:19 easterly [3] - 11:2, 12:11, 66:16 echo [2] - 69:10, 127:17 edification [1] - 95:2 effect [2] - 20:9, 50:1 effectively [1] - 73:7 efficient [1] - 50:25 efforts [2] - 109:12, 123:12 eight [1] - 97:19 eight-foot [1] - 97:19 either [7] - 23:22, 40:10, 51:21, 60:14, 68:17, 72:23, 121:8 elaborate [1] - 48:13 electric [1] - 46:12 electrical [1] - 46:20 elevation [1] - 17:22 eloquent [1] - 85:18 Email [1] - 3:6 email (1) - 96:7 emergency [1] -88:22 employee [2] -129:14, 129:16 employees [2] -41:10, 41:13 encourage [1] - 108:9 encouraged [1] -72:19 encouraging [1] -113:20 end [5] - 60:14, 76:10, 113:19, 117:8, 127:15 ended [2] - 22:24,

23:13

enforceable [2] -

enforced [1] - 120:3

Engineer [1] - 2:14

70:1, 70:4

ENGINEER [47] -26:1, 28:17, 31:24, 32:2, 32:5, 32:7, 32:10, 48:9, 49:11, 49:16, 50:3, 50:6, 50:8, 50:14, 50:17, 50:22, 51:1, 51:16, 51:19, 52:1, 52:13, 52:20, 52:23, 53:4, 53:10, 53:17, 56:4, 57:2, 61:11, 61:22, 62:4, 69:14, 69:19, 102:2, 102:5, 108:8, 108:13, 109:13, 110:2, 110:7, 112:2, 112:12, 112:20, 115:22, 116:11, 118:6, 119:9 engineer [12] - 8:1, 24:23, 25:16, 25:19, 32:19, 32:22, 33:20, 48:8, 65:5, 68:24, 72:14, 77:9 engineering [3] -65:1, 108:9, 109:21 engineers [2] - 83:5, 92:12 enhance [1] - 51:8 enjoyment [2] -16:13, 18:17 ensure [3] - 31:2, 97:2, 99:8 entails [1] - 41:9 entire [3] - 42:2, 43:11, 90:14 entirely [1] - 83:10 entirety [1] - 104:16 entrance [4] - 13:9. 32:7, 60:12, 107:17 environment [1] -58:10 equipment [8] - 6:23, 56:16, 61:7, 61:16, 62:12, 63:10, 89:21, 98:19 equity [1] - 124:13 eraser [1] - 22:10 erosion [1] - 102:19 error [2] - 42:18, 42:20 escalation [2] -80:17, 80:23 escape [1] - 25:23 especially [3] - 27:5, 125:1, 125:12 ESQUIRE [2] ~ 2:12, 3:3 essentially [8] -

11:11, 13:5, 13:9,

78:10, 111:19

established [2] -

84:17, 84:20

13:19, 14:21, 38:8,

95:11

explain [1] - 42:15

explained [1] - 37:13

Estate [2] - 3:19, 3:21 estate [1] - 9:7 estimate [1] - 55:8 et [1] - 112:14 EV [1] - 47:2 evening [18] - 6:1, 8:12, 8:16, 8:21, 9:15, 26:5, 29:2, 32:13, 34:1, 37:19, 54:17, 69:25, 71:14, 71:16, 81:9, 94:2, 104:9, 113:10 evenings [1] - 125:23 evenly [1] - 11:22 event [3] - 109:17, 114:22, 121:16 events [2] - 104:22, 110:12 eventually [1] - 73:6 everyday [1] - 33:18 everywhere [1] -126:4 eviction [1] - 125:15 evolving [2] - 83:8, 83:22 exact [2] - 65:11, 65:16 exact.. [1] - 59:11 exactly [3] - 29:20, 42:15, 127:21 example [1] - 22:13 exceeding [1] - 63:6 except [2] - 25:10, 64:16 exception [1] - 69:22 excess [1] - 21:20 excited [1] - 126:8 excluding [1] - 6:25 exhausted [1] - 67:12 exhibit [2] - 10:12, 96:7 Exhibit [2] - 10:16, 96:16 exist [2] - 69:23, 84:8 existent [1] - 19:9 existing [11] - 16:1, 16:24, 19:4, 21:1, 38:14, 48:20, 52:17, 52:19, 53:8, 117:3, 120:15 exists [1] - 93:8 expect [2] - 24:18, 55:4 experience [3] -55:19, 64:8, 88:25 experienced [8] -74:19, 74:24, 75:4, 75:7, 101:21, 101:22, 103:13, 103:14 experiencing [1] -

express [2] - 76:12, 113:16 extended [3] - 40:17, 41:8, 126:12 extended-stay [2] -40:17, 126:12 extent [4] - 16:21, 18:9, 69:2, 120:21 extinguish [1] - 25:9 extra [2] - 100:10, 119:6 extreme [1] - 110:12 extremely [3] - 19:8, 63:4, 125:12 eye [2] - 26:7, 107:11 eyeballing [1] - 68:16

F F.A.R [1] - 126:23 facade [1] - 64:18 faces [1] - 89:20 facilities [4] - 19:24, 35:20, 48:20, 102:8 facing [1] - 95:5 fact [5] - 22:20, 49:12, 70:3, 112:9, 126:22 factor [1] - 61:18 failure [2] - 108:22, 109:11 fair [3] - 21:15, 106:25, 107:1 fairly [2] - 114:16, 116:21 faith [1] - 24:18 fall [1] - 91:16 falling [1] - 97:4 family [1] - 98:23 far [8] - 12:25, 24:1, 41:1, 69:5, 91:22, 92:6, 98:3, 98:16 FAR [1] - 126:23 fast [1] - 103:24 feature [2] - 21:2, 63:1 features [2] - 28:19, 117:18 February [1] - 6:6 FedEx [1] ~ 39:21 feedback [1] - 37:5 feet [57] - 11:1, 11:2, 11:3, 11:10, 11:17, 11:18, 12:9, 12:10, 12:15, 12:21, 13:11, 13:14, 14:4, 14:7, 14:8, 14:13, 14:15, 15:16, 16:3, 17:16, 17:20, 17:24, 17:25, 18:1, 18:2, 18:21, 21:7, 39:1, 43:2, 43:13, 44:6, 44:11, 44:13, 44:20, 44:21, 44:22, 59:23, 60:7,

60:8, 60:22, 61:8, 61:13, 61:14, 63:3, 63:18, 65:14, 67:19, 68:20, 68:21, 70:11, 70:21, 97:7, 97:8, 97:11, 97:14 felt [2] - 13:24, 111:12 fence [42] - 15:25, 16:1, 16:4, 16:5, 17:8, 18:3, 18:20, 18:25, 19:3, 21:21, 21:22, 25:20, 51:23, 52:3, 52:7, 52:9, 52:17, 52:19, 52:21, 52:24, 52:25, 53:8, 78:10, 78:13, 78:15, 79:19, 79:21, 79:23, 92:23, 93:6, 93:10, 93:18, 93:20, 93:24, 97:19, 106:12, 114:11, 117:4, 120:15 fence's [1] - 78:11 fencing [8] - 15:20, 17:5, 18:13, 24:17, 51:20, 90:25, 120:6, 120:18 few [1] - 48:15 field [1] - 97:13 figure [1] - 82:2 fil [1] - 54:2 file [6] - 35:11, 39:3, 39:6, 82:14, 84:1, 84:11 filed [1] - 6:8 fill [1] - 48:17 filled [1] - 51:6 filters [1] - 20:5 FINAL [1] - 1:8 Final [3] - 8:25, 38:9, 119:16 final [3] - 20:10, 27:2, 84:12 finally [2] - 79:19, 120:24 financially [1] -129:17 fine [3] - 40:12, 56:7, 80:21 finish [1] - 55:13 finished [2] - 60:3, 118:9 fire [8] - 13:22, 49:9, 56:16, 61:6, 61:7, 61:15, 61:21, 62:2 firefighting [2] -13:24, 61:16 firmly [1] - 18:19 first [9] - 42:17, 80:16, 88:24, 90:16, 90:19,

92:10, 96:20, 99:16, 113:16 five [3] - 33:10, 35:5, 50:21 fixtures [1] - 25:1 flag [1] - 7:2 flammable [1] - 49:8 flat (3) - 62:10, 62:12, 62:16 FLAUM [1] - 3:3 flexibility [1] - 13:2 flexible [2] - 64:12, 87:16 flip [1] - 63:23 Flood [2] - 19:22, 49:21 flooded [2] - 107:23, 109:21 flooding [1] - 107:19 floor [5] - 21:8, 60:3, 78:17, 96:22, 97:6 floors [1] - 73:9 Florio [1] - 2:13 flow [2] - 110:23, 112:10 flowing [1] - 99:19 flows [1] - 100:6 focus (1) - 126:24 focusing [1] - 21:13 FOLK [2] - 4:4, 9:17 folk [1] - 10:11 Folk [12] - 9:13, 9:15, 31:12, 35:13, 40:18, 41:17, 57:21, 58:23, 67:11, 71:12, 102:6, 113:2 Folk's [2] - 43:9, 81:5 Folk.. [1] - 46:6 follow [1] - 108:20 follow-up [1] - 108:20 followed [2] - 9:5, 21:24 following [1] - 93:3 follows [1] - 10:2 food [1] - 39:21 foot [14] - 24:3, 59:10, 59:12, 59:13, 59:14, 59:16, 60:5, 68:14, 72:23, 77:14, 77:21, 77:22, 77:23, 97:19 foot-candle [2] -24:3, 77:14 foot-candles [3] -77:21, 77:22, 77:23 footage [1] - 32:20 football [1] - 97:12

footprint [2] - 10:24,

84:3

FOR [1] ~ 1:3

forces [1] - 127:6

foregoing [1] - 129:9

foresee [1] - 100:25 forever [1] - 23:3 forgot [2] - 34:4, 34:14 formally [1] - 91:25 forth [2] - 122:2, 129:12 forward [12] - 11:5, 65:3, 69:17, 71:12, 76:16, 80:13, 81:6, 85:20, 86:9, 100:14, 122:8, 124:19 four [1] - 106:12 fourth [2] - 99:20, 107:8 frame [1] - 57:12 frank [1] - 59:24 frankly [1] - 53:1 free [2] - 38:11, 81:6 frequency [1] -109:18 frequent [1] - 76:18 Friday [1] - 56:7 front [6] - 14:17, 14:23, 19:2, 29:5, 47:11, 47:13 fruition [1] - 121:17 full [6] - 20:16, 41:14, 68:1, 68:4, 116:19, 126:15 full-time [1] - 41:14 fully [4] - 26:24, 79:7, 101:2, 116:14 function [3] - 116:13, 117:8, 118:10 functional [1] - 102:9 functioning [3] -116:14, 117:5, 117:23 FURTHER [2] - 129:9, 129:13 furthest [1] - 52:24 future [3] - 80:14, 83:10, 83:23 G

garbage [3] - 39:14, 57:12, 57:15, 39:25, 125:16 59:17, 59:19 Gardens [1] - 110:4 gas [2] - 34:15, 49:5 gate [4] - 93:1, 93:18, 60:8, 103:24 93:23, 93:24 Group [1] - 2:16 gates [1] - 92:24 general [3] - 55:8, 99:19, 113:12 generally [3] - 61:13, 107:5, 119:22 24:19, 27:16, genesis [2] - 10:20, 61:12 38:16, 38:23, gentleman [3] -84:25, 105:8, 55:15, 56:22, 110.16 genuinely [1] - 77:19

GEORGE [2] - 4:4, guest [2] - 64:7, 64:8 guests [3] - 27:12, George [6] - 9:13, 30:13, 40:9 36:20, 48:11, guide [2] - 45:21, 66:25, 100:15, 46:25 105:12 guys [5] - 59:4, gigantic [1] - 20:5 59:15, 71:2, 95:10, given [3] - 6:5, 78:4, 96:25 120:20 glad [3] - 29:18, Н 51:24, 127:11 half [13] - 11:11, God [1] - 116:8 17:15, 17:25, 18:1, governance [1] - 86:9 25:9, 29:5, 59:11. government [2] -59:12. 59:13. 84:17, 86:5 59:14, 59:16, 60:6, grade [5] - 64:20,

9:17

65:21, 67:18, 67:19

graded [1] - 20:11

grading [1] - 67:16

grandfathered [1] -

grant [5] - 43:15,

115:1, 115:7

112:14, 113:22,

granted [8] - 38:12,

39:5, 43:16, 85:18,

86:2, 86:24, 91:25,

grass [2] - 51:14,

great [2] - 58:12,

greater [1] - 11:6

green [7] - 19:23,

19:25, 49:18,

51:17, 70:23,

70:25, 126:4

greatest [2] - 14:14,

grateful [1] - 113:18

84:8

92:10

126:4

123:17

16:21

60:22 halfway [1] - 13:10 Hampton [1] - 20:20 hand [2] - 47:12, 71:23 handicap [1] - 47:15 handle [5] - 80:13, 82:12, 100:9, 102:18, 117:25 happy [1] - 102:22 hard [5] - 56:20, 60:23, 70:13, 106:20, 116:11 hauler [1] - 39:19 Hazard [2] - 19:22, 49:21 headlights [6] - 19:7, 23:24, 24:7, 24:9, 25:21, 27:7 hear [9] - 11:13, 22:9, 29:23, 89:21, 108:6, 125:8, 125:10, 127:11, 127:12 heard [10] - 6:13, 16:11, 24:10, 76:19, 83:19, 84:14, 84:25, 102:6, 114:13, 123:6 HEARING [1] - 1:19 hearing [20] - 6:15, 9:16, 26:7, 51:22, 54:11, 70:14, 71:21, 71:25, 79:6,

79:20, 80:1, 80:20,

81:12, 88:7, 90:10,

94:6, 95:23, 106:8,

120:21, 124:1

heart [1] - 81:15

57:12, 57:15,

59:17, 59:19

height [40] - 28:8,

42:17, 42:21,

Heflin [1] - 9:6

hearings [1] - 6:12

HEFLIN [11] - 3:20,

39:16, 39:18, 40:9,

41:15, 55:9, 55:12,

greeninfrastructure [1] -49:18 greenery [1] - 96:25 greets [1] - 29:20 GREG [11] - 3:20, 39:16, 39:18, 40:9, 41:15, 55:9, 55:12, ground [6] - 19:6, 55:5, 59:3, 60:5, grow [2] - 73:6, 90:5 growth [1] - 17:4 guess [21] - 10:5, 15:9, 16:6, 24:6, 29:25, 30:8, 36:19, 43:10, 52:13, 53:4, 66:24, 74:17,

91:14, 92:11, 112:4

42.22, 43:1, 43:4, 44:16, 45:25, 57:18, 57:20, 58:8, 58:15, 58:25, 59:1, 59:23, 61:19, 62:19, 63:3, 63:24, 64:1, 64:16, 64:19, 64:21, 64:22, 65:10, 65:11, 65:16, 65:18, 66:7, 66:9, 67:23, 68:4, 68:5, 68:7, 68:8, 69:6, 73:6, 77:15, 97:6, 115:5 heights [3] - 59:20, 62:16, 70:21 help [2] - 102:20, 112:5 helpful [2] - 54:10, 106:4 helping [2] - 83:14, 101:13 helps [1] - 58:9 hence [1] - 87:22 HENRY [1] - 2:7 hereby [1] - 129:6 hereinbefore [1] -129:12 **HERITAGE** [1] - 1:5 Heritage [2] - 8:12, 8:22 high [2] - 16:4, 18:21 higher [1] - 64:8 highest [1] - 66:8 highly [1] - 112:22 history [1] - 110:9 holding [1] - 101:11 holidays [1] - 6:25 Home [1] - 111:4 home [2] - 86:4. 89:19 homeowner (1) -115:9 homes [3] - 109:9, 111:12, 114:3 honest [1] - 21:15 honestly [1] - 102:12 hook [1] - 94:23 hope [4] - 18:6, 76:13, 77:19, 98:7 hopeful [1] - 127:13 hopefully [1] - 124:20 hoping [1] - 126:1 Hospitality [3] - 3:17, 8:13, 9:4 HOSPITALITY [1] -1:5 hotel [24] - 13:8, 16:17, 25:11, 34:18, 34:22, 34:25, 35:22, 36:17, 37:18, 37:20, 38:7, 39:23,

40:17, 48:22, 73:8,

79:11, 84:4, 104:13, 104:15, 116:21, 120:5, 120:8, 126:12 Hotel [4] - 9:9, 10:21, 10:23, 19:3 hotels [6] - 20:3, 25:11, 29:10, 29:11, 32:24, 98:18 Houlihan's [1] - 30:8 hour [2] - 25:9, 29:13 hours [5] - 28:25, 40:4, 56:5, 108:2, 125:9 hours' [1] ~ 6:19 house [1] - 110:20 housekeeping [3] -42:6, 53:22, 55:2 houses [1] - 111:11 Hurricaine [1] - 74:20 hurricane [1] -114:17 Hurricane [1] - 74:16 hurricanes [1] -76:17 hurt [1] - 103:21 HVAC [1] - 62:20

I's [1] - 38:21 I.. [1] - 121:22 ice [1] - 118:20 Ida [2] - 74:16, 74:20 identification [1] -96:18 identified [4] - 31:3, 42:20, 111:22, 124:6 identifies [1] - 78:8 identify [3] - 24:25, 77:10, 77:14 idling [1] - 56:17 illuminated [1] -25:12 image [1] - 104:8 images [2] - 95:4, 108:21 imagine [3] - 32:19, 39:10, 126:17 immediate [1] - 97:8 immediately [1] -29:20 impact [7] - 14:6, 24:10, 24:12, 24:13, 78:23, 79:2, 123:15 impacted [1] - 124:4 impacting [1] - 57:1 impacts [7] - 21:16, 21:17, 26:9, 27:6, 56:22, 60:1, 121:2 impairment [1] -58:14

impervious [3] -

19:20, 21:6, 85:3 implement [2] -121:1, 125:6 implemented [1] -85:23 important [7] - 19:14, 27:20, 28:22, 55:2, 124:10, 125:12, 125:23 imposed [2] - 46:17, 70:3 improve [4] - 37:1, 112:11, 112:17 improved [2] - 44:21, 45:5 improvement [4] -38:19, 39:7, 42:13, 126:8 improvements [12] -26:13, 37:20, 82:12, 83:13, 90:14, 92:2, 92:14, 100:19, 103:8, 106:16, 115:4, 122:9 improving [4] - 76:6, 88:19, 110:23, 111:3 in-need-of [1] -111:19 inappropriate [2] -87:15, 111:12 inch [1] - 59:5 inclined [2] - 125:24, 127:22 include [1] - 121:3 included [2] - 26:3, 72:23 including [1] - 64:17 incorporated [1] -56:2 incorrectly [1] - 44:3 increase [3] - 35:9, 38:25, 85:8 increased [1] - 82:10 increases [1] - 86:12 indeed [1] - 83:5

independent [1] -

indicate [1] - 46:24

indicated [1] - 79:11

indicating [1] - 65:25

indication [1] - 25:5

individual [5] - 6:18,

6:22, 43:17, 43:19,

individuals [1] - 6:16

industry [2] - 126:12

54:15, 80:15, 106:4

informed [2] - 75:25,

infrastructure [5] -

19:23, 19:25,

information [3] -

109.9

43:23

110:23

initial [1] - 119:25 inlets [2] - 20:11, 49:1 INN [1] - 1:5 Inn [17] - 8:12, 8:22, 10:22, 10:25, 11:18, 15:11, 20:20, 34:21, 42:2, 43:5, 43:7, 45:25, 69:3, 69:10, 97:24, 98:4, 120:2 inside [1] - 125:19 insight [1] - 110:16 inspected [1] - 20:14 inspection [1] - 27:2 inspections [1] -108:15 install [2] - 47:4, 120:6 installed [10] - 20:6, 20:8, 22:14, 48:24, 49:3, 49:4, 52:7, 52:11, 102:9, 106:13 instance [1] - 86:4 instead [1] - 108:4 integrated [1] - 28:19 integrity [1] - 86:14 intended [1] - 24:17 intensities [1] - 77:14 intensity [3] - 25:19, 78:22, 120:11 intention [1] - 113:22 interconnected [3] -111:16, 112:3, 112:19 interested [1] -129:17 interesting [1] -111:16 interim [1] - 102:25 interior [1] - 56:25 interject [1] - 108:25 interpret [1] - 86:24 intersection [1] -109:20 invest [1] - 124:12 investigate [1] -109:23 invoked [1] - 122:8 involve [1] - 80:11 involved [2] - 26:11, 76:24 is.. [1] - 46:18

isolated [1] - 110:11

issuance [1] - 120:9

issue [12] - 22:21,

25:15, 26:24,

56:15, 61:16,

102:16, 106:10,

106:23, 106:24,

109:18, 114:9,

114:15

49:18, 84:7, 85:21

issued [6] - 27:3, 90:16, 90:22, 91:5, 92:18, 120:17 issues [24] - 26:6, 26:18, 26:21, 26:25, 52:16, 74:23, 75:4, 75:20, 75:24, 76:2, 76:4, 76:9, 76:13, 80:9, 80:12, 99:13, 103:13, 106:18, 110:11, 114:2, 116:12, 116:23, 124:6, 124:17 item [2] - 42:15, 55:2 items [2] - 42:7, 49:5 itself [6] - 26:14, 28:16, 34:7, 84:15, 97:12, 101:2 IV [1] - 2:14

J jam [3] - 22:23, 23:20, 34:13 **JAMES** [1] - 2:5 Jeff [2] - 9:3, 9:6 JEFF [9] - 3:17, 59:8, 62:9, 62:22, 63:8, 64:6, 65:23, 106:3, 106:6 Jersey [5] - 1:24, 3:4, 8:21, 76:18, 129:5 JERSEY [2] - 1:2, 1:12 JOHN [17] - 81:9, 81:14, 81:19, 82:15, 82:18, 83:4, 83:16, 84:13, 85:6, 85:12, 86:7, 86:19, 86:23, 87:3, 87:6, 87:14, 110:19 John [3] - 4:20, 81:19, 110:19 joint [1] - 41:23 joked [1] ~ 16:16 jump [1] - 9:19

K K-N-U-D-S-O-N [3] -71:17, 72:6, 113:15 KATHERINE [1] -2:15 keep [5] - 46:5, 60:12, 60:16, 107:11, 108:22 keeping [1] - 41:7 kind [10] - 15:21, 23:13, 23:14, 42:7, 50:10, 67:17, 95:9, 98:19, 100:12, 126:18 kindly [1] - 114:16 kinds [1] - 98:20 Kirsh [6] - 7:9, 28:21,

121:13, 123:23, 127:25, 128:11 KIRSH [67] - 2:4, 7:10, 11:8, 11:19, 11:21, 11:25, 12:6, 12:12, 12:16, 12:23, 13:13, 13:21, 14:2, 14:9, 14:17, 14:23, 15:3, 15:7, 15:13, 15:18, 16:6, 18:4, 19:10, 19:13, 21:10, 22:22, 23:19, 24:16, 27:9, 53:19, 55:1, 55:11, 55:14, 56:3, 56:21, 57:7, 57:10, 57:13, 57:16, 61:2, 61:20, 62:1, 62:5, 63:12, 63:20, 64:1, 64:10, 96:12, 108:20, 109:4, 109:7, 110:1, 110:3, 110:15, 111:15, 112:4, 112:18, 112:21, 114:20, 118:15, 119:4, 119:10, 121:9, 122:10, 122:14, 123:24, 128:12 known [3] - 8:15, 21:17, 111:23 Knudson [5] - 4:18, 71:17, 72:6, 113:15 KNUDSON [31] -71:16, 72:2, 72:17, 73:5, 73:13, 73:21, 73:23, 73:25, 74:15, 74:18, 74:22, 75:2, 75:10, 75:16, 75:23, 76:3, 77:18, 77:24, 78:14, 78:24, 79:1, 79:13, 79:18, 80:3, 80:7, 80:21, 81:1, 104:5, 104:11, 113:14, 114:22 KUNZMAN [1] ~ 3:3

### L

lack (1) - 64:25
laid (1) - 102:13
land (7) - 9:7, 12:24,
86:8, 86:16, 87:13,
119:7, 123:18
Land (4) - 2:17, 3:19,
3:21, 122:3
lands (1) - 93:10
landscape [5] 15:24, 47:19,
47:21, 51:2, 51:8
landscaped [1] 18:24
landscaping [15] 25:21, 26:22,

90:20, 91:1, 92:15, 92:22, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 114:4, 121:16, 122:7 Lane [2] - 34:5, 34:6 laptop [1] - 94:20 large [1] - 104:22 larger [1] - 97:17 Lars [4] - 4:18, 71:16, 72:5, 113:15 LARS [33] - 71:16, 71:17, 72:2, 72:5, 72:17, 73:5, 73:13, 73:21, 73:23, 73:25, 74:15, 74:18, 74:22, 75:2, 75:10, 75:16, 75:23, 76:3, 77:18, 77:24, 78:14, 78:24, 79:1, 79:13, 79:18, 80:3, 80:7, 80:21, 81:1, 104:5, 104:11, 113:14, 114:22 Last [1] - 88:12 last [18] - 9:16, 36:10, 40:16, 41:9, 51:22, 71:7, 71:17, 71:20, 72:6, 72:15, 79:6, 79:20, 80:1, 82:22, 90:10, 99:12, 107:16 lately [1] - 117:24 laundry [1] - 39:21 law [4] - 46:14, 46:17, 47:7 Law [1] - 122:3 lawn [1] - 51:7 laws [1] - 87:9 layman's [1] - 122:5 layout (1) - 50:25 layperson [1] - 87:14 leaders [1] - 20:2 lean [1] - 123:18 learn 111 - 125:4 least [3] - 54:11, 91:10, 118:24 leaves [1] - 97:3 leaving [3] - 102:13, 102:20, 103:1 lectern [1] - 110:18 LED [1] - 25:1 led [1] - 72:13 Ledger [1] - 6:8 LEDs [1] - 77:5 left [2] - 16:24, 121:19 **LEHRER** [1] - 3:3 length [1] - 11:17 iens [2] - 109:8, 117:7 less [6] - 11:10, 14:6, 14:10, 29:16, 59:21, 121:20

89:16, 90:4, 90:13,

letter [3] - 81:22, 82:6, 82:13 level [4] - 23:21, 80:16, 97:5, 107:7 levels [1] - 25:9 License [2] - 2:22, 129:23 life [1] - 21:25 light [37] - 18:15, 19:7, 21:20, 23:22, 24:25, 25:1, 25:2, 25:15, 25:16, 25:23, 26:4, 26:8, 27:5, 27:10, 27:15, 28:7, 28:8, 28:10, 28:12, 28:13, 28:14, 29:15, 31:22, 57:24, 77:13, 78:4, 78:6, 78:9, 78:16, 78:22, 78:23, 88:16, 115:11, 120:10 lighting [29] - 22:13, 22:14, 23:23, 24:2, 24:25, 25:6, 25:9, 25:19, 28:18, 29:3, 29:19, 29:21, 29:25, 30:7, 30:21, 31:2, 31:9, 76:19, 76:22, 77:1, 77:2, 77:16, 77:20, 78:12, 114:3, 120:11 lights [15] - 18:6, 22:14, 25:5, 25:17, 26:9, 27:6, 28:3, 28:4, 29:1, 29:5, 29:9, 32:3, 77:4, 77:15, 78:10 likely [1] - 57:1 limit [3] - 72:18, 102:20, 120:3 limits [1] - 55:20 line [12] - 12:15, 12:18, 12:20, 13:6, 18:21, 19:2, 27:23, 66:16, 66:18, 78:11, 93:7, 96:22 line-of-sight [1] -96:22 lines [5] - 51:5, 58:4, 69:15, 105:20,

106:22

56:6

125:5

123:20

75:7

listed [2] - 55:25,

listen [2] - 36:10,

listened [2] - 36:11,

live [9] - 15:8, 18:18,

86:3, 88:4, 89:17,

95:5, 98:10, 100:1

living [2] - 27:14,

LLC [12] - 1:5, 1:5,

1:22, 2:13, 2:16, 3:19, 3:21, 4:4, 8:13, 8:14, 8:22, 111:23 Lobster [1] - 20:19 local [1] - 39:19 located [4] - 13:25, 40:22, 40:23, 98:4 location [7] - 14:21, 16:20, 30:15, 58:5, 97:21, 104:4, 124:20 Look [1] - 98:15 look [13] - 17:1, 56:20, 57:18, 62:24, 64:23, 68:19, 73:8, 73:9, 104:17, 107:4, 110:14, 110:22, 122:25 looked [6] - 36:15, 37:8, 89:4, 102:21, 106:21, 126:3 looking [18] - 13:8, 16:15, 16:18, 24:2, 24:19, 38:9, 43:10, 47:19, 56:13, 60:3, 67:15, 76:13, 77:20, 98:24, 112:14, 119:15, 124:12, 124:18 looks [4] - 24:14, 67:16, 68:17, 121:12 lost [3] - 14:16, 14:24, 33:10 LOT [1] - 1:6 loudness [1] - 40:2 low [1] - 77:15 lower [1] - 59:5 luck [1] - 128:23 lumens [1] - 77:22 lush [1] - 71:4 М

M-E-R-T-E-N [1] -81:20 MAGURA [6] - 2:5, 7:14, 121:14, 122:18, 122:23, 128:14 Magura [5] - 7:13, 122:20, 122:22, 128:4, 128:13 main [1] - 13:9 maintain (1) - 120:7 major [1] - 84:9 **MAJOR** [1] - 1:8 make-ready [1] - 47:2 manage [1] - 119:8 managed [t] - 103:4 management [10] -49:1, 60:10, 81:23, 82:9, 83:3, 84:7,

86:13, 100:25, 123:14, 125:1 mandated [1] - 62:10 manholes [1] - 89:8 manner [1] - 26:17 map [1] - 104:17 March [1] - 81:24 mark [1] - 10:13 MARK [1] - 2:12 marked [2] - 10:17, 96:18 market [1] - 77:5 marquis [1] - 124:20 material [1] - 52:5 materials [1] - 49:8 matters [1] - 112:25 MAURIZIO [1] - 2:2 max [3] - 59:23, 63:21, 68:8 Mayor [1] ~ 7:8 McKay [5] - 9:3, 59:8, 64:6, 106:3, 106:6 MCKAY [5] - 3:17, 62:9, 62:22, 63:8, 65:23 mean [30] - 23:3, 23:4, 24:16, 38:11, 41:5, 52:10, 53:13, 55:20, 56:24, 59:2, 59:11, 60:4, 60:19, 61:9, 63:18, 82:13, 85:25, 91:8, 92:7, 92:9, 97:10, 100:17, 101:1, 103:15, 103:16, 107:2, 107:3, 107:9, 107:12, 110:7 means [1] - 79:9 measure [2] - 64:19, 102:25 measured [2] - 66:6, 77:22 measurement [1] ~ 68:5 measures [2] - 55:24. 102:19 mechanical (1) -63:10 mechanisms (1) -49:2 meet [5] - 36:13, 58:25, 59:3, 73:18, 102:22 MEETING [1] - 1:3 meeting [7] - 6:3, 6:4, 6:21, 117:2, 118:7, 120:25, 123:21 Meetings [1] - 6:6 meets [1] - 31:6 MEMBER [57] - 7:14, 7:16, 7:18, 7:22, 27:22, 27:25, 28:20, 31:14,

31:17, 31:21, 31:25, 32:3, 32:6. 32:9, 32:11, 32:23, 33:5, 33:8, 33:12, 33:15, 34:6, 34:20, 35:7, 35:15, 35:23, 36:2, 36:6, 36:8, 38:1, 39:13, 39:17, 39:24, 40:5, 40:15, 45:17, 58:24, 59:18, 59:22, 60:9, 87:4, 104:24, 115:25, 116:3, 116:6, 118:5, 118:13, 118:17, 121:14, 122:18, 122:23, 123:5, 123:10, 124:25, 128:14, 128:16, 128:18, 128:20 **MEMBERS** [1] - 2:1 members [5] - 71:11, 81:4, 92:5, 113:1, 124:3 memo [6] - 41:24, 42:20, 42:23, 44:1, 54:9, 54:18 mentioned [5] -42:19, 48:11, 63:2, 66:6, 96:24 Mercedes [2] - 34:9, 34:14 Mercedes-Benz [2] -34:9, 34:14 Merten [3] - 4:20, 81:19, 110:19 MERTEN [17] - 81:9, 81:14, 81:19, 82:15, 82:18, 83:4, 83:16, 84:13, 85:6, 85:12, 86:7, 86:19, 86:23, 87:3, 87:6, 87:14, 110:19 message [1] - 108:11 met [2] - 15:22, 105:13 meter [1] - 78:4 metering (f) - 101:11 meters [1] - 77:13 Michael [1] - 8:19 MICHAEL [2] - 2:4, middle [2] - 14:15, 63:21 might [10] - 24:12, 24:13, 85:21, 85:24, 87:24, 89:9, 90:7, 92:3, 98:19 Millstone [1] - 1:24 mimic [1] - 69:8 mind [3] - 24:5, 41:7, 46:5 mine [1] - 89:13 minimal [1] - 19:8

minimis [2] - 39:20,

minimum [2] - 44:17, 123:15 minor [2] - 39:8, 84:2 minutes [2] - 54:8, 54:13 miserable [1] - 55:19 misleading [1] - 38:4 misremembering [1] - 74:4 missed [1] - 37:14 misunderstanding [1] - 41:22 Mitchel [3] - 4:22, 5:7, 94:11 MITCHEL [30] - 94:2, 94:8, 94:11, 94:20, 94:24, 95:4, 95:16, 95:18, 95:25, 96:4, 96:10, 96:14, 96:20, 97:22, 97:25, 98:8, 99:9, 99:24, 100:1, 101:4, 101:20, 101:25, 103:15, 103:20, 105:1, 105:18, 106:25, 108:6, 108:12, 108:19 mitigate [5] - 22:20, 34:13, 42:8, 106:18, 121:2 mitigation [4] -55:24, 88:16, 90:1, 109:11 mix [1] - 70:20 model [5] - 40:17, 116:7, 116:9, 118:24 models [1] - 118:22 modest [1] - 116:21 modified [1] - 83:8 modify [2] - 120:2, 123:12 Moench [1] - 7:8

moment [1] - 33:24

Monday [2] - 56:7,

money [3] - 100:9,

112:14, 124:13

monitoring [1] -

monitored [2] - 79:7,

monitors [1] - 106:8

months [2] - 55:13,

Morgan [2] - 34:5,

morning [6] - 32:12,

34:1, 40:7, 56:17,

19:25, 20:7, 20:8,

108:11, 125:22

most [11] - 13:25,

20:13, 30:11,

108:11

79:12

114.6

55:19

34:6

39:25, 62:25, 104:22, 124:4, 124:25 mostly [2] - 33:16, 43:24 motion [5] - 121:7, 121:9, 122:15, 122:17, 127:25 motions [1] - 39:5 motor [4] - 19:21, 21:7, 50:9, 126:24 Mountain [1] - 3:4 move [3] - 40:20, 65:3, 100:13 movements [t] - 14:1 moving [4] ~ 58:1, 58:3, 69:17, 124:19 msilbert@ newjerseylaw.net [1] - 3:6mud [5] - 104:14, 104:15, 104:20, 107:18, 126:5 muddy [3] - 99:17, 99:21, 101:1 multi [1] - 70:24 multi-colored [1] -70:24 multiple [1] - 92:8 Municipal [2] - 6:10, 122:3 municipal (3) -108:23, 120:3, 120:22 MUNICIPAL [1] - 1:10 128-6

103:4

needed [4] - 25:10,

needs [8] - 23:16,

26:22, 93:18,

93:22, 93:23,

102:17, 102:21,

negligible [1] - 60:19

neighbor [3] - 17:6,

neighborhood [3] -

124:8, 127:20

55:18, 56:22,

neighboring [2] -

25:22, 120:13

neighbors [6] -

27:19, 80:14,

118:12, 125:12,

net [2] - 35:6, 35:13

neutral [1] - 52:4

never [5] - 34:25,

94:25, 101:20,

101:22, 102:1

11:10, 12:13,

12:14, 14:10,

35:11, 45:15,

52:20, 52:23,

53:24, 54:1, 54:6,

84:2, 84:11, 87:8,

54:15, 72:19, 77:4,

NEW [2] - 1:2, 1:12

new [26] - 6:12, 6:14,

125:18, 126:9

neighbors' [1] -

115:10

127:19

44:16, 57:20, 67:1

### N

91:14, 102:11, 106:12, 106:16, N.J.S.A [1] - 6:6 115:1, 115:11, NAME [1] - 4:17 115:14 name [10] - 34:4, New [5] - 1:24, 3:4, 71:14, 71:17, 72:4, 8:20, 76:18, 129:4 72:6, 81:7, 81:17, news [1] - 112:5 88:11, 88:12, 94:10 News [1] - 6:7 NANCY [1] - 2:17 next [10] - 8:11, 47:6, nature [6] - 82:3, 49:10, 54:10, 83:8, 91:1, 92:15, 70:12, 86:21, 90:6, 121:3, 127:6 99:10, 100:11, nay [1] - 25:17 100:12 near [1] - 109:6 nice [5] - 17:6, 19:18, nearly [1] - 114:14 51:14, 77:16, necessarily [1] - 91:3 127:12 necessary [8] - 6:25, night [7] - 24:24, 24:21, 54:11, 26:4, 27:5, 29:12, 75:22, 77:12, 77:10, 120:10, 108:16, 120:14, 125:17 125:7 NIREN [1] - 2:3 need [19] - 16:20, NJ [1] - 129:23 31:10, 36:9, 40:20, NJDEP [2] - 19:23, 42:7, 45:18, 66:13, 49:22 68:15, 94:2, 103:2, NO [1] - 1:9 103:3, 103:9, nobody [1] - 108:13 110:13, 111:19, noise [1] - 90:1 112:6, 112:7, noisy [1] - 57:6 115:10, 128:1, non [2] - 19:9, 102:9

non-existent [1] -19:9 non-functional [1] -102:9 none [2] - 113:3, 126:17 normally [1] - 26:25 north [5] - 20:18, 21:4, 101:17, 101:18, 107:24 Norway [2] - 71:1, 73:11 Notary [2] - 129:3, 129:24 note [6] - 25:4, 25:13, 31:7, 43:25, 72:13, 125:14 Noted [1] - 69:13 nothing [10] - 35:16, 37:17, 50:23, 60:11, 72:1, 81:12, 83:6, 88:7, 94:6, 129:8 notice [5] - 6:4, 6:7, 6:20, 6:24, 108:15 noticeably [1] - 15:10 notified [1] - 102:16 notify [1] - 106:23 nowadays [1] - 28:3 nowhere [2] - 103:23, 109:6 nuisances [1] - 26:9 Number [3] - 20:22, 97:5 number [8] - 35:9, 42:6, 43:14, 47:25, 48:20, 61:18, 117:13, 117:17 numbers [5] - 11:9, 77:21, 78:1, 83:7, 84:21

0 O'Brien [1] - 9:6 O'BRIEN [1] - 3:18 o'clock [3] - 33:25, 108:3, 108:5 O-1 [5] - 5:6, 96:2, 96:5, 96:9, 96:16 objectionable [1] -26.8 objections [1] -122:24 objector [1] ~ 96:6 obtain [1] - 51:4 obtrusive [1] - 29:16 obviously [4] - 69:25, 102:5, 106:17, 118:22 occupancy [1] -83:20 Occupancy [3] -27:2, 77:9, 120:10 occurrence [1] -

76:18 occurring [1] - 55:21 occurs [1] - 114:23 October [1] - 81:22 OF [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:5, 1:18 off-peak [2] - 35:21, 39:20 off-site [1] - 121:2 offer [1] - 117:1 office [3] - 26:4, 26:11, 102:15 official [1] - 61:21 old [3] - 14:11, 14:13, 118:2 older [2] - 13:4, 91:13 on-site [4] - 102:23, 103:2, 103:8, 117:2 once [4] - 22:13, 49:6, 55:5, 56:24 one [31] - 9:12, 12:3, 12:4, 12:7, 12:16, 16:12, 16:16, 24:9, 24:12, 30:16, 30:19, 41:6, 43:12. 43:13, 44:17, 48:20, 51:19, 52:1, 62:1, 72:12, 75:1, 83:18, 88:24, 92:17, 94:23, 99:10, 108:1, 120:23, 121:18, 121:21 ones [2] - 70:23, 70:24 online [1] - 81:24 Open [1] - 6:5 open [10] - 22:24, 23:13, 51:13, 53:13, 57:24, 71:10, 98:11, 105:20, 106:22, 122:20 open-ended [2] -22:24, 23:13 opening [1] - 53:24 opens [1] - 23:14 operating [2] - 20:15, 119:6 operation (1) - 29:1 operational [2] - 9:5, 20:9 operator [2] - 22:4, 79:11 operators [2] - 27:11, 35:19 opine [1] - 101:24 opinion [5] - 45:19, 51:8, 52:8, 77:17, 97:11 opportunities [2] ~ 112:17, 112:24 opportunity [5] -51:7, 54:12, 76:21,

90:5, 117:2

order [3] - 14:7, 89:12, 90:15 ordinance (21) -15:23, 31:7, 39:7, 49:17, 56:5, 56:13, 58:15, 60:4, 66:2, 66:4, 68:7, 69:4, 69:5, 77:2, 82:21, 82:25, 83:3, 83:11, 84:19, 87:13, 99:7 ordinance-driven [1] - 56:5 ordinances [6] -85:14, 85:15, 85:19, 86:1, 87:24, 116:19 original [12] - 15:5, 32:18, 34:17, 44:13, 45:24, 49:13, 57:19, 62:11, 63:13, 63:14, 90:24, 116:23 originally [7] - 34:19, 37:9, 37:21, 56:6, 69:3, 99:5, 116:18 otherwise [2] -109:19, 111:10 out-of-towner [1] -13:8 outlet [2] - 49:3, 94:17 outlined [2] - 41:23, 43:22 outside [1] - 26:14 overage [1] - 62:19 overall [4] - 11:15, 11:17, 50:18, 83:14 overflows [1] - 88:23 overhead [1] - 27:6 overnight [1] - 40:1 oversee [2] - 26:13, 117:7 oversized [1] -117:12 own [3] - 95:1, 100:9, 119:8 owner [3] - 22:3, 59:6, 119:7 owner.. [1] - 53:3

### P

P.E [2] - 2:14, 4:4
p.m [6] - 6:13, 6:14,
8:9, 56:8, 56:10,
128:25
P.M [1] - 1:16
P.P [1] - 2:15
package [1] - 51:9
pad [2] - 25:7, 57:8
page [2] - 63:23, 82:6
PAGE [3] - 4:2, 4:17,
5:2
pages [1] - 82:3

Pappas [1] - 7:11 parapet [7] - 60:24, 62:14, 64:18, 68:5, 68:8, 68:12, 68:14 parapets [2] - 62:13, 63:2 Park [3] - 3:19, 3:21, 9:8 parking [12] - 18:10, 18:17, 18:20, 19:4, 25:11, 30:12, 47:15, 50:18, 50:19, 78:16, 79:6, 102:10 part [14] - 24:24, 27:21, 30:11. 39:25, 46:13, 46:14, 64:17, 73:2, 77:4, 77:8, 88:15, 91:19, 92:21, 92:22 partially [1] - 116:15 particular (3) -102:16, 104:21, 110:10 particularly (8) -18:6, 21:11, 26:5, 75:6, 76:7, 76:10, 78:1, 84:24 parties [1] - 129:15 passcode [1] - 94:25 past [1] - 114:15 path [1] - 112:8 patient [1] - 126:14 pavement [2] - 20:1, 117:16 PC [1] - 3:3 peak [3] - 35:21, 39:20 PECK [50] - 2:12, 10:7, 10:13, 17:7, 17:10, 17:13, 17:17, 17:20, 22:9, 25:18, 33:2, 38:8, 38:13, 40:3, 40:6, 43:19, 47:1, 47:9, 53:7, 53:11, 53:13, 62:18, 63:11, 66:12, 66:18, 66:22, 67:6, 71:18, 71:22, 72:3, 80:4, 81:10, 81:16, 85:25, 86:15, 86:20, 87:2, 87:7, 88:5, 88:10, 94:4, 94:9, 96:1, 96:6, 119:14, 121:12, 122:5, 122:12, 128:2, 128:5 Peck [3] - 7:25, 21:11, 119:12 peeking [1] - 98:13 peers [1] - 127:18 pending [1] - 49:6

people [8] - 16:15,

16:17, 18:9, 33:16,

34:7, 40:19, 98:21, 98:24 per[1] - 46:17 percent [12] - 20:8, 31:4, 47:5, 48:23, 48:25, 60:13, 60:16, 60:18, 85:8, 99:2, 116:25 perfected [1] - 49:14 perfectly [1] - 37:24 performance [3] -121:16, 121:21, 123:2 perhaps [11] - 24:7, 38:24, 52:14, 67:4, 87:16, 87:21, 95:22, 103:8, 103:9, 111:2, 118:7 period [1] - 55:4 permit (3) - 53:9, 80:6, 120:17 permits [2] - 50:3, 116:23 permitted [2] - 56:6, 56:11 Perrucci [1] - 2:13 person [4] - 16:16, 24:9, 24:12, 24:13 personally (2) -72:18, 72:19 perspective [6] -31:1, 49:12, 49:19, 50:9, 50:10, 91:23 perversely [1] -114:18 pervious [2] - 20:1, 117:16 phase [3] ~ 90:20, 116:15, 127:14 phasing [1] - 90:11 phone [2] - 77:7, 94:19 photo [6] - 96:21, 99:11, 104:21, 105:10, 107:16 Photographs [2] -5:6, 96:16 photos [8] - 96:12, 99:11, 99:12, 103:5, 103:6, 104:24, 109:15, 118:1 pick [3] - 60:18, 125:16, 126:18 picked [4] - 14:3, 15:15, 50:20, 50:22 picking [1] - 20:12 picks [2] - 20:20, 117:6 pickup [4] - 12:1, 39:14, 39:25, 40:1 picture [3] - 107:5, 109:1, 111:17 pictures [4] - 99:23,

114:10, 125:2,

126:4 piling [1] - 99:18 pipe [3] - 20:17, 21:1 piping [1] - 49:1 place [15] - 15:19, 20:1, 48:13, 48:18, 83:11, 85:15, 86:1, 86:16, 87:9, 91:3, 91:6, 100:25, 102:19, 116:20, 129:12 placed [2] - 97:18, 106:17 plan [68] - 9:14, 10:9, 11:15, 13:23, 15:5, 15:24, 16:22, 19:21, 25:5, 36:15, 36:21, 37:2, 37:4, 37:5, 39:2, 39:3, 39:6, 39:11, 42:2, 42:18, 42:20, 43:11, 43:25, 44:1, 46:11, 46:14, 46:22, 47:19, 47:21, 51:3, 51:11, 51:16, 55:25, 56:7, 57:19, 61:21, 63:15, 63:18, 65:7, 67:5, 67:15, 67:17, 67:18, 68:19, 69:25, 72:22, 73:2, 74:13, 77:2, 77:20, 78:8, 83:23, 84:5, 84:9, 84:12, 89:10, 91:3, 91:9, 91:24, 92:14, 112:16, 114:24, 115:8, 115:11, 115:15, 116:23, 120:7 Plan [5] - 5:4, 8:25, 10:16, 38:9, 119:16 PLAN [1] - 1:8 planner [1] - 8:2 Planner [1] - 2:15 PLANNER [26] -33:14, 41:19, 42:16, 42:25, 43:3, 43:8, 43:18, 43:21, 44:8, 44:14, 45:4, 45:7, 45:11, 45:19, 46:1, 46:4, 46:9, 46:21, 46:24, 47:10, 47:13, 47:16, 47:25, 48:3, 64:13, 67:14 PLANNING [1] - 1:1 Planning [4] - 2:16, 6:2, 6:11, 6:20 planning [4] - 80:12, 80:17, 91:20, 118:19 plans [29] - 21:13, 25:13, 26:15, 36:23, 37:10, 42:5, 42:7, 42:11, 64:15,

64:24, 65:1, 67:22, 68:1, 68:9, 68:17, 73:21, 73:23, 74:1, 74:7, 74:10, 74:18, 76:14, 77:21, 78:2, 84:1, 89:6, 91:9, 114:5, 120:20 planted [3] - 91:16, 91:17, 97:17 plantings [3] - 26:23, 91:16, 91:17 play [1] - 116:13 Pledge [1] - 7:3 plug [1] - 94:16 point [20] - 22:3, 28:7, 28:22, 30:16, 30:17, 35:6, 35:9, 50:11, 64:12, 65:22, 66:8, 70:20, 80:23, 83:23, 85:23, 98:16, 102:18, 102:23, 117:19, 119:1 pointed [1] - 95:14 points [4] - 30:15, 30:19, 92:24, 115:21 Policy [1] - 6:12 pollution [2] - 18:15, 19:7 pond [2] - 104:18, 106:14 pool [1] - 100:4 pooling [2] - 99:18, 100:5 portion [5] - 92:18, 104:12, 113:4, 115:18, 126:19 portions [1] - 63:5 position [4] - 13:20, 78:15, 115:9 positions [1] - 115:10 positive [5] - 21:8, 21:9, 35:6, 35:14, 61:17 possible [7] - 16:21, 40:19, 53:2, 64:11, 127:3, 127:5, 127:7 post [2] ~ 23:3, 37:13 post-approval [1] -37:13 post-construction [1] - 23:3posted [4] - 6:9, 8:6, 121:25, 122:6 potential [1] - 87:17 potentially [3] -23:23, 83:22, 124:4 practicable [2] -120:16, 120:25 practice [1] - 55:22 practices [1] - 82:19 precipitation [2] -114:25, 115:12 predicated [1] - 13:5 preference [1] -13:16 PRELIMINARY [1] -1:8 preliminary [1] -84:11 Preliminary [3] -8:24, 38:9, 119:15 prepared [1] - 54:10 preparing [1] - 65:9 PRESENT [2] - 2:1, 2:11 present [5] - 7:25, 9:14, 75:18, 75:21, 117:3 presentation [3] -94:13, 95:3, 95:21 presented [2] - 9:3, 84:22 president [1] - 72:7 President [4] - 3:17, 3:19, 3:21, 9:4 presidents [1] - 9:7 presumably [1] -90:15 presuming [1] -112:5 pretty [10] - 15:24, 51:10, 55:24, 64:18, 73:12, 97:13, 103:16, 107:7, 107:10, 110:12 prevent [1] - 98:25 previous [7] - 13:3, 19:15, 45:14, 50:25, 83:19, 84:25, 91:9 previously [20] -10:1, 33:3, 34:4, 35:12, 38:12, 41:24, 42:11, 43:4, 43:5, 44:10, 45:2, 50:15, 65:11, 65:19, 69:8, 70:3, 71:19, 71:24, 73:2, 103:14 primarily [1] - 119:18 primary [1] - 72:12 principal [1] - 66:14 principle [1] - 119:7 print [1] - 56:8 privacy [13] - 16:20, 18:5, 97:1, 97:8, 97:9, 97:10, 98:7. 99:8, 114:1, 114:2, 123:14, 125:18, 125:20 private [1] - 97:13 78:11, 93:7, 96:13, problem [9] - 24:17, 96:17, 96:23, 97:1, 24:19, 33:17,

33:22, 76:5, 88:17,

103:11, 110:5,

problems [2] -

111:11

100:23, 105:21 PROBST [14] - 2:17, 7:6, 7:8, 7:11, 7:15, 7:17, 7:19, 7:23, 128:8, 128:10, 128:13, 128:15, 128:17, 128:19 Probst [3] - 7:4, 96:8, 128:6 proceed [3] - 8:3, 123:3, 123:8 proceeding [1] -129:10 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:18 process [3] - 27:21, 56:23, 91:20 product [1] - 59:21 Professional [1] -129:5 professionals [5] -23:18, 24:4, 37:6, 41:18, 89:2 **PROFESSIONALS** [1] - 2:11 programmable [1] project [20] - 19:18, 21:9, 26:12, 34:18, 48:24, 77:17, 78:9, 90:14, 90:17, 91:6, 92:19, 109:6, 116:17, 117:12, 118:9, 121:17, 122:8, 122:24, 123:2, 124:14 projections [2] -85:7, 115:12 projects [2] - 121:18, 121:19 promise [1] - 81:14 promised [1] - 90:25 promote [1] - 58:9 proper [2] - 6:7, 112:10 properly [2] - 112:9, 118:10 properties [4] -25:22, 26:7, 87:19, property [35] - 5:6, 8:25, 10:21, 11:4, 12:15, 12:18, 13:10, 16:2, 16:13, 17:23, 17:25, 18:8, 18:21, 19:1, 27:11, 48:13, 51:5, 55:16, 55:17, 57:25, 58:4, 66:16, 66:18,

99:19, 100:6,

101:19, 109:3,

111:22, 113:19

proposed [21] - 9:9,

17:5, 18:13, 31:22, 31:23, 36:8, 37:18, 38:2, 41:3, 42:11, 43:13, 46:19, 50:2, 52:21, 52:24, 82:12, 93:10, 95:6 proposing [1] - 41:5 protect [1] - 23:7 protected [3] - 28:15, 87:12, 123:13 protecting [3] -27:13, 98:23 protection [3] -23:21, 85:22, 86:13 protections [4] -55:18, 118:11, 124:7, 124:17 provide [10] - 21:14, 21:15, 23:21, 62:19, 71:4, 80:16, 95:22, 97:1, 106:3, 120:19 provided [1] - 6:18 provides [1] - 6:19 providing [1] -108:14 provision [1] - 32:1 Public [3] - 6:5, 129:3, 129:24 PUBLIC [2] - 1:19, 4:15 public [12] - 6:12, 6:21, 9:11, 38:5, 51:21, 58:18, 58:20, 71:11, 81:4, 113:1, 113:3, 113:12 pull [1] - 13:7 pulling [2] - 53:9, 80:5 purposes [3] - 25:10, 45:12, 63:25 pursuant [1] - 6:17 purview [1] - 119:5 pushed [1] - 11:5 pushing [1] - 111:11 put [14] - 22:11, 23:1, 28:12, 31:8, 31:17, 69:2, 79:25, 80:22,

14:7, 15:25, 17:4,

# Q

90:20, 91:3, 93:20,

89:16, 90:15,

122:9

quality [2] - 20:4, 49:2 quantities [1] - 15:23 QUESTIONS [1] -4:15 questions [22] -21:13, 31:11, 36:3, 40:14, 41:17, 41:18, 48:5, 48:10,

57:18, 58:23, 67:10, 67:13. 68:25, 71:8, 71:12, 72:21, 81:2, 81:5, 88:15, 95:14, 97:2, 107:25 quick [3] - 53:22, 108:1, 108:25 quickly [2] - 45:24, 72:22 quiet [1] - 17:6 quite [3] - 21:22, 53:1, 102:11 quorum (1) - 8:3 quote/unquote [1] -14:19

### R

R.I [3] - 1:5, 8:12, 8:22 radar [1] - 112:13 rain [14] - 20:2, 75:9, 84:25, 100:10, 101:5, 101:23, 103:17, 103:23, 104:22, 107:10, 114:22, 116:8, 117:24 rain-off [3] - 100:10, 101:5, 101:23 rainfall [4] - 74:19, 84:17, 85:8, 105:25 rains [2] - 100:21, 116:10 rainstorm [4] - 20:15, 107:6, 107:9, 118:20 raise [2] - 60:5, 71:22 raised [8] - 26:6, 28:21, 33:20, 33:21, 42:6, 46:10, 79:20, 80:9 raising [1] - 60:8 rather [4] - 34:10, 70:17, 80:13, 83:13 ratifying [1] - 119:16 rationale [1] - 61:9 rattling [1] - 40:7 reach [4] - 61:7, 61:8, 70:10, 70:21 reached [1] - 124:22 reaching [1] - 107:13 read (1) - 56:7 readily [1] - 118:24 readings [1] - 78:5 reads [1] - 46:17 ready [1] - 47:2 Real [2] - 3:19, 3:21 real [5] - 9:7, 21:25, 53:22, 108:25, 122:23 real-life [1] - 21:25 realistic [1] - 114:25 reality [1] - 37:11

really [29] - 13:5, 13:6, 14:24, 45:23, 57:5, 59:24, 60:22, 62:20, 67:21, 80:11, 80:13, 82:6, 87:3, 89:3, 89:15, 97:11, 100:12, 102:9, 109:14, 115:10, 116:12, 117:10, 117:17, 117:20, 124:1, 125:9, 125:10, 125:22, 126:1 realty [1] - 25:7 rear [8] - 11:3, 12:20, 19:1, 51:21, 70:7, 70:16, 70:18 reason [6] - 60:1, 61:5, 61:6, 65:17, 118:13, 118:17 reasonable [4] - 26:2, 121:1, 122:11, 124:5 received [1] - 37:5 recent [1] - 105:10 recently [2] - 106:7, 106:16 recognize [3] -110:15, 124:11, 126:20 Recognizing [1] -53:23 recommend [1] -92:25 reconsider [1] -115:11 reconstruct [1] -103:3 record [10] - 8:19, 69:2, 69:13, 71:15, 80:1, 80:23, 81:8, 81:17, 94:10, 101:22 recourse [3] - 21:23, 22:2, 22:20 rectangle [1] - 28:6 red [1] - 70:25 Red [1] - 20:19 redeveloped [1] -112:9 redevelopment [4] -111:19, 112:6, 112.8, 112:15 redoing [1] - 100:9 reduce [2] - 25:2, 68:20 reduced [7] - 25:6, 36:12, 56:9, 82:9, 126:22, 126:23, 126:24 reduces [1] - 85:4 reducing [6] - 19:19, 19:20, 35:5, 50:11, 85:2, 85:7

reduction [4] - 21:5,

21:7, 21:8, 35:12 refer (1) - 42:1 reference [4] - 81:23, 81:25, 82:19, 87:18 referenced [1] -69:22 references [2] - 37:3 referred [1] - 44:19 reflect [1] - 120:20 reflected [4] - 42:4, 84:18, 84:21, 85:1 reflecting [1] - 104:19 reflective [2] - 28:10, 28:14 reflector [2] - 28:4, 28:16 regard [1] - 114:7 regarding [1] - 76:19 regardless [1] - 44:20 regional [1] - 9:6 Regional [2] - 3:19, 3:21 Registered [1] -129:5 **REGULAR** [1] - 1:3 regular [1] - 109:18 regularly [1] - 109:21 regulations [2] -86:16, 120:23 related [6] - 38:7, 43:24, 57:18, 68:7, 83:17, 85:20 relates [2] - 91:24, 122:3 relative [2] - 129:14, 129:16 relatively [1] - 12:1 relevance [1] - 67:7 relevant [3] - 69:24, 112:22, 121:5 reliably [1] ~ 68:18 relief [9] - 38:22, 39:4, 41:21, 44:15, 45:15, 45:25, 67:1, 91:25, 119:14 relying [1] - 89:1 remain [4] - 25:12, 29:1, 113:25, 114:23 remaining [2] - 9:12, 70:2 remains [4] - 30:21, 76:11, 114:5, 114:8 remedied [1] - 75:21 remedy [1] - 120:14 remember [2] - 94:25 remembers [1] - 9:1 remind [1] - 30:14 rendering [2] - 10:5, 10:8

Rendering [2] - 5:4,

renters [1] - 30:12

repair [2] - 53:16,

11:18, 15:11, 42:1,

43:5, 43:7, 45:25,

69:3, 69:10, 120:1

Robert [2] - 4:21,

88:3

10:17

residences [2] - 86:4, 79:19 repaired [4] - 53:1, 111:12 53:9, 79:24, 120:16 residency [2] - 120:3, repeat [2] - 11:9, 120:5 12:13 resident [2] - 14:3, replace [1] - 53:15 41:14 replacement [1] residential [2] - 11:6, 10:22 40:22 report [12] - 70:6, residents [15] - 13:1, 74:8, 74:11, 81:23, 15:8, 15:13, 16:9, 81:25, 82:2, 82:6, 18:18, 23:7, 27:14, 82:24, 83:6, 84:21, 29:9, 29:10, 40:1, 76:8, 80:10, 98:23, 109:8, 123:13 **REPORTED** [1] - 2:21 resolution [8] -Reporter [2] - 129:4, 43:22, 45:23, 52:6, 129:5 69:15, 74:10, Reporters [1] - 1:23 74:12, 92:16, REPORTING [1] -122:13 1:22 resolve [1] - 68:18 reports [2] - 86:13, resolves [1] - 67:15 115:21 respect [4] - 36:17, represent [2] - 8:17, 40:10, 61:24, 69:5 representations [2] rest [2] - 83:19, 114:21 65:15, 119:25 representatives [2] restate [1] - 122:12 102:23, 105:14 restaurant [1] - 34:4 result [2] - 26:10, represented (1) -35:13 69:7 representing [1] resume [1] - 54:22 retaining [2] - 18:23, 8:21 19:5 request [5] - 6:16, retention [2] - 70:17, 26:20, 115:6, 117:15 115:13, 121:15 requested [1] - 52:3 returning (1) - 8:16 requests [3] - 31:16, review [14] - 13:23, 36:23, 38:24, 115:3, 119:13 41:24, 42:20, require [7] - 6:23, 42:23, 44:1, 46:11, 35:10, 45:7, 45:15, 51:11, 54:9, 54:15, 87:22, 90:25, 115:14 54:18, 116:19, 120:25 required [9] - 44:21, reviewed [1] - 21:2 45:25, 58:8, 66:10, reviewing [1] - 39:11 85:15, 85:23, 97:17, 99:4, 121:25 revision [1] - 68:19 requirement [13] revisions [3] - 36:21, 36:13, 46:13, 37:4, 37:6 46:16, 47:4, 59:1, right-hand [1] - 47:12 59:22, 59:23, 60:1, riparian [1] - 111:5 61:4, 91:14, rise [1] - 7:1 102:24, 119:7 riverbed [1] - 111:6 requirements [9] -Road (3) - 81:20. 25:8, 58:15, 66:7, 107:17, 107:20 68:6, 73:17, 90:23, road [3] - 108:23, 99:6, 99:7, 122:2 111:5, 111:8 requires [2] - 49:9, roadways [1] -74:12 107:19 requiring [1] - 121:15 ROBERT [13] - 88:3, requisite [1] - 121:24 reside [1] - 81:20 90:18, 91:8, 92:7, 92:20, 93:5, 93:9, Residence [11] -10:22, 10:25, 93:13, 93:15, 93:21

88:9, 88:12, 88:14,

robust [1] - 51:10 role [1] - 16:10 roll [3] - 7:5, 128:1, 128.7 rolling [1] - 89:22 roof [12] - 20:2, 62:10, 62:12, 62:15, 64:20, 64:21, 64:22, 67:23, 68:7, 68:14, 117:14 roofline [1] - 65:24 roofs [2] - 62:16, 102:11 rooftop [3] - 62:12, 62:14, 62:20 room [3] - 34:23, 38:17, 64:9 rooms [8] - 32:24, 32:25, 33:11, 35:4, 35:5, 35:9, 59:20, 64:7 roughly [2] - 11:22, 41:9 route [1] - 111:7 ROUTE [1] - 1:6 Route [8] - 8:15, 13:7, 20:19, 20:20, 20:21, 30:16, 40:25, 111:23 RPR [2] - 2:22, 129:23 rules [3] - 49:22, 49:25, 50:2 run [3] - 18:12, 38:14, 55:12 running [1] - 93:6 runoff [23] - 20:13, 73:23, 74:23, 75:4, 75:11, 75:23, 76:4, 76:7, 76:9, 85:5, 99:15, 100:21, 101:8, 101:10, 101:13, 101:14, 102:10, 102:13, 103:1, 104:15, 114:9, 117:14, 121:3

runs [1] - 111:19

S safe [2] - 29:11, 60:17 safety [2] - 27:13, 62:6 salute [1] - 7:1 sanitary [1] - 49:4 SARMAD [27] - 2:15. 33:14, 41:19, 42:16, 42:25, 43:3, 43:8, 43:18, 43:21, 44:8, 44:14, 45:4, 45:7, 45:11, 45:19, 46:1, 46:4, 46:9, 46:21, 46:24,

47:10, 47:13, 47:16, 47:25, 48:3, 64:13, 67:14 Sarmad [7] - 2:16, 8:1, 45:22, 48:7, 66:5, 67:12, 115:20 Sarmad's [2] - 51:2, 70:6 satellite [1] - 104:17 satisfied [3] - 16:19, 18:13, 115:21 satisfy [1] - 119:12 satisfying [1] - 16:20 Saturday (5) - 56:9, 108:10, 108:14, 108:17, 125:22 Saturdays [1] - 108:2 saw [4] - 37:9, 38:5, 65:13, 97:24 scale [1] - 68:16 Scarlet [1] - 19:17 school [3] - 40:21, 41:2 schooled [1] - 112:24 screen [3] - 62:13, 63:9 screening [3] - 62:20, 63:7, 63:25 SD-1.1 [1] - 62:25 SD-1.2 [1] - 63:23 season's [1] - 105:24 second [12] - 38:25, 43:6, 73:9, 78:17, 82:5, 96:21, 97:6, 99:20, 121:13, 122:16, 122:18, 128:1 Second [1] - 122:19 seconded [1] - 128:2 secondly [1] - 48:23 secretary [2] - 6:20, 95:23 Section [1] - 122:2 secure [1] - 27:11 security [8] - 25:10, 29:18, 29:21, 29:25, 31:1, 79:5, 114:2, 127:2 sediment [1] - 75:11 see [40] - 12:9, 13:8, 20:16, 24:24, 32:12, 33:17, 33:22, 47:16, 54:21, 60:3, 60:23, 62:24, 62:25, 63:23, 65:4, 78:20, 78:22, 84:20, 90:11, 91:11, 92:23, 94:15, 96:22, 99:16, 99:20, 102:12, 104:13, 104:18, 104:20, 107:6, 107:18, 110:9, 111:4, 111:8,

117:3, 118:25, 125:19, 126:4, 126:8 seeing [6] - 75:8, 103:4, 111:17, 113:3, 118:1, 125:1 seeking [2] - 8:24, 84:5 seem [1] - 87:20 seepage [1] - 117:13 send [1] - 96:3 sense [2] - 39:9, 118:4 sensitive [1] - 26:6 sent [1] - 6:7 sentiments [1] -127:17 separate [1] - 52:16 September [2] -74:14, 81:24 series [1] - 89:8 serious [1] - 126:3 service [2] - 50:10 services [1] - 6:23 set [4] - 39:15, 68:1, 122:2, 129:12 setback [3] - 12:21, 45:3, 72:11 setbacks [2] -113:18, 127:10 settles [1] - 101:12 seven [2] - 6:24, 72:23 seven-foot [1] - 72:23 several [2] - 110:21, 116:18 sewer [1] - 49:4 shakes [1] - 112:16 shall [3] - 25:6, 25:12, 120:6 shape [3] - 15:4, 28:6 share [2] - 95:7, 107:15 shared [1] - 94:13 shave [1] - 60:25 Sheet [2] - 44:1, 47:19 shielding [1] - 18:5 shift [1] - 13:11 shifted [1] - 45:10 short [1] - 37:16 shortening [1] -101:8 shorter [2] - 12:5, 59:16 shortly [1] - 23:4 show [10] - 39:2, 44:2, 56:16, 64:15, 68:2, 68:3, 68:4, 100:12, 104:1, 127:8 showed [1] - 127:1 showing [2] - 64:18, 89:10

shown [8] - 14:25, 15:1, 42:10, 46:21, 89:6, 91:2, 120:7, 127:4 shows [3] - 41:24, 44:3, 120:21 shrinking [3] - 51:12, 58:2, 116:21 shrubbery [1] - 18:14 shrunk [1] - 51:3 side [29] - 12:2, 12:3, 12:4, 12:8, 16:2, 18:7, 20:18, 47:12, 60:14, 72:23, 72:24, 78:12, 92:23, 93:17, 93:20, 95:6, 95:11, 95:19, 98:1, 99:17, 100:2, 101:18, 104:3, 106:11, 106:13, 107:23, 107:24, 111:20 sidebar [2] - 112:7, 112:22 sideline [4] - 11:2, 11:3, 11:4, 12:11 sides [1] - 14:25 sight [2] - 13:6, 96:22 signals [1] - 32:5 signed [2] - 74:7, 74:13 significant [5] -15:14, 50:23, 75:11, 84:16, 84:24 signoff [2] - 61:20, 62:2 SIKORA [20] - 2:6, 7:18, 33:8, 36:6, 36:8, 38:1, 39:13, 39:17, 39:24, 40:5, 40:15, 45:17, 58:24, 59:18, 59:22, 60:9, 87:4, 104:24, 124:25, 128:18 Sikora [5] - 7:17, 36:7, 40:14, 124:24, 128:17 SILBERT [75] - 3:3, 8:18, 9:18, 9:22, 10:11, 23:8, 24:1, 32:16, 35:8, 36:14, 38:3, 38:10, 38:15, 40:11, 43:9, 44:24, 45:22, 46:2, 46:7, 54:2, 54:5, 54:16, 54:23, 57:17, 58:6, 58:12, 58:17. 58:21, 59:10, 59:14, 61:23, 62:3, 62:7, 62:23, 63:14, 63:17, 63:22, 64:4, 65:8, 66:1, 66:21, 66:24, 67:8, 69:1, 69:18, 69:21,

72:16, 79:14, 79:25, 80:19, 80:25, 82:7, 82:16, 83:24, 85:10, 90:9, 90:21, 91:21, 92:13, 94:22, 95:1, 95:20, 99:3, 99:22, 99:25, 100:15, 103:6, 103:18, 105:11, 105:19, 106:5, 113:6, 113:9, 121:22, 128:21 Silbert [9] - 8:19, 41:21, 42:18, 44:18, 53:5, 53:22, 69:13, 113:5, 128:22 silt [5] - 102:12, 102:20, 106:12, 114:11, 117:4 Silver [3] - 3:19, 3:21, 9:8 similar [3] - 14:18, 34:23, 48:1 simply [1] - 22:24 simulated (1) - 116:7 single [1] - 43:15 singling [1] - 55:16 sit[1] - 126:14 Site [6] - 5:4, 8:25, 10:16, 25:6, 38:9, 119:16 SITE [1] - 1:8 site [95] - 9:14, 10:9, 11:6, 19:20, 19:21, 20:7, 20:10, 20:13, 20:21, 20:23, 21:6, 23:2, 23:23, 24:2, 26:13, 29:6, 34:19, 34:25, 36:15, 36:21, 37:5, 39:3, 39:6, 39:11, 40:23, 42:2, 42:3, 43:11, 43:15, 43:25, 44:1, 46:11, 46:14, 48:12, 48:14, 48:16, 48:21, 49:9, 51:11, 57:5, 65:1, 67:5, 67:17, 67:18, 69:25, 77:10, 82:10, 83:8, 83:12, 83:15, 83:20, 84:5, 84:9, 84:12, 85:3, 90:14, 91:3, 91:9, 91:24, 92:1, 92:14, 99:14, 100:17, 101:2, 102:5, 102:7, 102:13, 102:21, 102:23, 103:1, 103:2, 103:8, 104:9, 104:10, 104:13, 104:15, 106:16, 115:8, 116:13,

116:22, 117:2, 117:5, 117:21, 118:7, 119:20, 120:24, 121:1, 121:2, 122:1, 122:9, 123:16, 126:7, 126:19 site-based [1] - 23:2 sites [3] - 11:24, 25:7, 121:19 sitting [1] - 17:10 situation [2] - 123:1, 127:5 size [4] - 34:23, 34:24, 58:2, 84:15 skip [1] - 72:9 sleep [1] - 125:21 slide [7] - 96:21, 99:16, 99:20, 100:11, 104:1, 107:4, 107:8 slides [1] - 100:12 slowly [1] - 101:12 smaller [5] - 10:25, 60:21, 83:13, 84:15 smokey [1] - 57:6 Soil (1) - 106:7 soil [1] - 102:19 soils [1] - 117:15 solely [1] - 83:17 solids [1] - 101:12 solution [2] - 75:21, 105:23 solving [1] - 106:24 some.. [1] - 82:4 someone [6] - 16:8, 40:18, 55:7, 79:12, 98:13, 114:16 SOMERSET [1] - 1:2 sometimes [3] -21:17, 22:7, 77:6 somewhat [2] - 76:6, 76:17 somewhere [2] -25:15, 93:24 soon [3] - 53:2, 120:16, 120:25 sooner [3] - 53:1, 53:10, 53:11 sorry [9] - 12:12, 32:4, 93:10, 95:12, 112:6, 112:21, 116:2, 118:14, 118:15 Sorry [1] - 116:3 sort [1] - 62:10 sound [1] - 90:1 sounds [2] - 14:4, 109:16 Sounds [2] - 40:3, 96:14 source [1] - 78:22 south [14] - 10:21, 15:9, 58:3, 72:24,

76:10, 95:6, 95:11, 99:17, 104:3, 104:4, 104:11, 106:11, 107:16, 107:23 southerly [1] - 11:4 southern [2] - 79:22, 113:19 space [6] - 12:13, 12:14, 51:6, 51:13, 51:17, 57:24 spaces [6] - 18:17, 30:5, 47:2, 50:21, 50:23 speaking [4] - 16:9, 56:22, 61:13, 91:7 special [1] - 6:23 species [1] - 48:1 specific [11] - 23:10, 23:11, 23:12, 23:17, 24:5, 28:25, 29:8, 75:3, 92:5, 100:5, 120:1 specifically [1] -126:13 specified [1] - 123:8 speculating [1] -106:9 spell [4] - 72:4, 81:17, 88:11, 94:10 spend [1] - 21:12 spent [1] - 100:8 spillage [1] - 78:6 spilling [1] - 25:22 spillover [2] - 26:9, 120:13 spillway [2] - 88:22, 89:7 split [1] - 11:11 spoken [1] - 42:4 spot [3] - 14:18, 21:20, 29:22 spotlight [1] - 78:20 spots [2] - 18:10, 79:23 spring [1] - 91:18 SpringHill [20] -14:22, 30:2, 30:4, 30:6, 32:24, 33:6, 33:7, 33:9, 36:17, 37:19, 38:6, 42:23, 44:19, 45:3, 58:9, 61:25, 64:2, 64:4, 64:6, 66:17 spruce [2] - 71:1, 73:12 square [2] - 21:6, 32:20 stabilized [1] - 101:2 staff [1] - 108:16 STAFF [1] - 2:11 staffed [1] - 108:11 stand (1) - 118:8

standard [3] - 37:8,

55:22, 119:21 standards [2] - 31:7, 41:25 standing [1] - 50:6 standpoint [2] - 62:6, 65:9 Star [1] - 6:8 Star-Ledger [1] - 6:8 start [4] - 55:13, 108:3, 111:2, 122:22 started [1] - 56:18 starts [1] - 56:25 state [8] - 46:13, 47:7, 71:14, 81:7, 81:17, 88:10, 94:10 STATE [1] - 1:2 State [4] - 35:10, 76:18, 120:22, 129:4 station [1] - 34:15 stations [1] - 46:20 stay [3] - 40:17, 41:8, 126:12 steady [1] - 107:10 steel [3] - 57:11, 57:14, 57:15 Steinhardt [1] - 2:13 Stenographer [1] -31:16 STENOGRAPHICAL LY [1] - 2:21 stenographically [1] - 129:11 sticking [3] - 69:9, 80:1, 99:5 stili [19] - 11:25, 15:15, 15:19, 20:12, 38:20, 42:12, 44:5, 44:6, 44:9, 44:22, 45:7, 46:16, 50:4, 68:4, 72:25, 73:2, 86:25, 102:14, 126:18 stipulate [1] - 25:14 stipulates [1] - 74:11 Stires [1] - 4:4 stones [1] - 31:18 stop [5] - 32:10, 41:2, 77:25, 78:1, 78:2 stories [2] - 61:12, 61:18 storm [3] - 100:7, 109:16, 109:24 storms [1] - 86:11 stormwater [20] -20:9, 48:25, 49:1, 49:11, 49:17, 60:10, 70:17, 81:23, 82:9, 82:21, 83:3, 84:7, 85:20, 86:12, 88:19, 100:24, 102:8,

123:14, 125:1,

story [1] - 61:13 street [1] - 31:22 streetlight [1] - 31:25 Streetlights [1] - 32:1 Streets [1] - 32:2 strike [2] - 27:10, 27:17 structure [4] - 49:3, 56:24, 83:18, 84:15 structures (1) -117:14 study [1] - 77:13 stuff [4] - 15:21, 56:25, 57:6, 89:22 subject [6] - 5:6, 87:8, 96:13, 96:17, 119:19, 121:10 subjective [1] - 24:8 submission [1] -81:21 submit [1] - 74:9 submitted [2] - 5:7, 37:2 subsequent [2] -53:25, 75:23 substantial [10] -15:24, 16:24, 55:25, 58:14, 58:18, 58:19, 73:18, 74:2, 74:5, 85:8 substantially [9] -11:5, 14:5, 18:23, 47:21, 74:1, 83:25, 85:4, 85:7 subsuming [1] -114:2 success [1] - 124:11 sudden [1] - 103:32 sufficient [6] - 24:11, 25:6, 25:21, 27:10, 82:12, 124:7 sufficiently [1] -85:17 suggesting [2] -24:10, 62:18 suggestions [2] -53:14, 121:2 suggests [1] - 126:2 Suites [11] - 9:9, 10:21, 10:23, 14:22, 38:7, 42:23, 44:19, 45:3, 47:14, 57:20, 61:25 summarizing [1] summary [1] - 8:23 summer [1] - 17:3 Sunday [1] - 56:11 sunlight [1] - 98:11 superintendent [1] -110:9

supplemental (1) -

127:5

54:9 support (1) - 124:23 supposed [4] - 23:12, 38:20, 69:7, 111:7 surface [1] - 21:7 surfaces [1] - 19:21 surround [1] - 11:7 surrounding [1] -26:7 swales [1] - 20:2 swear [4] - 71:23, 81:10, 88:5, 94:4 sweat [1] - 124:13 sworn [6] - 9:15, 10:1, 71:19, 71:21, 94:3, 129:7 system [2] - 108:22, 109:24

## T

T's [1] - 38:21 table [3] - 37:8, 41:23, 44:3 tall [3] - 17:14, 73:12, 78:16 taller [3] - 64:2, 64:8, 64:9 tallest [1] - 65:21 tangential [1] - 67:7 tanks [1] - 117:13 tapes [1] - 36:10 tears [1] - 124:14 technical [2] - 82:3, 87:21 technically [1] -38:19 Tel [1] - 1:24 temporarily [2] -101:3, 102.25 ten [1] - 18:10 terms [4] - 48:16, 50:17, 51:4, 122:6 Terrace [1] - 1:23 TERRY [1] - 3:18 Terry [1] - 9:6 test [9] - 23:12, 23:16, 24:3, 24:5, 24:14, 26:4, 27:5, 114:18, 120:11 testified [5] - 10:2, 32:19, 35:19, 79:5, 79:15 testify [2] - 104:5, 129:7 testimony [21] - 6:14, 9:3, 9:5, 21:14, 21:15, 24:11, 48:12, 49:18, 50:8, 50:11, 54:1, 54:6, 71:24, 74:4, 76:20, 81:5, 81:11, 88:6, 94:5, 120:20 testing [2] - 76:21, 77:19

tests [2] - 22:14, 22:16 Tharaldson [3] -3:17, 8:13, 9:4 THARALDSON [1] -THE [154] - 10:4, 10:10, 10:12, 10:19, 11:14, 11:20, 11:23, 12:3, 12:8, 12:14, 12:17, 13:4, 13:19, 13:22, 14:5, 14:12, 14:20, 15:2, 15:6, 15:12, 15:17, 15:22, 16:23, 17:9, 17:12, 17:15, 17:19, 17:21, 18:19, 19:12, 19:15, 24:22, 25:25, 29:4, 29:23, 30:2, 30:5, 30:11, 30:18, 30:22, 30:24, 31:4, 31:6, 31:19, 32:14, 32:25, 33:4, 33:10, 34:5, 34:17, 34:22, 35:18, 37:24, 40:8, 40:24, 41:4, 41:11, 42:14, 42:22, 43:1, 43:6, 44:7, 44:12, 45:1, 45:6, 45:8, 46:19, 46:23, 47:3, 47:11, 47:15, 47:23, 48:2, 48:19, 49:15, 49:20, 50:5, 50:7, 50:13, 50:16, 50:20, 50:24, 51:14, 51:18, 51:24, 52:10, E0-48 £0-00 £0-0 53:6, 53:12, 53:15, 55:23, 57:4, 57:8, 58:1, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 59:2, 59:13, 59:15, 60:2, 60:11, 63:16, 64:3, 66:15, 68:13, 70:13, 70:22, 71:5, 71:20, 72:5, 73:4, 73:11, 73:20, 73:22, 73:24, 74:6, 74:17, 74:21, 74:25, 75:5, 75:13, 75:18, 76:1, 76:25, 77:23, 78:8, 78:19, 78:25, 79:10, 79:16, 82:5, 82:13, 83:2, 83:12, 85:2, 93:3, 93:8, 93:11, 93:14, 93:19, 93:25, 97:10, 97:23, 98:2, 99:1, 100:17, 101:7, 101:24, 108:5, 108:24,

109:5

the.. [1] - 59:7 theirs [1] - 16:18 there's.. [1] - 106:2 therefore [1] - 82:9 they've [2] - 80:4, 127:4 thinking [4] - 24:7, 31:1, 118:21, 121:20 thinner [1] - 17:3 third [3] - 99:20, 107:4, 107:5 three [5] - 51:5, 61:12, 61:13, 68:14, 106:11 three-foot [1] - 68:14 three-story [1] -61:13 throughout [2] -59:5, 123:25 throw [1] - 31:18 ties [1] - 16:1 time.. [1] - 79:24 timeframe [2] - 39:15, 56:10 timeframes (1) -35:20 timeline [2] - 91:11, 91:22 timing [2] - 52:6, 89:15 tipped [1] - 123:25 Tipton [1] - 2:13 today [8] - 17:1, 20:1, 77:5, 93:8, 100:19, 115:2, 117:20, 125:2 today's [2] - 10:14, 105:24 together [3] - 106:24, 112:1, 117:19 tomorrow [3] - 95:23, 96:8, 116:8 tonight [2] - 66:20, 114.13 took [4] - 45:9, 67:16, 95:5, 99:22 top [12] - 16:5, 17:11, 17:23, 17:24, 18:2, 18:3, 19:3, 25:14, 64:16, 89:6, 93:11, 114:12 topography [1] -12:24 total [1] - 33:16 totality [1] - 43:12 totally [1] - 34:2 touch (2) - 16:25, 42:17 touched [2] - 21:3, 75:1 touching [2] - 36:18, 38:15 toward [1] - 70:7

towards [3] - 111:4, 111:7 tower [1] - 28:8 town [2] - 24:23, 87:20 towner [1] - 13:8 towns [1] - 24:22 Township [9] - 1:24, 2:14, 2:14, 2:17, 6:2, 6:9, 8:20, 123:17, 124:19 township [10] - 25:8, 38:24, 55:23, 67:4, 74:7, 74:13, 77:2, 90:22, 97:18, 108:15 TOWNSHIP [1] - 1:1 township's [3] -36:23, 37:6, 66:7 Traffic [1] - 32:3 traffic [5] - 32:5, 32:18, 32:22, 33:22, 34:13 transcriber [1] - 6:24 TRANSCRIPT [1] -1:18 transcript [1] -129:10 translated [1] - 64:25 trash [2] - 39:16, 39:19 traverses [1] - 20:20 tree [2] - 15:23, 17:4 trees [13] - 15:20, 48:1, 70:8, 70:9, 70:10, 70:18, 70:19, 70:20, 70:23, 72:25, 73:6, 97:3, 97:16 tributary [1] - 109:5 tried [1] - 110:22 trigger [1] - 50:11 triple [1] - 34:24 troubling [1] - 102:12 true [6] - 67:9, 75:2, 75:3, 81:15, 103:18, 129:10 trust [2] ~ 77:18, 125:3 Trustees [1] - 72:8 truth [11] - 72:1, 81:12, 81:13, 88:7, 88:8, 94:6, 94:7, 129:7, 129:8 try [5] - 60:16, 63:9, 76:16, 80:13, 106:17 trying [9] - 22:23, 23:19, 23:20, 23:21, 27:10, 59:9, 98:25, 106:1, 120:4

TUESDAY [1] - 1:15

Tuesday [1] - 6:3

turf (1) - 51:7

turn [2] - 79:4, 79:5 turned [2] - 21:25, 29:14 turning [1] - 14:1 turns [1] - 86:9 tweak [2] - 22:5, 24:20 tweaked [1] - 119:17 tweaking [1] - 24:20 two [28] - 10:24. 11:23, 23:24, 30:18, 30:19, 36:16, 37:16, 45:14, 45:18, 47:6, 52:15, 59:5, 66:13, 72:12, 82:6, 91:15, 100:12, 100:18, 103:7, 105:2, 105:9, 105:14, 105:20, 106:19, 109:16, 113:25. 116:12, 118:1 two-page [1] - 82:6 type [10] - 23:9, 29:3, 90:1, 91:10, 93:1, 101:22, 102:25, 105:10, 109:16, 117:14 typical [2] - 104:14, 104:21 typically [11] - 25:3, 35:21, 38:18, 55:21, 56:21, 70:8, 70:19, 70:23, 70:25, 77:14, 91:8

11 U-shape [1] - 15:4 unchanged [1] - 73:3 uncommon [1] -26:19 under [7] - 49:23, 57:22, 100:18, 101:6, 102:6, 115:4, 119:6 understood [3] -77:24, 79:3, 83:16 unforeseen [1] -22:21 unfortunately [3] -100:20, 110:24, 111:1 unimpeded [1] -20:23 unintended [1] - 23:1 unit [2] - 88:21, 104:19 Unit [1] - 66:23 units [2] - 73:10, 98:24 unless [1] - 87:4 up [39] - 14:3, 15:15, 18:23, 20:12, 20:21, 22:23,

23:14, 23:20, 25:24, 29:13, 29:19, 34:3, 34:9, 39:7, 43:10, 49:7, 50:20, 50:22, 51:25, 56:16, 56:18, 59:3, 59:9, 60:18, 61:1, 62:13, 63:18, 75:19, 94:14, 99:14, 99:18, 106:10, 108:20, 110:25, 111:7, 117:6, 122:20, 125:16 update [2] - 68:1, 87:23 updated [4] - 42:7, 82.21, 115.8, 120:19 updates [1] - 85:14 updating [1] - 76:14 uppermost [1] -64:17 upstream [1] - 111:14 USB [1] - 94:17 user [1] - 79:10 uses [1] - 25:11 utilities [1] - 20:7 utilization [1] - 82:19

# **V valid** [2] - 50:4, 97:15

variance [25] - 36:9,

37:11, 38:11, 39:4, 41:25, 42:12, 42:24, 43:15, 44:5, 44:8, 44:9, 44:15, 44:22, 44:24, 45:13, 45:15, 57:20, 57:23, 58:8, 58:25, 66:13, 67:1, 115:3, 115:6 variance-free [1] -38:11 variances [8] - 36:16, 37:16, 38:2, 38:4, 38:12, 43:16, 119:17 variants [1] - 123:7 various [4] - 92:4, 120:22, 121:10, 124:17 vehicle [5] - 19:21, 21:7, 46:12, 50:9, 126:24 verify [1] - 42:19 version [1] - 49:17 versus [2] - 50:14, 125:17 Vescio [2] - 7:6, 128:8 VESCIO [71] - 2:2, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:11, 9:21, 27:24, 28:23,

29:17, 29:24, 30:3, 30:10, 30:14, 30:20, 30:23, 30:25, 31:5, 31:11, 35:25, 36:4, 36:7, 40:13, 40:16, 41:1, 41:7, 41:12, 41:16, 48:6, 53:21, 54:4, 54:14, 54:20, 54:24, 58:22, 67:10, 68:11, 68:23, 69:12, 70:5, 70:15, 71:3, 71:6, 81:3, 88:2, 94:1, 94:18. 94:21. 95:13, 95:17, 102:4, 104:7, 104:23, 110:17, 112:23, 113:8, 113:11, 115:17, 116:2, 116:5, 119:11, 122:16, 122:19, 123:4, 123:9, 123:22, 124:24, 126:10, 128:4, 128:6, 128:9, 128:22 vesting [1] - 91:24 vice [1] - 9:7 Vice [4] - 3:17, 3:19, 3:21, 9:4 Vice-President [4] -3:17, 3:19, 3:21, vice-presidents [1] viewing [2] - 43:11, 104:9 Village [13] - 5:6, 72:8, 73:10, 75:12, 75:14, 78:5, 78:6, 78:18, 96:17, 97:7, 104:12, 107:17, 113:17

### 14/

Village.. [1] - 96:11

virtue [1] - 87:21

vision [1] - 73:7

visual [2] - 13:6,

visualization [1] -

void [1] - 26:22

vote [2] - 54:17,

58:10

95:10

121:11

Wait [1] - 97:9
Wait [1] - 38:24
waiver [1] - 115:2
walking [1] - 126:11
wall [6] - 14:6, 14:17,
18:23, 19:5, 60:24,
97:12
walls [1] - 111:5

Wang [3] - 7:15, 123:9, 128:15 WANG [4] - 2:7, 7:16, 123:10, 128:16 Warren [2] - 3:4, 8:20 waste [1] - 9:10 water [22] - 20:4, 20:16, 20:21, 21:4, 49:2, 49:6, 49:7, 49:10, 99:17, 99:21, 100:4, 101:11, 101:12, 101:18, 102:20, 107:18, 107:25, 108:22, 119:8, 123:1, 127:4 waterbed [1] - 111:6 waters [1] - 101:1 WAY [1] - 1:11 weather [3] - 84:24, 103:21, 110:12 week [1] - 86:21 weekends [1] - 6:25 weeks [4] - 10:24, 51:22, 106:12 welcome [3] - 6:2, 113:24, 117:1 well-type [1] - 117:14 wells [2] - 20:2, 89:9 west [8] - 11:13, 13:1, 13:18, 14:3, 18:10, 60:14, 95:18, 111:20 westerly [7] - 11:2, 12:17, 15:14, 16:2, 18:7, 18:21, 58:3 western [1] - 78:2 whispered [1] -105:12 whole [7] - 91:19, 93:6, 106:11, 106:12, 112:9, 117:24, 129:8 wide [2] - 14:7, 18:2 wider [1] - 66:17 width [1] - 14:7 will.. [1] - 71:21 WILLIAM [1] - 2:14 willing [5] - 23:17, 64:12, 125:15, 127:2, 127:3 willingness [5] -23:5, 124:1, 125:4, 125:24, 127:4 windows [2] - 78:17, 98:14 wish [4] - 71:11, 72:13, 81:4, 113:1 with.. [1] - 38:14 WITNESS [155] - 4:2, 10:4, 10:10, 10:12, 10:19, 11:14, 11:20, 11:23, 12:3, 12:8, 12:14, 12:17,

13:4, 13:19, 13:22,

14:5, 14:12, 14:20, 15:2, 15:6, 15:12, 15:17, 15:22, 16:23, 17:9, 17:12, 17:15, 17:19, 17:21, 18:19, 19:12, 19:15, 24:22, 25:25, 29:4, 29:23, 30:2, 30:5, 30:11, 30:18, 30:22, 30:24, 31:4, 31:6, 31:19, 32:14, 32:25, 33:4, 33:10, 34:5, 34:17, 34:22, 35:18, 37:24, 40:8, 40:24, 41:4, 41:11, 42:14, 42:22, 43:1, 43:6, 44:7, 44:12, 45:1, 45:6, 45:8, 46:19, 46:23, 47:3, 47:11, 47:15, 47:23, 48:2, 48:19, 49:15, 49:20, 50:5, 50:7, 50:13, 50:16, 50:20, 50:24, 51:14, 51:18, 51:24, 52:10, 52:18, 52:22, 53:3, 53:6, 53:12, 53:15, 55:23, 57:4, 57:8, 58:1, 58:11, 58:16, 58:19, 59:2, 59:13, 59:15, 60:2, 60:11, 63:16, 64:3, 66:15, 68:13, 70:13, 70:22, 71:5, 71:20, 72:5, 73:4, 73:11, 73:20, 73:22, 73:24, 74:6, 74:17, 74:21, 74:25, 75:5, 75:13, 75:18, 76:1, 76:25, 77:23, 78:8, 78:19, 78:25, 79:10, 79:16, 82:5, 82:13, 83:2, 83:12, 85:2, 93:3, 93:8, 93:11, 93:14, 93:19, 93:25, 97:10, 97:23, 98:2, 99:1, 100:17, 101:7, 101:24, 108:5, 108:24, 109:5 witness [4] - 9:13, 68:22, 113:4, 129:7 witnesses [2] - 113:5, 113:7 wood [3] - 18:25, 57:12, 57:13 wooden [1] - 19:3

WoodSpring [11] -

9:9, 10:20, 10:23,

19:2, 33:13, 38:7,

41:10, 41:14,

47:14, 57:20

word [2] - 23:6, 27:19 worried [1] - 33:18 worry [4] - 31:21, 34:10, 98:13, 125:21 worser [1] - 101:16

### Υ

yard [1] - 12:20 year [12] - 17:2, 45:9, 47:6, 76:7, 82:22, 99:11, 103:13, 103:16, 107:3, 107:9, 114:14, 114:16 year's [1] - 99:12 years [23] - 29:8, 35:1, 48:15, 86:5, 86:11, 90:6, 97:9, 97:22, 100:18, 101:23, 101:25, 103:7, 105:2, 105:9, 105:14, 105:20, 106:19, 109:16, 111:2, 116:18, 118:2, 118:19 yellow [1] - 70:25

### Z

# Z-G-O-R-Z-Y-N-S-K-I<sub>[1]</sub> - 88:13

Zaytoun [4] - 4:22, 5:7, 94:11, 95:13 ZAYTOUN [31] - 94:2, 94:8, 94:11, 94:12, 94:20, 94:24, 95:4, 95:16, 95:18, 95:25, 96:4, 96:10, 96:14, 96:20, 97:22, 97:25, 98:8, 99:9, 99:24, 100:1, 101:4, 101:20, 101:25, 103:15, 103:20, 105:1, 105:18, 106:25, 108:6, 108:12, 108:19 zero [2] - 78:23, 79:2 ZGORZYNSKI [13] -88:3, 88:9, 88:12, 88:14, 90:18, 91:8, 92:7, 92:20, 93:5, 93:9, 93:13, 93:15, 93:21 Zgorzynski [3] - 4:21,

88:4, 88:13

zoning [1] - 44:2

# CHICK-FIL-A CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

	1
1	TOWNSHIP OF BRIDGEWATER PLANNING BOARD
2	COUNTY OF SOMERSET - STATE OF NEW JERSEY
3	
4	CHICK-FIL-A, INC.
5	BLOCK 164, LOT 6.05 754 ROUTE 202
6	PRELIMINARY & FINAL MAJOR SITE PLAN
7	APPLICATION NO. 24-014-PB
8	
9	MUNICIPAL BUILDING COUNCIL CHAMBERS
10	100 COMMONS WAY BRIDGEWATER, NEW JERSEY 08807
11	
12	
13	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 17, 2024
14	7:00 P.M.
15	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	·
18	PUBLIC HEARING - CONTINUATION -
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	AB COURT REPORTING, LLC Certified Court Reporters
24	26 Algonquin Terrace Millstone Township, New Jersey 08535
25	Tel: (732)882-3590 angelabuonocsr@gmail.com

		2
1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	
2	MAURIZIO VESCIO, CHAIRMAN	
3	NIREN CHOUDHURY	
4 <u>5</u>	MICHAEL KIRSH, COUNCILMAN	
6	JAMES MAGURA	
7	ASHLEY SIKORA	
8	HENRY WANG	
9		
10		
11	PROFESSIONALS AND STAFF PRESENT:	
12	MARK R. PECK, ESQUIRE, Board Attorney	
13	Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton, LLC	
14	WILLIAM H. BURR, IV, P.E., Township Engineer Township of Bridgewater	
15 16	KATHERINE SARMAD, P.P., Board Planner Sarmad Planning Group, LLC	
17	NANCY PROBST, Land Use Administrator	
18	Township of Bridgewater	
19		
20		
21	STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:	
22	ANGELA BUONANTUONO, CCR, RPR License No. 30XI00233100	
23		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		
23		

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Good evening. And welcome to the Bridgewater Township Planning Board meeting for Tuesday, December 17, 2024.

Adequate notice of this meeting has been given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-6. On February 7th, 2024, proper notice was sent to The Courier News and The Star-Ledger, and filed with the Clerk at the Township of Bridgewater, and posted on the bulletin board in the Municipal Building.

Please be aware of the Planning Board Policy for public hearings, no new applications will be heard after 9:30 p.m., and no new testimony will be taken after 10:00 p.m.

Hearing assistance is available upon request. Accommodation will be made for individuals with a disability pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, or ADA, provided the individual with the disability provides 48 hours' advance notice to the Planning Department secretary before the public meeting.

However, if the individual should require special equipment or services, such as a CART transcriber, seven days' advance notice, excluding weekends and holidays, may be necessary.

Mr. Peck is present, board attorney; 1 Mr. Burr, board engineer; and Ms. Sarmad, board 2 3 planner. 4 You have a quorum and you can proceed. 5 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you, Ms. Probst. 6 7 8 (Whereupon, the board continues 9 with the agenda as posted.) 10 (Whereupon, the application is called 11 12 at 10:59 p.m.) 13 14 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay, next on the 15 agenda this evening is Chick-fil-A, which is a continuance from 12/3/24. Block 164, Lot 6.05, 16 commonly known as 754 Route 202. 17 18 And I spot Mr. Silbert in the seat. ATTORNEY SILBERT: Mr. Chairman, I'm 19 going to put on the record again Michael Silbert on 20 behalf of Chick-fil-A, Inc., from DiFrancesco 21 22 Bateman, Warren Township. 23 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Hardest working attorney in the firm tonight, huh? 24 25 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Tell me about it.

Yeah, I'm not going to re-summarize the application. The board is familiar with the application, as we presented the professional testimony at our last hearing from Mr. Zachary Chaplin, the applicant's engineer. You also heard professional traffic testimony from the applicant's traffic engineer, Patrick Downey.

You heard operational township from John Martinez at the first hearing. This is the third hearing on this application.

With whatever remaining time we have this evening I wanted to address the December 8 supplemental review memo from the board's professionals that Chick-fil-A received. And our witnesses are all under oath, and I would like to turn it over, Mr. Chairman, if that's okay, to Mr. Chaplin to talk a little bit about that supplemental review memo that he received.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: It is. And I believe that we could probably get this accomplished within the next 20 minutes or so?

ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay, very good.

Please proceed.

\_ \_ \_

10

ZACHARY CHAPLIN, P. E., previously 1 sworn, continues his testimony as follows: 2 3 THE WITNESS: Good evening. 4 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Good evening. 5 THE WITNESS: I'll try to be brief and 6 7 to the point. So we had a chance to review the memo. 8 I understand that the concern is essentially that 9 the fact that we have a portion of a bypass lane and 10 11 not like a full bypass lane, you know, around the 12 drive-through area. 13 I do want to make it a point that for Chick-fil-As, the majority do not have a bypass 14 lane. I don't know if I'm able -- oh, you can see 15 16 it, okay. I would like to mark this exhibit. 17 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Do you guys have 18 the ability to see it on your screen? 19 MEMBER SIKORA: Yeah. Can you zoom in 20 a little more? 21 THE WITNESS: I will actually zoom 22 23 into each. So this is an exhibit, it's entitled 24 "Existing Chick-Fil-A Locations," prepared by our 25

11 office, dated December 16th, 2024. I forget where 1 2 we left off on the... ATTORNEY PECK: We are at A-7. 3 I have A-7 as the next one up. 4 I'm sorry, what do we call this? 5 THE WITNESS: Existing Chick-fil-A 6 7 locations. 8 9 (Exhibit A-7, Existing Chick-fil-A Locations, was marked.) 10 11 12 THE WITNESS: So, in essence, what we 13 did was this would be a snapshot aerial, six Chick-fil-A sites in New Jersey that have already 14 15 been built that essentially show -- and I'll start here in North Brunswick. You know, this site has 16 17 two lanes around the building itself. There is no 18 bypass. 19 Then you move on to South Plainfield. It's actually an older version where it was two 20 lanes of ordering into one lane of pick up. You 21 22 will see here there is an escape, but there is no actual bypass lane around it for the South 23

And then in Edison there's two full

Plainfield site.

24

lanes around the whole site.

Parsippany has two full lanes around the site. This was actually pretty recently built.

We also have Old Bridge, which is the same case. And Lawrence.

And I think what is important to note is that our plan probably wouldn't even have had that third bypass lane. It was actually just initial feedback from the township years and years ago that we had put that in, but typically we don't look to do that and, you know, I've obviously worked and installed and built many Chick-fil-A locations.

I think what is important to understand is that unlike most drive-throughs that have one lane, we do have two lanes, right. So in the event that there is an emergency, you know, let's say, there's a car that breaks down, you have the ability for the Chick-fil-A staff to move vehicles in that second lane and, you know, essentially get vehicles out of this site.

The second exhibit that I would like to introduce is called the Bypass Lane Modification Exhibit.

ATTORNEY SILBERT: A-8.

THE WITNESS: A-8.

ATTORNEY PECK: Bypass modification? 1 THE WITNESS: Prepared by our office 2 dated December 16th, 2024. 3 4 (Exhibit A-8, Bypass Lane Modification 5 6 Exhibit, was marked.) 7 THE WITNESS: So this is essentially 8 the site plan with a red line markup on top. 9 And as I've looked at it a little bit 10 more closely, what we look to do is almost remove 11 that third lane, but provide an escape. 12 So you will see here that in the event 13 there was an emergency there is essentially another 14 curb cut to that main internal access road. 15 that, you know, if one of the lanes for whatever 16 reason couldn't get through, we could circulate 17 through the other and then get out of the site 18 without having to fully drive through the 19 20 drive-through. So I hope, just in context, the 21 22 background that there are many Chick-fil-A sites that don't even have a bypass lane, the fact that we 23 would just look to make this modification, you would 24

actually get as a result of this more green space

back, more of a -- you know, we wouldn't have a driveway throat here, so we actually think it's a good change overall for the project.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you for the testimony.

Any questions from our board?

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, Mr. Chairman,

I have a comment. You know, I had some internal

dialogue about a concern I have. I don't know if

this made its way to you, or maybe right now is the

way.

Can you zoom out of this just slightly. There you go. Thank you.

I like that there's now the way to get off the site. I still remain concerned about what could become a choke point of getting in and out, you know, which is the -- you know, your main entrance.

And I guess I remain particularly concerned about the scenario where we need to get an ambulance in there, you know, if there's a restaurant visitor, or a staff person that has a medical emergency. And it could be a chaotic situation and cars coming in and out and all kinds of crazy stuff.

And the suggestion that I made to alleviate that is is there viability, if you're looking at the line of parking spots facing Towne Center Road, is there viability to removing a couple of spots near the -- near the, you know the pylon sign or whatever that is called, and making that emergency access only? So that essentially, you know, in the event of just the most severe circumstance that becomes a secondary option, particularly to get an ambulance in and out of there quickly.

I don't know if that's depicted or, you know, what that crosswalk is, but just my thought is, you know, the most direct way to get in there quickly with an ambulance particularly is, you know, some sort of a graded situation over the grass. You lose a couple of parking spaces potentially and then, you know, that is kind of your break glass in a real bad situation, how could you get an ambulance in there real fast.

THE WITNESS: Almost like a grass paver road, just to be used...

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Something like that. And you know some sort of clarity that these are not parking spaces.

Now, you know, could it happen? I'm seeing a nod of the head yes. Is that valuable and necessary? You know, I don't want to impose a condition for something that will never happen, but at the same time if you can afford to lose a couple of parking spaces and that gives you more flexibility for greater safety, you know, perhaps that is a good -- a good outcome from this conversation.

THE WITNESS: Well, we're coming back it seems like, so we have a chance to, you know, put our heads together and think about it. So I appreciate the suggestion, and I think it definitely has merit.

The one thing that I will add just to remind the board again is that our drive aisles are oversized, they're 30 feet wide. So you should have room even if they're -- you know, that's basically room for three vehicles essentially. So that main thoroughfare, you know, if needed, like there is extra space for larger vehicles to kind of get around.

I understand that they have no way to get out, you know, aside from going through the drive-through, but you have that 30 feet and ability

to K-turn if needed.

But, like I said, obviously an escape, you know, an extra emergency lane could be valuable.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I suggest that, not as a requirement but as a suggestion.

And if your answer to me after considering it is, you know what, we are perfectly comfortable even though there is essentially a one-way-in/one-way-out situation, in an area that could be congested, yet as long as you consider it.

If you come back and say, you know what, that's a great addition, you know, it's belts and suspenders. It's a little bit of an extra security for us, sure, let's do it. Or if you come back and say we've considered it and, you know -- I would ask you to consider it.

You know, and I think what I would be most concerned about, it wouldn't be fire, it would be -- it would be an ambulance condition. You know, getting an ambulance. And I guess the benefit to that is it would be pretty close to your front door, which presumably would be where any EMTs or other personnel would want to get in to address, you know, a patron or an employee that may be having a medical concern.

18 1 THE WITNESS: Okay, got it. MEMBER MAGURA: As an aside, in 2 3 Bridgewater some EMTs report directly to the scene as opposed to going to the squad building to pick up 4 the ambulance. So they would show up possibly 5 before the ambulance would, possibly before the 6 7 police would. Just excess. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 MEMBER MAGURA: Just based on 9 10 experience on the squad. THE WITNESS: Yeah, no, appreciate it. 11 12 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And, you know, I 13 would defer to your judgment, if it's viable and desired, you know, what is exactly -- what does it 14 look like; you know, is there you know a chain that 15

You know, I don't think you need a lock or a gate or anything but, you know, something that delineates this as not a place, you know, for normal traffic but that again, whether it's a chain or something, could be easily and quickly removed if there was a need, whether an ambulance gets in there, or as was just stated, maybe it's even a private vehicle of an EMT responder.

clearly shows this is not for normal circumstances.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yep. That makes sense.

MEMBER MAGURA: And if I may add on that, I happened to be at the store today and you can't park in front of the store; the front of the store is reserved for fire engines. There's actually no parking in the entrance of the store.

know, I'm sorry to continue on, you know it's funny when we have hearings like this, you know, now every time I drive by there I'm seeing it and I'm picturing it and, you know, it's right by Wegmans.

You know, the site seems to be a little tight.

You know, I mean you've showed some of the other aerials and a few of those definitely had more, more room. You know, I think as you come back the next time, you know, I would just look for additional reassurance that while crowded, this site will work.

I know we heard testimony before that there are times when your traffic engineers sometimes have to deliver challenging news and say, "Sorry, I can't make it work." So the fact that we're here means that we've gotten over that hurdle, but I think if you could focus your comments on, you know, for the record, for the benefit of any public members that are here, you know, just some

additional assurance that although it's tight, we can make this work.

And then, you know, my other desire is if there can be some additional commentary about the exterior movement that is going to be created when people go out past the bank, go onto 202 South, try to cut across to make that U-turn. Because again as I have been driving here the last couple of weeks, that's going to be a tough maneuver to make.

And I know there was commentary about wayfinding signs and, you know, kind of urging people who are going north to go left, people who are going south have the choice to go either way, but again Jersey drivers will find their way to go the way they want.

You know, that's an area, you know, I would like to just see revisited a little bit because I'm concerned that, you know, we look at -- at the grade of the intersection. I am concerned that in addition to the grade, you know the efficiency grade or whatever it's called, level of service, you know, that we also are looking at the safety grade, if there is such a thing.

You know and, you know, it's a tight space. You know, it's a tight space. And, you

know, getting out of this whole complex and going north again, is a bit of a challenge.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think the benefit is, it's in a shopping center you know, so if it is tight, we are not impacting the major highways or like having issues with like the main access points.

So the fact that like our main access point is, you know, onto an internal roadway of a shopping center I think that's helpful.

And just an experience working on many different Chick-fil-As, that's always been beneficial for our sites, when we're within a larger shopping center and can take advantage of the parking and that internal access it definitely helps as compared to some of those other ones that you saw that are like -- that have direct access onto those highways.

But totally heard what you're saying.

We'll come back. You know, we'll have a couple of things to say to just reassure that this does work, that we've done a lot of calculations and, you know, a lot of plan preparation and, you know, we will reassure the board and the public that this can work.

1 MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Mr. Chairman, can

2 I...

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Choudhury.

MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Okay, so basically since I live right near there, so last week I actually went several test-drive, including Friday at lunch time. That is basically most because of the holidays, the Verizon store's also -- a lot of people came to Verizon store. And, obviously, Olive Garden actually totally filled up.

So what I did, I actually went many times to your place, you know, thank God that nobody caught me there. And actually, you are right, from the Verizon side those -- that two lanes will be too tight. I mean, I know you have properly designed it, I'm not going to change anything.

I actually went not only through like Councilman Kirsh was saying, I went to the, you know, to the 202 North and South from the Towne Hill Road -- Towne Center Road.

So actually going 202 North is a little bit of a headache in the peak time. Now, remember, Chick-fil-A is not there yet, so think about when it will happen.

However, I also did -- I actually come

by the by-road and you could -- one can actually go, take a U-turn from the by-road itself because there is sufficient distance there, you know, while I drive crazy so basically probably people may not be like probably may not be driving like me, but you could actually go both, to 202 North by that way, as well as go straight to the 202 South.

So, if we can basically make that traffic more by the signs more doable, I think, you know, you could actually bypass many of the congestions there. Plus you are doing the time change anyhow, you know, the light.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

MEMBER CHOUDHURY: But at least what Councilman Kirsh is saying is actually more -- more makes sense now. And now we can at least do the emergency for the EMT or some, you know, the cars. That will actually help a lot because this will be a really congested road that, you know, in front of your store. So think about that one, that will actually be helpful. I'm not even considering now fire.

At the same time I'm really worried about these two lanes on the Verizon side. You know, if something happened there I don't know how,

at least in a peak time, how you're going to handle 1 those cars there. 2 If you can at least think about it, or 3 if you know the answer, kindly share with us because 4 that is really the concerns from a safety side. 5 THE WITNESS: The two drive-through 6 7 lanes. MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Yeah, on the --8 what you call, on the Verizon side. 9 THE WITNESS: Well, and your concern 10 is that you think it's tight or... 11 MEMBER CHOUDHURY: No, it's -- it is 12 very tight. And if something happens there, you 13 know, anything, liver pain or something, whatever 14 happens, it's how you are going to control that, 15 16 take it out, that car. This will be -- really mess up things 17 18 there. THE WITNESS: I think it comes back to 19 the fact that we have two lanes so, you know, 20 there's going to be Chick-fil-A team members who are 21 22 out there and who can help direct traffic, you know, 23 as needed. So if, you know, they need to move cars 24

and say, hey, it's an emergency, like they're going

to get people to go. And they have two lanes to work with instead of one.

You know most drive-throughs, again take Chick-fil-A out of the equation, you know, Starbucks, Dunkin', one lane. So the two lanes gives us -- and not only that, our lanes are oversized. We've got, you know, striping in the middle, and flexible bollards, so there's definitely a lot of you know -- because we have our team members who are out there, too.

So they're oversized drive-through lanes; we have two. If something happens we have team members out there who could help direct traffic.

MEMBER CHOUDHURY: No, actually if you are talking about other, like Burger King or so, anybody, you could actually have another space where you could drive through it, so there is no -- even though they have only one lane.

Again, I'm not recommending barring or anything else here, but at least even though they have a one lane, they have the side lane which you can bypass it.

So here there is no bypass lane is there, which basically -- it's okay, you know your

design better than me, so I'm not telling you to change anything, but all I'm saying is for emergency it will be -- as long as you thought about it.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean we actually have an operator in attendance tonight and we were talking to him and he obviously operates

Chick-Fil-As and he said even just like someone enters a drive-through by accident, it's like maybe a handful of times a year. And then once they do it, they never do it again. So it's very rare.

And, like I said, if there is an emergency, the team members, they're there to help; they're there to move traffic. We have the two lanes to work with.

And, like I've showed before, obviously there's many sites that have been built just like this.

MEMBER CHOUDHURY: I'm very familiar with the South Plainfield. Even though I don't eat chicken, but at least I take my son or wife there, so I have experience with Chick-Fil-As. Obviously your things are very -- must be very good, people -- a lot of people go there.

So, again, think about it when you go home today, I mean whether -- how you basically --

in an emergency situation how you handle it. That's basically what I'm -- specifically what is North side, that is too congested.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

1.3

MEMBER CHOUDHURY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Would you say that
the space that we're seeing here -- we see the
representation of the vehicles in the two lanes. If
a traffic operator would take somebody and bring
them to the extreme left and one to the extreme
right, there is sufficient space in between for a
full-size vehicle to be able to drive right through
is what you're saying?

THE WITNESS: No. What I'm saying is let's just say a car broke down in one of the lanes and you couldn't -- you were blocked by that car, the other lane, the team members can just start saying to the cars that you have to drive up, there's an emergency, so that cars can get around that car to open up space for it.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. So if two of those cars were either as far away -- as far away from each other as possible toward their respective curbs, there's not sufficient space in between for cars to go between, correct, let alone a motorcycle,

for example?

That's a better angle. There you go.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it could

be possible -- the canopy has columns, so, yeah, it

5 | would not be possible.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.

THE WITNESS: It would be too tight.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Understood.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, what I was saying is the drive aisle itself you can't, you know, the main drive aisle, but in the drive-throughs it would be...

ATTORNEY SILBERT: By the canopies, right?

THE WITNESS: Right.

that the board obviously sees this, but, you know, if there was an emergency situation you wouldn't have to wait to go around the entire drive-through to exit; you still do have the opportunity to exit at the northeast portion of the property rather than waiting -- how many car lanes? You don't have to answer that. But you're waiting approximately eight car lengths versus going around the entire site to exit in the naturally planned exit point of the

drive-through lane.

So all I'm trying to highlight is that it's evident that we are keeping in mind that in this scenario there is a point of exit that is much closer to the entrance of the drive-through lane.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: And from what it appears, a traffic operator could direct people to the exit ramp, that immediate exit ramp, the one that you're including in this site plan in order to facilitate that type of process.

ATTORNEY SILBERT: I actually wanted to ask a question of Mr. Martinez, if it's possible.

When Chick-fil-A opens here do they put in place some kind of Emergency Management Plan? If something were to happen in one of the drive-through lanes, is the staff trained in some manner to jump into action?

JOHN MARTINEZ: So the quick question, so on Grand Opening, we do have a specific Grand Opening Plan. And that will involve not only our staff, we'll actually bring in off-duty police officers to the table too.

But I could assure you the operations of the drive-through, the dual drive-through lane, was heavily studied by Chick-Fil-A, our own internal

safety folks, and it has very strict ideas of what can be and can't be done.

I can tell you having it three lanes wide is not going to happen. All right, there is absolutely no way we're going to do that. We've tested that. It does not work. There's too many potential issues.

So as Mr. Chaplin indicated, if there is an issue -- and it does happen. It doesn't happen often. We have procedures in place in order to safely move cars around that and to get the car that is broken down, just a broken down car out of there.

If someone forgets their wallet, which is probably more common, we have procedures in place on how to handle that if we get to they can't pay for their food.

So I can assure you the operation of that is very well vetted out over now probably approaching 1,000 locations throughout the country that have dual lanes.

There is a process, just so it's very clear, that we are going into existing stores that only have one lane and adding two lanes. Even if it requires us to purchase more property or to take out

some parking, it's a vast improvement over the customer convenience at that site.

б

So we can certainly talk about that more next time, but I just wanted to assure you before we leave and come back that we have thought about this and, we're very focused on safety first and how to move that through efficiently.

ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Thank you.

I think at this time I would also like to ask any board members if they have any questions at all that's not related to the traffic; this is probably a good opportunity to ask. Because I think over the course of the last two hearings we've pretty much combed over every last issue that we've wanted to deliberate on.

So if there are any other questions or concerns that come to mind, I think this would be the time to do it.

None here? Very good.

Once again, thank you.

ATTORNEY PECK: Any public?

ATTORNEY SILBERT: So can it be carried this application to the reorg meeting so we don't have to provide new notice?

```
CHAIRMAN VESCIO: The reorg is on
1
    January 7th, correct?
2
                  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Carried for a
3
    hearing, though, or for scheduling?
4
                  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: This would be --
5
                  ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Because we also
6
    have a request from one of Mr. Silbert's other
7
8
    clients for the 7th.
                  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Just an official
9
    show of hands, who would be here on the 7th for
10
    reorg?
11
                  MEMBER SIKORA: The 7th?
12
                  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Yeah.
13
                  MEMBER SIKORA: I'll be here.
14
                  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Is there a choice?
15
                   CHAIRMAN VESCIO: There's always a
16
17
    choice.
                  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Well, I know.
                                                     Life
18
    is full of choices. I know. I know.
19
20
                  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay.
21
                  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Every day we make
22
    choices.
                   ATTORNEY SILBERT: I want to check
23
    with my team to see if they're available on the 7th
24
    anyway, but at a minimum, I just didn't want to have
25
```

1 | to re-notice.

ATTORNEY PECK: Yeah. Why don't we -we can carry it and they can or we can decide later
whether it goes through, but to avoid having to
renotice so...

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: But we do anticipate a case to be heard on the 7th?

ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: So that's what you need to -- what you need to discuss before we adjourn, is whether you want to entertain a request from Avnos, Mr. Silbert's other -- another client for a hearing on the night of the reorg.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: What type of case is that?

in with an Amended Minor Site Plan application.

They're proposing to put a DAC mechanical system on their property. It has to do with -- it's basically machinery that takes CO2 emissions out of the air, and they're building a structure. I call it a structure because it's simply to house the mechanical equipment from the environmental conditions.

There's no variances. It's an Amended Site Plan application.

1 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And this is on 2 Milltown Road across from the park? 3 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Yes, it is. 4 I don't say it will be quick because 5 you never know, but I'm hoping it would be quick. 6 ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Are you saying 7 so you would only have engineering testimony for 8 that? 9 ATTORNEY SILBERT: That is correct. ATTORNEY PECK: Well, what I can do at 10 11 least for the subject application, R.I. Heritage --ATTORNEY SILBERT: Chick-fil-A. 12 13 ATTORNEY PECK: I'm sorry, long night. 14 The Chick-fil-A application is being 15 carried to January 7th, no further notice will be 16 given. 17 So for anybody watching out there, any 18 public here, January 7th. No further notice will be 19 given. 2.0 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Okay. 21 ATTORNEY SILBERT: Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I would like to open 23 it up to any questions from the public. 24 No questions from the public. 25 Any questions from our professionals?

PLANNER SARMAD: Not at this time. 1 ENGINEER BURR: No. 2 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: All good. 3 Mr. Silbert, anything? 4 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Just commentary on 5 I will be here. I may have a time the 7th. 6 restriction that would require me to leave. So we would just want to make sure we have full complement 8 in case I do. 9 ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: So for any of 10 the board members that are here now, do you plan --11 12 let me poll the Board -- do you plan to be here on the 7th? 13 Anyone plan not to be here on the 7th? 14 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: So the only one 15 would be Councilman. 16 COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Possible time 17 constraint. 18 ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: So do you want 19 me to get back to Mr. Silbert tomorrow and let him 20 know whether we're having the official notice for 21 the 7th for Avnos? 22 CHAIRMAN VESCIO: I believe so. 23 I would like to check with some of the 24 other board members as well. 25

attorney silbert: Well, just for scheduling purposes, we've already noticed for Avnos for the 7th. We obviously would like the board to hear us, but if the board says we can't accommodate you, we've noticed for it so the board can carry us to your next available date.

ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: The scheduled notice, you would advise...

 $\label{eq:attorney} \textbf{ATTORNEY SILBERT:} \quad \textbf{Yes, we did.} \quad \textbf{And I}$  thank you for that.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Would your intention be to, if possible, to hear Avnos that night and then to schedule Chick-fil-A sometime later, or to also, if possible, hear Chick-fil-A that night?

ATTORNEY SILBERT: I think -- I don't know what your reorganization meeting -- actually, in advance of tonight's meeting I looked up the reorg meeting from February of 2024 to see how long it went, and it only took 15 minutes approximately. I have no idea what is on the plate for January 7th, so I would leave it to the board to make a determination. The board has been extremely flexible in accommodating this evening.

I think Avnos is -- they're a similar

situation, they have people flying in from California. So they are banking on that January 7th date, if that's possible.

And then, obviously, we're all available from Chick-Fil-A, so depending on how quick you get through reorg, we could be here.

You know, I am just going through the dates in my head right now. And I just want to make sure that if we are submitting any supplemental exhibits that we have enough time to get it in ten days in advance, so that the public has an opportunity to review those revisions because we showed concepts this evening.

So why don't we carry Chick-fil-A to the 7th, and I'll talk with my team and even see if it's feasible to get site plan revisions in ten days in advance of that hearing, particularly in light of the fact that we have the holidays.

councilman KIRSH: And our likely schedule for 2025 shouldn't be a surprise, you know, subject to holidays or lack of room availability, it's likely they'll roughly be first and third Tuesdays.

ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Yes.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Although that's not

1 | official yet.

ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: But we do have statutorily ten days is all that they have to provide the materials in, but our process requires a little bit more than that.

So what Michael is saying about having enough time to submit additional material for Chick-fil-A, I don't know that we would be able to --

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: No, that makes sense. But in all likelihood our next meeting -- we haven't said it yet, but our next meeting after the 7th will be the 21st?

ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: Yes. So what I'm saying is the fire marshal is going to have to review these changes for circulation.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: And with holidays...

ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: With holidays.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: So that might be a challenge for the 7th with holiday time.

ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: So I think it makes more sense, if you want to entertain Avnos for a hearing on the 7th and then --

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Potentially carry Chick-fil-A to the 21st, after we set that as a

date.

1.8

ADMINISTRATOR PROBST: I don't know that we would have enough time to get the internal reviews done. I mean, we would have to by law, right, but we may not be able to, and then they would be in a position to ask to carry.

COUNCILMAN KIRSH: I'm sorry to ask another question. Do you anticipate -- how much more time do you anticipate -- or how many more witnesses do you have for Chick-fil-A?

would re-call Mr. Chaplin if site revisions -- and we took your feedback tonight so I would anticipate there are revisions made, to briefly go through those revisions. They're revisions that the board should already be expecting, and they've already seen the concept of that, so that shouldn't take long.

We do have some planning testimony that would be required from Matt Flynn, our planner, as it relates to some of the "C" variance relief that we're requesting.

So, in short, quick engineering testimony and then planning testimony. Perhaps that would take an hour. I mean we didn't have a big

```
public turn out this evening with respect to
1
2
    Chick-fil-A clearly, so maybe it will go quickly I'm
    hoping.
3
4
                  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Thank you.
5
                  ATTORNEY SILBERT: Never mind.
                  COUNCILMAN KIRSH: Yeah, the public is
6
7
    waiting.
8
                  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: They're waiting,
9
    okay.
                  ATTORNEY SILBERT: For chicken.
10
                  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Right.
11
                  Okay, so for January 7th then we'll
12
    schedule a hearing for Avnos, with a potential carry
13
    for Chick-fil-A.
14
15
                  ATTORNEY SILBERT: So we're going to
    schedule Chick-fil-A for the 7th, and in all
16
    likelihood or it may be carried to the 21st?
17
18
                   CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Correct.
                   ATTORNEY SILBERT: Okay. Thank you
19
    very much for staying so late this evening.
20
                   CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Mr. Silbert, thank
21
22
    you.
23
                  Well, anyone want to make a motion?
                  MEMBER SIKORA: I'll make a motion.
24
25
                  CHAIRMAN VESCIO: Do you want to second
```

```
41
    that? Mr. Choudhury.
1
                   All in favor?
2
                   MEMBERS IN UNISON: Aye.
3
4
                    (Whereupon, the application was
5
            adjourned and the meeting concluded.)
6
7
                    (Time noted, 11:34 p.m.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

#### CERTIFICATE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

I, ANGELA C. BUONANTUONO, a Notary Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that prior to the commencement, the witness was duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceeding as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative, nor employee, nor attorney, nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative, nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

January 2, 2025 Dated:

NJ License No. 30XI00233100

Ingela C. Suoranteuoro

Angela C. Buonantuono, CCR, RPR, CLR

Notary Public Commission No. 50014616

^	36:21, 37:2, 37:15,	38:21, 39:2	aside [2] - 16:24, 18:2	Board [5] - 2:12,
0	38:12, 38:20,	Administrator[1] -	assistance [1] - 6:15	2:15, 6:2, 6:11,
<b>07059</b> [1] - 3:4	38:23, 40:12, 40:16	2:17	assurance [1] - 20:1	35:12
08535[1] - 1:24		advance [5] - 6:19,	assure [3] - 29:23,	board [19] - 6:10, 8:1,
08807 [1] - 1:10	8	6:24, 36:18, 37:11,	30:18, 31:4	8:2, 8:8, 9:2, 14:6,
	8 [1] - 9:12	37:17	Atkins [1] - 7:24	16:16, 21:24,
1	6 [1] * 5.12	advantage [1] - 21:14	attendance [1] - 26:5	28:17, 31:11,
<b>1,000</b> [1] - 30:20	9	advise [1] - 36:8	Attorney [1] - 2:12	35:11, 35:25, 36:3,
10 [1] - 4:4		aerial [1] - 11:13	attorney [4] - 8:1,	36:4, 36:5, 36:22,
<b>100</b> [1] - 1:10	908.757.7800 [1] - 3:5	aerials [1] - 19:13	8:24, 42:14, 42:16	36:23, 39:15
10:00 [1] - 6:14	908.757.8039[1] - 3:5 9:30[1] - 6:13	afford [1] - 16:5	ATTORNEY [30] -	board's [1] - 9:13
<b>10:4-6</b> [1] - 6:6	9.30 [i] - 0.13	agenda [2] - 8:9, 8:15	8:19, 8:25, 9:22,	bollards [1] - 25:8
10:59 [1] - 8:12	Α	ago [1] - 12:10	10:18, 11:3, 12:24,	Boulevard [1] - 3:4 break [1] - 15:18
11 [1] - 5:5	L	air[1] - 33:19	13:1, 28:13, 28:16, 29:11, 31:8, 31:22,	breaks [1] - 12:17
11:34 <sub>[1]</sub> - 41:8	<b>A-7</b> [4] - 5:5, 11:3,	aisle [2] - 28:10, 28:11	31:23, 32:23, 33:2,	Bridge [1] - 12:4
<b>12/3/24</b> [1] - 8:16 <b>13</b> [1] - 5:7	11:4, 11:9 <b>A-8</b> [4] - 5:7, 12:24,	aisles [1] - 16:16	33:15, 34:3, 34:9,	BRIDGEWATER[2] -
<b>15</b> [2] - 3:4, 36:20	12:25, 13:5	Algonquin [1] - 1:23	34:10, 34:12,	1:1, 1:10
<b>164</b> [2] - 1:5, 8:16	AB [1] - 1:22	Allegiance [1] - 7:3	34:13, 34:21, 36:1,	Bridgewater 5
16th [2] - 11:1, 13:3	ability [3] - 10:19,	alleviate [1] - 15:2	36:9, 36:16, 39:11,	2:14, 2:17, 6:2, 6:9,
<b>17</b> [2] - 1:13, 6:3	12:17, 16:25	almost [2] - 13:11,	40:5, 40:10, 40:15,	18:3
	able [4] - 10:15,	15:21	40:19	brief [1] - 10:6
2	27:12, 38:8, 39:5	alone [1] - 27:25	availability [1] -	briefly [1] - 39:14
	absent [5] - 7:9, 7:13,	ambulance [9] -	37:21	bring [2] - 27:9, 29:21
2[1] - 42:25	7:21, 7:25	14:21, 15:10,	available [4] - 6:15,	broke [1] - 27:15
<b>20</b> [1] - 9:21 <b>202</b> [7] - 1:5, 8:17,	absolutely [1] - 30:5	15:15, 15:19,	32:24, 36:6, 37:5	broken [2] - 30:12
20:6, 22:19, 22:21,	access [6] - 13:15,	17:19, 17:20, 18:5,	Avnos [8] - 33:11,	Brunswick [1] - 11:16
23:6, 23:7	15:7, 21:7, 21:8,	18:6, 18:22	33:15, 35:22, 36:2,	Building [1] - 6:10
2024[6] - 1:13, 6:3,	21:15, 21:17	Amended [2] - 33:16,	36:12, 36:25,	building [3] - 11:17,
6:7, 11:1, 13:3,	accident[1] - 26:8	33:24	38:22, 40:13	18:4, 33:20
36:19	accommodate[1] -	Americans [1] - 6:17	avoid [1] - 33:4 aware [1] - 6:11	BUILDING [1] - 1:9
<b>2025</b> [2] - 37:20,	36:4	AND [1] - 2:11	Aye [1] - 41:3	built [4] - 11:15, 12:3,
42:25	accommodating [1] -	AND/OR [1] - 4:15	Aye(i) - 41.5	12:12, 26:16
21st [3] - 38:12,	36:24	angela [1] - 42:23	В	bulletin [1] - 6:9 BUONANTUONO [2]
38:25, 40:17	accommodation [1] - 6:16	ANGELA[2] - 2:22, 42:3		- 2:22, 42:3
24-014-PB [1] - 1:7	accomplished [1] -	angelabuonocsr@	background [1] -	Buonantuono [1] -
<b>26</b> [1] - 1:23	9:20	gmail.com [1] - 1:25	13:22 bad [1] - 15:19	42:23
	accordance [1] - 6:5	angle (1) - 28:2	Banga [1] - 7:20	Burger [1] - 25:16
3	accurate [1] - 42:10	answer [3] - 17:6,	bank [1] - 20:6	Burr [1] - 8:2
<b>30</b> [2] - 16:17, 16:25	Act [2] - 6:6, 6:18	24:4, 28:23	banking [1] - 37:2	BURR [2] - 2:14, 35:2
30XI00233100[2] -	action [3] - 29:17,	anticipate [4] - 33:7,	barring [1] - 25:20	BY [2] - 2:21, 3:3
2:22, 42:23	42:15, 42:18	39:8, 39:9, 39:13	based [1] - 18:9	by-road [2] - 23:1,
	actual [1] - 11:23	anyhow [1] - 23:12	BATEMAN(1) - 3:3	23:2
4	ADA [1] - 6:18	anyway[1] - 32:25	Bateman [1] - 8:22	Bypass [4] - 5:7,
<b>48</b> [1] - 6:19	add [2] - 16:15, 19:1	Applicant [1] - 3:7	be [1] - 28:12	12:22, 13:1, 13:5
	adding [1] - 30:24	applicant's [2] - 9:5,	become [1] - 14:16	bypass [10] - 10:10,
5	addition [2] - 17:12,	9:6	becomes [1] - 15:9	10:11, 10:14,
50014616[1] - 42:24	20:20	APPLICATION[1] -	behalf[1] - 8:21	11:18, 11:23, 12:8, 13:23, 23:10,
	additional [4] - 19:16,	1:7	belts [1] - 17:12	25:23, 25:24
6	20:1, 20:4, 38:7	application [10] -	beneficial [1] - 21:13	20.20, 20.24
<b>6.05</b> [2] - 1:5, 8:16	ADDRESS [1] - 4:17	8:11, 9:2, 9:3, 9:10, 31:24, 33:16,	benefit [3] - 17:20,	С
<b>4.03</b> [2] - 1.3, 0.10	address [2] - 9:12, 17:23	33:25, 34:11,	19:24, 21:4	
7	adeguate [1] - 6:4	34:14, 41:5	better [2] - 26:1, 28:2	calculations [1] -
	adequate [1] - 0.4 adjourn [1] - 33:10	applications [1] -	between [3] - 27:11,	21:22 California (4) - 37:2
732)882-3590 [1] -	adjourned [1] - 41:6	6:12	27:24, 27:25	California [1] - 37:2
1:24 754 m 4:5 9:17	ADMINISTRATOR	appreciate [2] -	big [1] - 39:25	canopies [1] - 28:13
<b>754</b> [2] - 1:5, 8:17	[20] - 7:6, 7:8, 7:12,	16:13, 18:11	<b>bit</b> [7] - 9:17, 13:10, 17:13, 20:17, 21:2,	canopy [1] - 28:4 Cappelli [1] - 2:13
7:00 [1] - 1:14 7th [22] - 6:6, 32:2,	7:16, 7:18, 7:20,	approaching [1] -	22:22, 38:5	car[9] - 12:17, 24:16,
32:8, 32:10, 32:12,	7:24, 32:3, 32:6,	30:20	Block [1] - 8:16	27:15, 27:16,
72.0, 72.10, J2.12,	33:8, 34:6, 35:10,	area [3] - 10:12, 17:9,	BLOCK[1] - 1:5	27:20, 28:22,
32 24 33 7 34 15	00.0, 04.0, 00.10,	1 ' '	I BLUCK III * 1.63	1 21.20, 20.22.
32:24, 33:7, 34:15, 34:18, 35:6, 35:13.	35:19, 36:7, 37:24,	20:16		i .
32:24, 33:7, 34:15, 34:18, 35:6, 35:13, 35:14, 35:22, 36:3,	<b>.</b>	1	blocked [1] - 27:16 BOARD [2] - 1:1, 2:1	28:24, 30:11, 30:12 carried [4] - 31:24,

32:3, 34:15, 40:17 carry [6] - 33:3, 36:5, 37:14, 38:24, 39:6, 40:13 cars [9] - 14:24, 23:17, 24:2, 24:24, 27:18, 27:19, 27:22, 27:25, 30:11 CART [1] - 6:24 case [4] - 12:5, 33:7, 33:13, 35:9 caught [1] - 22:13 CCR[2] - 2:22, 42:23 center [3] - 21:4, 21:10, 21:14 Center [2] - 15:4, 22:20 certainly [1] - 31:3 Certified [1] - 42:4 certified [1] - 1:23 certify [1] - 42:6 CERTIFY [2] - 42:9, 42:13 chain [2] - 18:15, 18:20 CHAIRMAN [33] - 2:2, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:5, 8:14, 9:19, 9:23, 10:5, 14:4, 22:3, 27:6, 27:21, 28:6, 28:8, 29:6, 31:9, 32:1, 32:5, 32:9, 32:13, 32:16, 32:20, 34:20, 34:22, 35:3, 35:15, 35:23, 40:8, 40:11, 40:18, 40:21, 40:25 Chairman [5] - 7:6, 8:19, 9:16, 14:7, 22:1 challenge [2] - 21:2, 38:20 challenging [1] -19:20 CHAMBERS [1] - 1:9 chance [2] - 10:8, 16:11 change [4] - 14:3, 22:16, 23:12, 26:2 changes [1] - 38:15 chaotic |1] - 14:23 CHAPLIN [1] - 4:4 Chaplin [4] - 9:5, 9:17, 30:8, 39:12 Chartowich [1] - 7:21 check [2] - 32:23, 35:24 CHICK [1] - 1:4 Chick [33] - 3:17, 5:5, 8:15, 8:21, 9:14, 10:14, 10:25, 11:6, 11:9, 11:14, 12:12, 12:18, 13:22, 21:12, 22:23, 24:21, 25:4, 26:7,

26:21, 29:13, 29:25, 34:12, 34:14, 36:13, 36:14, 37:5, 37:14, 38:8, 38:25, 39:10, 40:2, 40:14, 40:16 CHICK-FIL-A [1] - 1:4 Chick-fil-A [29] -3:17, 5:5, 8:15, 8:21, 9:14, 10:25, 11:6, 11:9, 11:14, 12:12, 12:18, 13:22, 22:23, 24:21, 25:4, 29:13, 29:25, 34:12, 34:14, 36:13, 36:14, 37:5, 37:14, 38:8, 38:25, 39:10, 40:2, 40:14, 40:16 Chick-fil-As [4] -10:14, 21:12, 26:7, 26:21 chicken [2] - 26:20, 40:10 choice [3] - 20:13, 32:15, 32:17 choices [2] - 32:19, 32:22 choke [1] - 14:16 Choudhury [3] - 7:22, 22:3, 41:1 CHOUDHURY [10] -2:3, 7:23, 22:1, 22:4, 23:14, 24:8, 24:12, 25:15, 26:18, 27:5 circulate [1] - 13:17 circulation [1] - 38:15 circumstance[1] -15:9 circumstances [1] -18:16 clarity [1] - 15:24 clear [1] - 30:23 clearly [2] - 18:16, 40:2 Clerk [1] - 6:8 client [1] - 33:11 clients [1] - 32:8 close [1] - 17:21 closely [1] - 13:11 closer [1] - 29:5 CLR [1] - 42:23 CO2[1] - 33:19 columns [1] - 28:4 combed [1] - 31:15 comfortable [1] -17:8 comina [3] - 14:24, 16:10, 33:15 commencement[1] -42:6 comment [1] - 14:8 commentary [3] -

20:4, 20:10, 35:5

comments [1] - 19:23 Commission [1] -42:24 common [1] - 30:15 commonly [1] - 8:17 **COMMONS** [1] ~ 1:10 compared [1] - 21:16 complement [1] -35:8 complex [1] - 21:1 concept [1] - 39:17 concepts [1] - 37:13 concern [4] - 10:9, 14:9, 17:25, 24:10 concerned [5] -14:15, 14:20, 17:18, 20:18, 20:19 concerns [2] - 24:5, 31:18 concluded [1] - 41:6 condition [2] - 16:4, 17:19 conditions [1] -33:23 DAC [1] - 33:17 congested [3] date [4] - 36:6, 37:3, 17:10, 23:19, 27:3 39:1, 42:12 congestions [1] dated [2] - 11:1, 13:3 23:11 Dated [1] - 42:25 consider [2] - 17:10, 17:16 considered [1] -17:15 considering [2] -17:7, 23:21 constraint[1] - 35:18 context[1] - 13:21 continuance [1] -8:16 CONTINUATION[1] -1:18 continue [1] - 19:7 continues [2] - 8:8, 10:2 control [1] - 24:15 convenience [1] -31:2 conversation [1] -16:9 correct [4] - 27:25, 32:2, 34:9, 40:18 COUNCIL [1] - 1:9 Councilman 141 -7:10, 22:18, 23:15, 35:16 COUNCILMAN [26] -2:4, 7:11, 8:23, 14:7, 15:23, 17:4, 18:12, 19:6, 32:15, 32:18, 32:21, 33:6, 33:13, 34:1, 35:5,

35:17, 36:11,

37:19, 37:25, 38:9,

COMMENTS [1] -

4:15

38:16, 38:19, 38:24, 39:7, 40:4, 40.6 Counsel [1] - 3:7 counsel [2] - 42:14, 42:17 country [1] - 30:20 COUNTY [1] - 1:2 couple [5] - 15:4, 15:17, 16:5, 20:8, 21:20 Courier[1] - 6:7 course [1] - 31:14 COURT[1] - 1:22 Court [2] - 1:23, 42:4 crazy [2] - 14:25, 23:4 created [1] - 20:5 crosswalk [1] - 15:13 crowded [1] - 19:16 curb [1] - 13:15 curbs [1] - 27:24 customer[1] - 31:2 cut [2] - 13:15, 20:7

# D

dates [1] - 37:8 **DAVIS** [1] - 3:3 days [3] - 37:11, 37:16, 38:3 days' [1] - 6:24 December [4] - 6:3, 9:12, 11:1, 13:3 DECEMBER [1] -1:13 decide [1] - 33:3 defer[1] - 18:13 definitely [4] - 16:13, 19:13, 21:15, 25:8 deliberate [1] - 31:16 delineates [1] - 18:19 deliver[1] - 19:20 Department [1] - 6:20 depicted [1] - 15:12 DESCRIPTION [1] -5:3 design [1] - 26:1 Design [1] - 4:4 designed [1] - 22:15 desire [1] - 20:3 desired [1] - 18:14 determination [1] -36:23 Development [1] -3:17 dialogue [1] - 14:9 different [1] - 21:12 DiFrancesco [1] -8:21 DIFRANCESCO[1] -

3:3 direct [5] - 15:14, 21:17, 24:22, 25:13, 29:7 directly [1] - 18:3 Disabilities [1] - 6:18 disability [2] - 6:17. 6:19 discuss [1] - 33:9 distance [1] - 23:3 DO [2] - 42:9, 42:13 doable [1] - 23:9 done [3] - 21:22, 30:2, 39:4 door[1] - 17:21 down [4] - 12:17, 27:15, 30:12 Downey [1] - 9:7 drive [25] - 10:12, 12:14, 13:19, 13:20, 16:16, 16:25, 19:9, 22:6, 23:4, 24:6, 25:3, 25:11, 25:18, 26:8, 27:12, 27:18, 28:10, 28:11, 28:19, 29:1, 29:5, 29:15, 29:24 drive-through [12] -10:12, 13:20, 16:25, 24:6, 25:11, 26:8, 28:19, 29:1, 29:5, 29:15, 29:24 drive-throughs [3] -12:14, 25:3, 28:11 drivers [1] - 20:14 driveway [1] - 14:2 driving [2] - 20:8, 23:5 dual [2] - 29:24, 30:21 duly [1] - 42:7 Dunkin' [1] - 25:5 duty [1] - 29:21

### E

easily [1] - 18:21 eat [1] - 26:19 Edison [1] - 11:25 efficiency [1] - 20:21 efficiently [1] - 31:7 eight [1] - 28:23 either [2] - 20:13, 27:22 Email (1) - 3:6 emergency [12] -12:16, 13:14, 14:23, 15:7, 17:3, 23:17, 24:25, 26:2, 26:12, 27:1, 27:19, 28:18 Emergency [1] -29:14 emissions [1] - 33:19 employee[3] - 17:24, 42:14, 42:16 EMT [2] - 18:24, 23:17 EMTs [2] - 17:22, 18:3 **ENGINEER** [1] - 35:2 Engineer [1] - 2:14 engineer [3] - 8:2, 9:5. 9:7 Engineering [1] - 4:4 engineering [2] -34:7, 39:23 engineers [1] - 19:19 engines [1] - 19:4 enters [1] - 26:8 entertain [2] - 33:10, 38:22 entire [2] - 28:19, 28:24 entitled [1] - 10:24 entrance [3] - 14:18, 19:5, 29:5 environmental (1) -33:22 equation [1] - 25:4 equipment [2] - 6:23, 33:22 escape [3] - 11:22, 13:12, 17:2 ESQUIRE [2] - 2:12, essence [1] - 11:12 essentially [8] - 10:9, 11:15, 12:19, 13:8, 13:14, 15:7, 16:19, 17:8 evening [9] - 6:1, 8:15, 9:12, 10:4, 10:5, 36:24, 37:13, 40:1, 40:20 event [3] - 12:15, 13:13, 15:8 evident [1] - 29:3 exactly [1] - 18:14 example [1] - 28:1 excess [1] - 18:7 excluding [1] - 6:25 Exhibit [5] - 5:7, 11:9, 12:23, 13:5, 13:6 exhibit [3] - 10:17. 10:24, 12:21 exhibits [1] - 37:10 Existing [3] - 5:5, 10:25, 11:9 existing [2] - 11:6, 30:23 exit [7] - 28:20, 28:25, 29:4, 29:8 expecting [1] - 39:16 experience [3] -18:10, 21:11, 26:21 exterior[1] - 20:5 extra [3] - 16:21,

17:3, 17:13 extreme [2] - 27:10 extremely [1] - 36:23

# facilitate [1] - 29:10

13:23, 19:21, 21:8,

facing (1) - 15:3

fact (6) - 10:10.

24:20, 37:18

familiar [2] - 9:2,

far [2] - 27:22

fast[1] - 15:20

favor [1] - 41:2

feasible [1] - 37:16

26:18

February [2] - 6:6, 36:19 feedback [2] - 12:9, 39:13 feet [2] - 16:17, 16:25 few [1] - 19:13 Fil [5] - 10:25, 26:7. 26:21, 29:25, 37:5 FIL [1] - 1:4 fil [28] - 3:17, 5:5, 8:15, 8:21, 9:14, 10:14, 11:6, 11:9, 11:14, 12:12, 12:18, 13:22, 21:12, 22:23, 24:21, 25:4, 29:13, 34:12, 34:14, 36:13, 36:14, 37:14, 38:8, 38:25, 39:10, 40:2, 40:14, 40:16 filed [1] - 6:8 filled [1] - 22:10 FINAL [1] - 1:6 financially [1] - 42:17 fire [4] - 17:18, 19:4, 23:22, 38:14 firm [1] - 8:24 first [3] - 9:9, 31:6, 37:22 flag [1] - 7:2 FLAUM[1] - 3:3 flexibility [1] - 16:7 flexible [2] - 25:8, 36:24 Florio [1] - 2:13 flying [1] - 37:1 Flynn [1] - 39:20 focus [1] - 19:23 focused [1] - 31:6 folks [1] - 30:1 follows [1] - 10:2 food [1] - 30:17 FOR [1] - 1:3 foregoing [1] - 42:9 forget [1] - 11:1 forgets [1] - 30:14 forth [1] - 42:12

Friday [1] - 22:6 front [4] - 17:21, 19:3, 23:19 full [6] - 10:11, 11:25, 12:2, 27:12, 32:19, 35:8 fuli-size [1] - 27:12 fully [1] - 13:19 funny [1] - 19:7 FURTHER [2] - 42:9, 42:13

# G

Garden [1] - 22:10 gate [1] - 18:18 given [3] - 6:5, 34:16, 34:19 glass [1] - 15:18 God [1] - 22:12 grade [4] - 20:19, 20:20, 20:21, 20:23 graded [1] - 15:16 Grand [2] - 29:19 grass [2] - 15:16, 15:21 great [1] - 17:12 greater [1] - 16:7 green [1] - 13:25 Group [1] - 2:16 guess [2] - 14:19, 17:20

guys [1] - 10:18

handful [1] - 26:9 handle [3] - 24:1, 27:1, 30:16 hands [1] - 32:10 hardest [1] - 8:23 head [2] - 16:2, 37:8 headache [1] - 22:22 heads [1] - 16:12 hear [3] - 36:4, 36:12, 36:14 heard [6] - 6:13, 9:5, 9:8, 19:18, 21:19, 33:7 HEARING [1] - 1:17 hearing [9] - 6:15, 9:4, 9:9, 9:10, 32:4, 33:12, 37:17, 38:23, 40:13 hearings [3] - 6:12, 19:8, 31:14 heavily [1] - 29:25 help [4] - 23:18, 24:22, 25:13, 26:12 helpful [2] - 21:10, 23:21 helps [1] - 21:15 HENRY [1] - 2:7

hereby [1] - 42:6

hereinbefore [1] -

42:12 Heritage [1] - 34:11 highlight [1] - 29:2 highways [2] - 21:6, 21:18 Hill [1] - 22:19 holiday [1] - 38:20 holidays [5] - 6:25, 22:8, 37:18, 37:21, 38:18 holidays.. [1] - 38:17 home [1] - 26:25 hope [1] - 13:21 hoping [2] - 34:5, 40:3 hour[1] - 39:25 hours' [1] - 6:19

immediate [1] - 29:8

impacting [1] - 21:5

important [2] - 12:6,

impose [1] - 16:3

improvement[1] -

house [1] - 33:21

hurdle [1] - 19:22

I.. [1] - 22:2

12:13

31:1

idea [1] - 36:21

ideas [1] - 30:1

IN [1] - 41:3 in/one [1] - 17:9 INC [1] - 1:4 Inc [2] - 3:17, 8:21 including [2] - 22:6, 29:9 indicated [1] - 30:8 individual [2] - 6:18, 6.22 individuals [1] - 6:16 initial [1] - 12:9 installed [1] - 12:12 instead [1] - 25:2 intention [1] - 36:12 interested in - 42:17 internal (6) - 13:15. 14:8, 21:9, 21:15, 29:25, 39:3 intersection [1] -20:19 introduce [1] - 12:22 involve [1] - 29:20 issue [2] - 30:9, 31:15 issues [2] - 21:6, 30:7 itself [3] - 11:17, 23:2, 28:10 N[1] - 2:14

# J

**JAMES** [1] - 2:5 January [7] - 32:2, 34:15, 34:18,

36:21, 37:2, 40:12, 42:25 JERSEY [2] - 1:2, 1:10 Jersey [5] - 1:24, 3:4, 11:14, 20:14, 42:5 John [1] - 9:9 **JOHN** [2] - 3:17, 29:18 judgment[1] - 18:13 jump [1] - 29:16

#### K

K-turn [1] - 17:1 KATHERINE[1] -2:15 keeping [1] - 29:3 kind [4] - 15:18, 16:21, 20:11, 29:14 kindly [1] - 24:4 kinds [1] - 14:24 King [1] - 25:16 KIRSH [26] - 2:4, 7:11, 8:23, 14:7, 15:23, 17:4, 18:12, 19:6, 32:15, 32:18, 32:21, 33:6, 33:13, 34:1, 35:5, 35:17, 36:11, 37:19, 37:25, 38:9, 38:16, 38:19, 38:24, 39:7, 40:4, 40:6 Kirsh [3] - 7:10, 22:18, 23:15 known [1] - 8:17 KUNZMAN [1] - 3:3

#### L

lack [1] - 37:21 Land [1] - 2:17 lane [21] - 10:10, 10:11, 10:15, 11:21, 11:23, 12:8, 12:15, 12:19, 13:12, 13:23, 17:3, 25:5, 25:19, 25:22, 25:24, 27:17, 29:1, 29:5, 29:24, 30:24 Lane [3] - 5:7, 12:22, 13:5 lanes [22] - 11:17, 11:21, 12:1, 12:2, 12:15, 13:16, 22:14, 23:24, 24:7, 24:20, 25:1, 25:5, 25:6, 25:12, 26:14, 27:8, 27:15, 28:22, 29:16, 30:3, 30:21, 30:24 larger [2] - 16:21, 21:13 last [5] - 9:4, 20:8, 22:5, 31:14, 31:15 late [1] - 40:20

law [1] - 39:4 Lawrence [1] - 12:5 least [7] - 23:14, 23:16, 24:1, 24:3, 25:21, 26:20, 34:11 leave [3] - 31:5, 35:7, 36:22 Ledger [1] - 6:8 left [3] - 11:2, 20:12, 27:10 **LEHRER** [1] - 3:3 lengths [1] - 28:24 level [1] - 20:21 License [2] - 2:22, 42:23 life [1] - 32:18 light [2] - 23:12, 37:17 likelihood [2] - 38:10, 40:17 likely [2] - 37:19, 37:22 line [2] - 13:9, 15:3 live [1] - 22:5 liver [1] - 24:14 LLC [3] - 1:22, 2:13, 2:16 locations [3] - 11:7, 12:12, 30:20 Locations [3] - 5:5, 10:25, 11:10 lock [1] - 18:17 look [6] - 12:11, 13:11, 13:24, 18:15, 19:15, 20:18 looked [2] - 13:10, 36:18 looking [2] - 15:3, 20:22 lose [2] - 15:17, 16:5 LOT [1] - 1:5 lunch [1] - 22:7

# М

machinery [1] - 33:19 Magura [1] - 7:14 MAGURA[5] - 2:5, 7:15, 18:2, 18:9, 19:1 main [6] - 13:15, 14.17, 16:19, 21.6, 21:8, 28:11 major[1] - 21:5 MAJOR [1] - 1:6 majority[1] - 10:14 Management [1] -29:14 Manager[1] - 3:17 maneuver[1] - 20:9 manner [1] - 29:16 mark[1] - 10:17 MARK[1] - 2:12 marked [2] - 11:10, 13:6

markup [1] - 13:9 marshal [1] - 38:14 **MARTINEZ**[2] - 3:17, 29:18 Martinez [2] - 9:9, 29:12 material [1] - 38:7 materials [1] - 38:4 Matt [1] - 39:20 MAURIZIO [1] - 2:2 Mayor[1] - 7:8 mean [7] - 19:12, 22:15, 26:4, 26:25, 28:16, 39:4, 39:25 means [1] - 19:22 mechanical [2] -33:17, 33:22 medical [2] - 14:23, 17:24 MEETING [1] - 1:3 meeting [10] - 6:3, 6:4, 6:21, 31:24, 36:17, 36:18, 36:19, 38:10, 38:11, 41:6 Meetings [1] - 6:6 MEMBER [19] - 7:15, 7:17, 7:19, 7:23, 10:20, 18:2, 18:9, 19:1, 22:1, 22:4, 23:14, 24:8, 24:12, 25:15, 26:18, 27:5, 32:12, 32:14, 40:24 MEMBERS (2) - 2:1. 41:3 members [9] - 19:25, 24:21, 25:10, 25:13, 26:12, 27:17, 31:11, 35:11, 35:25 memo [3] - 9:13, 9:18, 10:8 merit [1] - 16:14 mess [1] - 24:17 Michael [2] - 8:20,

35:11, 35:25
memo [3] - 9:13,
9:18, 10:8
merit [1] - 16:14
mess [1] - 24:17
Michael [2] - 8:20,
38:6
MICHAEL [2] - 2:4,
3:3
middle [1] - 25:8
might [1] - 38:19
Millstone [1] - 1:24
Milltown [1] - 34:2
mind [3] - 29:3,
31:18, 40:5
minimum [1] - 32:25
Minor [1] - 33:16
minutes [2] - 9:21,
36:20
Modification [3] 5:7, 12:22, 13:5

modification [2] -

13:1, 13:24

Moench [1] - 7:8

most [6] - 12:14,

22:7, 25:3 motion [2] - 40:23, 40:24 motorcycle [1] -27:25 Mountain [1] - 3:4 move (6) - 11:19, 12:18, 24:24, 26:13, 30:11, 31:7 movement[1] - 20:5 msilbert@ newjerseylaw.net [1] - 3:6 Municipal [1] - 6:10 MUNICIPAL [1] - 1:9 must [1] - 26:22

15:8, 15:14, 17:18,

Ν N.J.S.A [1] - 6:6 NAME[1] - 4:17 NANCY [1] - 2:17 naturally [1] - 28:25 near [3] - 15.5, 22.5 necessary[2] - 6:25, 16:3 need [6] - 14:20, 18:17, 18:22, 24:24, 33:9 needed [3] - 16:20, 17:1, 24:23 never[4] - 16:4, 26:10, 34:5, 40:5 NEW [2] - 1:2, 1:10 new [3] - 6:12, 6:13, 31:25 New [4] - 1:24, 3:4, 11:14, 42:4 news [1] - 19:20 News [1] - 6:7 next [8] - 8:14, 9:21, 11:4, 19:15, 31:4, 36:6, 38:10, 38:11 night [4] - 33:12, 34:13, 36:13, 36:15 NIREN [1] - 2:3 NJ [1] - 42:23 NO [2] - 1:7, 5:3 nobody [1] - 22:12 none [1] - 31:20 None [1] - 4:19 normal [2] - 18:16, 18:19 north [2] - 20:12, 21:2 North [5] - 11:16, 22:19, 22:21, 23:6, 27:2 northeast (1) - 28:21 Notary [2] - 42:3, 42:24 note [1] - 12:6 noted [1] - 41:8

nothing [1] - 42:8

notice [10] - 6:4, 6:7,

6:20, 6:24, 31:25, 33:1, 34:15, 34:18, 35:21, 36:8 noticed [2] - 36:2, 36:5

# 0

oath [1] - 9:15

obvious [1] - 28:16 obviously [9] - 12:11, 17:2, 22:9, 26:6, 26:15, 26:21, 28:17, 36:3, 37:4 OF [4] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:16 off-duty [1] - 29:21 office [2] - 11:1, 13:2 officers [1] - 29:22 official [3] - 32:9, 35:21, 38:1 often [1] - 30:10 Old [1] - 12:4 older [1] - 11:20 Olive [1] - 22:9 once [2] - 26:9, 31:21 one [19] - 11:4, 11:21, 12:14, 13:16, 16:15, 17:9, 23:1, 23:20, 25:2, 25:5, 25:19, 25:22, 27:10, 27:15, 29:8, 29:15, 30:24, 32:7, 35:15 one-way-in/one-way -out [1] - 17:9 ones [1] - 21:16 open [2] - 27:20, 34:22 Open [1] - 6:5 Opening [2] - 29:19, 29:20 opens [1] - 29:13 operates [1] - 26:6 operation [1] - 30:18 operational [1] - 9:8 operations [1] -29:23 operator [3] - 26:5, 27:9, 29:7 opportunity [3] -28:20, 31:13, 37:12 opposed [1] - 18:4 option [1] - 15:9 or.. [1] - 24:11 order [2] - 29:9, 30:10 ordering [1] - 11:21 outcome [1] - 16:8 overall [1] - 14:3 oversized [3] - 16:17, 25:7, 25:11

Р

P.E [2] - 2:14, 4:4

own [1] - 29:25

P.M [1] - 1:14 p.m [4] - 6:13, 6:14, 8:12, 41:8 P.P [1] - 2:15 PAGE [3] - 4:2, 4:17, 5.3 pain [1] - 24:14 Pappas [1] - 7:12 park [2] - 19:3, 34:2 parking [7] - 15:3, 15:17, 15:25, 16:6, 19:5, 21:15, 31:1 Parsippany [1] - 12:2 particularly [4] -14:19, 15:10, 15:15, 37:17 parties [1] - 42:15 past [1] - 20:6 Patrick [1] - 9:7 patron [1] - 17:24 paver [1] - 15:22 pay [1] - 30:16 PC [1] - 3:3 peak [2] - 22:22, 24:1 PECK [7] - 2:12, 11:3, 13:1, 31:22, 33:2, 34:10, 34:13 Peck | 11 - 8:1 people [10] - 20:6, 20:12, 22:9, 23:4, 25:1, 26:22, 26:23, 29:7, 37:1 perfectly [1] - 17:7 perhaps [2] - 16:7, 39:24 Perrucci [1] - 2:13 person [1] - 14:22 personnel [1] - 17:23 pick [2] - 11:21, 18:4 picturing [1] - 19:10 place [6] - 18:19, 22:12, 29:14. 30:10, 30:15, 42:12 Plainfield [3] - 11:19, 11:24, 26:19 Plan [4] - 29:14, 29:20, 33:16, 33:25 plan [8] - 12:7, 13:9, 21:23, 29:9, 35:11, 35:12, 35:14, 37:16 PLAN [1] - 1:6 planned [1] - 28:25 PLANNER [1] - 35:1 planner [2] - 8:3, 39:20 Planner [1] - 2:15 planning [2] - 39:19, 39:24 PLANNING [1] - 1:1 Planning [4] - 2:16, 6:2, 6:11, 6:20 plate [1] - 36:21

Pledge [1] - 7:3

plus [1] - 23:11

point [6] - 10:7, 10:13, 14:16, 21:9, 28:25, 29:4 points [1] - 21:7 police [2] - 18:7, 29:21 Policy [1] - 6:12 poll [1] - 35:12 portion [2] - 10:10, 28:21 position [1] - 39:6 possible [8] - 27:23, 28:4, 28:5, 29:12, 35:17, 36:12, 36:14, 37:3 possibly [2] - 18:5, 18:6 posted [2] - 6:9, 8:9 potential [2] - 30:7, 40:13 potentially [2] -15:17, 38:24 PRELIMINARY[1] preparation[1] -21:23 prepared [2] - 10:25, 13:2 PRESENT [2] - 2:1, 2:11 present[1] - 8:1 presented [1] - 9:3 presumably [1] -17:22 pretty [3] - 12:3, 17:21, 31:15 previously [1] - 10:1 private [1] - 18:24 Probst [2] - 7:4, 8:6 PROBST[21] - 2:17, 7:6, 7:8, 7:12, 7:16, 7:18, 7:20, 7:24, 32:3, 32:6, 33:8, 34:6, 35:10, 35:19, 36:7, 37:24, 38:2, 38:13, 38:18, 38:21, 39:2 procedures [2] -30:10, 30:15 proceed [2] - 8:4, 9:24 proceeding [1] -42:10 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:16 process [3] - 29:10, 30:22, 38:4 Professional [1] -42:5 professional [2] -9:3, 9:6 professionals [2] -9:14, 34:25 **PROFESSIONALS** 

m - 2:11 project [1] - 14:3 proper [1] - 6:7 properly[1] - 22:15 property [3] - 28:21, 30:25, 33:18 proposing [1] - 33:17 provide [3] - 13:12, 31:25, 38:4 provided [1] - 6:18 provides [1] - 6:19 Public [3] - 6:5, 42:3, 42:24 PUBLIC [2] - 1:17, 4:15 public [11] - 6:12, 6:21, 19:24, 21:24, 31:22, 34:18, 34:23, 34:24, 37:11, 40:1, 40:6 purchase [1] - 30:25 purposes [1] - 36:2 pursuant [1] - 6:17 put [5] - 8:20, 12:10, 16:11, 29:13, 33:17 pylon [1] - 15:5

# Q

QUESTIONS [1] 4:15
questions [6] - 14:6,
31:11, 31:17,
34:23, 34:24, 34:25
quick [5] - 29:18,
34:4, 34:5, 37:6,
39:23
quickly [4] - 15:11,
15:15, 18:21, 40:2
quorum [1] - 8:4

#### R

R.I [1] ~ 34:11 ramp [2] - 29:8 rare [1] - 26:10 rather[1] - 28:21 re [3] - 9:1, 33:1, 39:12 re-call [1] - 39:12 re-notice [1] - 33:1 re-summarize [1] -9:1 real [2] - 15:19, 15:20 really [4] - 23:19, 23:23, 24:5, 24:17 reason [1] - 13:17 reassurance [1] -19:16 reassure [2] - 21:21, 21:24 received [2] - 9:14, 9:18 recently [1] - 12:3 recommending [1] -25:20

**REGULAR**[1] - 1:3 related [1] - 31:12 relates [1] - 39:21 relative [2] - 42:14, 42:16 relief[1] - 39:21 remain [2] - 14:15, 14:19 remaining [1] - 9:11 remember[1] - 22:22 remind [1] - 16:16 remove [1] - 13:11 removed [1] - 18:21 removing [1] - 15:4 renotice [1] - 33:5 reorg [6] - 31:24, 32:1, 32:11, 33:12, 36:19, 37:6 reorganization [1] -36:17 report [1] - 18:3 REPORTED [1] - 2:21 Reporter [2] - 42:4, Reporters [1] - 1:23 REPORTING [1] -1:22 representation [1] -27:8 request [3] - 6:16, 32:7, 33:10 requesting [1] -39:22 require [2] - 6:23, 35:7 required [1] - 39:20 requirement [1] -17:5 requires [2] - 30:25, 38:4 reserved [1] - 19:4 respect [1] - 40:1 respective [1] - 27:23 responder [1] - 18:24 restaurant [1] - 14:22 restriction [1] - 35:7 result [1] - 13:25 review [5] - 9:13, 9:18, 10:8, 37:12, 38:15 reviews [1] - 39:4 revisions [6] - 37:12, 37:16, 39:12, 39:14, 39:15

revisited [1] - 20:17

15:22, 23:1, 23:2,

rise [1] - 7:1

23:19

road [5] - 13:15,

Road [4] - 15:4,

record [2] - 8:20,

Registered [1] - 42:5

19:24

red [1] - 13:9

22:20, 34:2
roadway [1] - 21:9
roll [1] - 7:5
room [4] - 16:18,
16:19, 19:14, 37:21
roughly [1] - 37:22
ROUTE [1] - 1:5
Route [1] - 8:17
RPR [2] - 2:22, 42:23

#### S

safely [1] - 30:11 safety [5] - 16:7, 20:23, 24:5, 30:1. 31:6 salute [1] - 7:1 SARMAD[2] - 2:15, 35:1 Sarmad [2] - 2:16, 8:2 saw [1] - 21:16 scenario [2] - 14:20, 29:4 scene [1] - 18:3 schedule [4] - 36:13, 37:20, 40:13, 40:16 scheduled [1] - 36:7 scheduling [2] - 32:4, 36:2 screen [1] - 10:19 seat [1] - 8:18 second [3] - 12:19, 12:21, 40:25 secondary [1] - 15:9 secretary [1] - 6:20 security [1] - 17:14 see [9] - 10:15, 10:19, 11:22, 13:13, 20:17, 27:7, 32:24, 36:19, 37:15 seeing [3] - 16:2, 19:9, 27:7 sees [1] - 28:17 Senior[1] - 3:17 sense [4] - 18:25, 23:16, 38:10, 38:22 sent [1] - 6:7 service [1] - 20:22 services [1] - 6:23 set [2] - 38:25, 42:12 seven [1] - 6:24 several [1] - 22:6 severe [1] - 15:8 share [1] - 24:4 shopping [3] - 21:4, 21:10, 21:14 short [1] - 39:23 show [3] - 11:15, 18:5, 32:10 showed [3] - 19:12, 26:15, 37:13 shows [1] - 18:16 side [6] - 22:14, 23:24, 24:5, 24:9, 25:22, 27:3

sign [1] - 15:6 signs [2] - 20:11, 23:9 Sikora [1] - 7:18 SIKORA [6] - 2:6, 7:19, 10:20, 32:12, 32:14, 40:24 SILBERT [25] - 3:3, 8:19, 8:25, 9:22, 10:18, 12:24, 28:13, 28:16, 29:11, 31:8, 31:23, 32:23, 33:15, 34:3, 34:9, 34:12, 34:21, 36:1, 36:9, 36:16, 39:11, 40:5, 40:10, 40:15, 40:19 Silbert [5] - 8:18, 8:20, 35:4, 35:20, 40:21 Silbert's [2] - 32:7, 33:11 similar[1] - 36:25 simply [1] - 33:21 site [15] - 11:16, 11:24, 12:1, 12:3, 12:20, 13:9, 13:18, 14:15, 19:11, 19:16, 28:24, 29:9, 31:2, 37:16, 39:12 Site [2] - 33:16, 33:25 SITE [1] - 1:6 sites [4] - 11:14, 13:22, 21:13, 26:16 situation [7] - 14:24, 15:16, 15:19, 17:9, 27:1, 28:18, 37:1 six [1] - 11:13 size [1] - 27:12 slightly [1] - 14:12 snapshot [1] - 11:13 so., [1] - 33:5 someone [2] - 26:7, 30:14 **SOMERSET** [1] - 1:2 sometime [1] - 36:13 sometimes [1] -19:20 son [1] - 26:20 sorry [4] - 11:5, 19:7, 34:13, 39:7 Sorry [1] - 19:21 sort [2] - 15:16, 15:24 South [6] - 11:19, 11:23, 20:6, 22:19, 23:7, 26:19 south [1] - 20:13 **space** [9] - 13:25, 16:21, 20:25, 25:17, 27:7, 27:11, 27:20, 27:24 spaces [3] - 15:17, 15:25, 16:6 special [1] - 6:23

specific [1] - 29:19 specifically [1] - 27:2 spot [1] - 8:18 spots [2] - 15:3, 15:5 squad [2] - 18:4, 18:10 STAFF [1] - 2:11 staff [4] - 12:18, 14:22, 29:16, 29:21 Star [1] - 6:8 Star-Ledger [1] - 6:8 Starbucks [1] - 25:5 start [2] - 11:15, 27:17 STATE[1] - 1:2 State [1] - 42:4 statutorily [1] - 38:3 staying [1] - 40:20 Steinhardt [1] - 2:13 stenographically [1] - 42:11 STENOGRAPHICAL LY [1] - 2:21 still [2] - 14:15, 28:20 Stonefield [1] - 4:4 store [6] - 19:2, 19:3, 19:4, 19:5, 22:9, 23:20 store's [1] - 22:8 stores [1] - 30:23 straight [1] - 23:7 strict [1] - 30:1 striping [1] - 25:7 structure [2] - 33:20, 33:21 studied [1] - 29:25 stuff [1] - 14:25 subject [2] - 34:11, 37:21 submit [1] - 38:7 submitting [1] - 37:9 sufficient [3] - 23:3, 27:11, 27:24 suggest [1] - 17:4 suggestion [3] -15:1, 16:13, 17:5 summarize [1] - 9:1 supplemental [3] -9:13, 9:18, 37:9

#### Т

sworn [2] - 10:2, 42:7

system [1] - 33:17

surprise [1] - 37:20

suspenders [1] -

17:13

table [1] - 29:22 team [7] - 24:21, 25:9, 25:13, 26:12, 27:17, 32:24, 37:15 Tel [1] - 1:24 ten [3] - 37:10, 37:16, 38:3 Terrace [1] - 1:23 test [1] - 22:6 test-drive [1] - 22:6 tested [1] - 30:6 testify [1] - 42:7 testimony [10] - 6:13, 9:4, 9:6, 10:2, 14:5, 19:18, 34:7, 39:19, 39:24 THE [26] - 10:4, 10:6. 10:22, 11:6, 11:12, 12:25, 13:2, 13:8, 15:21, 16:10, 18:1, 18:8, 18:11, 18:25, 21:3, 23:13, 24:6, 24:10, 24:19, 26:4, 27:4, 27:14, 28:3, 28:7, 28:9, 28:15 the.. [1] - 11:2 they've [1] - 39:16 third [4] - 9:10, 12:8, 13:12, 37:22 thoroughfare [1] -16:20 three [2] - 16:19, 30:3 throat [1] - 14:2 throughout [1] -30:20 throughs [3] - 12:14, 25:3, 28:11 tight [9] - 19:11, 20:1, 20:24, 20:25, 21:5, 22:15, 24:11, 24:13, 28:7 Tipton [1] - 2:13 today [2] - 19:2, 26:25 together[1] - 16:12 tomorrow [1] - 35:20 tonight [3] - 8:24, 26:5, 39:13 tonight's [1] - 36:18 took [2] - 36:20, 39:13 top [1] - 13:9 totally [2] - 21:19, 22:10 tough [1] - 20:9 toward [1] - 27:23 Towne [3] - 15:3, 22:19, 22:20 TOWNSHIP [1] - 1:1 township [2] - 9:8, Township [7] - 1:24, 2:14, 2:14, 2:17,

6:2, 6:9, 8:22

24:22, 25:14.

trained [1] - 29:16

31:12

traffic [11] - 9:6, 9:7,

18:20, 19:19, 23:9,

26:13, 27:9, 29:7,

transcriber [1] - 6:24

transcript [1] - 42:10

TRANSCRIPT[1] -1:16 true [1] - 42:10 truth [3] - 42:7, 42:8 try [2] - 10:6, 20:6 trying [1] - 29:2 Tuesday [1] - 6:3 TUESDAY [1] - 1:13 Tuesdays [1] - 37:23 turn [5] - 9:16, 17:1, 20:7, 23:2, 40:1 two [17] - 11:17, 11:20, 11:25, 12:2, 12:15, 22:14, 23:24, 24:6, 24:20, 25:1, 25:5, 25:12, 26:13, 27:8, 27:21, 30:24, 31:14 type [2] - 29:10, 33:13 typically [1] - 12:10

#### u

U-turn [2] - 20:7, 23:2 under [1] - 9:15 understood [1] - 28:8 UNISON [1] - 41:3 unlike [1] - 12:14 up [10] - 11:4, 11:21, 18:4, 18:5, 22:10, 24:17, 27:18, 27:20, 34:23, 36:18 urging [1] - 20:11 used... [1] - 15:22

### V

valuable [2] - 16:2, 17:3 variance [1] - 39:21 variances [1] - 33:24 vast[1] - 31:1 vehicle [2] - 18:24, 27:12 vehicles [5] - 12:18, 12:19, 16:19, 16:21, 27:8 **Verizon** [5] - 22:8, 22:9, 22:14, 23:24, 24:9 version [1] - 11:20 versus [1] - 28:24 Vescio [1] - 7:6 VESCIO [33] - 2:2, 6:1, 7:4, 7:7, 8:5, 8:14, 9:19, 9:23, 10:5, 14:4, 22:3, 27:6, 27:21, 28:6, 28:8, 29:6, 31:9, 32:1, 32:5, 32:9, 32:13, 32:16, 32:20, 34:20, 34:22, 35:3, 35:15, 35:23, 40:8, 40:11, 40:18, 40:21, 40:25 vetted [1] - 30:19 viability [2] - 15:2, 15:4 viable [1] - 18:13 visitor [1] - 14:22

#### W

wait [1] - 28:19 waiting [4] - 28:22, 28:23, 40:7, 40:8 wallet [1] - 30:14 Wang [1] - 7:16 WANG [2] - 2:7, 7:17 Warren [2] - 3:4, 8:22 watching [1] - 34:17 WAY [1] - 1:10 wayfinding [1] -20:11 week [1] - 22:5 weekends [1] - 6:25 weeks [1] - 20:8 Wegmans [1] - 19:10 welcome [1] - 6:2 whole [3] - 12:1, 21:1, 42:8 wide [2] - 16:17, 30:4 wife [1] - 26:20 WILLIAM [1] - 2:14 WITNESS [27] - 4:2, 10:4, 10:6, 10:22, 11:6, 11:12, 12:25, 13:2, 13:8, 15:21, 16:10, 18:1, 18:8, 18:11, 18:25, 21:3, 23:13, 24:6, 24:10, 24:19, 26:4, 27:4, 27:14, 28:3, 28:7, 28:9, 28:15 witness [1] - 42:7 witnesses [2] - 9:15, 39:10 worried [1] - 23:23

#### Υ

year [1] - 26:9 years [2] - 12:9

#### 7

ZACHARY[1] - 4:4 Zachary[1] - 9:4 zoom [3] - 10:20, 10:22, 14:12