BRIDGEWATER TOWNSHIP PLANNING BOARD

Regular Meeting
Monday, October 22, 2018
—MINUTES—

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER:

Chairman Ronald Charles called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Municipal Courtroom, 100 Commons Way, Bridgewater, New Jersey.

2. OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT ANNOUNCEMENT:

Adequate notice of this meeting has been given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act N.J.S.A. 10:4-6. On January 10, 2018 proper notice was sent to the Courier Newspaper and the Star-Ledger and filed with the Clerk at the Township of Bridgewater and posted on the bulletin board in the Municipal Building. Please be aware of the Planning Board policy for public hearings: no new applications will be heard after 10:00 pm and no new testimony will be taken after 10:15 pm. Hearing Assistance is available upon request. Accommodation will be made for individuals with a disability, pursuant to the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), provided the individual with the disability provides 48 hours advance notice to the Planning Department Secretary before the public meeting." However, if the individual should require special equipment or services, such as a CART transcriber, seven days advance notice, excluding weekends and holidays, may be necessary.

3. SALUTE TO FLAG:

There was salute to the flag.

4. ROLL CALL:

Stephen Rodzinak – absent
James Franco – present
Chairman Ron Charles – present
Councilman Howard Norgalis – present
Tricia Casamento – present

Mayor Dan Hayes – absent Evan Lerner – present Urvin Pandya, Alt. #1 – present Debra Albanese, Alt. #2 – present

Others present: Board Attorney Thomas Collins, Township Engineer David Battaglia, Board Planner Scarlett Doyle

5. APPROVAL OF BOARD MINUTES:

6. MEMORIALIZATION OF RESOLUTIONS:

7. LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS:

8. CIP II/AR BRIDGEWATER HOLDINGS LLC

Block 483 Lot 17, 18 & 19- Route 202/206

#18-012-PB- Preliminary & Final Major Site Plan – Mixed use development including retail, office, restaurant, residential, and office research

See attached Transcription dated October 22, 2018 prepared by: Michael Lombardozzi, CSR, CRR. of Veritext Legal Solutions, 290 W Mt. Pleasant Avenue, Livingston, NJ 07039. Transcript is located in Planning Divison office.

9. MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC:

There were no members of the public wishing to address the Board on any matter not listed on the agenda.

10. OTHER BOARD BUSINESS:

--MINUTES--

Consistency request from Township Council (Referral)

AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF BRIDGWATER AMENDING SECTION 126-321.6 OF THE BRIDGEWATER TOWNSHIP CODE, REDEVELOPMENT SPECIAL ECONOMIC AND EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT, SECTION 126-321B, PRINCIPAL PERMITTED USES ALLOWING FOR A DRIVE-THROUGH IN LIMITED CIRCUMSTANCES

Drive Thru. For Cafe request for consistency of ordinance

Motion by Councilman Norgalis, second by Chairman Charles, to recommend to the Council on Ordinance adoption of the Drive-Thru as written for following roll call vote:

AFFIRMATIVE:

Chairman Charles, Mr. Franco, Councilman Norgalis, Mrs. Casamento,

Mr. Lerner, Mr. Pandya, Mrs. Albanese

ABSENT:

Mr. Rodzinak, Mayor Hayes

11. ADJOURNMENT

It was the consensus of the Board to adjourn the meeting at approximately 8:57 pm.

Respectfully submitted, Jacqueline Pino, Secretary to Municipal Services

			Page 3
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	WITNES	S ·	PAGE
3	Lisa D	iGerolamo	8
4	Brando	n Diamond	38
5	Thomas	Carman	66
6			
	·	EXHIBITS	
7	,		
	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	,	•	
	P-6	PowerPoint presentation by	
9		Brandon Diamond	40
10	P-7	Materials set	
		(Broken out into individual	
11		exhibits by letter)	50
12	P-8	PowerPoint presentation by	
		Thomas Carman	66
13			·
14	•		
15			
16			,
17			•
18		·	
19	,		
20			
21			,
22			
23	-		
24			e e
25		•	
		<i>,</i>	

1.6

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Land development applications, CIP II AR Bridgewater Holdings, LLC, Route 202/206, Block 483, Lot 17, 18, and 19. This is an application for preliminary and final major site plan, mixed-use development including retail, office, restaurant, residential, and office research.

Hi.

MS. DORY: Good even, Mr. Chairman, members of the board. My name's Nicole Dory, I'm with the law firm of Connell Foley, on behalf of the applicant on the New Jersey Center of Excellence application. Thank you for the opportunity to continue this application tonight.

The application was first heard on August 27th, and then again on September 11th.

After those two meetings, we received comments from the board's professionals, and we've asked for -- that the application be continued this evening, because we really wanted to drill down on certain issues. This is a significant application, so we wanted to be able to respond to the board, and also be able to get the board's guidance on some issues.

So we will not be done with the

application this evening, but I've written to request a special meeting on November 27th. We can get to that later, but hopefully the board's available.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: And that would be your anticipation, that that would be the conclusion of your application, your client's application?

MS. DORY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay.

MS. DORY: So, tonight, we wanted to discuss a few issues that we had addressed at the prior meetings; for example, the layout for the residential building on the southern portion of the property, it's also referred to as Building 11, it has a cafe in it, and also the loop path design.

Since our last submission, our engineer and landscape architect have worked to really refine the loop path design. So our landscape architect has some new ideas about just a portion of the loop path he'd like to present to you this evening, I think you'll find it interesting, and hopefully you'll like it.

So we appreciate the board's

	·
1	patience as we continue presenting this
2 .	application. Unless you have any further
3	questions for me, I'd like to introduce the
4	witnesses and have them sworn in.
5	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Please do.
6	MS. DORY: The first witness will be
7	Lisa DiGerolamo from PS&S. She was here
8	previously. She'll be providing civil
9	engineering testimony.
LO	Then we'll have Brandon Diamond, an
L1	architect from Street Sense. He was also here at
L2	the August 27th meeting, and will also be
L3	providing architectural testimony.
L 4	And then we also have Tom Carman
L5	from Melillo & Bauer, he's a landscape architect.
L6	Those will be the three witnesses
L7	we'd like to present this evening.
8.	MR. COLLINS: I recall Lisa and
L9	Brandon were previously sworn, weren't they?
20	MS. DORY: Yes.
21	MR. COLLINS: So they're still under
22	oath and they're qualified already.
23	Why don't we just swear in Tom
24	Carman, just in case we I might forget.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

MS. DORY: Okay.

	· ~.
1	MR. COLLINS: And he might answer a
2	question out of order.
3	(Thomas Carman is sworn in.)
4	MR. COLLINS: Please state your
5	name, spell your last name, and give us at least
6	a business address.
7	MR. CARMAN: Sure, my name is Thomas
8	S. Carman, C-A-R-M-A-N. I'm with the firm
9	Melillo & Bauer Associates, located at 200 Union
L O	Avenue, Brielle, New Jersey.
L1	I'm a licensed landscape architect
L 2	in the state of New Jersey. I'm a graduate from
L3	Rutgers University with a degree in landscape
L 4	architecture.
L5 ·	I've appeared before numerous boards
L6	throughout New Jersey on similar applications, in
L7	Princeton, in Piscataway, Union, Weehawken, a
18	number of different locations.
L9	MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mr. Carman.
20	The board will recognize and accept your
21	qualifications as a landscape architect.
22	MR. CARMAN: Thank you very much.
23	MS. DORY: Thank you.
24	So the first witness we have this

evening is Ms. DiGerolamo, if I could have her

come up.

1.2

LISA DIGEROLAMO,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. DORY:

- Q. So, Lisa, if you could explain to the board -- I guess start off with the new layout for Building 11.
- A. Okay. So after last meeting, we did make revisions to Building 11. Remember, Building 11 is located on this southeast corner of the site, and that is the building that -- with the cafe and the residential. What we did was we shifted -- not shifted, but shortened that building. It's about 60 feet shorter from the south. So you can actually see that we've integrated the cafe now into the residential building, again, allowing us to shorten that quite a bit. So we still have the 3,000-square-foot cafe.

We have 31 residential units.

There are nine units that will front on Peters

Brook Lane, and they'll have access -- a front
door, basically, on Peters Brook Lane, and then
the remaining units would be in the upper

floors. And they would have access from the lobby in the rear.

The parking garage will have 243 stalls, and there's 70 stalls that are surrounding on the surface, located to the south, to the east, and then there's the parking stalls in front of the wellness building. This does have a reduction in the number of parking in the garage and the surface, so we will be losing a few parking stalls in that area, and that's an item we'll discuss the next meeting.

- Q. Okay. So that will result in a parking variance?
- A. That will require a parking variance.
- Q. Okay. And how will that be addressed?
- A. A shared parking analysis will be provided, to show how that deficit in this area will function.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Can you also describe for us the impact to the setback? What's required and what is it now?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: Okay. There's the 200-foot setback, and you can see the building

1	something in that setback. Because we shortened
2	that building and drew it further not north, we
3	did reduce that impact into the setback. The
4	setback was previously at 132 feet, and we
5	increased that to 144 feet. Again, we have that
6	narrowing of the the narrowing of the frontag
7.	there, because of the angle of 202, and the fact
8	that we want to maintain that gridlike system or
9	the site.
10	MS. DOYLE: Mr. Chair, may I ask a
11	question on that?
12	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Absolutely.
13	MS. DOYLE: Is the 142 feet (sic)
14	measured from the property line or from the curb
15	line? We've been getting different information.
16	It's quite important. We heard it was from the
17	curb line. Is that not true?
18	MS. DiGEROLAMO: Yes, the property
19	line, not the curb line. The property the
20	curb line is further out.
21	MR. COLLINS: 144 feet. Right?
22	MS. DiGEROLAMO: That's correct,
23	thank you.
24	MR. COLLINS: Can you show me where
25	the where it's 144, and then when does it

get to 200 on that?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DiGEROLAMO: The 144 is at the southernmost end, and you get to the 200 at almost the northern end of the building.

MR. COLLINS: Okay.

MS. DiGEROLAMO: It helps.

MS. DORY: That dotted line there is the 200-foot setback line?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: Yeah, I know you can't see the dotted line, but hopefully the white paper will help.

MS. DORY: And our architect will be providing some additional details on the design of that building.

BY MS. DORY:

- Q. So if you could explain to the board the hotel loading area, that was one of the other issues.
- A. One of the other questions was loading at the hotel, and there was testimony relative to the fact that, once the operator of the hotel was determined, we'd incorporate their particular loading requirements.

But at this time, what we would see is loading on the southwest corner, essentially.

1.3

Bridge Boulevard falls as it goes along the hotel, so the rear of the building will actually have a lower level. So we would provide the loading basically in that green space there, to the lower level of the hotel. And exact details would be coordinated once that operator is chosen.

MS. DORY: Unless there's any other questions on that, one of the other open issues with the school bus stop.

BY MS. DORY:

- Q. So could you explain where that issue is now?
- A. So we are proposing the school bus stop on Bridge Boulevard, on eastern edge, we kind of have a hatched area here. And the buses would basically enter through the main entrance here, they'd make a right, left, pick up the children, and they'd have a left, and another left, and come right back out Bridge Boulevard. So, essentially, they'd make a loop around that upper -- that upper corner of the development.

We did present that to the school district, and we did get a letter back from them indicating that that was acceptable. They did

also ask that as we, you know, develop the design, that we continue to provide that unimpeded access for the bus.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Yeah, just to be clear, they indicated, I believe, that they had no problem with that; however, they also indicated that it's private property, we would need -- you would need to come back to them for an exception to allow them to go on public property. Right?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: Yes.

further information been -- has any further thought been given to cars potentially parking there to pick up kids in the pouring rain, and that type of stuff? I just want to make sure that -- I was a little confused by reading all the materials about what we were going to present tonight versus the next week -- the next meeting, not next week -- that there'll be -- it sounded like the next meeting, between now and then, there'll be a more comprehensive parking and traffic study.

MS. DORY: Yes, we are submitting -- going to submit an updated traffic impact

assessment, because there were some additional counts that were collected.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. So anything that's -- that we're asking that will be addressed then, feel free to let us know.

MS. DORY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay.

MS. DiGEROLAMO: So relative to the pickup of the children, the bus stop is located centrally to the main residential bulk, and that which is to the south, so we would anticipate that it would be mostly foot traffic, as opposed to driving. Also, because the cars are in garages as well, it's almost more difficult to get to your car than it is to walk your child to the school bus.

I mean, there is parking available in the direct vicinity, but there'll be no designated parking for the school --

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Understood. If you can, the next time, you know people are going to go out there and park, pick up their kids in the rain, and some even when it's sunny and beautiful out.

MS. DORY: Right.

1.8

.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Just tell us where they'll park. I'm not expecting a new parking lot for that, but what's the most likely place where they're going to park when we go over the whole traffic flow? That would be good.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: May I?

Is there a reason why the second left-hand turn can't be in front of the southwest building, so that there be two stops? Come in to your first -- go to the right, turn left, pick up kids. Now, go up, turn left, keep going, keep going, keep going, keep going, keep going, now turn left -- yeah, right there -- and pick up kids in front of the other building.

MS. DiGEROLAMO: That could be possible. We can talk to the school board about that. I'm not sure that they're going to want to have two stops. Plus, there's also a limited number of units here.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Just thinking, kind of, along his line, that would avoid anybody going from that building to the other spots to pick up their kids.

MS. DORY: If there's no additional questions on that issue, the next issue we'd like

to address is we received a report from the fire department; also submitted some plans related to fire truck turning movements.

BY MS. DORY:

1.4

- Q. So, Lisa, if you could explain those.
- A. So, yeah, we did submit the turning template for the fire truck, and the fire department did comment on the fact that their truck was slightly different than the template that we were using. Basically, it has the same wheelbase, but it's a little bit longer. So it has a very similar turning pattern, but the length, again, does have some impact.

So we did revise that plan, we took a look at it, and that new turning template will be able to maneuver all of the turns, and we'll provide that updated drawing with the next submission.

MS. DORY: Okay. The next item we wanted to address was there was a variance request for landscaped islands.

BY MS. DORY:

Q. So, Lisa, if you could explain the areas where we're seeking that variance, and the

1 reasons why.

A. So we have a couple locations where we'd like the variance for the 20 stalls.

Starting on the southern end, we have the bank of parking that's essentially located over the pipeline easements. And we're trying to keep that area free of trees, so we're requesting a waiver in that area, so we don't have to put the trees into the pipeline easement.

The other area that we're looking for the easement is basically around the hotel, we have a location on the northern end of the grocer where we have 24 stalls, just 4 over the 20; the western edge of the hotel, where you have 26 stalls, just 6 over the requirement; and then, along the northern end of the hotel, we have 23, again, which is just a little bit -- a couple of stalls over the required amount.

So what we have is, around the back of the hotel, we have a larger island in between those two -- those two banks, and that serves two purposes: one, it's a nice generous island between, and it also provides access to a monitoring well for the R&D facility.

So we also have -- we have some nice generous landscaped areas behind the western edge of the hotel, and at the corner of the hotel as well, and then similarly on Bridge Boulevard, where we're trying to provide that landscaping at either end. Again, it's just a couple of stalls.

We'd rather -- there would be some snowplowing issues with those stalls interrupting that length, and also from a maintenance perspective, because of the linear nature of it, debris and such tends to get caught up in those corners. So we would request a waiver in that area.

- Q. In that one area that you mentioned with the monitoring well, you would need a larger island in that area in order to protect the well. Is that right?
 - A. Exactly.

MR. COLLINS: Where is that, Lisa?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: That's in the back

A. And then, along -- around the residential building, along Peters Brook Lane and Powelson Farm Road, we do have those lengths

corner.

again, and it's a very linear nature, it's 1 not -- you know, it's not row upon row, it's the 2 3 single row, very linear, and again, we would -we would request a waiver, because of the 4 difficulties with maintenance and snowplowing, 5 by introducing those islands, it creates issues 6 from a snowplowing perspective. And, again, the 7 corners tend to collect debris and such, and it 8 increases the maintenance requirements. 9

MS. DORY: Unless there's any questions on that issue, the next issue we'd like to address is the loop path.

BY MS. DORY:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. If you could explain the layout for the loop path that was submitted.
- A. So we did make a couple of changes to the loop path in the drawings that were resubmitted.

Number one, we extended the length of the loop path along the northern edge, all the way -- instead of being halfway up Peters Brook Lane, we took it to the western edge of Peters Brook Lane. And then, the path would traverse along the residential area, and cross over in front of the hotel, and it would go

along the south side of the hotel, and then connect back with the loop path in the rear of the facility.

The other thing we did was we took a look at potentially extending the loop path out beyond the stormwater management basin.

Remember, before, the loop path really cut itself short at the basin. So we just looked at the opportunity to sneak a -- sneak the walkway back behind that basin, and we determined that we could -- we could provide access on that southern end and on that northern end of the basin, to provide a connection to that area in the rear.

So the drawing you received had a little bit shorter loop path, it just kind of came up and around behind the basin, but now the landscape architects are kind of working with that, and looking to create a more aesthetic parklike setting, and that's kind of what you see on this drawing here, you see kind of the first pass by the landscape architects of expanding that loom path to really create that recreational area in the back.

Q. Does the loop path go through the

1.2

1 boulevard? Has that changed?

A. We did move the loop path off of the boulevard, keeping that clear.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Councilman

Norgalis?

1.3

COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: I'd like to offer, rather than going in front of the hotel, would it be possible to go around the back of the hotel, and then, when you get over to Powelson Farm Road, instead of being on the eastern side, being on the western side? Therefore, you eliminate a number of conflicts where bicyclists, strollers, et cetera, would be crossing the garage entrances.

executive class hotel, to have bicycles running around the front is probably not the coolest thing. So my suggestion, bring it around the back of the hotel, and then, on the north -- or the western side of Powelson Farm Road, I think you'll have achieved the same distance, but just make it aesthetically a little more pleasing to those folks who are residents of the hotel.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I see what you

25 | mean.

BY MS. DORY:

- Q. Have you evaluated that?
- A. We did take a look at trying to push the walkway out into that zone; we have a couple of constraints along that area.

This western property line here by Powelson Road, we've provided a one-way access to a utility building here. So we're kind of stuck with that edge there.

And on the -- I guess the northern side of the hotel, again, we're kind of stuck with that edge, because we have an existing utility building for the R&D campus.

So we're really tight along these edges, so everything needs to move back from there.

The other thing that happens in that edge is there is a grade differential. So there is a wall that kind of climbs along that entire edge, and it does get tall, probably 10 to 12 feet along that northern edge of the hotel. So that's one of the reasons we tried to keep the walkway away from there.

Obviously, the other reason, we would have to shift Powelson Farm Road a little

bit further east. I wouldn't want to take the entire walkway and flip it to the west side, because I still want to maintain a walkway in front of that residential building, for, you know, pedestrian access into the building. So I'd end up with dual walkway as along that roadway. So it does -- it would push Powelson Farm out a bit. Again, we're moving a little bit further away from that linearity across -- in front of the grocery store.

And by pushing this back, I also exacerbate a grading condition within the parking lot on Bridge Avenue.

So there's a few tight spots in order to accomplish that.

COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: I would ask you to look at that. Okay?

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: The wall that is where -- and I think Councilman Norgalis makes a good point, that if you -- you just went to the other side -- and quite frankly, if it's one way to a utility building, it's probably not going to be used all that much -- but you eliminate one, two, three, four, at least five street crossings, if that can be pulled off in some way, shape, or

8.

2.3

form, which, you know, I think would be a big 1 So that would be something to consider. 2 MS. DORY: Yes, we will look into 3 that. 4 MR. COLLINS: Lisa, couldn't you 5 combine the 12th Avenue one-way access to the 6 utility as an easement on the Powelson Farm Road, 7 and just -- because it's going to be outside the 8 facility anyway, I think. So you just share the 9 easement to the utility building with Powelson 10 Farm Road, let whoever wants to access the 11 utility building go across the parking spaces to 12 some sort of driveway to the utility building. 13 And I see you -- you've drawn a 12th 14 Avenue one-way, but it doesn't seem like it's 15 needed, if you use the new road. 16 MS. DiGEROLAMO: We're trying to 17 18 keep --MR. COLLINS: In other words, share 19 the use of the road with the utility building. 20 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: That's only 21 22 occasional use. MR. COLLINS: Yeah, it's only 23 occasional use. There will always be at least 24

one parking space that can be used.

In fact,

1	they would want to park there and walk to the
2	utility building, I would think. I'm not sure I
3	understand what the function is of this one-way
4	access, but it seems like you have it shared with
5	Powelson Farm Road.
6	MS. DiGEROLAMO: What we're trying
7	to do is keep the new development separate from
8	the secure R&D facility again. So by keeping
9	that open to the Powelson Farm Road side, I'm
10	playing with the security of the R&D site.
11	MR. COLLINS: Okay. So where will
12	the fence be?
1.3	MS. DiGEROLAMO: The fence would be
14	between 12th Avenue and the parking on Powelson
15	Farm Road, on top of the wall.
16	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So the parking
17	spaces on that side will go up to the fence?
18	MS. DiGEROLAMO: Right.
19	MR. COLLINS: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: The way it is
21	right now.
22	MR. COLLINS: The fence isn't in
23	existence. Right? There's no fence there now.
24	MS. DiGEROLAMO: Correct.
25	MR. COLLINS: Okay. So I'd say

I'm still -- my legal opinion is you could have 1 it shared with Powelson and have a gate for 2 access for all the utility people through the 3 fence. I don't quite understand why you would 4 want to have a driveway there anyway. Is there 5 already a driveway there, is that part of it? 6 7 MS. DiGEROLAMO: There is, yes. COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Lisa, couldn't 8 you take the path along the north face of the 9 hotel, and the west face, fall in the back of the 10 11 hotel -- not the parcel -- the parking -- north side of the parking, but the north side of the 12 hotel itself? It keeps it out of that little 13 downtown village area, and then you've got one, 14 two crossings when you get to the other -- the 15 west side of the hotel, it just crosses over 16 Bridge Boulevard. 17 MS. DiGEROLAMO: So you're saying, 18 on the north side of the hotel or the north side 19 of the parking? 20 North side of COMMISSIONER FRANCO: 21 the hotel. 22 MS. DiGEROLAMO: This area here? 23 COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Correct. 24 If there's enough 25 MS. DiGEROLAMO:

room to push this parking back, there's the possibility of bringing it around here.

One of the things I'm concerned about with running it through there is the grades. We have to take a really close look at that. We do have a bit of grade drop through there, and there is actually a wall on that north and west side, adjacent to the hotel, that supports a -- you know, a pool, plaza, recreational area.

MS. DORY: There's some safety concerns with having the path too close to that?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: Yeah, and there were steps, there might be steps involved, and I think that wouldn't be good, actually, we don't want to put steps in there. So that would be the difficulty in that location.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So I think what you're hearing is thank you for the improvements, I think this is improved, and I think the space back in the basin area is good. I think we're close. If we could just take another look at that component piece, and get as creative as we can with the landscape architecture, you know, we'll --

1	MS. DORY: Okay. We'll take another
2	look at that area, and as I mentioned, our
3	landscape architect will provide some additional
· 4	details on the design of the loop path.
5	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: All right. Thank
6	you.
7	MS. DORY: So I have no further
8	questions for this witness, unless there's any
9	questions by the board.
10	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Ms. Doyle?
11	MS. DOYLE: I just have a few
12	questions.
13	The parking bus stop that you have,
14	you're going to be providing a description at a
15	later meeting for the stalls and where people
16	would be parking for their children, but for the
17	bus for the bus location, how many how many
18	students will you have in that bus area? It's
19	not a pad, per se. Correct?
20	MS. DiGEROLAMO: No, we just have an
21	area that's hatched to indicate where the bus
22	would stop, but that area all there is sidewalk.
23	It's a very generous sidewalk area, so I wouldn't
24	anticipate a separate pad.
25	MS. DOYLE: Okay. How many children

do you anticipate would be taking that bus stop at any particular time? How many -- I mean, you just can't say they're going to use the whole sidewalk. So what do you anticipate there?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: I don't know how many children there would be.

MS. DOYLE: Okay. The next question I have is, I understand from the documents that there's no shared parking in this scenario. Is that correct?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: Our original application, we had no shared parking, we met all the requirements, but as I -- as we redeveloped or redid this Building 11, we had a loss of parking, so we are going to require a variance, and we are going to require shared parking.

MS. DOYLE: Okay. And you'll go into greater depth at the -- in the plans that are coming. Correct?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: Correct.

MS. DOYLE: Okay. The next question that I have however is, in the area of the hotel and along Powelson, will there be any shared commercial -- will there be any commercial parking in that section? And if so, how many --

17.

how many stalls are going to be assigned to the 1 2 commercial component? My rationale here is I'd like to 3 have the board become aware of what you're going to construct as part of the commercial component. 5 So how many of those linear stalls are -- have 6 you attributed to the commercial component? 7 There is going to MS. DiGEROLAMO: 8 be a small portion on Powelson Farm Road that 9 10 would be attributable to the hotel. MS. DOYLE: Well, good. How many 11 12 stalls would you put into that commercial component? 13 I don't have that 14 MS. DiGEROLAMO: number handy. 15 MS. DOYLE: Would you have it for 16 the next time. 17 MS. DiGEROLAMO: We will have it for 1.8 the next time. 19 MS. DORY: The question relates to 20 when it would be constructed? Is that the 21 22 question also? MS. DOYLE: Well, twofold, but the 23

idea is to try to define where the commercial

approval is for the preliminary and final, as

24

opposed to the preliminary residential. 1 trying to get that clarification. 2 So you're looking MS. DiGEROLAMO: 3 for the line that depicts how many stalls we need 4 to construct for the commercial portion? 5 Correct. MS. DOYLE: 6 7 MS DiGEROLAMO: Okay. We can --We can detail that in the MS. DORY: 8 next submission. 9 MS. DOYLE: Okay. The next comment 10 that I -- I'm going to be making a planning 11 12 comment that your planner will probably want to respond to. Personally, I felt that your 13 14

argument, you clearly defined why you didn't want to put landscaped islands where you didn't meet the 20-stall requirement.

Now, the 20-stall requirement is an ordinance. It's something that the inconvenience, or as you described the snowplowing over a linear fashion causes maintenance problems and debris, that's anywhere. I found that a very weak argument.

What I found interesting was your argument for landscaping trees along the easement line, you didn't want to put street trees within

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the easement, and I think the board will take note of that argument.

However, the other arguments, I found, were very weak, and from a recommended -- recommendation to the board, I would request that they consider, where a landscaping island is required, they put it in, recognizing your good argument about the easement.

MS. DORY: There was also an area where there was actually a well needed to be protected.

MS. DOYLE: Okay. She pointed that out, and clearly there's a rationale for that.

The other, along Powelson and along the sides, I thought that was weak, and unless your planner can come up with some real reason why you can't -- why you can do it everywhere else, but you can't do it in those locations, did not seem to be strong enough, in my view, to consider a variance, but the others did.

MS. DORY: And the additions of those landscaped islands in those areas would also result in an additional loss of parking. Is that right?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: That's correct, it

Veritext Legal Solutions

1.9

would reduce the parking count.

1.3

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I think probably, though, for the -- when we have that comprehensive view, we should see those two, how much is lost, and what that tradeoff is --

MS. DORY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: -- because that would wind up moving towards the shared parking plan.

MS. DOYLE: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So if we show those options, I think we'll have the information that's needed.

MS. DORY: We'll be prepared to present that at the next meeting.

MS. DOYLE: And then I presume that all the other items mentioned in my memorandum that was in response to your memorandum will be dealt with at the next meeting?

MS. DiGEROLAMO: Yes.

MS. DOYLE: Okay. That's quite a bit. How do you intend -- I'm going to ask you, although I think it's the -- how do you intend to respond to these? Are you going to provide them in another iteration, or through testimony on a

line-by-line, sheet-by-sheet basis? Because, clearly, there are quite a few of them.

MS. DORY: If I might just interrupt, we will be providing a full set of revised plans before the next meeting, so many of the comments, I believe, will be addressed by changes to the plans.

We'll also provide that point-by-point response to your written memo, and to the extent additional testimony is necessary, we'll provide that.

MS. DOYLE: Okay. So as I understand, like, say, for example, there's a separate notation you're going to put on the plans, and I will respond to that and say it's done.

And then, on those that you're not -- that you are not able -- that needed further explanation, you're going to be going sheet by sheet where you feel that additional clarification might be necessary.

MS. DORY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So just so I'm clear, I'm so used to reading your epistles, Scarlett, very good.

2 ·

So every place you see "To be specified on future plans," the assumption is it'll be there, and every place where I see "TBD," there'll be an explanation prior -- with enough time that we receive it prior to the next meeting, so that we can look at it, and then, when we come to that next meeting, we're really laser-focused on the items that we have to discuss, get testimony, and close. That would be the expectation.

MS. DOYLE: And Mr. Chairman, I would appreciate your guidance on how you would want to handle this; it's already 30 pages long. Those areas, if they provide it, should I just kick it out and not mention it at all? Because there's going to be --

think what we're going to have to do is, when that comes through, we're going to have to -let's use your most recent report. Let's go
through it, and those areas that will -- that
have been addressed consistent with the
ordinance, you can just indicate, is consistent,
and then those areas that aren't will have a, you
know, red flag for us to discuss at the next

1 meeting.

COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: The devil is in the details

MS. DOYLE: They're going to have -for any number, for my original comment, they
have a response, I'll have Doyle replies; they're
going to have another response, and I'm going to
have Doyle second reply. Is that --

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I think so.

MS. DOYLE: Okay. That --

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: By the way, I truly appreciate the work of our professionals on this application over the years, and -- you know, appreciate it very much. So we're getting there.

MS. DORY: Yes, it's very thorough.

MS. DOYLE: Thank you.

COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: Mr. Chairman, can I bring up one more? It's the name of Powelson Farm Road. We already have a Powelson Lane as a residential street, and the township, over the years, has gotten criticism for having names that are too close, and in this case, we've got the same name. I realize the Powelson family has -- they owned the property that the original American Hurst was on, but I think we need to

1	have a different name. And maybe we can make
2	name the walkway or something like that for
3	the for the family, but I do not believe it's
4	appropriate to have two names two streets in
5	the same township that start with the same name.
6	MS. DOYLE: Engineer Battaglia will
7	take care of that, I'm sure.
8	MR. BATTAGLIA: Yeah, we never
9	approve that. That would never happen.
LO	COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: Okay.
L1	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So just to be
L2	clear, my understanding is that the engineering
L3	department will get a list of all the proposed
L4	road names, and you'll be sitting down doing that
L5	rationalization.
L6	MR. BATTAGLIA: We do that,
L7	basically, with the address plan.
L8	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So that's input
L9	to you.
20	MS. DORY: Yeah, we would also agree
21.	to clear the names with the township engineer.
22	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Any other
23	questions from the board for this witness?
24	I'll open it up to the public. Any
25	member of the public having any question of this

1	witness based on her testimony, please step
2	forward.
3	Seeing no one, you can proceed to
4	your next witness.
5	MS. DORY: Thank you. The next
6	witness we'd like to call is Brandon Diamond from
7	Street Sense.
8	BRANDON DIAMOND,
9	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
10	MS. DORY: So Mr. Diamond was
11	previously here on August 27th. We brought him
12	back to go through a few items, and hopefully the
13	layout for Building 11, he'll talk about that
14	design with you. And I believe he has an
15	electronic presentation. Is that right?
16	MR. DIAMOND: Yes, that's why I'm
17	sitting down, so we can drive the AV.
18	MS. DORY: And that presentation
19	will be displayed on the screen behind me and to
20	the sides. And is this a new presentation that
21	we're submitting again, or is this
22	MR. DIAMOND: No, this is just
23	condensed, with some of the exhibits you have and
24	some other reference from before.

Okay.

MS. DORY:

So these are all

part of the original presentation that you made on August 27th. Is that right?

MR. DIAMOND: Yes, with the addition of exhibits on material boards, and the new Block 11 layout, just illustrated differently than what's on the board, just a little more in detail.

MR. COLLINS: Maybe we can make this -- can you submit a copy to the board secretary?

MS. DORY: Yes.

MR. COLLINS: If you would, we'll make this the next exhibit number. I don't have that handy.

(Whereupon, there is a brief discussion held off the record.)

MR. COLLINS: Let's just call it P-7, and we'll say it's -- what would be a good way to describe it in a few words, what it is?

MR. DIAMOND: This is a condensed set of materials, many of which have been presented before, along with new exhibits relative to Block 11, and the material boards.

And I think we sent all of those along 10 days ahead of time. This is just basically ordered

1.3

1	for the sake of discussion.
2	MR. COLLINS: Thank you.
3	MS. DORY: Actually, the court
4	reporter was correct, we are at P-5.
5	MR. COLLINS: So this will be P-6,
6	and we'll get an electronic copy, and we're about
7	to see it presented on the screens. So please go
8	ahead.
9	A. Okay. Good to see you all again.
10	Last time I was here in late August, I came to
11	discuss, kind of, a broad brush wow, I won't
12	be looking at my notes a broad brush look at
13	the okay.
14	COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: That's fine.
15	A. Looking at the design approach of
16	the buildings and the site on a macro level, so
17	tonight I'm really going to I'm personally
18	going to try and do three things:
19	One, give you a little bit more
20	color on the updated Block 11 design, or the
21	residential mixed-use on the southern side of
22	the gite

I'm going to talk a little bit,

This was a comment that came up for a

also, just review some of the activated public

spaces.

23

24

little bit more explanation. And I'm going to start the discussion of activated public spaces in the heart of the commercial area, and Tom is actually going to discuss it, when he comes up to give testimony, on a broader level for some of the spaces outside of the main commercial core.

Last thing we're going to do is kind of do a little bit more of a detailed look at is it material pallet.

I also -- when I get to that, I have some boards here. Which I -- since it's not very easy to display them, they will be up on the board, and I'm happy to bring those up to the dice, if anyone wants to look at them more closely.

So to start with, we'll talk a little bit about Block 11. This is the southern residential mixed-use building. This render was done a little while ago, while the cafe was still outboard of the residential building.

So there's some key differences which we think are improvements. Along with the general objective of inboarding the cafe building and getting it further away from the

1.8

setback, some other things came out of this design exercise I wanted to tell you about.

This is a ground-level look at that plan. And we really set out to do a couple of things. There was certainly a concern, at the meeting in late August, about we had created this mixed-use residential building; the idea that it would somehow be a magnet for all the affordable housing units was a real problem to even consider, and we've given a lot of thought to, broadly, how we're going to address that.

And the idea we came up with was, in fact, to combine some of the most desirable market rate units in the project along with a share of the affordable housing.

And so what you see on screen at the ground level are some two-level live/work units. They are rental, but they're very much like townhomes. They have individual addresses up front, they have a front yard, they have the convenience of parking direct rear access, as well as kind of the convenience of a front address.

In doing this -- and one of the reasons we lost a little parking here is we

1.7

actually ended up creating more landscape area in front of this building on grade. So these townhomes you see here, they have a front yard that's sitting on the parking structure, and we actually gave up some of the parking in the lower parking structure to essentially get that front yard directly on the ground, so it's not structured grade, it's much easier to put trees and so forth in front of it.

So, certainly, the idea of doing live/work units was pretty exciting to us, especially with the research campus, that there would be some people who might find it very interesting, who may have an affiliation with the research campus, to want to rent a live/work unit in such close proximity, a walkable proximity.

So there are nine of those showing on the plan, along with the cafe building. I'm just going to flip through some of the other levels here, so you can see.

This is the lower parking deck, and on the bottom of that, there used to be a bunch of head-in stalls. You had roughly maybe 180 spaces down there; that was when, literally, it

was running under the front yards here. So we actually gave up some lowered structured parking to create a much more rich, sort of, landscaped front door for these units.

Above, this is level 2. So those front units you see with Xs in them are the second floor of the live/work units. So it's a two-story unit, more or less, on the front side of the building, and one-story flats on that backside, and then there's a third level with flats as well. So all said and done, you know, roughly about half of the affordable housing units occupy this building, along with some of the more desirable -- we think some of the most desirable market rate units in the project.

- Q. And so these units are all one- and two-bedroom apartments. Is that right?
- A. That's right, there are no threes in the building.

The other point I wanted to make was just about the cafe as well, that it is now, sort of, inboarded, and one of the things I could say about the cafe is that we -- just like trying to create a very compelling front door address for those townhomes, is that the cafe is

or less go over top of the cafe, but you still have, sort of, a front structure that can be canopied, and have the commercial identity.

It's a unique tenant, in that it's

in line with them. You'll see that units more

out there on a very prominent roadside location, but by integrating it into the residential building, we think it's going to create a strong social amenity for that building, and, you know, we feel that it provides a respectable drive-by visibility from either way on 202/206, which helps make it viable, because it really needs to survive on its own out there, it's not part of the boulevard commercial experience. So we think we've done the right thing for it.

We've spent a lot of time getting it to work. It's actually sitting over the lower parking deck, and it's up against other constraints of the Peters Brook grading and the gas easement grading, all of that had to be considered, how to make all of that surface parking work around there. And, you know, between us and PS&S, we think we got it to work pretty well.

Q. Could you comment on the amenities

available to these units, and the management between this building and the --

A. Yes, thank you. Just broadly, we understand there's concern that the affordable housing units have comparable interior fit-out, they have a comparable maintenance program, which we certainly intend to do.

In terms of the amenities, they'll have full access to the clubhouse amenities that are part of the larger residential block, and certainly equal access and proximity to the commercial core, town green, and so forth.

- Q. And these units in Building 11 will have the same type of utilities as the other residential units in 7 and 10?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Can you comment on some of the activated areas that you were describing?
- A. Sure. Some of what we're going into next is very condensed exhibits that I had shown a couple months ago, so I will not dwell on these, you've all had a look. But, certainly, the project gateway is -- has a great front door connection. We want to utilize the space in front of Peters Brook, we've talked

about community gardens, and there's going to be other discussion about the place-making, literally at the front door of the project, from the landscape architects.

The boulevard is, you know, a very important activated public space for us that's very crossable, it's a very social place, a lot of activation of site furniture, lighting, and so forth, and it serves to get most of the -- most of the people in front of the storefronts, especially with the angled parking.

The other thing we've done is really set back in a row a series of dining park corners. These -- the ends of each one of these buildings set back considerably, at least 20 feet from the sidewalk. We've also introduced paseos through a block, I think, on the left side there, the center block, we've created a center paseo. So we're very cognizant of how customers move around these buildings, we want to make it an activated experience.

The town green certainly is kind of the center stage of a commercial project, in terms of it's a community hub, where we really want to bring it to life all season, and the

events that can happen here, some of them are -can be sponsored, but a lot of them want to work
out informally -- and I've talked about that at
great length a couple of months ago, so won't
belabor it too much -- but the idea that this
town square is set up with a lot of activation
nodes, both big and small, so that it's both
very flexible and it has lots of room around the

edges for people to really make it their own.

At the end of the day, we're really creating both a neighborhood here, and a very activated, kind of, seasonal, almost urbanized project. We're really bringing it something beyond kind of a suburban town square to something with a lot more energy, and part of that is how we order it, and as you know, we were talking about creating buildings directly fronting the park, so that those activities can actually light up the edges of the park.

These are a couple of, kind of, seasonal views that we've shown before.

And this is the -- what we call the European plaza, right in front of the hotel, which serves as a roundabout and very crossable plaza, curbless, to connect the hotel to the

1.5

	5-
1	restaurant block across the street from it.
2	Q. So these are all active open space
3	areas within the commercial area?
4	A. Yes.
5	MS. DORY: And our architect will
6	provide some additional testimony on open
7	space active open space areas for the
8	residential portion.
9	BY MS. DORY:
10	Q. So, Mr. Diamond, if you could
11	comment on the material boards that you brought
12	with you.
13	A. Absolutely. Does anyone want to
14	get an actual closer look at them, or I can just
15	present them on screen?
16	MR. COLLINS: Why don't you present
17	them on screen, and then, if we can people can
18	walk down and look at them, if that helps, and
19	for tactile activities, we can have a little
20	break.
21	MS. DORY: Do you want to mark the
22	hard copies?
23	MR. COLLINS: I would be happy
24	it's hard to store hard copies, Scarlett, but I'm

okay with a visual of this, as long as it's on

1	the electronic that's P-6.
2	MS. DORY: Yes.
3	MS. DOYLE: We will keep the
4	material boards.
5	MR. COLLINS: You will. Okay.
6	MS. DOYLE: In the planning
7	division, yeah, for years.
8	MR. COLLINS: Oh, I see, because you
9	want to see when the materials come in. So we
LO	will have to mark them separately then, Scarlett.
11	So now it'll be, I think, P-7 will be the first
12	one.
13	MS. DORY: Right.
14	MR. COLLINS: We're going to take
L 5	them from you, Mr. Diamond. Is that okay?
16	MR. DIAMOND: You may. They've been
١7	sitting around for two months. We brought them
L8	to the back of the room. Happy to give them to
۱9	you.
20	MR. COLLINS: So maybe ass you go
21	through them, you can hold your mic, and if you
22	want to put P-7 on the first one, then P-8 the
23	second one.
24	MR. DIAMOND: Yeah. Actually, what
25	I would like to do, it's a little bit unorthodox,

but I'd like to present them together as a group, at least at first, and then we can kind of get into them, because they really are meant to work with a very similar pallet, with minor variations.

MR. COLLINS: We can work with you on that, P-7, and then we'll have A, B, C, D, whatever number, as part of this P-7 grouping. Okay?

MR. DIAMOND: Okay.

A. All right. Just a few comments I'm going to read off here, just because this is where we're really talking about the spirit of the downtown main street character, that we're -- it's very unique and exciting to this project. And this was kind of one of those mood boards that you saw that really tried to capture that.

But stepping back, I would just say, the two things that we've been thinking about from the beginning, in terms of the architectural pallet, is that the main street environment is still a gateway to the research and development campus, it wants to have, sort of, a symbiotic value add to the research

campus, and might even provide some inspiration for the refresh of that campus at a later time. So we really thought about what New Jersey Center of Excellence is, and what kind of main street pallet can ultimately work to enhance the greater campus as well. It obviously wants to be a strong destination on its own.

And I mentioned a few kind of key words, in terms of the building ambiance we were trying to create: innovation, business, agriculture. Buildings where things are made was really the theme, and that inspiration came out as small-scale warehouse buildings, which you've seen some of them look a little bit more urban, some look a bit more agricultural, but they really are sort of maker space type buildings, high ceilings, a lot of floor-to-ceiling glass, open plan.

And what we like about the, sort of, adaptive reuse aesthetic, is that it creates these -- kind of a very strong portal kind of architecture that different retailers and restaurants can plug into, not just for, sort of, the first round of leasing, who comes for the first five or ten years, but it creates a

very strong adaptable frame for the next set of tenants, and becomes -- you know, has a very good service life, because the background building is not themed so much around the brand of a retailer, but brands work off of it very strongly.

You've seen a couple of these renderings that just look at, kind of, some of these textured main street buildings, and the first thing you notice on the ground level is how dominant the retail storefronts are in shaping the look of these buildings. And that's certainly intentional, to give the retailers a lot of rope, in terms of how they can work with the first 20 feet of that facade -- not in -just in terms of their storefronts, signage, canopies, every bay has a lot of different personality to it, but when you look at that warehouse building that may be -- you know, in that run, there may be two warehouse buildings that are about 120 feet long; if you end up tenanting that with four retailers, or two retailers, or six retailers, that architecture's going to be very adaptable to different lengths of frontage. And that's one of the things we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

liked about it: it doesn't prescribe the length of different tenants in the lineup, but it still creates a very dynamic background for all that to happen.

These are just some of the individual buildings. We talked about the landmark building on the park last time, how that was a little bit more of an agricultural-themed adaptive reuse brewery on the park.

And then we get into the materials themselves. So as we look at these, what I would just tell you is every block -- and that's why I started with the renderings; obviously, when we look at elevations, things get pretty zoomed out. But on a given block, we break each block up into at least two or three buildings within that block. And within the building, which we -- you know, to give it a sense of history and lot size, each building will have three primary materials, for the most part, which is pretty much the spirit of the ordinance, and what was intended.

Those three background materials will be a background brick or masonry.

.7

1.4

Then we will use a panelized product. These tend to be what we call -- there's a lot of different cementitious Rainstream products, but they've come into the industry because they work very well as a durable, weatherproof alternate to wood on facades, and other stone and different veneer systems.

So there's a masonry, there is a simulated wood, and where you see painted metal or pre-finished metal, we use those primarily in the storefront pallet, and for the attachments on the facade, a la shade structures, canopies, hard canopies, things that are going to cast a shadow on the facades.

And we also have some brise-soleil and some other elements high on the building that will occasionally be done out of aluminum, because it's very rain proof.

You're not going to see very much EIFS on these facades. I think the only place that we've even called out EIFS on the project is in the grocery building, out of respect, at the moment, for their prototype look, and that will evolve over time. The most of the Main

Street buildings that are on the boulevard are really about masonry, simulated wood, and metal.

We tend to use clear glass, of course, at the storefront level, and there's a lot that we could talk about, in terms of the tenant storefront design. On a project like this, we write storefront and signage guidelines to give these tenants a lot of flexibility, in terms of what they can do. We want to encourage them to use different color storefronts. And if any of these materials that you see here look relatively sort of warm and neutral, browns, yellows, buffs, grays, there's a reason for that: because we want the storefront -- the tenants to have the brightest colors on the We want them to be the red storefront, facade. or the bright blue, or black, or white. really want them to have the most high-contrast layer that gets put on top of these facades.

So the storefront guidelines give them some flexibility in terms of how they do their storefronts. Of course, we're not going to let them do low-quality storefronts. And most of the national retailers know what they're doing, they wouldn't propose anything like that,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

where we have to watch people the most is when 1 they're building their first brick-and-mortar unit, and just haven't done a storefront before.

> Beyond that, we get into a lot of lighting standards with the tenant. We make sure that they have to brightly light their merchandise for the first 5 or 6 feet, with high quality fixtures behind the storefront, and it goes on and on, we regulate and give them quidance on how they light their signs, and give them a wide variety of signage options.

> The last thing we'd like to create is sign bands, where everybody's using the same type of sign at the same height, although we do encourage -- especially on street environment like this -- the use of blade signs and other kind of rhythmic elements, to allow people walking down the street to see who's there, either hanging blades or, kind of, bracket-mounted blades. So there's a lot that we try to do to make the stores visible, even as you're walking down the street.

> I think that's about all I'm going to say about the materials, until -- if you want to take a closer look at them, and talk about

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

them one by one, but I think what you will see is, other than some variation in color, you're going to see the same pallet on these seven buildings. As I said, with a little exception of the grocery building, the other six are very closely tuned to one another in their actual use and material.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Have you sat with the grocers and actually -- can you articulate the difference that you just identified? Seeing as we don't have a view of that. Because we've seen now so many supermarkets go away from their traditional pallets and get creative, to be a part of a --

MR. DIAMOND: Yeah, I very much look forward to doing that. Most of our -- most of the conversations I've been part of with the grocer was to confirm their planning requirements, and we still need to really sit with them and push them. And it's a tough lift, to get them to move away from a prototype that they're already comfortable with, but we're going to make every effort to do it.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Other questions?

COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I was just

going to say, I think, very early on in this

development, we were given some photographs of a

ShopRite that was -- actually, has mostly glass
and brick, if I remember correctly. So that's

why I'm kind of curious. Because I know we were

turned away when we saw the green -- was it

the -- the Morris Plains ShopRite.

MR. DIAMOND: Well, I'd like to see that. I could use every argument I could, in terms of better units they've built.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: They have quite a variety when you look around.

MR. DIAMOND: That's great.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So for them to indicate that they're wedded to one thing, and they should --

COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I'll see if I can dig out those.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay.

MR. COLLINS: You know, your ideas sound very creative and interesting. They almost sound like they create, like, a form-based code, without a particular code. I mean, you're going to help set the standards, but when you describe the sections of buildings be being able to be two

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tenant, four tenant, six tenant, flexible in the marketplace, that sounds a lot like a form-based code. That's a very good planning tool, and we appreciate your efforts, all of your efforts on this plan, and hope you can make the whole place look in similar ways to your ideas that you described, I think that would be very useful.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Ms. Doyle?
MS. DOYLE: Yeah, a couple of

things.

You mentioned signage, and we have -- we recently received a sign package.

It's my understanding that we're going to be talking about that at a future meeting, the sign package. Correct?

MS. DORY: Yeah, the sign package would be incorporated into the next set of plans.

MS. DOYLE: Okay. And the next thing is that we have two structures now, the grocery and the hotel. The board is -- is used to having a building that they know what it's going to look like, particularly in a redevelopment area, and yet you're still crafting the -- how these things are going to look. Will you be coming -- I assume you will be coming back

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

for those specific buildings. Is that your intention? Or it's up to the board, certainly, not yours. But is that what you're thinking? MS. DORY: We're not intending to come back to the board for the materials.

COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: Personally, I want to see what the ShopRite and the hotel are going to look like, not just we get to the end of next month and say, okay, now go for it, and go and do something, and then we get surprised. don't want to be surprised. I want -- especially the ShopRite, when you look at the ShopRite as the southern part of this, it is going to have a big image impact on the site, because you're going to see it. I mean, we're talking about, you know, hiding it somewhat, but it's going to -- it's going to show up, and, therefore, the image and the projection is important -- I believe it's important to me, and I would hope it's important to the board also.

So I would like to see what it's going to look like; not what they want, but what we want to be there. So I want to guard against the fact that ShopRite comes in and says, well, that's not our plan, this is what we need you to build. We need to know up front.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I think it's consistent with comments we've made in the past relative to the residential side of this development. You know, we also said that, you know, your comments, your presentation, are very creative, you know, and as Mr. Collins said, you know, very consistent with where we've been trying to go, and we've said the same, we want to make sure that that same type of creativity is happening on the residential side, as well as on the hotel and the ShopRite.

MS. DORY: Okay. And the applicant intended perhaps to have that as a conditional of approval, but we can certainly take that comment into consideration.

MR. COLLINS: Well, I will -- let's talk -- they do want to see preliminary only for the residential and the rest of the boulevard, and that could perhaps be with a reservation of jurisdiction by the board as to the architectural details of those residential buildings.

The ShopRite, though, is part of the commercial section, we'd like to have preliminary

and Brid What beca with

and final, which we find acceptable in Bridgewater, but when we do that, we want to know what are the buildings going to look like, because we're not going to make you come back with the elevations for the buildings that get final.

So it sounds like you'd like to get final for the ShopRite; it would be, then, necessary to show the building elevations, the materials. We hope you can convince them to use some of the similar materials that you're proposing tonight for the mixed -- or for the boulevard area, but we need to see what you do propose.

COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I think we have the same situation with the hotel, you know, what's --

(Indiscernible cross-talk.)

MR. COLLINS: And by the way, this does not mean you couldn't come back to make a change; it means this is what you're proposing in this site plan for final now. And let's say you get a tenant, and they would like to do this and that, you can come back in for an amended preliminary and final for that hotel, but some

plan, some architectural elevation, facades, materials, has to be reviewed by the board, and they'd like to know -- they give ideas, and they want to know that your ideas are working with their ideas, which could be done, I'm sure, at the November meeting, but it needs some detail on the facades, and probably the -- we usually see the facades, the elevations, the materials, the floor plans, with some degree -- not to the square-inch, to the building dimensions interior space of such a large building, as with some of the typical things.

Okay.

MS. DOYLE: And the next one is, I must highly complement you on what you've done to date with the architecture; however, I would be remiss if I didn't ask you to have a few paragraphs of text, a few comments, because it does depart from the redevelopment plan. And I would ask you just to say a few words about what -- why you believe it is worthy of the -- of a shift, and worthy -- is better than what was provided in the redevelopment plan; not a lot, but I think -- I'd be remiss if I didn't ask you to do that.

MR. DIAMOND: Okay. Are you talking 1 about a reaction now, or more of a crafted 2 language for the next meeting? 3 Whatever you feel -- you MS. DOYLE: 4 and your attorney feel more comfortable with, I 5 just think it's appropriate, because there is a 6 departure. But, again, I have to complement you 7 on what you've done. 8 MS. DORY: So we'd --9 It would sort of be a MR. COLLINS: 10 summary in writing of what he described earlier 11 tonight, and you can work together --12 MR. DIAMOND: Not a problem. 13 You described it very MR. COLLINS: 14 well, with the relationship to the R&D, and why 15 that warehouse type of style, and how you would 16 integrate that with the concepts of the 17 redevelopment plan, perhaps not the detail of the 18 19 redevelopment plan. Okay? Thank you. MS. DOYLE: 20 MR. DIAMOND: My pleasure. 21 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Other questions 22 from the board of this witness, anyone? 23 Seeing none, I'll open it up to the 24 25 Any member of the public having any

question relative to the testimony of this
witness, please step forward.
Seeing none, you may move on and
proceed. Thank you.

MS. DORY: Thank you.

The next witness we'd like to call up is Tom Carman from Melillo & Bauer, he's a landscape architect.

MR. COLLINS: We should make sure,
Nicole, that any material board -- let's mark
them 7A, B, C, D, just so they have something we
can refer to.

MS. DORY: Okay. I'll be sure to mark those.

I believe Mr. Carman also has an electronic presentation.

MR. COLLINS: We'll also need an exhibit -- a copy of that for the board, we can call the whole electronic submission P-8.

MS. DORY: P-8.

THOMAS CARMAN, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

A. Thank you. So, this evening, I'm going to review a number of different items related to the landscape improvements. I'm

going to go through the requirements related to the compliance, in terms of quantifying some of the plant material first, and then I'm going to go through and talk about the update for the loop road -- the loop path, specifically behind the basin, the areas that we've done some recent improvements to, and then also walk you through some images of the residential, and where we are with that in seeking preliminary approval, and it'll show some of the planting proposed, as well as the various amenities that we're thinking over there.

So jumping into -- this is the overall landscape plan that is reflective of the October 5th plan that we updated and submitted, and what I will do is, the next graphic is a color version -- this is drawing L-3.1. So this is the plan that was submitted recently, and it's a color version. What you're going to see here, the green trees -- green circles are the trees, existing trees throughout the site, and then we've broken it down to show how we are compliant with the requirements: we have the red trees shown for the parking lot trees, orange are considered the landscape trees, and then

1.0

11.

yellow as the street trees.

So we've enlarged the chart -- the next graphic that you will see will be an enlargement of that chart.

So what we're doing here, this is how we're attributing the first one, the orange trees that we were showing, the landscape trees. The requirement is 975 trees, and we feel that we're accommodating that by providing -- on the plan, you saw 302 trees highlighted in that orange color. We also, on the graphic that I show in a little bit, that shows the improvements to the basin, behind the basin, in that naturalized area, we have 206 trees going in there.

So, now, the balance is 467 trees. The way we're achieving that is we're using three shrubs to really be counted as one tree. So along 202/206, that frontage is very important. We really want to create a nice layered planting along there. And you're going to see how we have a lot more shrubs within that area, so what we're kind of doing is we're saying -- we're looking to that as a credit towards some of the trees.

1.3

. .

The yellow, we had 28 trees is what's required; we're showing 30 street trees.

And then, the parking lot trees, there's a requirement of 130 trees; we're proposing 157.

So, now, just to refresh, you'll see where those trees are located color-wise.

The next graphic that we have is a chart going to the -- to the shrubs. Right? So there are three different categories related to shrub material. The blue that I've highlighted here is shrub material for the impervious coverage, impervious area. So the requirement there is 2,010 shrubs; we're proposing 2,172. So we're in excess in that requirement.

The only requirement that we're not fulfilling is the foundation planting; the reason that that is, as Brandon had just spoken about, in front of the grocer, in front of the retail, where we want to see some streetscape, cafe, different things like that, it doesn't -- it's not in keeping with the design idea, in terms of putting foundation shrubs there. So there's a requirement of 3,082; we have 1,491.

The last item is along the buffer.

So this is along 202/206 frontage. This is the area that I said we want a very nice window. The requirement is 390 shrubs, and we're proposing 1,628. So that's where we're going and saying that that's where we're anticipating a credit for the shade trees.

This is just drawing L-1. The next one will be L-2 and L-3. These just highlight -- they're color versions of what was submitted, and just show where those were broken down, as you can see on this L-3, where we have a lot of this area shown along 202/206.

Now, I'm going to be getting into the loop path momentarily, but there's a few items I just want to clarify related to the most recent comment letter, or responses to the comment from -- that Ms. Doyle prepared.

In terms of the lighting plan, we did resubmit the lighting plan based on last month east testimony, and we have complied with that. We now have revised that lighting plan to provide the 1.5 foot-candles throughout the parking lot, and the 3 foot-candles at the intersections. So the two intersections coming to Route 202, we do have that.

20-

As mentioned last month, and just to reiterate that, we do have to resubmit that -- or submit that to NJDOT, just to make sure that the spillage is in keeping with their requirements.

Another item that was discussed was the request to modulate that lighting, or provide some dimming. The fixture that we have selected, as indicated, that does have the capabilities to do that.

We will be resubmitting the plans prior to next month's meeting, and we will be eliminating the foot-candles; we'll show the point by point, that was a request.

One other item has to do with along 202. There's an existing fence that's there, it's a decorative aluminum type -- or decorative black fence, and the request was that that fence, during construction, remain, and from that fence to the curb line, any planting that we're proposing as part of the final build-out gets installed as kind of the Phase 1, and that will just provide a nice frontage along the roadway throughout construction. So we certainly will do that.

Throughout the community, as Mr. Diamond mentioned, there are some great spaces, gathering spaces, within the, kind of, core village, green area, and providing great pedestrian circulation throughout. We also provide some bicycle circulation, providing biking out to the loop path as well, certainly coming down -- which is Cooper Lane, from the residential building, being able to cycle along that to Discovery Drive, allows you the opportunity to get out to that road, and then circle up to the research and development campus; also going along Powelson, providing

access to the grocer.

that as well.

During a recent conversation with Ms. Doyle, it was requested that we add some additional bike racks, and -- because at the cafe, the grocer, and the hotel, we did not have them in the original plan, but we will provide

So, now, jumping to the next graphic, which you'll see here, that orange that's circled around, that's the updated loop path. As Ms. DiGerolamo had testified earlier, this is in keeping with that -- with

1	that	circulation
2		COUNCII

COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: Could you follow that around? I can't see an orange; maybe other people can.

MR. COLLINS: Yeah, maybe bring your mic over and use your finger.

MR. CARMAN: I can. I can. So it's down along the buffer, up along the perimeter, then coming along and dropping down to the side of the grocer, and then coming along Powelson and back along the perimeter. Right? So this is the same alignment that was just recently -- or just mentioned by Lisa, the civil engineer.

A. Now, the yellow is the perimeter -the loop path going around the research and
development portion.

And then, the blue line that came from the residential down Cooper to the Discovery Drive --

MR. COLLINS: Show that one with your finger, Mr. Carman, that one isn't so -- oh, I see it now.

MS. DORY: The blue one.

MR. COLLINS: It's along Cooper and back, and then -- does it also go around the

meadow? That's a little bit less visible to us.

MR. CARMAN: Right.

A. So the next graphic that we're going to do is the meadow, and what this does is it provides an opportunity for somebody to bike from the residential building, and then get on that loop path, and take the circuit around.

Now, this is an updated graphic that we're working actively with PS&S on to enhance that zone. So the idea is for the loop path to get expanded behind the basin area.

asphalt walk, and then we're showing a secondary walk, just providing -- you know, so you can kind of shortcut it, or create a longer walkway, so providing a little bit of diversity to that pedestrian experience; taking a child with a stroller; taking a child, letting them ride their small bike; just a great opportunity for the residents, for the public in general to come and kind of balance that village green, that little bit more of the urban core, to a little bit more of a naturalized settling.

The other thing that this does is it ends up flipping the sidewalk to the other

22.

side of the road, not adjacent to the loading of the ShopRite or the grocer. So by flipping it over there, we're allowed to terrace those retaining walls, and really kind of screen that a little bit better when traveling towards the R&D campus.

The next item to discuss, I'm going to jump to the residential. So, last month, we showed some character images. We showed a series of photographs, precedent images of other communities that we've done, where we have some narrower courtyard spaces, much like this. I say narrow; they're not, they're a linear courtyard space, providing a great opportunity to provide some various uses.

So what's shown in white, that is a rooftop application; that is a parking garage below. What's shown in green are courtyards that are on grade. So as we develop them further, just doing some rooftop planting, as well as on-grade planting, and using -- selecting the correct amenities for those zones.

So first view is -- this is up on the -- this is up on -- I'm looking down that -- this is the zone where the rooftop is, right on

the left-hand side.

1.6

And then, if we headed to the right, that would bring us down a set of stairs that this next image shows, where we come down that set of stairs into that lower courtyard area. All right? So we're seeing the architecture in the background with some private terraces right at that architecture, and then the entry to the building all the way up on the right-hand side. You're seeing a little gathering node with a fire pit, seat wall; just a great space to enjoy.

Now, moving to the next courtyard, the middle courtyard, this is -- we have a pool within that zone, the pool, flanking on either side, where we have some private terraces again.

And now we'll go with our -- kind of the pool, in this image, would be off to the far right, off of the sheet. What we're seeing here is some active amenity. So in this courtyard, we have the pool; we have a bocce court also; an outdoor ping-pong table; some different elements that really just activate that space further, while also providing a little bit of passive recreation, where maybe

there could be this spot for, like, a hammock, or just nice lawn panel.

So this -- the geometry of this is much like what Ms. Kobesky showed last month, where we were looking at some character images of the project in Harrison, quite similar.

Again, a little grove with some fire pits and some outdoor elements that just kind of enlivens the space.

Next one, we're going to -- so this is a courtyard, I'm stand up on that, like, elevated rooftop zone, looking down into this space, where we've got some table and chair seating, barbecue grill area, lawn, much of -- many of these communities have interior fitness or something, where they, at times, maybe have a group of people could do a yoga kind of event or something, so you're seeing something like that happen here.

Next one would be -- this is the last image, this is along that upper elevated rooftop space, where we're looking back, again, private terraces. All the way on the side, you're seeing the -- the right-hand side, you're seeing a shade trellis over there, where there

2 .

could be some tables and chairs underneath it.

All the spaces landscaped appropriately with
material, based on the sunshade, rooftop
conditions, lighting to really tie back to the
commercial zone. So very successful space that
provides a lot of great opportunities.

- Q. If I could just add a couple of follow-up questions.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. If you could go back to the loop path exhibit, I just wanted to go back to the architect's testimony. Could you comment on the connection between the amenities in the Buildings 7 to 10 and the Building 11 on the southern portion, how those buildings are connected?
- A. So the -- my understanding is the residents in Building 11 would be able to enjoy those same amenities, and along Cooper Lane, that's -- or the road at the lower, is a great opportunity to walk over there, you could bike over there, again, there would be bike racks at these locations. So I think it -- it provides a great walkable community, and allowing both of those to -- to kind of speak to one another, and

1 have a strong neighborhood.

- Q. Okay. And you've shown in this exhibit how you can walk and also bike throughout the development. So, in your opinion, does this meet the requirement of the plan to provide a continuous jogging, cycling, and natural trail?
- A. Yes, I do. I think that, by expanding what we're talking about doing in the meadow area, like I had said, I think it gives people a lot of different opportunities. Right? You're down walking or biking across in the -- the zone where the retail and all that is, and then you're able to break off, whether it be along the 202/206, kind of, frontage there, or up in the meadow area.

MS. DORY: I have no further question, unless the board has questions.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Just one clarification. On the roof space, the white space, 100 percent of that that shows up white will have, kind of, elevated greenway, plantings, and walks through it, all the way through those different places, from end to end?

MR. CARMAN: That's correct.

1	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. Other
2	questions from the board?
3	COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: I would just
4	like to commend the applicant on the development
5	of the walking path. We've seen it go from, over
6	the past couple months, a simple line drawn
7	around to something that's got some real
8	character, and I think it will provide a
9	significant asset to the township, not only to
10	the folks who live and work there, but for people
11	who live in the area. So thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: That will help it
13	become a destination area, definitely, given the
14	current.
15	Ms. Doyle?
16	MS DOYLE: Yes.
17	First of all, your presentation will
18	be in color and marked as an exhibit?
19	MS. DORY: Yes.
20	MS. DOYLE: What exhibit?
21	MS. DORY: P-8, I believe.
22	MR. COLLINS: This is P-8. I don't
23	know if there's a board that has any of this
24	MS. DORY: We have an electronic
25	copy. We don't have it with us this evening;

1 | we'll provide it to the board.

MS. DOYLE: Could you print it?

MS. DORY: Sure.

MR. COLLINS: It'll be all part of

P-8.

And Jackie, you'll note it and make sure we receive it. Thank you.

MS. DOYLE: The one thing I should explain to the board, when I was reviewing this application for trees and shrubs, and the requirements that were in our ordinance, my head began to spin, because I couldn't feel -- I couldn't tell whether they were double-dipping. And so we discussed how to handle that, and what the -- what the architect has done is he colored them, so we know that certain trees are for the street trees, and certain ones are for impervious coverage.

But what I didn't get was, what is

the color -- or is it clear -- that the three

bushes to one tree -- what color is that, and did

you count them as one tree, or did you count them

as three shrubs?

MR. CARMAN: So the -- that's shown as the green color, which -- we're saying that's

the front yard buffer requirement. So the requirement there is to have 390 shrubs.

MS. DOYLE: Correct.

MR. CARMAN: So what we have is 1,628. So what we're doing is we're taking that excess of shrubs, and that's what we're -- we're using those, dividing it by three, and using that to balance the 975.

MS. DOYLE: Okay. You and I talked about that, that's a great methodology, but I would prefer to understand this, that what you do is you cut down the shrubs number and add -- for every three, you add the trees, so it looks like you comply closer to the tree requirement, and the shrubs -- do you understand? Because it does look like a double dip; I know you didn't intend that. Reduce the shrubs by three to one, and increase the trees by one to three, that would -- if I'm making myself clear on that.

MR. CARMAN: I'm pretty sure I'm following you. I mean, our intent is, I think, the same as yours, in that we want to comply in the areas we certainly can. So I'd be more than happy to, yeah, work with you further on that.

MS. DOYLE: I think the board can

analyze it better if they -- say you have 100 trees is required, and you're providing 100 trees, except those trees are, in some fashion, three-to-one shrubs, but not say you're having 1,600 shrubs, when, in fact, some of those are trees.

MR. CARMAN: Right. Right.

MS. DOYLE: So that's that.

And the next thing is, along the loop path, we had a discussion about the caliper of trees, and I'd like to continue to work with you on that. And so I would like the planning board to consider the applicant -- a condition where the applicant would continue to work with me on that, because I'm concerned about the deer. The caliper of the trees that are being proposed are three-quarters of an-inch, and if they're not eaten, they're going to be rubbed. And we'll work on how to accomplish that, even if it means a fewer number of trees, but more significant trees that have a better chance of survival.

MR. CARMAN: That would be

wonderful.

MS. DOYLE: That's it from me.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: The extended path

1	from the meadow, I didn't see there's
2	landscaping that you'll do back there?
3	MR. CARMAN: That's correct.
4	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: That's what
5	you've shown on that one picture. Right? It
6	wasn't too obvious here.
7	MR. CARMAN: Right. So back in
8	here, this is the area where we are suggesting
9	some trees in the three-quarter to 2-and-a-half
10	inch
11	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Whatever you
12	guys
13	MR. CARMAN: We'll work through
14	that.
15	And, additionally, what you see in
16	the darker green, that's an area that we think
17	would be naturalized; that's a zone that
18	eventually would become reforested.
19	The other area, the light green and
20	purple is more of a meadow area, so, in the
21	future, it provides you the opportunity where
22	you're kind of weaving in and out of this
23	landscape.
2.4	There's a lot of wonderful grade out

there that PS&S has been working through, so it's

1	going to be great.
2	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I think it looks
3	good.
4	And, Scarlett, the rooftop, I think,
.5	is really going to be very attractive, kind of
6	Highline-like, in the city, where you have
7	different grasses and whatnot that are used.
8	MS. DOYLE: Yes, and I think the
9	architect can work that into the redevelopment
10	plan. But now, from the road, just think,
11	because the road is higher elevation, that's what
12	you're going to see, you're going to see the
13	rooftop.
14	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I think that's
15	good. Right.
16	COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: I have one
17	comment in support of Mrs. Doyle: To me, a
18	three-quarter-inch tree is a twig; a 2-inch tree
19	is a tree.
20	MS. DOYLE: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: All right. Thank
22	you.
23	Any other questions from the board?
24	Seeing none, I'll open it up to the
25	public. Any member of the public having a

question of this witness based on his testimony 1 2 may step forward. Seeing none, proceed. Thank you. 3 MR. CARMAN: Thank you. 4 5 MS. DORY: Thank you. So that concludes our testimony for 6 this evening. 7 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I did have one 8 clarifying question. I just -- there was testimony regarding the COAH units in Building 10 11, and I heard a 50 percent, but I didn't know 11 if it was 50 percent of the units in that 12 building will be COAH, or 50 percent of the COAH 13 commitment would be placed in that, because 14 that's a big difference, because I think there's 15 22 units; 50 percent would be, you know, 11 --16 It's approximately half MS. DORY: 17 of the 40 affordable housing units would be in 18 Building 11, and then approximately --19 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So that would be 20 half of the total would be 20, which means 21 there's 22 units. So in Building 11, 20 of 22 22 would be COAH, and only two would be market. I 23 just want to make sure I know --24

MS. DORY:

It's not exactly half,

but it's just about half, between Building 11 and then the other half in Buildings 7 to 10. And we'll provide that on a chart prior to the next --

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Because that was a hot topic in the past, we want to make sure we have clarity around exactly how many in that building will be COAH.

MS. DOYLE: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Scarlett?

MS. DOYLE: Mr. Norgalis.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Mr. Norgalis?

COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: In deference

to the fact that you project the next meeting would be the last one, I have a concern; let me just toss it out. I've been reading through the Greg Herman document, the PS&S, and there's a phasing plan for the site plan application, and I do note that many of the off-site improvements -- I read that as the 202/206 stuff -- is in Phase

While it doesn't give me a date specific, it is very important to this community that the off-site improvements -- and I won't talk about the light on Fourth Street, because I

6.

know that's a separate issue -- but the other promised -- I'll call them promised site improvements, the various intersections, widening, need to take place in parallel with this project. It would not be acceptable to have the project completed and the off-site stuff still waiting in the wings.

I toss that out, because that, to me, will be a very significant discussion at the next meeting.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So let's just -before we finish up here, what's expected at the
next meeting, I mean, this is what I heard; you
can correct me if I'm wrong. So, obviously, the
off-site improvements will be a topic; the
traffic plan; the parking plan. I think we -you said we got the sign plans, Scarlett, but we
haven't seen it yet.

MS. DOYLE: For the signs?

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Yeah, sign plans.

MS. DOYLE: The sign plans have been submitted, they came in, but they're not ready.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. That's fine.

Also in the packet, there were a 1 significant amount of engineering off site, 2 engineering runoff. There was the issue of 3 greenfields the last time, and I think there was 4 an offline -- you know, can you -- where are we 5 with that, and what should the expectations be 6 for --7 MR. BATTAGLIA: The expectation 8 9 would be that we'll go through the Van Cleef 10

report that came out today at the next meeting, which is currently a 23-page report.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. So we have both Ms. Doyle's and the Van Cleef report.

> MR. BATTAGLIA: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: And I think there was some meaty topics in there that we need to make sure --

MR. BATTAGLIA: As I recall, there were some questions about the drainage, yes.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. Especially since I heard the other day that they said the --what's it, the 500-year storm they planned for that, or is it 100-year storm?

> 100-year. MR. COLLINS:

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: They said, in the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	past decade, we've had over a dozen 100-year
2	storms. So I think we're I think this board
3	needs to start paying much more attention to
4	runoff.
5	MR. BATTAGLIA: We need to stop
6	using the term "100-year storm," it's 1 percent
7	per year chance.
8	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: And then, for the
9	preliminary of the residential, I think we've all
10	made comments about and I think you just did
11	tonight relative to elevations, the materials
12	and stuff on that; not deep detail, but
13	something
14	MS. DORY: On the commercial
15	portion?
16	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Something more
17	than what we've seen so far for the preliminary,
18	and if we're going for that at the next meeting.
19	COMMISSIONER FRANCO: The
20	residential.
21	MS. DORY: I'm sorry, what was the
22	comment?
23	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: The residential.
24	MS. DORY: Okay.
25	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Am I missing

anything from anyone, expectation-wise?

MR. COLLINS: And we know we need that, we know that we need that detail, because we're going to final on the ShopRite and the proposed hotel --

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Right.

MR. COLLINS: -- with the understanding that we can reserve jurisdiction for you to come back with the details of the proposed hotel.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Yeah.

MR. COLLINS: If they can get a tenant that wants to change those details, we can let that be something that you come back for with a letter request based on this.

Here, we have to start, if your timing is such that you expect it in the near future, that might give you some flexibility to keep jurisdiction, but the board likes to know what are you proposing -- what are you, the owner, propose it's going to look like in this final site plan that you're seeking.

MS. DORY: Understood.

MR. COLLINS: And we'll want to know things like -- what's the typical problem, that

1.2

the roof parapet goes higher than 3 feet? 1 roof parapet should not go higher than 3 feet. 2 MS. DOYLE: It's going to be higher, 3 because --4 Then we need to know 5 MR. COLLINS: that, and how high, and what will it look like, 6 7 and how will it be structured, and typical details, maybe there's some stock plans we can 8 use, and the ShopRite, when you build, to be able 9 10 to try to follow at least some of these 11 materials, and certain sections of the facade --CHAIRMAN CHARLES: It would be 12 13 beautiful, if you could do it along those lines. 14 MR. COLLINS: There may be bigger 15 masses of materials that are maybe not EIFS, but something similar, that allows for an inexpensive 16 17 facade between materials that tie in with your 18 streetscape. So just a suggestion. not -- I don't know where we're headed on that. 19 20 Mr. Franco will help you and us, and the board members will have input, so we need to -- if 21 22 you're going to get final and build that, we need 23 to know what it will look like when it's built.

Understood.

MS. DORY:

Okay?

24

	rage 93
1	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Thank you.
2	And we'll go through the calendar in
3	a moment.
4	MS. DORY: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. COLLINS: We'll set a date
6	certain you've asked for November 27th.
7	MS. DORY: Yes.
8	MS. DOYLE: If I could mention
9	what's going on, in terms of the zoning board
10	the zoning board date of the 27th makes this room
11	available. It is normally reserved for the
12	zoning board, but they're not going to use it.
13	The difficulty that we have is
14	let me just back up.
15	November 13th is booked. The 26th,
16	we have two applications: one is Bridgewater
17	Hills, but the other is Heritage, which is
18	the old Days Inn. So that would mean the board
19	would have to come two days back to back.
20	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Back to back,
21	Monday and Tuesday.
22	MS. DOYLE: Monday and Tuesday.
23	And then, just to continue on, so
24	that we know, December 11th, we have two
25	annliantions Prideowator Estates, which is the

Redwood Inn major subdivision, and then the KIR,
which is a smaller application. And then we have
none for the rest of the -- none shown on
available for --

MR. COLLINS: We don't have any meetings Christmas weekend. I'm not available.

MS. DOYLE: Well also have January

8th.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: This board is earning its salary, let me tell you that much.

MS. DOYLE: The important thing is only -- you can't -- you really should -- Heritage is a legitimate one, and it would not be very nice to come the 27th and not to come the 26th.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: All right. So

let me ask the board. Obviously -- and we heard

at the last meeting that we'll hear both of

those, but I think the applicants, we prioritized

one over the other on that day, so I think we'll

hear that and go from there; the other one will

most likely be carried over.

But this one, the applicant has requested us to meet on the 27th for a special meeting. Is everybody good with that? Any major

I'm good, I'll eat a little extra Turkey 1 issues? that Thursday before. Everybody? 2 COMMISSIONER PANDYA: I'm available. 3 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Available? 4 Available? 5 COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: Not at this 6 point, but I'll see. 7 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: All right. 8 MS. DOYLE: And then the next 9 question is whether the applicant will have all 10 11 of the changes that -- I'm sure they've been working on them --12 MS. DORY: Yes, we have. 13 MR. COLLINS: How many days before? 14 MS. DOYLE: Ten days --15 Minimum of 10. MR. COLLINS: 16 MS. DOYLE: The public should have 17 it 10 days before the date of the meeting, so 18 that would be --19 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: The 17th. 20 MR. BATTAGLIA: Minimum of 10. 21 MS. DOYLE: No the Friday -- right. 22 23 We should have time, but the public is entitled to come look, should there be anybody. That 24

would be November 16th, it would be well if we

	Page 96
1	got it because of the holiday, it would be
2	well if we got it, like, the 9th, or the 12th.
3	MR. BATTAGLIA: Not only the
4	holiday, but the League of Municipalities as
5	well.
6	MS. DOYLE: That's correct.
7	MR. SCHREK: We have the League
8	also. The League is that week, the 15th.
9 .	MS. DOYLE: The 16th?
10	COMMISSIONER ALBANESE: 13th,
11	14th
12	MR. BATTAGLIA: 13th, 14th, 15th,
13	16th. So myself and Stan will certainly be down
14	at the League that week.
15	MS. DORY: We have been working on
16	the revised plans.
17	MR. BATTAGLIA: As well as
18	Councilman Norgalis and the other people.
19	MR. SCHREK: I think we need it by
20	this Friday.
21	MS. DOYLE: That would be fine.
22	MS. DORY: We will submit them as
23	soon as possible.
24	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So I guess
25	you're the willingness of the board to do two

1 nights in a row, but we need your help with kicking it in overdrive relative to the documents 2 we discussed, in order to make that truly a 3 productive meeting, where we'll hopefully finish 5 up. MS. DORY: Understood. We have been 6 7 revising the plans since the last meeting, so 8 it's our anticipation, we'll definitely submit 9 them to you more than 10 days before the meeting, and hopefully many more than 10 days. 10 11 Thank you, Ms. Dory. MR. COLLINS: And we'll confirm for the record 12 that this case welcome carried, without 13 additional notices, to a special meeting on 14 November 27th, at 7 p.m., at this meeting room. 15 16 Thank you very much. MS. DORY: 17 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. 18 (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 19 8:57 p.m.) 20 21 22 23

24

CERTIFICATE

· б

9.

I, Michael Lombardozzi, a Notary Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I do further certify that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in this action.

25.

Michael Nombardozzi,

Certified Court Reporter, State of New Jersey CERT #: 30X100239700

Date: