BRIDGEWATER TOWNSHIP PLANNING BOARD

Regular Meeting
Monday, August 27, 2018
—MINUTES—

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER:

Chairman Charles called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Municipal Courtroom, 100 Commons Way, Bridgewater, New Jersey.

2. OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT ANNOUNCEMENT:

Adequate notice of this meeting has been given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act N.J.S.A. 10:4-6. On January 10, 2018 proper notice was sent to the Courier Newspaper and the Star-Ledger and filed with the Clerk at the Township of Bridgewater and posted on the bulletin board in the Municipal Building. Please be aware of the Planning Board policy for public hearings: no new applications will be heard after 10:00 pm and no new testimony will be taken after 10:15 pm. Hearing Assistance is available upon request. Accommodation will be made for individuals with a disability, pursuant to the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), provided the individual with the disability provides 48 hours advance notice to the Planning Department Secretary before the public meeting." However, if the individual should require special equipment or services, such as a CART transcriber, seven days advance notice, excluding weekends and holidays, may be necessary.

3. SALUTE TO FLAG:

There was salute to the flag.

4. ROLL CALL:

Stephen Rodzinak – present James Franco – present

Chairman Ron Charles – present

Councilman Howard Norgalis - present

Tricia Casamento – present

Mayor Dan Hayes – present

 $Evan\ Lerner-present$

Urvin Pandya, Alt. #1 – present

Debra Albanese, Alt. #2 – present

Others present: Board Attorney Thomas Collins, Township Engineer David Battaglia, Board Planner Scarlett Doyle

5. APPROVAL OF BOARD MINUTES:

June 25, 2018- Regular Meeting

Motion by Mr. Franco; second by Mr.Rodzinak the foregoing minutes were adopted on the following roll call vote:

AFFIRMATIVE:

Mr. Rodzinak, Chairman Charles, Mr. Franco, Council Norgalis, Mayor Hayes,

Mrs. Albanese

NOT ELIGIBLE:

Mrs. Casamento, Mr. Lerner, Mr. Pandya

July 23, 2018- Regular Meeting

Motion by Mrs. Albanese; second by Councilman Norgalis is the foregoing minutes were adopted on the following roll call vote:

AFFIRMATIVE:

Chairman Charles, Councilman Norgalis, Mrs. Casamento, Mr. Lerner, Mr. Pandya,

Mrs. Albanese

NOT ELIGIBLE:

Mr. Rodzinak, Mr. Franco, Mayor Hayes

-MINUTES-

6. MEMORIALIZATION OF RESOLUTIONS:

7. LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS:

8. CIP II/AR BRIDGEWATER HOLDINGS LLC

Block 483 Lot 17, 18 & 19- Route 202/206 #18-012-PB- Preliminary & Final Major Site Plan – Mixed use development including retail, office, restaurant, residential, and office research

See Transcription dated August 27, 2018 prepared by: Michael Lombardozzi, CSR, CRR. of Veritext Legal Solutions, 290 W Mt. Pleasant Avenue, Livingston, NJ 07039 located in Planning Divison office.

9. MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC:

There were no members of the public wishing to address the Board on any matter not listed on the agenda.

10. OTHER BOARD BUSINESS:

Drive Thru. Councel request for consistency of ordinance

See Transcript for details located in planning division office.

See attached Transcription dated August 27, 2018 prepared by: Michael Lombardozzi, CSR, CRR. of Veritext Legal Solutions, 290 W Mt. Pleasant Avenue, Livingston, NJ 07039.

Motion by Mr. Franco, second by Mr. Rodzinak, to recommend adoption of introduced ordinance on following roll call vote:

AFFIRMATIVE:

Mr. Rodzinak, Chairman Charles, Councilman Norgalis, Mrs. Casamento,

Mr. Lerner, Mayor Hayes

NOT ELIGIBLE:

Mr. Pandya, Mrs. Albanese

11. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

It was the consensus of the Board to adjourn the meeting at approximately 8:57 pm.

Respectfully submitted, Jacqueline Pino, Secretary to Municipal Services

		Pa	age 2
1	APP	EARANCES:	
2		THOMAS COLLINS, ESQUIRE	
		Attorney for the Board	
3	•		
	,	CONNELL FOLEY, LLP	
. 4	,	BY: KEVIN COAKLEY, ESQUIRE	÷
		Attorneys for the Applicant	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	-		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19		· ·	•
20		•	
21		•	
22			
23			
24			
25	-		
<i></i>			

			Page 3
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2,	WITNES	S	PAGE
3	Brando	n Diamond	11
4	Frank Minervini 33		33
5	Paul Phillips 60		60
6		EXHIBITS	
7	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	A-1	Hardcopy of PowerPoint	
		presentation	14
9			
	A-2	Updated version of presentation	n 22
10	;		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	7 6 7		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			·

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: We'll come to land development applications, CIP II AR Bridgewater Holdings, Route 202/206, block whatever, this is preliminary and final major site plan, mixed-use development, including retail, office, restaurant, residential, and office research.

Before we get started, I'll just let members of the public who aren't familiar with how the planning board operates, the applicant will present their case, we'll have expert witness come up. After each witness, the board will ask questions. The public will be invited to ask questions specific to that testimony. Any questions that they may have specific to that question. And then, we'll do that until conclusion of an application.

And then, at the end of the application is when the public also has an opportunity to come up with and make any general statements that they would like.

So, Counsel, go right ahead, sir.

MR. COAKLEY: Okay. Good evening,
Mr. Chairman, members of the board. My name is
Kevin Coakley, I'm with the law firm of Connell

- 4

Foley, and we represent the applicant, who is formally called CIP II/AR Bridgewater Holdings, but for simplicity, I'm going to refer to the applicant as the Center For Excellence, as a shorthand.

It is our pleasure to introduce the application to you this evening. I think the application is an excellent application that has been achieved through a great deal of collaboration between the administration, and staff, and the applicant, and that collaboration has led to a product that I hope you will agree meets the -- both the letter and the spirit of the redevelopment plan.

I would like to thank the board and the staff for all of their efforts to bring this about, and that thankfulness is advanced both on behalf of all the people who work with and for the Center for Excellence, but in particular for Mr. Cocoziello Sr., who has been the driving force for the spirit behind the application. I'd just like to acknowledge his presence.

MR. COCOZIELLO: Thank you.

MR. COAKLEY: So many of you are very familiar with the application, but perhaps

there are members of the board and the public who are not. This all started in 2012, when Sanofi vacated the part of the site that is now subject to the RC zoning.

2013, Mr. Cocoziello's firm acquired the property.

And in 2014, the township elected to declare the property as being in need of redevelopment, which is a step taken under the redevelopment law that reflects that, in some way or another, governmental intervention is necessary to advance a project. And so that happened in 2014.

In 2016, the municipality adopted the redevelopment plan for the project, which essentially covers a 61-acre part of the project.

And we're here tonight to advance this process one step further, by applications for preliminary and final site plan approval for the retail and 32-unit residential building on the south side of the property, and preliminary site plan approval for the 368 units of residential housing, generally on the north side of the boulevard, as you'll hear that discussion.

really to two things:

One, to satisfy the completeness of the application process. The application was filed in May of this year, and you may remember, we were here back in April; at that time, there was another residential partner in the project, and at that time, a decision was made by the applicant to withdraw the pending application, and the board took a -- passed a resolution where they dismissed that application without prejudice.

So the present application has been declared complete, and we have been attempting, in the last few weeks and months, to address very high-level, poignant comments that have been advanced by the -- by the staff and the administration of Bridgewater, and hopefully we have achieved that result.

There was a submittal, and it was made within the last several weeks, which

Ms. Doyle has denominated as being Option A,

which is a submittal which shows an additional residential building of 32 units added in the area close to 202/206, to the south of the boulevard that is the main vehicular access to

the project. So that additional undertaking was submitted; we believe that it satisfies some of the comments that were raised as to the plan previously, and we advanced that in that spirit, and that's what I was really addressing when I was talking about collaboration, because that's one of the manifestations of the collaboration.

So, tonight, our purpose really is to introduce to you the project. We're going to introduce the project through two primary witnesses: one is Mr. Brandon Diamond, who's an architect with Street Sense, who will go through the retail and 32-unit residential part of the project, and then by Frank Minervini, who's an architect as well, whose firm is responsible for the design of the 368 units north of the boulevard.

We will also present testimony tonight from Mr. Paul Phillips, who's the project planner, who will testify concerning the need for the project to have a recommendation from this board regarding the present prohibition in the redevelopment plan as to drive-through retail.

And there is a cafe planned in connection -- or adjacent to the 32-unit residential building, and

it is requested that the board recommend to council an amendment to the redevelopment plan to allow for a drive-through use at that location.

We also will address -- you know, to the extent that time allows the issue of proceedings before the NJDOT with respect to satisfaction of the requirements of the redevelopment plan as to roadway access, there are certain requirements of the redevelopment plan that we've been endeavoring to comply with, and have had meetings, and have involved the township in meetings with the DOT, and we'd like to give you a report about that, which is an ongoing process.

The conclusion of tonight's meeting, we would like to ask your indulgence for a special meeting within the near future, where we can continue this application. At that meeting, we would anticipate bringing forward our civil engineering testimony, our landscape architect testimony, traffic, and planning testimony.

So tonight is essentially architectural testimony, to give you a good overall introduction to the project.

And then, if other questions arise

1.0

1.3

1 that are not up the alley of the architects, Mr. Marshall Everett and Peter John Cocoziello 2 are here this evening to answer any factual 3 questions that you might have. But we're here 5 primarily to give the architectural testimony. 6 So with that introduction, if you have no questions of me, I'd like to have the three witnesses that I've mentioned sworn. MR. COLLINS: Gentlemen that will be 9 testifying tonight for the applicant please come 10 forward, please stand, and please raise your 11 right hands. 12 (Paul Phillips, Brandon Diamond, and 13 14 Frank Minervini are sworn.) MR. COLLINS: Please state your 15 16 names and addresses, at least a business address. MR. DIAMOND: Brandon Diamond with 17 18 Street Sense. MR. COLLINS: Brandon, please spell 19 20 your last name. MR. DIAMOND: Diamond, 21 D-I-A-M-O-N-D. 22 23 MR. COLLINS: Thank you. MR. MINERVINI: Frank Minervini, 24 M-I-N-E-R-V-I-N-I, from Minervini Vandermark 25

A. I'm an architect.

- 1	
1	Q. All right. And where do you
2	practice?
3	A. I practice in Bethesda, Maryland.
4	Q. All right. And are you licensed in
5	the state of New Jersey as an architect?
6	A. Yes, I am.
7	Q. All right. And could you give the
8	board briefly your educational background in the
9	field of architecture?
10	A. Sure. Three degrees in
11	architecture, the last one being a second
12	professional degree, master's from the
13	University of Illinois at Chicago.
14	Q. And have you concentrated on any
15	type of activity in the field of architecture?
16	A. Worked primarily with retail and
17	mixed-use projects of all types in the domestic
18	and international markets.
19	Q. All right. And I know is there
20	one projects that you're favorite project that
21	you've carried out?
22	A. One of the more successful ones in
23	our region, in the Washington, DC, area, is
24	National Harbor.
2 5	O What kind of project was that?

1	A. National Harbor is a waterfront
2	project, right on in Prince George's County,
3	kind of across from Alexandria, Virginia. It is
4	based somewhat on the Ramblas in Barcelona, has
5	a great boulevard, pedestrian, leading down to
6	the waterfront. It has wonderful relationships
7	of waterfront and main street architecture.
8	It's very dense; it's also very well programmed
9	with great public activities 24/7. So it's not
10	only a very well staged project, but very well
11	programmed project, and very popular in our
12	region.
13	Q. All right, and are you the
14	architect at your firm that's primarily
15	responsible for this matter?
16	A. Yes.
17	MR. COAKLEY: I'd like to offer
18	Mr. Diamond as an expert in the field of
19	architecture.
20	MR. COLLINS: The board will confirm
21	that we recognize and accept Mr. Brandon Diamond
22	in his qualifications as an architect.
23	Please go ahead.
24	MR. COAKLEY: Okay.
25	BY MR. COAKLEY:

- Q. All right. Mr. Diamond, did you prepare a demonstration of the project this evening?
 - A. We did. And I would also request, as we're going to do a digital presentation and a project video, if we could lower some of the lights, particularly for your benefit, because we're going to be displaying on this screen here.
 - Q. All right. And have you prepared a hard copy of the slides that will be shown on the screen?
 - A. Yes, I have the most recent ones here. You have hard copy already. And there are a few bullet talking points that have been added, but essentially none of the graphic exhibits have changed.
- MR. COLLINS: We'll mark that as

 A-1.
- 20 (Whereupon, Exhibit A-1 is marked 21 for identification.)
 - A. Again, my name is Brandon Diamond.

 I'm the senior director of architecture and
 planning at Street Sense. Thank you for the
 opportunity to discuss the redevelopment

addition for the New Jersey Center of Excellence with you tonight.

And as was stated, this is the next step of the engagement with the Township of Bridgewater from my standpoint, which started over a year ago, and it's been a very positive and collaborative effort.

We see this not so much as an approval exercise; as a due diligence effort with all of you, to ensure success for this mixed-use project by the time it is built and operational. And tonight, we'll really get into the mechanics of putting together a complex mixed-use site like this by giving you a little bit of an overview of the vision and goals.

So we're going to start with a somewhat consolidated list of goals from the R-Seed Redevelopment Plan. Obviously, it is a longer document, but we've kind of highlighted the 10 macro level takeaways that we've been using as touchstones for the effort, and hope to demonstrate tonight that we've really crafted a strong framework to achieve these goals.

So there's really two slides here that you can see. Quality of Life Issues, 21st

1	Century Center for Excellence:
2	Increase the economic tax base
3	I'm really just hitting the high level points.
4	Sustain a strong job market.
5	Create a destination with inviting
6	streetscape.
7	Encourage higher density housing to
8	attract top talent in business.
9	Create a great sense of place along
10	the boulevard.
11	Buildings facing the boulevard
12	should have excellent retail character.
13	Green zones for residential.
14	And emphasize excellence and
15	sustainability.
16	As you can see, I think success is
17	at the heart of all these goals, because every
18	day when you drive through the region, or even
19	nationally, the world is fundamentally changing.
20	Shopping is changing. Workplace and residential
21	preferences are changing and migrating. We see
22	the present generation moving away from private
23	neighborhood living and corporate campuses to
24	more organized settings, which is really about.

creating shared space. Compelling social space

is community, and implied with this is not having to get into your car to connect all the dots of your lifestyle.

I represent a pretty unique company. At Street Sense, architecture and planning is part of what we do, but we are more broadly a real estate design and strategy collective. We work very hard across several practiced areas to craft real solutions for today's changing world, no matter -- frankly, no matter how well-intentioned the development team is, or the design team, or the municipal and community stakeholders, real estate solutions are no longer obvious. Projects fail and need to be repositioned, and a truly sustainable project is one that is highly relevant for today's market needs.

So what I've put up here is a little bit of a sense from Street Sense's side of how we look at making a project. On the left side, you see development strategy. This is kind of the pragmatic side of the equation, where we really try and understand market needs and opportunities within the trade area. These are the nuts and bolts of what should go on the

site; arranging those, creating strong synergies and efficiencies of how those uses are arranged on the site; and also right-sizing the offerings, rather than just fitting as much as can be accommodated on the site, very important today, especially with retail, to not just overbuild retail.

On the right side of the equation, we have brand strategy. This is really about defining the soul of the place, creating a compelling and relevant brand story for the property, infusing it at every level of the design, and in the digital presence and communication to the community; sticking to that story, while accommodating multiple tenant brand expressions, because, ultimately, retail projects speak on many levels, it accommodates the community and accommodates tenants.

And right in the middle here, what this really adds up to is customer experience.

We are trying to design for the end customer something that will truly resonate with them, create rich spaces for great social interaction, vibrant streets, and a place that will be really engaged and host the local community. That's

what we're after.

There's two other points I'm going to make before we're actually going to show you a little bit of our project walk-through video. I just wanted to say that this project needs to succeed on two fronts: Obviously, we're really trying to get the right mix and character for the project that we're talking about today, but at the same time, this redevelopment project wants to be an activation and a value add for the R&D campus. They do really want to work together, they want to be informed from one another, and we really want to invigorate the R&D campus as part of what we're creating here, and that's about creating great amenitized space.

A lot of companies are finding that their suburban office locations are not necessarily resonating with entry-level workforce, that are actually choosing, in many cases, lifestyle and amenities versus the highest salary. So creating the right urbanized setting is key to really getting the campus to be the best it can be.

The mixed-use layout which you see

here on the screen essentially -- you know, just from the spirit of the redevelopment plan, it's based on a boulevard. The retail buildings are at the center, coming off of 202/206. We have office and innovation space directly over the retail; the reason for that is that it shares very well, in terms of the parking and the energy. The office has more of a daytime parking need; restaurants tend to require more evening parking. So they work really well together.

And to the right and left is -sort of horizontally integrated, is the
residential component. That allows for the
parking to -- for some private space and parking
to be accommodated, but still very well
connected to the heart of the project.

There are other features here: the executive class hotel; some other major tenants, which are going to be highlighted in the video here in a second.

So why don't we get into that.

Some of you are already very familiar with it.

The video will highlight those components, and then get into a bit of an eye-level

drive-through of the boulevard, so you can see for yourself the experience.

MR. COLLINS: Okay. I just want to record on the record that the slides and the video are being shown on a projector on the screen facing the board, and also on both sides of the wall available to the audience.

MR. DIAMOND: Yep.

(Whereupon, a video is played.)

A. I hope you enjoyed that. I know it can be a little uncanny looking at all those digital people walking around.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: They walk very straight.

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Coakley, just to confirm, also, that that entire presentation would be made A-1, and we would make sure that a copy is provided to the board secretary as part of the record going forward.

MR. COAKLEY: Okay.

MR. DIAMOND: Sure. Can do.

MR. COAKLEY: Shall we perhaps label that as A-2, since we labeled the booklet A-1?

MR. COLLINS: That's fine, A-2 will

be the additional update.

1 (Whereupon, Exhibit A-2 is marked for identification.) 2 3 BY MR. COAKLEY: All right, Mr. Diamond. to continue? 5 Yes. So some of the exhibits that 6 we're going to talk about now are also in the 7 book that you have, and at this point, what we'd 8 really like to do is start looking at some of 9 the fundamentals of the site, and how we really 10 arranged this to work. 11 12 I'd like to start by talking about the project gateway from 202/206. Really 13 14 creating the right impression from the front door, and creating a great gateway sets the tone 15 16 for residents, workforce, and visitors alike. 17 And we see this as a very welcoming, well-landscaped, romantically lit streetscape. 18 Project identity is also a very big 19 20 part of this. As we've discussed with you in 21 advance, some of the specific signage design is going to come later, be approved later, but that 22 will certainly be a portion of what makes the 23 project gateway really stand out. 24

But signage is really just a

smaller part of the whole, kind of, setting up the streetscape, before we hit the first block of the boulevard, which we'll get to here in a minute. So these are just a couple of shots kind of showing slightly elevated -- the intersection as we hit the first block of the boulevard. And you saw from that video how the drive-in kind of gives you an oblique look at some of the retail corners, which we think is very valuable.

When we get to the boulevard itself, the boulevard is intended to be a great street, with the right balance of vehicular and pedestrian access. It wants to be very cross-shoppable; not too long; have a lot of points of interest along the way. We really strived to make the boulevard buildings have great layering of their facades; great storefronts with a lot of diversity from one merchant to the next.

Part of our strategy with these retail blocks is also paring back the corners or the endcaps of these buildings. So you'll notice that, on this reference plan for dining parks, that almost every end of the building has

actually set back an outdoor dining opportunity.

And you'll notice something here called the paseo. Right mid block, parking that is shared with the grocery store, we created a pedestrian passage, again, to make sure the pedestrians don't have to walk more than 100 or so feet before they can get to the heart of the boulevard. We don't want them to have to spend time looking for the front of buildings.

The other thing about dining parks is they provide the most legitimate way of turning the corners of these retail buildings, so that you can actually utilize the ends and the back, which is very difficult to do with retail. So we're looking to put these endcaps -- really activate them with a lot of dining, so that we get as much of a 360 experience with the buildings as we can.

So I'm going to tell you a little bit about the architectural brand story of the project, which is intended to be very authentic for this site. We see this district as a bridge between the community and the New Jersey Center for Excellence, and that's why you start to see the naming and the brand mark of this project

1 | being called The Bridge.

1.1

The story has a couple of threads to it, but it's really about invasion, business, manufacturing, and agriculture, woven into a main street environment. It's neat and traditional in a unique way. It's not a wild west lineup of shops; it's not a campus of buildings. It's something else. It's really about creating maker buildings from industrial-age small warehouse buildings that have great open plan high ceilings, great windows that invite customers in, and are great places where things are made.

You'll also notice, if you look at some of these images, that even though some of these are new buildings, generally buildings with this kind of industrial-age building stock have led the way in revitalization nationally. Cities like Baltimore, DC, New York, Pittsburgh, Portland, their industrial districts have been the first to revitalize, and they have a sort of grit and charm to them, which is especially apparent when they're repurposed. Understanding these are new buildings, but we like this idea of layering the tenant identity on top of the

base building, and creating something that has a rich backstory to it.

One other thread to all of this is agricultural buildings you'll start to see in the project. Agriculture, ones that would sit very well in a vineyard, or brewery structures. These kind of buildings also connect very well with the landscaping, and create a very casual, resort-like environment.

We also like the idea, with agriculture, of blending that with restaurants, and creating a farm-to-table charm in some of our public spaces, and you'll see later on, even connecting that to gardens, things like chef garden and community gardens.

But it's really the spaces between the buildings that we're after more than the building facades themselves, and you see here a lot of inspiration. These are things that happen in great streets, as well as small, intimate spaces, pocket parks, plazas. A lot of which you see here, and just the informality and the seasonal utilization of the space, is really what we're about.

And it's about programming the

space. You can create the greatest public space environment, and some of it can be programmed, and it should be programmed, but it's also setting the opportunity for people to casually activate it themselves.

We're just going to look at a couple of pictures. Some of these showed up in the video, but this is really -- since I just talked about warehouse buildings and industrial buildings that are layered, I just wanted to show a couple of close-ups, vignettes of these buildings along the boulevard, to show you what I mean.

You'll notice how the ends, the corners of them are really carved back for outdoor dining. There's a lot of unique layering of the storefronts.

This is another building also.

What we're really after is, even though there is one building that really tells a story, it's all the tenants who come in and repurpose different portions of it, and it's a great framework to -- not only for just the first run of tenants, but to allow to be repurposed over time, and to be changeable, and that's what we're after.

1.0

These are just a few more shots of the boulevard, but, again, we saw some of these on the video.

I'll just highlight this. This is a European plaza, pedestrian space that we created between the hotel and the retail block, and this is to create a very crossable connection, and a connection between the hotel and the restaurant. This is also the point where the boulevard starts to bend and connect with the R&D campus directly.

Let's talk about the town green for a second. The town green, which is really at the center of the boulevard, we really want this to be right-sized for active recreation. And what does that mean? We want it to be a place that is active day and night, that is activated not only by the commercial offerings, but connected to where residents live. And, again, part of this is formal eventing of the space, but a lot of it is allowing the businesses to front directly on the town green.

This is a plan of the town green, and I'll just kind of show you this slightly oblique view, it's probably a little bit more

1.0

telling. So the town green has a flexible space in the center that can take a significant crowd of people. We have movable furniture that can go on it. You'll see, on the right side, there's an informal stage, with a shade structure directly behind it. So this also acts as a play area, a family play area, where you have, kind of, a shaded area directly around it for parents to watch their children.

You also have a lot of smaller-scale areas around the edges of this town green for fire pits, game tables. All of these, kind of, more affixed seating tend to happen around the edges, and you have a couple of significant cafes and buildings that directly front, to make sure that it's activated all the time.

This is just a winter scene, to just kind of show how it gets a little bit activated in the wintertime. You can have a tree lighting, you can -- fire pits, you can absolutely light the trees. And we find that, even in climates all over the U.S., that these outdoor spaces get activated in the winter when they're programmed properly.

1.0

1.1

Just one more view of this looking the other way.

Then we're going to talk a little bit about the landmark building directly on the The concept here is a brewery building, park. and the brewery building itself is iconic, in that it's kind of a combination of both a warehouse and an agricultural repurpose building It's really meant to be that founders structure on the green that might have been the first building on site as the town grew up around it. And it has a lot of layering of indoor and outdoor space. So the idea here is this might be a place where grown-ups can kind of spill out onto the town green from the brewery, and kind of connect the different levels of activity together. And you can have a great landmark cistern on the park as well.

These are just a couple more shots that really show how, again, all those elements of vineyard and agriculture, they start to show up into little things, like the site furniture, the warm texture, kind of on this on-the-ground plane, to make sure that it's a very inviting plane.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I just mentioned, again, that there are areas of land where we're bridging over at the front of the project, where we may be able to get a chef's garden that could have an active connection with one of the restaurants on the boulevard.

There's also other areas of land that can be developed as community garden, which are taken care of by the residents on site. So we're interested in both these ideas as we continue the landscape development.

The last thing we'll talk about briefly is this block design, which came relatively late in the application. This is the residential block, just a little bit of imagery for this, the 32 units of residential.

And what I can tell you, some of you know that it was not originally part of the application, because our initial concern was the grocer, of all uses, tends to really prefer roadside visibility, and can be pretty key in their site selection. The other challenge to overcome was that residential needs its own dedicated parking.

However, we have worked pretty hard

to meet those challenges. And at this point, we do also agree that having residential on both sides of the boulevard makes for a very great impression for the project.

The other thing about residential here, in getting the mixed-use of commercial, is you'll see here in the foreground -- this is a little bit more of a close-in view of the residential -- but you'll see here in this foreground a cafe building was added. really interesting location at the project entry, but it is very much a roadside location for the cafe, as opposed to boulevard retail, and we think that it can be a big benefit to the residential. The only thing we would say is, because of its roadside presence, that it could very much benefit from a drive-through in the back and concealed, because that would make it a little bit more of a natural frontage tenant than it is. Other than that, we'd love to integrate it very well.

At this stage -- I'll flip through some of these and come back, just showing how the parking works for this residential, because we had to add parking for that residential.

1

2

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But what I'd like to do at this point is actually turn the testimony over to Frank Minervini, to speak about the larger residential block.

Thank you so much.

MR. COLLINS: Kevin, we should have Mr. Minervini describe his qualifications.

MR. COAKLEY: Right.

FRANK MINERVINI, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

A. Rather than talk about my favorite building, which is similar, I think, to choosing your favorite child, I can talk about the qualifications in a standard way, if that's okay.

Q. Yeah, go ahead.

A. Frank Minervini. I am the principal of Minervini Vandermark Architecture since the year 2000, located in Hoboken, New Jersey. I am a graduate of the New Jersey Institute of Technology School of Architecture. I have appeared in almost two dozen -- at two dozen planning and zoning boards throughout the state, and been accepted as an expert in architecture, and I am a member of the American

1 Institute of Architects.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COLLINS: The board will accept Mr. Minervini's qualifications as a registered architect.

Go ahead.

MR. MINERVINI: Thank you.

So our presentation will be much shorter than Brandon's. The residential component is a bit -- is not as far developed. We will be here relatively soon for a final site plan with the residential portion; however, what you've got in front of you, in terms of the site plan, and the massing, is what we expect to present, with some tweaks. I say that specifically because of the elevation drawings that you see. The intention is, and you will see it come in the final presentation, that the architectural views and aesthetics of the building would be similar to those already established by Brandon's firm, Street Sense.

through what we saw as the most important aspects of our design problem, which are all in keeping with the redevelopment plan.

So the first thing we looked at was

So with that in mind, I'll go

connectivity, with the understanding that these residential buildings on the north side of the property have to be fully integrated, or certainly integrated as much as possible, with the retail, office, and commercial components to the south.

So we chose -- and this drawing is a very good one -- we chose to use the town green as the center of the entry to our residential component. The residential component makes -- is made up of four separate buildings, but you can see there are two radiating paths -- we call them pedestrian walkways -- that are centered and start at the town green. Those will be used by both public and private uses. The gardens that are accessed in between the buildings, some will be used for just residents, but some will be used for also the public. So the first component of integration was connecting the buildings with these two radial paths from the town green.

The second, which has more than one purpose, is if you look at the top of the drawing, there is what we're calling a linear park. So there is a two-story parking structure

beneath that that is -- that contains more than 400 parking spaces, which will serve the residential component, but because of the site slope, although it's two stories, the lowest level is partially subterranean. So what you see, and what we're calling a raised linear park, is just a bit over one story above grade. So it's not very removed from action on the street.

So our thought there is, you can see there is a large grand stairway -- thank you Brandon -- that would be an entry point to this radial park, that can again be used by both public and private, and also that will act as one of the entries to the residential components -- residential buildings.

The thought also is that, at that third floor of the residential building, which is the roof of the garage and linear park, we would provide our residential amenities. So the thinking is to keep that park activated as much as possible, certainly the public can use it, but also the residents there will keep it activated by having the amenity space there.

The building design also came

1.0

because we understood the importance of it being phased. So if you -- obviously, we look at this, you can see that there are four separate structures. It can be phased. I'm not prepared to discussed how that can be phased yet, but it can be, and that's the purpose of those separate designs. It also allows for potentially interesting courtyard space in between the four buildings.

So as we saw it, the important parts of the residential component is and are the connectivity to the town green and the other portions of the project, and we think we've achieved that by having the two radial paths centered on the town green, as well as the linear park on the top part of your drawing.

When we do come back for the residential component for a final site plan, the building design will be flushed out, in terms of its aesthetics, and it will be, again, similar in thinking and materiality as the buildings proposed by Street Sense.

I think that's about it.

Q. Let me just ask a few follow-up questions.

1.0

1	A. Sure.
2	Q. So the plans that you've introduced
3	would be for 368 units. Is that correct?
4	A. Correct, 368 units.
5	Q. All right. And some of those units
6	are the COAH units. Correct?
7	A. Eight of this portion of the
8	project eight of those units will be COAH.
9	Q. Okay. And is there ample parking
10	on site for the residential units, without any
11	sharing of parking?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. All right.
14	A. All of our parking will be located
15	on that western portion of the residential
16	component.
17	Q. All right. And could you just
18	outline to the board what the amenities going
19	with the project are?
20	A. The exterior amenities and it's
21	kind of shown on that site plan there's a
22	pool shown we have yet to determine exactly
23	its location, but it will be there between the
24	residential buildings as well as the standard
25	stuff which is wanted, it seems, by most

- 1 residents that live in buildings like this. there'll be a gymnasium; there'll be party 2 rooms; there'll be some work-from-home spaces. 3 So things like that, that have been successful 5 in the past. 6 Q. All right. MR. COAKLEY: No further questions. 7
 - CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So the board will now ask questions of -- are you finished with your testimony, Mr. Diamond?
 - MR. DIAMOND: Yes.
 - MR. COAKLEY: Yeah, I have a few more questions for him.
- MR. DIAMOND: Oh, okay. 14
 - CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I have a couple questions based on that final comments about COAH If there are only eight in this side, units. that would assume that the remaining 32 -- the building on the other side is all COAH?
- 20 MR. COAKLEY: Yes.
- CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. And I'd like you to consider better dividing that, and 22 not having that other side be all COAH. I think 23 that's a concern that we've had as a result of a 24 number of experiences across -- and what we've 25

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

read about also. So I think that that's -that's a bit problematic.

Also, I have to tell you, just an impression, it's not intuitive to me, when I look at this picture, that it's consistent with what Mr. Diamond presented, in terms of design principals on the other side. It looks to me very symmetrical and somewhat prison-like -- excuse the term -- but it doesn't -- and it looks like a very simple feeding of that green. So I know that this is only preliminary, but I'm not -- I'm not connecting the dots between that amount of creative new thinking with design, with what I'm seeing here. Just my own personal impression. I don't think, you know, you can comment, but --

MR. MINERVINI: Understood. I think that it will look different -- and you're right, it does look very block, and that's because of the stage that we're in right now. Things will shift, but the principals would be the same, in terms of connectivity. The buildings won't look like this. And I probably wasn't clear enough when I started, I should have been very, very clear, but locations we're talking about, and the

1.5

linear park, and a radial connection, those are things that we think are very important, regardless of how much the buildings changes and look less prison-like, as you've said.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay.

MAYOR HAYES: I just want to -- if it's okay, while we're on the same theme, I just want to echo -- I mean, I see some improvements, so I'm eager to keep going with this, because I think, on the other side, there is a lot of energy, a lot of excitement, a lot of different things that we were trying to capture in our original intent.

Here, I see the addition of a raised linear park, and I think when you come back and speak with us, what we're really looking for is how does that -- I mean, if you were a resident there, and you are also outside that neighborhood, how does it look like a neighborhood versus a complex? And, you know, how does it fit in with the energy and the things we just heard in the other areas? That's -- MR. MINERVINI: Understood, and we will certainly provide that with many similar

images as you have seen already, to capture what

1	the thought process really is, what we really
2	want it to be at the end. But I understand all
3	the points, and actually agree with them, but
4	it's more about, at this point, the massing, and
5	where things are going to lay out, and the
6	integration.
7	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So as far as, I
8	mean, connectivity, the need for the ability to
9	engage across whatever number of buildings and
10	whatever design they are, connectivity, I think
11	that's all consistent. I think the design is
12	what's throwing us off, to be honest with you.
13	Mr. Lerner, you had a question?
14	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I just have a
15	couple, if I can.
16	Do you have any idea yet what the
17	ratio of one, two, three-bedroom apartments are
18	in the two residential sides?
19	MR. COAKLEY: Yeah, in the overall,
20	there's 40 affordables.
21	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I mean one,
22	two, and three-bedrooms.
23	MR. COAKLEY: No, the only
24	three-bedrooms are the eight affordables.
25	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry, I

	<u> </u>
1	can't hear you.
2	MR. COAKLEY: The only
3	three-bedrooms, I believe, are the eight
4	affordables.
5	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Are the
6	MR. COAKLEY: So the rest will be
7	ones and twos.
8	Correct?
9	MR. MINERVINI: Yeah, they're
10	equally split between ones and twos at this
11	point.
12	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Do you
13	know what percentage of the whole project is open
14	space?
15	MR. COAKLEY: Well, when we get to
16	the engineering testimony, we'll have that
17	number. It's within the allowable coverage in
18	the plan.
19	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. And my
20	last question goes to something you said, which I
21	was thinking ahead of time before you said it,
22	about the retail being able to be changed over as
23	times change. I'm curious, with your National
24	Harbor and it a boon onen 10 wears and the

Amazon impact, how that's changed the retail

usage, that you find people using now versus then. Is it still in as much demand as it was 10 years ago?

MR. DIAMOND: That's a great question. Certainly, I think the segment of retail that has been impacted the most is fashion, general goods and service retail. We've seen that -- actually, the percentage of retail that's been hit by online has actually been targeted mostly towards fashion retail. The segments of retail that have been doing very well have been food and beverage, neighborhood goods and services, and much more locally based retail.

National Harbor is a case where, even though it has had a tremendous changeover, as with any project that is over 10 years old, the developer of that one has a very strong commitment to event programming, which brings people to that site -- a little bit different than Washington DC, where you have neighborhoods that already have on-site population, and neighborhood retail is doing very good in DC.

National Harbor is interesting, because they constantly have to put on events to bring people there, but they do a very good job of that, and

1	they re-tenant pretty well.
2	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Urvin, questions?
3	COMMISSIONER PANDYA: No.
4	MR. COAKLEY: I just have a few to
5	follow up, if I might.
6	THE SECRETARY: Can you just speak a
7	little more in the microphone?
8	MR. COAKLEY: Sure. Sorry about
9	that.
10	Does the boulevard act as an
11	inviting element to people passing on 202/206.
12	MR. DIAMOND: I would say yes. I
13	think that part of the design of the boulevard
14	really starts with the gateway, and there's going
15	to be a good deal more enhancement with the
16	gateway experience from 202/206. I also think
17	that the Option 1 residential block has add a lot
18	to the overall roadside visibility of the
19	project.
20	MR. COAKLEY: Now, on your
21	supermarket, you have 80,000 plus or minus. It's
22	not plus, is it?
23	MR. DIAMOND: It's not plus. It's
24	meant to be 80,000 sales floor, and my apologies
) E	for any other impreggion I gave

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Just one other question on the plans. Safe to assume that -- obviously, this supermarket has loading facilities, but when I look at the hotel, I was scratching my head trying to find loading docks. And then, when you mentioned that the brewery is more of a warehouse, I didn't see anything like that either. So I assume that they have loading dock capabilities?

MR. DIAMOND: It's also a great question. You'll notice there are not a lot of dedicated full truck bays on this project. That is intentional. I think it is certainly a fair question with the hotel to make sure we accommodate the hotel properly.

From some of the in-line retail buildings on the boulevard, we've provided outdoor trash enclosures. We've not provided a dedicated truck dock. The reason for that is really the scale of the project, there's about 50,000 square feet of retail along the boulevard. And the way we like to organize projects like that, that are really surrounded by public spaces, and people are circulating around all four sides of the building, is to really require

	·
1	the retailers to take delivery front-loaded
2	before and after operating hours. We don't
3	expect to have a lot of truck traffic,
4 .	particularly for small retailers like this, small
5	cafes, during operating hours.
6	So, generally, we do not, for
7	retailers which I think vary from about 1,500
8	to about 4,000 really set up dedicated truck
9	docks for them, but we do provide a dedicated
10	trash area for every block.
11	COMMISSIONER FRANCO: But there will
12	be one for the hotel?
13	MR. DIAMOND: At this point, I don't
14	think we have indicated one, but I think that, in
15	the evolution of working with the operator, there
16	will be one.
17	MR. COAKLEY: Okay. Now, one of the
18	main aspects of the plan is to create a downtown
19	feel. Do you feel you've accomplished that?
20	MR. DIAMOND: I think so. I think
21 -	we've created something that is pretty
22	cosmopolitan, given the scale and height of the
23	project. We think it's got a great downtown

MR. COAKLEY: And another aspect of

feel.

24

the plan is the prominence of the hotel. Would you address that?

MR. DIAMOND: Yep. We've really situated the hotel at the terminus of the boulevard, and we're hoping that, through the video and some of the other images of driving those three blocks through the boulevard, that it really does have a very dominant presence, even at the end, as we bend around it and connect with the R&D campus. We think it sits very well there.

MR. COAKLEY: All right. I have nothing further.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Can you just expand a little bit more? When you used the term "industrial look" -- I know a few people from Hoboken, I'm in a new building in Hoboken that has an industrial look -- describe for me what you're thinking both exterior and interior.

MR. DIAMOND: Exterior, I think what it means is creating architecture that does not try to change with every store front, but has a little bit more of a block design, with three or four tenants interfacing into it in different ways. It's about creating taller windows,

1.0

especially on the upper floors, than you would see with residential or typical office product, really some floor-to-ceiling windows, taller spaces. It's about creating what we call more of an urban loft kind of space, which is very generous heights for the second floor. In some cases, what adds to that is exposed ceiling, not trying to put lay-in ceiling there. And just trying to let the tenant add their own -- their own personality to it.

At the same time, it's about creating durable materials that are exposed, in some cases even daring to paint over durable materials, to create the look of history and repurposing. So there's a lot of elements to it.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So we expect to see more brick than stone, per se, or more of those types of features?

MR. DIAMOND: More brick, and also more layering of materials over top of each other, to show that, kind of, sense of tenants working on top of the base building, more bulk.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: And the same fundament principals on the residential side?

MR. MINERVINI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Questions on this side? Mr. Norgalis?

COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: I want to support your comment about the sterile look that we see right now in the package. No disrespect to the people who live in Co-op City, but I feel I'm taking a helicopter ride over Co-op City, and that's totally inconsistent with the projections that we have for Bridgewater.

On a second item, also the comment has already been raised by the chairman, the issue of COAH, we're very proud in Bridgewater that we have a COAH tradition that goes back decades, it's not a mandated thing that goes back last year, the year before, it goes back 35 years, and we have successfully incorporated COAH housing into the framework and fabric of our township.

Therefore, I would not feel comfortable -- I realize that, in this particular program, they show eight -- and those, I assume, are the three-bedroom homes that are required under our COAH statute -- but the other 32 homes would be across the way, which would isolate them from the main stream of the residential

framework, and I'm not supportive of that. I
think we're talking about a residential complex,
and that includes COAH as an integral part.

Also, there are two other comments:

One, the energy, the 24/7 energy that was -- specifically, 24/7, which to me is around the clock, is it envisioned that the business complex here would actually operate around the clock? Is the beer garden going to be open around the clock? I mean, there are -- I'm not aware that anybody has said, okay, we're going to -- we've got last call at 2 o'clock or something. It sounded like the intention of it is to have it going around the clock. Is that true?

MR. DIAMOND: I think the goal is, even though businesses will close -- and hopefully we will have some late night on the park, and the brewery is certainly an example of that -- is to make sure that the public spaces are well lit, that we have great architectural lighting, and that we create a very safe zone for people to circulate, and walk their dogs, enjoy all of the public spaces after dark.

COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: Thank you.

1	On the issue of deliveries, I can
2	understand where there's a major delivery, where
3.	a business can restrict it to before or after, so
4	to speak, working hours, but every one of these
5	small boutiques is going to get UPS and FedEx
6	deliveries probably several times a day, when it
7	comes right down to it. Are those being factored
8	in? I realize there's individual parking spots
9	in the boulevard, but is there an expectation
LO	that a FedEx truck can come a box truck can
L1	come along and drop off a package at Bon Vitello
L2	(ph) or whoever you have as your client.
L3	MR. DIAMOND: Well, we would ask the
L4	businesses that run the FedEx trucks you're
L5	right, that's a more major part of the inventory
L6	every day we would try to get them to
L 7	circulate around the back of the buildings, and
1.8	not necessarily park on the angled parking in the
L 9	boulevard. I would definitely appreciate your
20	concern about that.
21	COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: That's it.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. Thank you.
24	Jim, no?
25	Scarlett?

MS. DOYLE: Two quick questions.

It was mentioned, the open space, someone inquired about the open space. Since the ordinance provides a minimum of 20 percent open space, in my report I have asked for -- and I would maybe, in the future, in the engineering testimony -- provide a map, because that open space has to be dedicated for that purpose. And so I think the board would feel very comfortable knowing where it is, and a map would be really helpful for that.

MR. COAKLEY: Not a problem.

MS. DOYLE: And then the next question is that you have provided some video on displaying energy that is very, very necessary, and looked very inviting for the boulevard area. What entity or what plans are there to assure programming? As you said, if properly programmed. So who does the programming in a situation such as that, assuming there's no sale or something like that going on?

MR. DIAMOND: Another great question, because a town square, it's hard enough with a very large retail project to get the programming right for a town square, and we're

talking projects with maybe 10 times as much commercial. We tried to right-size the town square, first of all, so that we're not creating a very large vacant lawn and one space that needs large-scale events to work. We tried to make sure that it can operate itself on a few different levels.

In terms of who can operate it, the retail property manager is one stakeholder; there's a couple of others that are worth noting. We like to see tenants sponsor the town square at different times; for instance, if you have a fitness tenant that wants to do yoga on the lawn, or utilize -- we give people operational rights to use the town green as part of their brand, and kind of bringing a public offering outdoors.

The third component is the R&D campus itself, because, in a way, the town green can function like a little bit of a pre-function, at times, for an R&D-campus-sponsored event.

That's not one stakeholder that's programming that, those are a few of the, sort of, macro-level people who can program it, but ultimately, we've tried to get at least 10 different smaller scale areas working around the

edges of it that are buildings on the park, very small-scale areas that will activate themselves.

MS. DOYLE: Would it be possible to -- I understand what you're saying, but that may lend itself, over time, to become fractured, disoriented, and then just fragment to nothing. Is there something that you could provide the board with that would give a little structure to that, so that -- and I don't mean maps or anything, just a little structure as to, if someone wanted to have an event, who would they go to to get it, or can they just open it up and do anything they wish?

I don't think that's what you were intending, and that's what I'm trying to avoid. Is there something that you could provide the board, to assure that there could be the energy that you suggested, the activity that you provided, which is exceptional, but that it be organized, rather than fractured -- potentially fractured?

MR. DIAMOND: Absolutely. And just to mention, generally, the entity in a retail property who controls that is actually the marketing team of the property; a little bit

different than the property manager, because the marketing team is actually really involved in the community outreach, and trying to get people to show up as often as possible. They create a calendar of events. So we can explore that with you a little more, but that's what I've seen, in terms of who's organizing the schedule.

MAYOR HAYES: I think that's an excellent response; I just think we need to know that there is that person, and who is that, and that that name and address will be available, so it isn't chaos.

MR. COAKLEY: Right.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: And maybe using some of the examples of your other locations, how that's been done, so you can ensure it's done successfully.

MR. DIAMOND: Sure.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Other questions,

Scarlett?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DOYLE: No.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Do you have any idea how many cars are expected to go in and out of here in a 7 a.m. to 10 p.m. day yet?

MR. COAKLEY: We do have a traffic

```
consultant who will tell us all about the number
1
      of cars. And he's not available this evening,
 3
      but will be available the next hearing.
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                         Okay.
                                               When he
 4
      does, I'd be curious to hear a comparison between
 5
      this space and the Wegmans shopping center in
 6
      Bridgewater. So if those numbers are available
 7
      to him, that would be something --
 8
 9
                  MR. COAKLEY: You're interested in 7
10
      p.m. to 10 p.m.?
11
                   COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                        7 a.m. to 10
12
      p.m.
13
                  MR. COAKLEY:
                                 Okay.
                                        Basically, the
14
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
15
      day.
16
                  MR. COAKLEY: Okay.
                                        The day.
17
                  CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Other questions?
                  COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO:
                                            I just had
18
      one more comment. When I first started to look
19
20
      at the pictures in the streetscape package, I
      felt too that, in the beginning, it looked
21
      industrial, and, you know, not very welcoming,
22
23
      but then when I got to the pictures where it
      shows the brewery, I think that's a Pottery Barn
24
25
      there, with the different materials on the
```

storefronts, I really started to like it more. 1 So I just want to make sure that's what you're aiming for, because I know you said 3 you don't want to make it too -- you want tenants to be able to change it, but you're going to --5 you're going to go more with those pictures that 6 7 show the Pottery Barn with that wood color, the brewery with different color wood -- you're going 8 to allow them to do different materials. Right? 10 MR. DIAMOND: Absolutely. The 11 industrial thread is really the back story to 12 these buildings, but it's not really the finish. 13 The finish is exactly what you're talking about. 14 COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: 15 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. With that, 16 I will invite any members of the public who have 17 specific questions relative to the testimony of 18 the two architects, may come forward and ask Mr. Diamond and Mr. Minervini any questions 19 20 they'd like. 21 Seeing none, I'll close that 22 portion. 23 Your next witness? 24 MR. COAKLEY: Okay. Mr. Phillips.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES:

1 MR. COLLINS: Before we go,

1.2

Mr. Phillips, I'll just comment that what the board likes to do, for the two architects, that we've actually done on various substantial and very complementary job of trying to make a town center type look in a new development, and we appreciate that, and if you can continue to work on that, that would be great to integrate your ideas -- or two areas of expertise, let's call it, and your two areas of focus.

What the board sometimes likes to get is sort of -- it's called a materials list and exhibit board. I'm not trying to tie you down to particular things, but we talked a lot about what it will look like, what materials will be used, and there's a way to give some color and specificity to that, but then have freedom within the materials to adjust, that would be helpful. I know it's a very big project, so I don't think it has to be every single tenant space or anything like that; I think it's emblematic ideas, if we try to do that and describe it in words, but we also sometimes like to see it in a little bit of an example.

1	mention that we did bring a first run of material
2	boards with us; the reason we've not presented
3	them is we've not yet specified or tied
4	manufacturers to all those materials, that's a
j j	pricing exercise that we need to do internally,
5	but we're happy to share a preliminary run at the
7	pallet, if you would like.

MR. COLLINS: That would be good, and if you could work on that between now and the next meeting too, and also provide information on it to our planner and engineer, as you know.

Go ahead, gentlemen.

PAUL PHILLIPS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

15 DIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. COAKLEY:

8

9

10

11

12-

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Phillips, what is your profession?
 - A. I'm a professional planner.
- Q. And what does a professional planner do? Can you describe that to the board?
- A. A professional planner does a number of things. A professional planner represents and advises municipalities on zoning, master plan, site plan review issues. A

professional planner also represents private
application before boards. A professional
planner prepares master plans, master plan
re-examination reports, and redevelopment
studies, and redevelopment plans.

- Q. And do you do all those things?
- A. I do all of those things.
- Q. And do you do them for both private parties and municipalities?
 - A. I do.

- Q. And just give the board an idea of a couple of municipalities that you represent.
- A. I represent Morris Township. I represent Mountain Lakes. I represent Millburn.

 I represent Fort Lee.
 - Q. Okay. And have you been a planner for many years?
 - A. I've been a planner licensed for over 35 years in the state of New Jersey.
 - Q. And have you been qualified by numerous land use boards in New Jersey?
 - A. At least 250 municipalities; I've also previously appeared and been accepted by this board.
 - MR. COAKLEY: I'd like to offer --

1	MR. COLLINS: The board recognizes
2	and accepts his qualifications as a professional
3	planner.
4	BY MR. COAKLEY:
5	Q. Mr. Phillips, are you familiar with
6	the redevelopment plan that's applicable to this
7	application?
8	A. I am.
9	Q. And are you familiar with the
10	proposal that was submitted by Street Sense with
11	respect to a residential building and the
12	adjacent cafe south of the boulevard?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And are you familiar with the
15	overall plan that has been presented with this
16	project?
17	A. I am.
18	Q. And did you hear the testimony that
19	there's been a suggestion that there ought to be
20	a change in the redevelopment plan with respect
21	to drive-throughs?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. All right. And do you have an
24	opinion as to whether or not that would be

consistent with the overall intention of the

redevelopment plan?

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And can you tell the board what that opinion is and what your --

So let me provide some Α. Surė. context and framework for that opinion that I will ultimately proffer. But as you mentioned, Mr. Coakley, I want to focus on the concept plan modification that was presented this evening, and what Scarlett has referred to in her report as Option 1, and I want to focus on it relative to its conformance with the redevelopment plan vision and directives, and again, with the understanding that we are advocating, at this time, a redevelopment plan amendment that would go hand-in-hand with that Option 1 modification, and with the further understanding that the applicant is looking for an affirmative recommendation from this planning board to the governing body in support of the required redevelopment plan change.

There are basically two changes that I think we are requesting -- there's a second change as well, Mr. Coakley, that I'll discuss -- but the major change relates to, if

you look at -- and I don't know if we can get up Figure 4 from the illustrative plan, the redevelopment plan, that might be helpful.

MR. DIAMOND: Sure.

A. Okay. So I think the larger portion of what's just been shown on the screen basically provides, sort of, an overlay, but the inset portion on the upper right-hand corner is actually -- correct me if I'm wrong, Brandon and Marshall -- but that's a reproduction of the Figure 4, which is the illustrative plan in the redevelopment plan.

And what that basically shows is two mixed-use buildings at the southerly end of the site. And, again, those mixed-use buildings are intended to be a mix of commercial, retail and restaurant uses in one of the buildings, and a second building would be also a mix of commercial buildings with residential.

The applicant is looking to replace that with essentially a single, primarily multifamily apartment building, with a parking deck behind it. There's also, as you heard, a cafe, with a drive-through positioned as an endcap at the extreme southerly end of the new

building. Again, that was the plan that was previously shown as Option 1, and that is also depicted in the exhibit to my left.

Now, the impetus for the change relative to the plans that were submitted really is related to the desire -- the township's desire -- and this is pretty clear in the plan -- to utilize buildings at this location to screen the large parking lot in front of the supermarket, as seen from the public viewshed, which is essentially Route 202/206; in fact, the screening of large masses of blacktop -- that's the word that's used in the plan -- is one of the major directives that's set forth in the plan itself.

A part of the concern that the applicant has with meeting the clear intent of the illustrative plan -- which is depicted in Figure 4 -- is that there are, again, two mixed-use buildings on either side of a street, and that while they do clearly blocking the parking lot, placing particularly in-line retail commercial here is not ideal for several reasons:

Number 1, they would be somewhat

off the beaten path, particularly as you go further to the south. Pedestrians would be less likely to detour there. And I think, as part of this plan, you basically want the retail commercial focus to be on the boulevard, but for the supermarket, which is sort of distinct into itself. And I think the last thing you want to see here is vacant retail commercial space.

So by introducing the principally multifamily apartment building here, I think it addresses that dilemma, while at the same time it meets the goal of providing buildings that screen the large surface parking area -- so, say, with the supermarket -- from the public viewshed.

Additionally, I think the beauty of having the cafe at the endcap, or as the endcap commercial tenant, is that it's the type of commercial tenant that can be viable at this location, provided it has the drive-through component. And I will reiterate and mirror the comments by our other experts that the drive-through lane is behind the building, and you do not see that drive-through building, based on the current design scheme, again, from

Route 202 or from the front portion of the site.

So to accommodate that cafe, the redevelopment plan would have to be amended to basically allow the drive-through cafe at a single -- at this one endcap location within the redevelopment plan, and it's the only location we'd be seeking to basically allow that component, for the reasons that we've expressed.

The second change to the redevelopment plan has to do with the front yard building setback. The redevelopment plan requires a 200-foot building setback from Route 202/206. So if we can just pull up the plan for a second, and I can --

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: While he's doing that, just for clarity's sake, you're saying a single-lane drive-through. Correct?

MR. PHILLIPS: I believe it's a single lane.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Thanks you.

A. Yeah, so if you look at that plan, you will see that all of the residential buildings, and the buildings on either side of the boulevard, they all exceed that 200-foot requirement; however, as you go to the southerly

portions of the new building, based on the angle of the highway, those buildings basically would breach that 200-foot setback requirement. In fact, at its closest point, I think the southerly most portion of the building is 132 feet from the highway right-of-way. So the redevelopment plan would have to be amended to reflect the reduced setback.

Now, interestingly, when we were looking at the plan itself, the mixed-use buildings, again, from the illustrative plan, they have a similarly deficient setback. So I think, ultimately -- and Scarlett, you can correct me if I'm wrong -- but when we laid this out consistent with the redevelopment plan, we couldn't get those buildings, even based on the concept plan, to meet the 200-foot setback, whether it's what we're proposing now, or the buildings shown in the plans.

So we'd be seeking -- and that may have just been an oversight at the time the redevelopment plan was prepared, so we would be seeking relief in order to do that, and so that we don't have a variance condition. And if the redevelopment plan is being amended for the

2.4

drive-through, we would obviously, at that time, seek the amendment for the setback.

MS. DOYLE: Shall I chime in?

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Scarlett, yes.

MS. DOYLE: I believe it -- I believe that the 200 feet in Illustrative 4 was met, because there was a 20-foot parking lot in before the buildings; however, I don't think that this needs a -- to go back -- this aspect would need to go back to the council for any amendment of the plan, because this would simply be a C variance setback. It's not a D variance, I think we can handle it -- the board certainly has that latitude, and I think he's illustrated the rationale for approval of that.

MR. PHILLIPS: Our only point -- and certainly we, you know, defer to your advice, Scarlett -- but our only point is that, if the board were comfortable with that, and we're amending the plan to basically allow for this new prototype endcap, it probably would make sense to kind of just amend to meet that setback requirement, given the conditions, but we'll leave that to the board, in terms of its recommendation.

MS. DOYLE: That would be a board decision; I'm just reluctant to go to the council with anything that isn't absolutely necessarily, and leave to the planning board those aspects that are within its legitimate jurisdiction.

MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah. And I just want to make one last point, for whatever it's worth: redevelopment related work has become a significant part of my practice in the last 15 to 20 years, and I've literally prepared probably dozens of redevelopment plans on behalf of my municipal clients, and I would just say that these plans are typically amended from time to time. It's not unusual to amend a redevelopment plan, and it's particularly not unusual when the plan itself is prepared prior to a developer or redeveloper having done, sort of, detailed engineering, architectural, even market feasibility analysis.

And I think, considering that the changes being sought in this case do not involve major deviations from the illustrative plan, I think that -- at least it's our opinion that these changes that we're seeking are not only reasonable, but we think they are clearly within

the spirit and intent of the plan itself. 1 2 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okav. 3 MS. DOYLE: I would argue -- and I think we're not arguing with each other, I think 4 we're coming from the same direction -- but the 5 concern that I have is, if the ordinance is 6 amended to a lesser -- say -- what was it 132? MR. PHILLIPS: I think we're 132, is 8 9 the closest point. MS. DOYLE: Let's say amended to 10 11 132, you have a choice, you can either grant the variance setback, or you can have the application 12 amendment. 13 If you amend the application, every 14 15 single setback can be at 132, as opposed to the 16 one that they're asking for at 132. So I think, 17 again, it's up to the board to address the --CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I think we 18 understand that, but I'll wait for Mr. Norgalis 19 20 to --COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: That's not a 21 de minimis issue, though. From 200 to 132 is not 22 23 10 feet or 15 feet. From my perspective, that's

kind of a material number. The redevelopment

plan called for 200 feet, and Ms. Doyle, you're

24

an excellent planner, if you say it's within the purview of this board to grant the C variance, then that's fine, but I don't think that was envisioned as a change by the council when this plan was approved.

MR. PHILLIPS: Can I just add one other thing? And, again, I'll defer to Scarlett's good judgment, but there are ways to put in the redevelopment plan, for example, that that relaxation from the 200 feet only be in that specific location. We're not looking, again, to address your point, to change the setback of the northerly portion of the site, or even the portion of the boulevard building immediately to the south of the boulevard, it would just be for this new structure. So I think there are ways to provide those protections in a redevelopment plan amendment, so that it is only at a certain location, but again, that's the board's discretion.

MR. DIAMOND: I would also mention that there is a riparian zone which we've been factoring into all of the original blocks that we've designed. So everything but the Option 1 that we're talking about just now has not only

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

been within the 200-foot setback, but really 1 couldn't move forward if we wanted to, because of 2 the riparian zone. 3 So this is really just one block 4 where we had the opportunity to work within the 5 spirit of the redevelopment plan, and I think 6 it's pretty unique, we're not really opening the door to moving the rest of the project forward. 8 9 MR. COLLINS: Can you, using either 10 that or maybe the site plan, can you say 11 approximately where the 200-foot setback is met? MR. DIAMOND: The 200 -- if you can 12 1.3 see my cursor, it pretty much clips the corner of the wellness building here. So you can see both 14 15 our proposed building, as well as Figure 4, we start to encroach on this final block here. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: And at the narrowest point, it's 68 feet less? 18 MR. DIAMOND: At this corner, right 19 20 here.

MR. PHILLIPS: That's correct.

MR. COAKLEY: At the cafe corner.

MS. DOYLE: And it's a one-story,

not a two-story, so it's less of a --

MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah.

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-227-8440

21

22

23

24

1 MR. DIAMOND: It's also a corner where the riparian zone gives us a little bit of 2 opportunity to do it. 3 I mean, I think the MR. COAKLEY: setback at the residential building is 150. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. Thank you. MR. COLLINS: Yeah, but with respect to the drive-through, the concept is a 8 9 drive-through cafe at that location that you've described, and the change to the ordinance 10 11 definition, which the ordinance says no 12 drive-through, it would be an exception for the drive-through at this location, provided all of 13 the transaction is not visible from Route 14 202/206. Is that your understanding? 15 16 MR. PHILLIPS: That would work Mr. Collins. 17 COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: 18 Where is 19 the cafe going on the drawing? 20 MR. DIAMOND: If you can see my 21 cursor here, this is the cafe. There's an 22 outdoor dining zone here on the corner. And if 23 we can work the drive-through, it would be on the 24 bark corner right here, about where the road --

25

the service road turns.

1	
1	COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: And the
2	building to the right is the residential
3	building?
4	MR. DIAMOND: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: And that's
6	not mixed-use building, it's just residential?
7	MR. DIAMOND: Essentially, the block
8	is mixed-use, but we kept them structurally
9	separate.
.0	COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: Okay.
.1	MR. PHILLIPS: That's all I have.
_2	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. As the
-3	planner, let me raise the conversation up from
.4	the specifics. We heard before that the
-5	architectural considerations and streetscape, and
-6	what they look for now is much broader than a
-7	traditional, and they look at, you know,
-8	surrounding areas. As a planner, do you
.9	what's your professional opinion, in terms of,
20	you know, the access here, obviously, to Route
21	287, we have, and 78, but not necessarily direct
22	access to New York City.
23	MR. PHILLIPS: Correct.
24	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I'm sure you've
25	thought of that in this development. Do you have

a professional opinion on whether or not this differentiates this -- to the negative or the positive, you know, to some of the other developments like this that we see that are in places along various New Jersey Transit railroad tracks and things like that?

MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, so are you talking about the architectural design or the --

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: No, just the location, in terms of a planner, an urban planner. What do you think about that?

MR. PHILLIPS: Well, I mean, it's somewhat different than what we see, for example, in TOD developments. Probably all else being equal, it's clearly a much larger site than we see at a TOD, and for that reason the intensity and density, on a sort of acreage basis, is probably actually lower here than some of those TODs, we see, actually, more buildings as being proposed here.

But I think the key here is we have, sort of, sufficient acreage to create our own sense of place, and I think you heard that from Mr. Diamond, and we have the ability to basically create the boulevard where we want to concentrate

the activity. We certainly have the room for the residential portion.

I would concur that the nice thing about the hotel is the hotel basically maintains that enclosure from an urban design standpoint. At the end of the boulevard, you want that focal point, in urban design terms, enclosure.

So I think, notwithstanding the fact that this isn't, sort of, a more traditional TOD site in the suburbs that might be closer to the city, I think you basically have the ground here, in terms of the acreage, to basically create your own sense of place.

And I also think, hand-in-hand with that, I would echo the comments of Mr. Diamond, in terms of the architecture. I just personally like the idea of something more cutting-edge than, sort of, traditional architecture, which even in my own redevelopment plans, Mr. Chairman, I see over, and over, and over again. And here, you're in an area, which basically has been, you know, a former industrial area, and I think you have an opportunity to create your own architecture which works here, because it isn't as if you're putting in one redevelopment project

1	within a downtown that has a traditional
2	architectural style. I think you have the
3	ability to kind of think outside the box here,
4	and in that sense, I would concur with our other
5	consultants.
6	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So it's
7	interesting that you refer to the architectural
8	design as driving some of this demand that would
9	be needed here, and I think it goes back to our
10	conversation about the residential, making sure
11	that that fits in also to the same.
12	Other questions from the board?
13	COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Actually,
14	Mr. Chairman, just to clarify, your question was
15	really asking how does this site compare to other
16	commuter
17	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I was
18	COMMISSIONER FRANCO:
19	communities.
20	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: It wasn't
21	directed maybe that's a better way to put it.
22	COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I guess
23	referring to, like, a Downtown Somerville, where
24	you have train stations, bus stations, things

like that, and I know there was something in the

report regarding a bus stop or something like that, as well as a school bus stop. So the way I took your question was it had more to do with commuter connectivity.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Yeah, commuter connectivity is clearly a driver, because even people who live here and work here, and can shop here, and go to dinner here, this age group is going to want to have access to New York City, even in Central New Jersey, despite the fact that the Raritan Valley line has issues with direct trains. But that's for another meeting.

MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah.

MS. DOYLE: Mr. Phillips, you'll find in the ordinance, there's something that we'll address, I'm sure, later on, perhaps in engineering testimony, there was some requirements for bus stop locations; one of them was a school bus stop, and that'll of course be based upon the wide range of students that might be from the site, that's number one.

But then, also, for commuter bus stop, that, I'm sure, will be forthcoming. It's not in the plans right now, but I think they're considering where to put it.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. Because 1 2 the access to 78 is so direct there that it's an easy -- for a direct shot from there to Port 3 Authority. So that'll be addressed later. Okay? We'll look forward to that. 5 6 MR. COAKLEY: Okay. CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Other questions 7 8 or comments? COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: Quick 9 question while we're talking about the school 10 11 buses: Do you have any idea where the stop would be located, or that's for determination later? 12 MR. PHILLIPS: I think that may 13 come -- I don't personally, but that may come 14 15 later when we do sort of more detailed site plan 16 engineering testimony. 17 COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Other questions 19 from the board? COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: I have one. 20 21 The infrastructure within the center, is it assumed that it will -- that the center will 22 retain the street ownership? Because school 23 24 buses will not go on private property. So if the

complex remains in private hands, school buses

will only stop someplace on the periphery. Has 1 that issue been considered? 2 MR. COLLINS: Maybe they need to 3 take some time --4 MR. COAKLEY: I'm told by our 5 engineer that we've been in contact with the 6 board of ed to discuss that issue. Don't know 7 the answer, but we're working on it. 8 9 COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: That's why I 10 asked considered; I didn't say came to a decision. Okay. Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. 13 other --COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: Just one 14 15 more question along those lines, talking about it being a private community or private streets, are 16 17 you going to have security -- separate security, 18 or it's going to be Bridgewater Police? MR. COAKLEY: It'll be private 19 20 security. COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: 21 22 Thanks. 23 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Other question from the board? 24 Seeing none, we'll open it up to the 25

1	public. Any member of the public having a
2	question relative to this specific testimony?
3	MAYOR HAYES: I just want to make a
4	comment on the security part. Would the
5	arrangement be similar to other private places
6	such as the mall, where the police, there are
7	arrangements that allow for police activity
8	I'm assuming it would be similar to the mall,
9	which is privately owned, yet the police have
10	access through an arrangement.
11	MR. COAKLEY: I'm sure it'll be
12	Title 39 as to traffic, and I would think as to
13	the commercial side, it might be the same. We'll
14	have to pursue that further before the next
15	meeting.
16	MAYOR HAYES: Very good, thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER ALBANESE: I have a
18	question.
19	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: You opened the
20	door now.
21	COMMISSIONER ALBANESE: Along those
22	same lines, as far as law enforcement, what about
23	fire personnel?
24	MR. COAKLEY: I would think it would
25	be served by

1	COMMISSIONER ALBANESE: The local
2	volunteer fire departments?
3	MR. COAKLEY: Yeah.
4	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: And I was
5	thinking
6	COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: There'd be no
7	difference from that and any other part of
8	nobody, except for some of the, you know, plant
9	securities have their own fire brigade, and I
10	don't expect they will.
11	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: And I don't think
12	we've seen yet a report, which we'll probably
13	see, an upcoming report from the local fire
14	department that covers that.
15	Okay. Now, any member of the public
16	have any questions or relative to this
17	particular testimony can step forward and ask the
18	question of this witness.
19	Seeing none, we'll close this
20	portion of the presentation and testimony.
21	Mr. Coakley?
22	MR. COLLINS: The board the
23	applicant is requesting that the board consider a
24	motion on the subject of the drive-through, and
25	Scarlett made one pretty good about this too, but

it sounds like the board is thinking about a 1 motion to recommend to the township council that 2 the ordinance provision about no drive-through 3 restaurant be amended to add a phrase, Except one 5 drive-through located at the southwest end of the redevelopment plan, provided that no part of the drive-through transaction is visible from Route Is there such a motion? 202/206. COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I'll make a motion. 10 COMMISSIONER RODZINAK: I'll second. 11 Scarlett, anything you 12 MR. COLLINS: 13 want today add on that? MS. DOYLE: You've stated correctly; 14 15 in other words, no aspect of the transaction, 16 either the drive up or the transfer of food would 17 be visible, and from what I see in this plan, it 18 accomplishes that. CHAIRMAN CHARLES: I also see that 19 20 it looks like the waiting line for a 21 drive-through would be heading west, away from 22 the cafe, which I think is a pretty good design,

MR. COLLINS: So is there such a

in terms of what I see. Okay. I just wanted

clarity on that.

23

2.4

	Page 85
1	motion to make that recommendation?
2	COMMISSIONER RODZINAK: I seconded.
3	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Mr. Franco made
4	the motion; Mr. Rodzinak seconded. And that
5	motion will go to council from us recommending
6	that.
7	Roll call, please.
8	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Rodzinak?
9	COMMISSIONER RODZINAK: Yes.
10	THE SECRETARY: Chairman Charles?
11	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Yes.
12	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Franco?
13	COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Yes.
14	THE SECRETARY: Councilman Norgalis?
15	COUNCILMAN NORGALIS: Yes.
16	THE SECRETARY: Mrs. Casamento?
17	COMMISSIONER CASAMENTO: Yes.
18	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Lerner?
19	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yes.
20	THE SECRETARY: Mayor Hayes?
21	MAYOR HAYES: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Thank you.
23	All right. And now
24	MR. COLLINS: I don't recommend I
25	don't have a strong recommendation, but the

request was made by Mr. Phillips that you consider changing -- recommending the change in the front yard setback on the plan to allow this type of building. I'm satisfied you have authority to do that as part of the C variance, it's authority of the board on the overall application, and you have some control that way, but if the board members wanted to make a specific recommendation, somebody can make a motion. Just let me know if you want to --

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Just for clarity, you're saying the control aspect is we could say specific to, you know, exactly this component piece of this application?

MR. COLLINS: That's right, as opposed to -- you know, you might be able to make some language if you were to make a change to the ordinance, but I don't think you need to, in order for you to grant the relief that the applicant is seeking, which you're encouraging by that building location, and the plan envisioned a building location, and I think even Mr. Phillips said that that must have technically shown the corner of the building probably in the 200 feet anyway. So we sort of thought about this in the

past, and we're willing to have a building 1 2 generally at that location. CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Mr. Rodzinak? 3 COMMISSIONER RODZINAK: I think the 4 board should retain jurisdiction over this issue. 5 We have a better ability than -- because we are 6 actually seeing the plans in front of us -- to address the setback issue. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Scarlett, our 10 third professional, comment? MS. DOYLE: I think you heard both 11 12 the planning opinions, and it would be my opinion that the board has the jurisdiction to make this 13 call, and it need not -- the council has given 14 15 the board rights to that, certainly in the 16 ordinance, and I think that that's what you 17 should cease upon, rather than going back to the council with this amendment, which I believe is 1.8 19 unnecessary. 20 CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Okay. 21 MR. COLLINS: Does anybody -- we 22 don't need a motion if we're not doing anything. 23 COMMISSIONER RODZINAK: Okav. 24 MR. COLLINS: So if anybody had

wanted to, you could have, but I think the

applicant understands the status that they're in, and I think I can say, for me and for Scarlett Doyle, that we are pleased that there is a building of some type similar to this shown in that quadrant of the redevelopment plan, which is what --

MS. DOYLE: And maybe I should comment on that, because the planner,
Mr. Phillips, has mentioned that the -- there is an elimination of one of the buildings. There were two there, and there's been elimination of one; however, the objective, which was to screen or to mask the sea of macadam, has been fully addressed by the expanse of the one building with cafe as proposed. So the objective has been achieved.

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Sure. Thank you.

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Coakley, my next subject is when to carry you to a date certain.

I know you were thinking of something -- a special meeting, but board members -- Scarlett, we know that they could be on for a particular night?

MS. DOYLE: Let me just take a look here. We have tomorrow night. I'm just telling

1 you what's open.

2 MR. COLLINS: I'm not available tomorrow night.

MS. DOYLE: Otherwise, we have
September 11th is a possibility, in which case we
have to have some understanding -- I have to have
some understanding of what's being expected
for -- for example, if the applicant intends to
proceed without having any knowledge as to what
the council's going to do on this, whether
they're going to agree to an ordinance
amendment -- they can't provide plans until they
know, so I would suggest -- the next available
opening is October 22nd, because we are booked
with Heritage and Bridgewater Hills, which is
four hotels, a couple of conference centers, and
retail pads.

MR. COAKLEY: Actually, Scarlett, what we were hoping for would be not as soon as the 11th, but not as far as the 24th of October -- did you say 24th or 22nd? I'm not sure.

THE SECRETARY: The 22nd.

MS. DOYLE: The 24th of October is Bridgewater Hills, and it's likely it'll take the

- night, because it's two -- it's on Frontier Road,

 it has two hotels, a conference center, and a

 couple of --
 - MR. COLLINS: What night is that?
- 5 MS. DOYLE: That's September 24th.
 - MR. COLLINS: No -- I see.

7 Continue.

6

- MS. DOYLE: That's September 24th.
- 9 Right now, September 25th is zoning
- 10 board; there's nothing on it, but, you know,
- 11 that's reserved by the zoning board, so at this
- 12 point, I don't have authority to --
- 13 THE SECRETARY: There is an
- 14 application on the 25th.
- MS. DOYLE: Okay. Thank you.
- 16. And then the next one would be
- October 9, where we have a different set, we have
- 18 Heritage, that's two different hotels, with four
- 19 retail pads, that's at the Days Inn site. So
- 20 they're not related at all, it's not like a
- 21 carryover.
- The next one is October 22nd, and we
- 23 | would require the plans, you know, well before
- 24 that meeting, in order -- because there's going
- 25 to be a change in the plans, I would think, by

- that time, we would know if the council is going to entertain --
 - CHAIRMAN CHARLES: You would think, by then, we'd know about the council.

And then I also want to ask, has there been a meeting with the department of transportation?

MR. COAKLEY: Yes, I will give you an outline of that maybe after we finish this, if that's okay.

MS. DOYLE: The difficulty is that, if the governing -- I'm making an assumption --

MR. COAKLEY: I think, if I might just interrupt Scarlett for a second, I think what our desire would be to continue to proceed even as we find out about what action council will take. There are changes to the plans that we are in the process of making; we promised Scarlett that we'd get them in to her sufficiently in advance so that she could review them before a meeting. So we would like to keep this juggernaut rolling -- maybe juggernaut is the wrong word.

MS. DOYLE: How about September 11th, would that work?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

1.2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

	•*
1	MR. COAKLEY: The plans
2	MR. COLLINS: They said it wouldn't
3	be ready by then.
4	THE SECRETARY: That's really soon.
5	MR. COAKLEY: We wouldn't have the
6	plans to you to give you enough time, Scarlett,
7	in all fairness.
8	MR. COLLINS: So the next one would
9	be October 22nd, which is three weeks or four
.0	weeks later, unless the board wants a special.
.1	MAYOR HAYES: Can we do I don't
.2	know how the board feels. Can we do a special
.3	meeting?
.4	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: When would a
.5	special meeting potentially
.6	MS. DOYLE: I have to check. We'd
7	have to check to see where the calendar's
.8	available. We have normally, I try not to
.9	inflict this on the zoning board, but it is
20	possible October 2. That's a zoning board
1	meeting night, though, I'm just letting you know,
22	but right now it looks like we could possibly
23	I'd have to call the chairman.
24	MR. COLLINS: I'm not available on
› _ح	the 2nd that's a regular municipal meeting for

	rage 9
1	me.
2	MS. DOYLE: Other than that, they
3	could come in on the 9th after Heritage; I can't
4	tell you that there'd be any opportunity to be
5	heard.
6	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: The 22nd seems to
7	be a stone's throw from the weeks we're getting
8	in on.
9	MR. COAKLEY: I guess if we went the
10	11th, we could do some reasonable amount of
11	testimony, I guess. We certainly could do
12	traffic, which is an important aspect of this,
13	and we might be able to do some aspects of
14	engineering.
15	MS. DOYLE: Could you do
16	environmental, address the board of health
1.7	environmental?
18	MR. COAKLEY: Yeah, we really don't
19	think there's any we normally wouldn't have
20	the environmental testimony in this type of
21	application, but because we don't see that
22	there are any serious environmental issues, just
23	for

MR. COAKLEY:

MAYOR HAYES: So testimony is brief?

Would be short and

800-227-8440

24

	7.1.51
1	sweet.
2	MS. DOYLE: All right. Have you
3	received
4	MR. COLLINS: Can I make a different
5	suggestion? Maybe you'll read the health
6	department review letter and have your LSRP read
7	it, and have the LSRP, with the help of
8	Mr. Otline (ph), I think there's a lack of
9	information that needs to be highlighted,
10	detailed, and that you let's leave it at that.
11	MS. DOYLE: And an amended letter,
12	so we don't have to be concerned
13	MR. COLLINS: And try to get an
14	amended letter from the health officer.
15	MR. COAKLEY: I don't think we have
16	the health officer's letter, so that's
17	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: So it seems like
18	we'll reserve the 11th, and then, on the 22nd of
19	October, we should assume carryover, so we
20	should
21	MR. COAKLEY: Right.
22	CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Scarlett? We'll
23	do it on the 11th, that we discussed, and we also
24	assume that'll be carried over to October 22nd.

25

All right?

MS. DOYLE: So we're putting them
down for two. Is that correct?

CHAIRMAN CHARLES: Yes.

MR. COLLINS: It'll be the Center

MR. COLLINS: It'll be the Center of Excellence CIP/AR application for tonight will be carried without additional notices. I think
Mr. Coakley's going to talk to us a little bit more, but I want to make sure we're on record, we're carrying it, after tonight, no additional notices, to 7 p.m. on September 11th, this meeting room.

MR. COAKLEY: Okay. Thank you.

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Coakley?

MR. COAKLEY: Okay. So this is a summary of the DOT efforts that have been provided to me by Mr. Gary Dean, who's the traffic consultant, who wanted to be here tonight, but had to be before another board. And Mr. Battaglia, he probably knows this as well as anybody, because he was at the meeting on August the 8th, with members of the DOT, there were five people from DOT there, and representatives of the applicant, and the township attorney, and Mr. Battaglia.

And there was extensive discussions

regarding the issues, and really the issue boils down to the light at Fourth Street, and the light at Fourth Street is very close to an existing signal at Muirfield Road (sic).

And DOT was pleased to learn -maybe they didn't know it before -- that this is
a 100-percent developer-paid-for project, so
there's no ask of DOT for anything about this
project. The township will be the applicant on
this project, just as the county will be the
applicant on the Foothill Road project.

The real issues that they raised were, you know, the proximity of the two lights, and the issues that come up regarding that. They asked for some additional studies, such as a progression plan, which would potentially demonstrate that there is not a safety issue regarding two lights in close proximity. They also asked for accident data, which sometimes DOT uses accident data as a way to justify an additional light.

But we are also trying to advance this by bringing it up to the county, we've been in discussions with them, and we will be in discussions with higher-level personnel at the

Я

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 4 I, Michael Lombardozzi, a Notary Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New 5 6 Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the 8 time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set 9 10 forth. 11 I do further certify that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of 12 any of the parties to this action, and that I am 13 14 neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in 15 16 this action. 17 18 19 Nombardozzi, Certified Court Reporter, State of New Jersey 20 CERT #: 30X100239700 Date: 21 22 23 24